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## §0. Introduction

The first success of proving the Atiyah-Singer index theorem directly by heat kernel method was achieved by Patodi [10], who carried out the "fantastic cancellation" (cf. [9]) for the Laplace operators and for the first time proved a local version of the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem. In recent years, several different direct heat kernel proofs of the Atiyah-Singer index theorem for Dirac operators have appeared independently: Bismut [3], Getzler [6], [7] and Yu [13] or [14], see also Berline- Vergne [2]. All the proofs have their own advantages.

Motivated by the problem of generalizing the heat kernel proofs of the index theorem to prove a local index theorem for families of elliptic operators, Quillen |12| introduced the concept of superconnections, and this was developed by Bismut to give a heat kernel representation for the Chern character of families of first order elliptic operators. Then using his probabilitistic mathod, Bismat [4] obtained a proof of the local index theorem for families of Dirac operators.

In this paper, we will use the method of $\mathrm{Yu}[14]$ to give another proof of the local index theorem for families of Dirac operators. The key point is Yu's idea of comparing the corresponding terms in the Taylor expansion series of functions, thus avoiding probability and some complicated estimates.

It seems that the method in [7] can be also generalized to give a proof of the local index theorem for families of Dirac operators.

Note also that Yu [15] has presented a direct proof of the local index theorem for signature operators explicitly in the same spinit as [13]. We found that our proof can be modified immediatly to give a proof of the local index theorem for families of signature operators.

For simplicity, we write out our proof only for classical Dirac operators, but onr proof works also for twisted Dirac operators, as pointed out in [13]. For a brief account cf. the Appendix.

We take Yu [13] and Chap. I-III of Bismut [4] as our basic references.
We are deeply grateful to Professor Yu Yanlin who introduced this subject to us and kindly explained to us the key points of his work [13], without his encouragement, the present paper conld never have been finished. We would also like to thank Nankai Institute of Mathematics for hospitality and some other services.

## §1. Clifford module and supertrace

Let $V=V^{0} \oplus V^{1}$ be a super (or $Z_{2}$-graded) complex vector space. As in [12], we use $\varepsilon$ to denote the involution giving the grading: $\varepsilon(v)=(-1)^{\operatorname{deg}(v)} v$. Then the space End $(V)$ of endomorphisms of $V$ is a super algebra. The even (resp.odd) elements of End (V) commute (resp. anticommute) with $\varepsilon$.

Definition 1.1 The supertrace $\operatorname{tr}_{\theta}$ of $k \in \operatorname{End}(V)$ is defined by

$$
\mathrm{tr}_{\theta} k=\operatorname{tr} \varepsilon k
$$

It is easy to verify that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{t r}_{g} k=\operatorname{tr}\left(\left.k\right|_{V^{0}}\right)-\operatorname{tr}\left(\left.k\right|_{V^{1}}\right), k \text { even } \\
& \mathbf{t r}_{8} k=0, k \text { odd } \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Now let $H$ be a Grassmann algebra, then $H$ is naturally $Z_{2}$ - graded. Let $K$ be the graded tensor product

$$
K=\operatorname{End}(V) \hat{\otimes} H
$$

then $K$ possesses a nature $Z_{2}$-grading. The supertrace concept can be naturally extended to $K$ and takes its value in $H$ (cf. [8], [4]).

Definition 1.3 If $h \in H, k \in K$, define

$$
\operatorname{tr}_{g}(h k)=h\left(\operatorname{tr}_{g} k\right)
$$

Now let $N=2 n$ be an even integer. $c\left(R^{2 n}\right)$ denotes the Clifford algebra of $R^{2 n}$ generated by $1, e_{1}, \cdots, e_{2 n}$ with the following commatative relations:

$$
e_{i}^{2}=-1, \quad e_{i} e_{j}+e_{j} e_{i}=0, \quad i \neq j
$$

As well known, Spin (2n) acts unitarily on the $2^{n}$-dimensional complex vector space of spinors $S$ and that $c\left(R^{2 n}\right) \otimes_{R} C$ can be identified with End(S), so that Spin $(2 n) \subset$ End $(S)$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=(\sqrt{-1})^{n} e_{1} \cdots e_{2 n} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $r$ acts unitarily on $S$ and moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{2}=1 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{+}=\{s \in S: \tau s=s\}, S_{-}=\{s \in S: \tau s=-s\} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $S_{+}, S_{-}$are $2^{n-1}$-dimensional vector subspaces of $S$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=S_{+} \oplus S_{-} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Spin(2n) acts unitarily and irreducibly on $S_{+}$and $S_{-}$.
S can be naturally regarded as a super vector space with obvious grading and involution $\tau$. Let $W$ be a usual vector space, then $S \otimes W$ also carries a naural grading.

Lemma 1.8

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr}_{g} e_{i_{1}} \cdots e_{i_{p}} & =0, \quad p<2 n \\
\operatorname{tr}_{g} e_{1} \cdots e_{2 n} & =\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $m$ be another integer, $f_{1}, \cdots, f_{\alpha}, \cdots, f_{m}$ denote the canonical oriented Euclidean basis, and $d y^{1}, \cdots, d y^{\alpha}, \cdots, d y^{m}$ the basis of the corresponding dual space.

Definition $1.9 \in$ denote the graded tensor product of the $Z_{2}$-graded algbra $c\left(R^{2 n}\right)$ and $\Delta\left(R^{m}\right)$, i.e.

$$
\epsilon=c\left(R^{2 n}\right) \hat{\otimes} \Lambda\left(R^{m}\right)
$$

For simplicity, we will use no " $\hat{\otimes}$ " sign to indicate the product in $\epsilon$. e.g. we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{i} d y^{\alpha}+d y^{\alpha} e_{i}=0 \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

§2. Fibration of manifolds
A large portion of this section, which is adapted from [4], is included here only for completeness.

Let $B$ be an $m$-dimensional connected compact Riemannian manifold. Denote its Riemannian metric by $g_{B}$.
$X$ is a connected compact orientable manifold of even dimension 2 n . We assume that $X$ is a spin manifold, so that $w_{2}(X)=0$.
$M$ is a $2 n+m$ dimensional compact connected manifold. We assume that $\pi: M \rightarrow B$ is a submersion of $M$ onto $B$, which defines a fibration of $M$ with fiber $X$. Namely, we assume that there is an open covering $-U$ of $B$ such that for every $U \in_{-} U$, there exists a $C^{\infty}$-diffeomorphism $\varphi_{U}: \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times X$, and if $U \cap V \neq \phi, \varphi_{U} \circ \varphi_{V}^{-1}:(U \cap V) \times X \rightarrow(U \cap V) \times X$ is given by $(y, x) \mapsto\left(y, f_{U, V}(y, x)\right)$ where $f_{U, V}(y, \cdot)$ is a $C^{\infty}$-diffeomorphism of $X$ which is $C^{\infty}$ in both variables $y$ and $x$.

For $y \in B, \pi^{-1}(y)$ is then a submanifold $G_{y}$ of $M$, and $\pi$ defines a fibration $G$ of $M . T G$ denote the 2 n - dimensional vector bundle on $M$ whose fiber $T_{x} G$ is the tangent space at $x$ to the fiber $G_{\pi(x)}$. And we assume that $M$ is oriented.

By using any Riemannian structure on $M$, we can obtain an m-dimensional smooth subvector bundle $T^{H} M$ of $T M$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T M=T^{H} M \oplus T G \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $\forall x \in M, T_{x}^{H} M$ and $T_{n(x)} B$ are isomorphic under $\pi$.
Recall that $B$ is Riemannian, so we can lift the Euclidean scalar product $g_{B}$ of $T B$ to $T^{H} M$. And we assume that $T G$ is endowed with a scalar product $g_{G}$. Thus we can introduce in $T M$ a new scalar product $g_{B} \oplus g_{G}$, and denote by $\nabla^{L}$ the Levi-Civita connection on $T M$ with respect to this metric.

Let $O$ be the $S O(2 n)$ bundle of oriented orthonormal frames in $T G$.
We now do the assumption that the bundle $T G$ is spin. Namely we assume that the $S O(2 n)$ bundle $O \rightarrow M$ can be lifted to a $\operatorname{Spin}(2 n)$ bundle $O^{\prime}$ so that the projection $O^{\prime} \rightarrow O$ induces the covering projection $\operatorname{Spin}(2 n) \rightarrow \operatorname{SO}(2 n)$ on each fiber.

Let $F, F_{+}, F_{-}$be the bundle of spinors:

$$
\begin{align*}
& F=O^{\prime} \times \operatorname{Spin(2n)} S \\
& F_{ \pm}=O^{\prime} \times \operatorname{Spin(2n)} S_{ \pm} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Recall that if $e \in T G,\|e\|=1$, $e$ acts unitarily by Clifford multiplication on $F$, and interchanges $F_{+}$and $F_{-}$.

Definition $2.3 \epsilon$ is the bundle defined by

$$
\epsilon_{x}=c\left(T_{x} G\right) \hat{\otimes} \Lambda_{\pi(x)}(B)
$$

The supertrace construction of $\S 1$ can be extended obviously to give a supertrace on the superalgebra bundle $\epsilon$.

Next we construct a connection on $T G$.
Definition $2.4 \nabla$ denotes the connection on $T G$ defined by the following relation:

$$
\nabla_{Y} Z=P_{G}\left(\nabla_{Y}^{L} Z\right), \quad Y \in T M, \quad Z \in T G
$$

where $P_{G}$ denote the orthogonal projection of $T M$ on $T G . \nabla$ obviously preserves the scalar product in $T G$.
$\nabla$ can be lifted to give a connection on $F, F_{+}$and $F_{-}$respectively. Let $H^{\infty}, H_{+}^{\infty}, H_{-}^{\infty}$ denote the set of $C^{\infty}$ sections of $F, F_{+}, F_{-}$over $M$.

Clearly, we may regard $H^{\infty}, H_{ \pm}^{\infty}$, as the set of $C^{\infty}$ sections over $B$ of infinite dimensional vector bundles, which we still denote by $H^{\infty}, H_{ \pm}^{\infty}$. The fibers $H_{y}^{\infty}, H_{ \pm, y}^{\infty}$ are the sets of $C^{\infty}$ sections over $G_{y}$ of $F, F_{ \pm}$.

Also note that since $F$ is an Hermitian bundle, and since the fibers $G_{\nu}$ carry a natural volume element $d x$, if $h, h^{\prime} \in H_{y}$, we can define the scalar product

$$
\begin{equation*}
<h, h^{\prime}>=\int_{G}<h(x), h^{\prime}(x)>d x \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now define a connection on $H_{ \pm}$.
Definition $2.6 \hat{\nabla}$ denotes the connection on $H_{ \pm}$such that if $Y \in T B, h \in H_{ \pm}$, then

$$
\tilde{\nabla}_{Y} h=\nabla_{Y H} h
$$

where $Y^{H}$ is the (mique) lifting of $Y \in T B$ in $T^{H} M$.
§3. Dirac operators and a heat kernel formula for the index
Definition 3.1 $D$ denotes the operator acting on $H$

$$
D=\sum_{i}^{2 n} e_{i} \nabla e_{i}
$$

Of course the operator $D$ acts fiberwise in the fibers $G_{y}$.
For $y \in B, D_{y}$ denotes the restriction of $D$ to the fiber $H_{y}^{\infty} . D$ interchanges $H_{+}^{\infty}$ and $H_{-}^{\infty}$. $D_{+}, D_{-}$are the restrictions of $D$ to $H_{+}^{\infty}, H_{-}^{\infty}$.

By Atiyah and Singer [1], the difference bundle over $B$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ker} D_{+, y}-\operatorname{ker} D_{-, y} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is well defined in the sense of K -theory.
The aim of this paper is to present a calculation of the Chern character of (3.2) as a differential form over $B$ explicitly.

First, we will give a brief review of the heat kernel representation of this Chern character given by Bismut [4].

In what follows, to simplify the notations, we will use the following conventions:
(1) All the summation signs will be omitted;
(2) The subscripts $\alpha, \beta$ will be used for horizontal variables and the subscripts $i, j$ for vertical ones (i.e. the variables in $T G$ );
(3) We omit $H$ in $\left(f_{\alpha}\right)^{H}$, i.e., we identify the orthonormal basis $f_{1}, \cdots, f_{m}$ of $T_{y} B$ with their lift in $T_{x}^{H} M$ (for $x \in G_{y}$ ). Also, $d y^{1}, \cdots, d y^{m}$ are now considered as differential forms on M;
(4) We omit the exterior product sign $\wedge$;
(5) We omit the " $\sim$ " in $\tilde{\nabla}$.

We extend $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{2 n} ; f_{1}, \cdots, f_{m}$ to give an orthonormal frame $E_{1}, \cdots, E_{2 n} ; F_{1}, \cdots, F_{m}$ in the way of [13] and pick a fixed spin frame as in [13].

Denote

$$
\Gamma_{I J}^{K}=\left\langle\nabla_{Z_{I}}^{L} Z_{J}, Z_{K}\right\rangle
$$

where $Z_{I}$ is the total notation for $E_{i}, F_{\alpha}$, etc.
Definition 3.3 Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H=e_{i}\left(E_{i}+\frac{1}{4} \Gamma_{i j}^{k} e_{j} e_{k}+\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{i j}^{\alpha} e_{j} d y^{\alpha}+\frac{1}{4} \Gamma_{i \alpha}^{\beta} d y^{\alpha} d y^{\beta}\right)+ \\
& \quad d y^{\alpha}\left(F_{\alpha}+\frac{1}{4} \Gamma_{\alpha i}^{j} e_{i} e_{j}+\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{\alpha i}^{\beta} e_{i} d y^{\beta}+\frac{1}{4} \Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma} d y^{\beta} d y^{\gamma}\right), \\
& I=
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $I^{y}$ be the restriction of $I$ to $G_{z}$. For a given $y \in B$, the operator $I^{y}$ acts on $H_{u} \hat{\otimes} \Lambda_{y}(B)$ in the following sense: if $h \in \operatorname{End}(F), \eta, \eta^{i} \in \Lambda(B), c \in F$, then the action of $h \eta \in \operatorname{End}(F) \hat{\otimes} \Lambda(B)$ on $e \eta^{\prime} \in F \hat{\otimes} \Lambda(B)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(h \eta)\left(e \eta^{\prime}\right)=(-1)^{\operatorname{deg} \eta \cdot \operatorname{deg} e} h(e) \cdot \eta \eta^{\prime} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

further more, if $h \in H_{y}^{\infty}, \eta \in A_{y}(B)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I^{y}(h \boldsymbol{\eta})=\left(I^{y} \boldsymbol{h}\right) \boldsymbol{\eta} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

As indicated in [4], using standard results on elliptic equations, we can construct the "heat kernel" semi-group $e^{-i I^{*}}$ which also acts in the fiber. For any $t>0, e^{-t I^{\prime \prime}}$ is given by a kernel $P_{t}^{y}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ (for $x, x^{\prime} \in G_{y}$ ) which is $C^{\infty}$ in $\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right) \in(0,+\infty) \times G_{y} \times G_{y}$.

Since the fibration $M \rightarrow B$ is locally trivial, there is an open neighborhood of $y$ in $B$ such that $\pi^{-1}(U)$ is diffeomorphic to $U \times X$. In what follows, we will not distinguish $\pi^{-1}(U)$ and $U \times X$.

In particular, since $I^{y}$ is a smooth family of second order elliptic differential operators, it is not difficult to prove that $P_{t}^{y}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ is $C^{\infty}$ in $\left(t, x, x^{\prime}, y\right) \in(0, \infty) \times X \times X \times U$, cf. [4], Proposition 2.8.

For $x, x^{\prime} \in G_{y}, P_{t}^{y}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ is a linear mapping from $F_{x}$, into $F_{x} \hat{\otimes} \Lambda_{y}(B)$.
Let $\tau_{x}$ be the involution defining the grading in $F_{x^{\prime}}$ then Hom $\left(F_{x^{\prime}}, F_{x}\right)$ has a nature grading. The even (resp.odd) elements commute (resp. anticommute) with $\tau_{x}$. Thus, $P_{t}^{y}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ is an even element of the graded tensor product $\operatorname{Hom}\left(F_{x}, F_{x}\right) \hat{\otimes} \Lambda_{y}(B)$. In particular, $P_{t}^{y}(x, x)$ is an even element in the graded algebra End $\left(F_{x}\right) \hat{\otimes} \Lambda_{y}(B)$, and $\operatorname{tr}_{\theta} P_{t}^{y}(x, x)$ is an even element in $\Lambda_{y}(B)$.

As in [12], we change the normalization constant in the definition of the Chern character. Namely, for a vector bundle $V$ with connection form $\mu$ and curvature $O$, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Oh}(V)=\operatorname{Tr} \exp (-C) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [4], Bismut proved the following fundamental result:
Theorem 3.7 (Bismut [4]). Let $H^{t}$ be given by

$$
\begin{align*}
H^{t}= & e_{i}\left(E_{i}+\frac{1}{4} \Gamma_{i j}^{k} e_{i} e_{j}+\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{i j}^{\alpha} e_{j} \frac{d y^{\alpha}}{\sqrt{t}}+\frac{1}{4 t} \Gamma_{i \alpha}^{\beta} d y^{\alpha} d y^{\beta}\right) \\
& +\frac{d y^{\alpha}}{\sqrt{t}}\left(F_{\alpha}+\frac{1}{4} \Gamma_{\alpha i}^{j} e_{i} e_{j}+\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{\alpha i}^{\beta} e_{i} \frac{d y^{\beta}}{\sqrt{t}}+\frac{1}{4} \Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma} \frac{d y^{\beta} d y^{\gamma}}{t}\right)  \tag{3.8}\\
I^{t}= & t\left(H^{t}\right)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{G_{y}} \operatorname{tr}_{s}\left(P_{1}^{L, t, y}(x, x)\right) d x \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a $C^{\infty}$ form over $B$ which is a representative of $\operatorname{Ch}\left(\operatorname{ker} D_{+, y}-\operatorname{ker} D_{-, y}\right)$, where $P_{1}^{L, t, y}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ is the $C^{\infty}$ kernel over $G_{y}$ of $e^{-I_{i}^{i}}$,

The goal of this paper is to calculate out

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}_{\theta}\left(P_{1}^{L, t, y}(x, x)\right) d x \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

§4. A local parametrix and Minakshsundaram-Pleijel equations

In this section, we shall deduce (3.10) to a calculable form, and in the next section we will carry out the explicit calculation.

In all what follows, we may keep in mind that we are fixing a typical $G_{y}$, so that the subscript $y$ will be omitted unless necessary.

First, as in [5], $\forall t>0$, let $\varphi_{t}$ be the homomorphism

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_{t}: \operatorname{Hom}\left(F_{x^{\prime}}, F_{x}\right) \hat{\otimes} \Lambda_{y}(B) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(F_{x^{\prime}}, F_{x}\right) \hat{\otimes} \Lambda_{y}(B) \\
& \varphi_{t}: h d y^{\alpha} \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} h d y^{\alpha}, h \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(F_{x^{\prime}}, F_{x}\right) \tag{4.0}
\end{align*}
$$

then clearly,

$$
H^{t}=\varphi_{t}(H)
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr}_{g} e^{-I^{t}} & =\int_{G_{v}} \operatorname{tr}_{p} P_{1}^{L, t, y}(x, x) d x \\
& =\operatorname{tr}_{g} e^{-t\left(\varphi_{t}(H)\right)^{2}}=\operatorname{tr}_{i} e^{-t \varphi_{t} H^{2}} \\
& =\operatorname{tr}_{s} \varphi_{t} e^{-t H^{2}} \\
& =\int_{G_{q}} \varphi_{t} \operatorname{tr}_{g} P_{t}^{y}(x, x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we get a corollary of Theorem 3.7:
Proposition 4.1 $\forall t>0$,

$$
\varphi_{t} \int_{G,} \operatorname{tr}_{\beta} P_{t}^{y}(x, x) d x
$$

is a representative of $\mathrm{Ch}\left(\operatorname{ker} D_{+, y}-\operatorname{ker} D_{-, y}\right)$.
We wish to calculate out

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{G_{7}} \operatorname{tr}_{\theta}\left(\varphi_{t} P_{t}^{y}(x, x)\right) d x \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we note that $P_{f}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ is uniquely characterized by the following properties:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+I_{x^{\prime}}\right) P_{t}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\forall V\left(x^{\prime}\right) \in H_{y}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{G,} P_{t}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) V\left(x^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime}=V(x) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Recalling that

$$
e^{-t I} V(x)=\int_{G_{k}} P_{t}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) V\left(x^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime}
$$

so (4.3) and (4.4) are clearly hold. Now let $G_{t}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ be another $C^{\infty}$ function satisfying (4.3) and (4.4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+I_{x^{\prime}}\right)\left(P_{t}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)-G_{t}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\right)=0 \tag{4.5a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\forall V \in H_{y}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{G_{y}}\left(P_{t}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)-G_{t}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\right) V\left(x^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime}=0 \tag{4.5b}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.5a,b) it is obvious that we should have

$$
F_{t}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=G_{t}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)
$$

## Definition 4.6 $\forall t>0$, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{N}\left(x, x^{\prime} ; t\right)=\frac{e^{-\rho^{2} / 4 t}}{(4 \pi t)^{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} t^{i} U^{i}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N \geq n+\left[\frac{1}{2} m\right]$ and $\rho=d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right),\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \in \Delta(\varepsilon)=\left\{\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \in M \times M \mid d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)<\varepsilon\right\}$ for some sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$, and each $U^{(i)}$ is a.

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{(i)}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right): F_{x^{\prime}} \rightarrow F_{x} \hat{\otimes} \Lambda_{y}(B) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $H_{N}\left(x, x^{\prime} ; t\right)$ satisfies the following two conditions:
(1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+I_{x^{\prime}}\right) H_{N}\left(x, x^{\prime} ; t\right)=\frac{e^{-\rho^{2} / 4 t}}{(4 \pi t)^{n}} t^{N} h\left(x, x^{\prime} ; t\right) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2)

$$
U^{(0)}(x, x)=I d: F_{x} \rightarrow F_{x}
$$

where $h$ is a continuous function, then we call $H_{N}$ a local parametrix for $I$.
We now show that this $H_{N}$ does exist.
Lemma 4.10 (Compare Yu [13|)
If $\phi \in C^{\infty}(M), S \in H^{\infty}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(\phi S)= & -\phi_{i i} S-2 \phi_{i} \nabla_{E_{i}} S+\phi I(S) \\
& +\left(a_{i} \phi_{i} \Gamma_{i j}^{k} e_{j} e_{k}+b_{i} \phi_{i} \Gamma_{i j}^{\alpha} e_{j} d y^{\alpha}+c_{i} \phi_{i} \Gamma_{i \alpha}^{\beta} d y^{\alpha} d y^{\beta}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constants $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}$.
Proof. First, as in [13], we easily deduced that

$$
\begin{align*}
& H(\phi S)=e_{i} \phi_{i} S+d y^{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha} S+\phi H S  \tag{4.11}\\
& H\left(e_{i} \phi_{i} S\right)=e_{i}\left(e_{i} \phi_{l}\right) e_{i} S+e_{i} e_{l} \phi_{l}\left(E_{i} S\right)+\frac{1}{4} \Gamma_{i j}^{k} e_{i} e_{j} e_{k} \phi_{l} e_{i} S \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{i j}^{\alpha} e_{i} e_{j} d y^{\alpha} \phi_{l e_{l}} S+\frac{1}{4} \Gamma_{i \alpha}^{\beta} e_{i} d y^{\alpha} d y^{\beta} e_{l} \phi_{l} S \\
& +d y^{\alpha}\left(F_{\alpha} \phi_{l}\right) e_{i} S+d y^{\alpha} \phi_{l} e_{I}\left(F_{\alpha} S\right)+\frac{1}{4} \Gamma_{\alpha i}^{j} d y^{\alpha} e_{i} e_{j} \phi_{l} S  \tag{4.12}\\
& +\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{\alpha i}^{\beta} d y^{\alpha} e_{i} d y^{\beta} e_{l} \phi_{I} S+\frac{1}{4} \Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma} d y^{\alpha} d y^{\beta} d y^{\gamma} e_{l} \phi_{l} S \\
& =-\phi_{i i}-\phi_{i} e_{i} H S+\phi_{x i} d y^{\alpha} e_{i} S-2 \phi_{i} \nabla_{E_{i}} S \\
& +a_{i} \phi_{i} \Gamma_{i j}^{k} e_{j} e_{k}+b_{i} \phi_{i} \Gamma_{i j}^{\alpha} e_{j} d y^{\alpha}+c_{i} \phi_{i} \Gamma_{i \alpha}^{\beta} d y^{\alpha} d y^{\beta}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(\phi_{\alpha} d y^{\alpha} S\right)=\phi_{i \alpha} e_{i} d y^{\alpha} S-\phi_{\alpha} d y^{\alpha}(H S) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from (4.11) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{H}(\phi H S)=e_{i} \phi_{i}(H S)+d y^{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha}(H S)+\phi\left(H^{2} S\right) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now from (4.11) to (4.14), we obtain the Lemma by summation.
Set $\phi=\frac{e^{-\rho^{2} / 4 t}}{(4 \pi t)^{n}}$ as in (4.9). Recall from [13] that in the local normal coordinate system, if $\rho^{2}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{2 n}^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi_{i}=-\phi \frac{x_{i}}{2 t}  \tag{4.15}\\
\phi_{i i}=\phi\left(\frac{\rho^{2}}{4 t}-\frac{n}{t}-\frac{1}{t} \sum_{i} x_{i} B_{i}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

for some functions $B_{i}$.
Set $S=\sum_{i=0}^{N} t^{i} U^{(i)}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$, then from (4.15), (4.16) and (4.10) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t} H_{N}\left(x^{\prime} ; t\right)=\phi \sum_{i=0}^{N}\left(\frac{\rho^{2}}{4 t^{2}}-\frac{n}{t}+\frac{i}{t}\right) t^{i} U^{(i)}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \\
& I\left(H_{N}\left(x^{\prime} ; t\right)\right)=-\phi\left(\frac{\rho^{2}}{4 t^{2}}-\frac{n}{t}-\frac{x_{i} B_{i}}{t}\right) S-2 \phi\left(\frac{-x_{i}}{2 t}\right) \nabla_{E_{i}} S+\phi I S \\
&+\left(a_{i} \phi_{i} \Gamma_{i j}^{k} e_{j} e_{k}+b_{i} \phi_{i} \Gamma_{i j}^{\alpha} e_{j} d y^{\alpha}+c_{i} \phi_{i} \Gamma_{i \alpha}^{\beta} d y^{\alpha} d y^{\beta}\right) S \\
&=-\sum_{i=0}^{N}\left(\frac{\rho^{2}}{4 t^{2}}-\frac{n}{t}-\frac{x_{i} B_{i}}{t}\right) t^{i} U^{(i)}+\sum_{i=0}^{n} t^{i-1} \hat{d} U^{(i)} \\
&+\sum_{i=0}^{N} I\left(U^{(i)}\right) t^{i}-\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{i} x_{i} \Gamma_{i j}^{k} e_{j} e_{k}+b_{i} x_{i} \Gamma_{i j}^{\alpha} e_{j} d y^{\alpha}\right. \\
&\left.+c_{i} x_{i} \Gamma_{i \alpha}^{\beta} d y^{\alpha} d y^{\beta}\right) t^{i-1} U^{(i)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we obtain from (4.9) the following analogue of the Minakshsundaram-Pleijel equations given by Yu [13]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\hat{d}+x_{i}\left(a_{i} \Gamma_{i j}^{k} e_{j} e_{k}+b_{i} \Gamma_{i j}^{\alpha} e_{j} d y^{\alpha}+c_{i} \Gamma_{i \alpha}^{\beta} d y^{\alpha} d y^{\beta}\right)\right) U^{(i)}+\left(x_{i} B_{i}+i\right) U^{(i)} \\
= & -I U^{(i-1)}, \quad i \leq N  \tag{4.17}\\
& U^{(0)}(x, x)=I d: F_{x} \rightarrow F_{x} .
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 4.18 The local parametrix $H_{N}$ exists iff $\forall i \leq N, U^{(i)}$ satisfies the equations in (4.17).

In the next section, we will calculate out the local index throughout these equations.

## §5. The local index theorem

First we make it explicit what to be calculate. Recall from [14] that if $H_{N}$ is the local parametrix, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{t}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)-H_{N}\left(x, x^{\prime} ; t\right)=O\left(t^{1+N-n}\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

while from (4.0) it is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\left[\frac{1}{2} m\right]+\frac{2}{8}} \varphi_{t}=0 \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

so when $N \geq\left[\frac{1}{2} m\right]+n$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi_{t}\left(O\left(t^{1+N-n}\right)\right)=0 \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.1) and (5.3), it follow that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi_{t} P_{t}(x, x)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi_{t} H_{N}(x, x ; t) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The supertrace of the right hand of (5.4) is precisely what we procceed to calculate out.
Now we take a convension similar to that in [13]:
Let $\varphi$ be a $C^{\infty}$ function defined locally in a neighborhood of $x$, denote the degree of zero of $\varphi$ at $x$ by $\nu(\varphi)$, to every

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha\left(x^{\prime}\right)= & \varphi_{l_{1}}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_{1}}} \varphi_{l_{2}}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_{m}}} \varphi_{l_{m+1}}\left(x^{\prime}\right) d y^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots d y^{\alpha_{p}}  \tag{5.5a}\\
& \cdot e_{j_{1}} \cdots e_{j_{s}}: F_{x}^{\prime} \rightarrow F_{x} ; \alpha_{i} \neq \alpha_{j}(i \neq j) ; j_{a} \neq j_{i}(a \neq t)
\end{align*}
$$

We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(\alpha)=m+p+s-\nu\left(\varphi_{1} \cdots \varphi_{m+1}\right) \tag{5.5b}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we denote $\{\chi<m\}$ the linear space generated by all the elements $\alpha$ for which $\chi(\alpha)<m$, etc. and denote $(\chi<m)$ an element of $\{x<m\}$, e.g. $\omega=\eta+(\chi<m)$ means that there exists a $\beta \in\{\alpha<m\}$ such that $\omega=\eta+\beta$, we can also write it as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega \equiv \eta \quad \bmod \{\chi<m\} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Lemma 5.7

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma_{i j}^{k}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l} R_{i l j k} x_{l}+(x<-1) \\
& \Gamma_{i j}^{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l} R_{i l j \alpha} x_{l}+(x<-1) \\
& \Gamma_{i \alpha}^{\beta}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l} R_{i l \alpha \beta} x_{l}+(\chi<-1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Comparing [13], we only need to note that we are working on a fixed $G_{y}$.

## Proposition 5.8

$$
\begin{aligned}
I= & -\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}}+\frac{1}{4} R_{i j \beta i} x_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} e_{g} e_{i}+\frac{1}{2} R_{i j g \alpha} x_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} e_{g} d y^{\alpha}+\frac{1}{4} R_{i j \alpha \beta} x_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} d y^{\alpha} d y^{\beta} \\
& +\frac{1}{64} x_{i} x_{j} R_{i r l k} R_{r j \operatorname{l}} e_{i} e_{k} e_{g} e_{i}+\frac{1}{16} x_{i} x_{j} R_{i r k \alpha} R_{r j g t} e_{k} e_{g} e_{t} d y^{\alpha}+ \\
& +\frac{1}{32} x_{i} x_{j} R_{i r l k} R_{r j \alpha \beta} e_{l} e_{k} d y^{\alpha} d y^{\beta}+\frac{1}{16} x_{i} x_{j} R_{i r k \alpha} R_{r j l \beta} e_{k} d y^{\alpha} e_{l} d y^{\beta}+ \\
& +\frac{1}{16} x_{i} x_{j} R_{i r l \alpha} R_{r j \lambda \mu} e_{l} d y^{\alpha} d y^{\lambda} d y^{\mu}+ \\
& +\frac{1}{64} x_{i} x_{j} R_{i r \alpha \beta} R_{r j \lambda \mu} d y^{\alpha} d y^{\beta} d y^{\lambda} d y^{\mu}+(x<2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. It follows directly from Lemma 5.7 and the generalized Lichnerowicz formula given by Bismut [4], Theorem 3.5.

As in [13], we denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{0}= & -\frac{1}{4} R_{i j \varepsilon t} x_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} e_{\theta} e_{i}-\frac{1}{2} R_{i j s \alpha} x_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} e_{s} d y^{\alpha}-\frac{1}{4} R_{i j \alpha \beta} x_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} d y^{\alpha} d y^{\beta} ; \\
a_{2}= & \sum_{i} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} ; \\
a_{--2}= & -\left(\frac{1}{64} x_{i} x_{j} R_{i+k l} R_{r j \xi t} e_{l} e_{k} e_{s} e_{t}+\frac{1}{16} x_{i} x_{j} R_{i r k \alpha} R_{r j s t} e_{k} e_{s} e_{t} d y^{\alpha}+\right. \\
& +\frac{1}{32} x_{i} x_{j} R_{i r l k} R_{r j \alpha \beta} e_{l} e_{k} d y^{\alpha} d y^{\beta}+\frac{1}{16} x_{i} x_{j} R_{i r k \alpha} R_{r j l \beta} e_{k} d y^{\alpha} e_{l} d y^{\beta}+ \\
& \frac{1}{16} x_{i} x_{j} R_{i r l \alpha} R_{r j \lambda \mu} e_{l} d y^{\alpha} d y^{\lambda} d y^{\mu}+ \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{64} x_{i} x_{j} R_{i r \alpha \beta} R_{r j \lambda \mu} d y^{\alpha} d y^{\beta} d y^{\lambda} d y^{\mu}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

And we set

$$
A_{i}=a_{i} x_{l} R_{i l j k} e_{j} e_{k}+b_{i} x_{l} R_{i l j \alpha} e_{j} d y^{\alpha}+c_{i} x_{l} R_{i l \alpha \beta} d y^{\alpha} d y^{\beta} .
$$

Obviously,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}\left(a_{i} \Gamma_{i j}^{k} e_{j} e_{k}+b_{i} \Gamma_{i j}^{\alpha} e_{j} d y^{\alpha}+c_{i} \Gamma_{i \alpha}^{\beta} d y^{\alpha} d y^{\beta}\right)=x_{i} A_{i}+(\chi<0) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma $5.10 \forall 1 \leq j \leq 2 n$,

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\left(x_{i} A_{i}\right)=0
$$

Proof.

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\left(x_{i} x_{l} R_{i l g t} e_{s} e_{t}\right)=x_{i}\left(R_{j i \theta t}+R_{i g s t}\right) e_{s} e_{t}=0
$$

the other two can be proved in the same way.
Corollary 5.11 For $S \in\left(H o m(F, F) \hat{\otimes} \wedge_{y}(B)\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{0}\left(\left(\sum_{i} x_{i} A_{i}\right) S\right)=\left(\sum_{i} x_{i} A_{i}\right) a_{0} S+\left(\chi<\chi\left(a_{0} S\right)\right) \\
& a_{2}\left(\left(\sum x_{i} A_{i}\right) S\right)=\left(\sum x_{i} A_{i}\right) a_{2} S
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 5.12

$$
a_{-2} A_{i} \equiv A_{,} a_{-2}, \quad \bmod \{\chi<3\}
$$

Proof. Direct calculations.
Now we recall the basic idea of $\mathrm{Yu}[13]$ of comparing the corresponding terms of the Taylor expansion series: let $f$ be a function in a neighborhood of $x, f: U \rightarrow R$ or $F$. We expand $f$ by its Taylor series:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(m) \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{f}(m)$ is the $m$-th degree homogeneous polynomial in $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 n}$. We know that, for $V \in F_{x^{\prime}}, U^{(i)}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right): F_{x^{\prime}} \rightarrow F_{x}, U^{(i)} V$ can be viewed as a spinor field, which under the fixed spin frame, can be viewed as a function with values in $F$ which we still denote by $U^{(i)}$.

Notice that $\hat{d} \hat{U}(m)=m \hat{U}(m)$, and denote $\sum_{i} x_{i} B_{i}=h$ for some $h$, comparing the corresponding terms of Taylor series in (4.17), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad(m+i) \hat{U}^{(i)}(m)+x_{i} A_{i} \hat{U}^{(i)}(m-2) \\
& \quad+\sum_{\substack{m_{1}+m_{2}=m \\
m_{1}>0}} \hat{h}\left(m_{1}\right) \hat{U}^{(i)}\left(m_{2}\right) \\
& =a_{2} \hat{U}^{(i-1)}(m+2)+a_{0} \hat{U}^{(i-1)}(m)+a_{-2} \hat{U}^{(i-1)}(m-2) \\
& \quad+\sum f_{j} \hat{U}^{(i-1)}\left(m_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\chi\left(f_{j}\right)<2$. Rewrite it as

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{U}^{(i)}(m)= & \frac{1}{(m+i)} \sum_{\alpha} \hat{U}^{(i-1)}(m+\alpha)+\sum_{j} g_{j} \hat{U}^{(i-1)}\left(m_{j}\right)+  \tag{5.14}\\
& +\left(\sum_{i} x_{i} A_{i}\right) \sum_{j} s_{m_{j}} \hat{U}^{(i-1)}\left(m_{j}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

with $\chi\left(g_{j}\right)<2, \chi\left(S_{m_{j}}\right) \leq 2$. From (5.14), it can be easily deduced that

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{U}^{(i)}(m)= & \sum_{\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{i}} \frac{a_{\alpha_{1}} \cdots a_{\alpha_{i}}}{\Gamma\left(\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{i} ; m\right)}\left(\hat{U}^{(0)}\left(m+\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{i}\right)\right)+  \tag{5.15}\\
& \sum_{j} f_{j} \hat{U}^{(0)}\left(m_{j}\right)+\left(\sum_{i} x_{i} A_{i}\right) \sum_{j} \tilde{S}_{j} \hat{U}^{(0)}\left(m_{j}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

with $\chi\left(f_{j}\right)<2 i, \chi\left(\tilde{S}_{j}\right)<2 i$. Note that in the deducing, Lemma 5.12 and Corollary 5.11 are freety used.

Proposition 5.16 For $i<n$,

$$
\operatorname{tr}_{s} U^{(i)}(x)=0
$$

Proof. c.f. [13] or compare with the following proof of Lemma 5.20.

## Corollary 5.17

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi_{t}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \operatorname{tr}_{\theta} U^{(i)} \frac{t^{i}}{(4 \pi t)^{n}}\right)=0
$$

So what we really ought to calculate out is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi_{t}\left(\frac{1}{(4 \pi t)^{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{1}{2} m\right]} \operatorname{tr}_{\theta} U^{(n+k)}(x, x) t^{n+k}\right)  \tag{5.18}\\
= & \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{(4 \pi)^{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{1}{2} m\right]} t^{k} \varphi_{t}\left(\operatorname{tr}_{\theta} U^{(n+k)}(x, x)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Let us take a look at the one

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} t^{k} \varphi_{t}\left(\operatorname{tr}_{r} U^{(n+k)}(x, x)\right), \quad 0 \leq k \leq\left[\frac{1}{2} m\right] \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5.20 If $\chi(\alpha)<2 n+2 k$, then

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} t^{k} \varphi_{t}\left(\mathrm{tr}_{\theta} \alpha\right)=0, \quad 0 \leq k \leq\left[\frac{1}{2} m\right]
$$

Proof. We can assume that $\alpha$ can be written as

$$
\alpha=\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right) d y^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots d y^{\alpha_{\mathbf{p}}} e_{j_{1}} \cdots e_{j_{\bullet}}
$$

If $\chi(\alpha)<2 n+2 k$, then either $\varphi(x)=0$ or $p+s<2 n+2 k$. In the former case, $\mathrm{tr}_{s} \alpha=0$ is trivial and in the latter case, if $s<2 n$, then $\operatorname{tr}_{g}\left(\varphi(x) d y^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots d y^{\alpha} \boldsymbol{p}_{j_{1}} \cdots e_{j_{0}}\right)=\varphi(x) d y^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots d y^{\alpha_{p}}$. $\operatorname{tr}_{s}\left(e_{j_{1}} \cdots e_{j_{s}}\right)=0$ and if $s \geq 2 n$, then $p<2 k$ so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} t^{k} \varphi_{t}\left(\operatorname{tr}_{s} \alpha\right) & =\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi(x) \varphi_{t}\left(d y^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots d y^{\alpha_{p}}\right) t^{k} \operatorname{tr}_{\boldsymbol{g}}\left(e_{i_{1}} \cdots e_{i_{s}}\right) \\
& =\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi(x) \operatorname{tr}_{s}\left(e_{i_{1}} \cdots e_{i_{g}}\right) d y^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots d y^{\alpha} t^{k-\frac{1}{2} p}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 5.21 If $\varphi\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(F_{x^{\prime}}, F_{x}\right) \hat{\otimes} \wedge_{y}(B)$, and some $\alpha_{k}=0$, then

$$
\left(a_{\alpha_{1}} \cdots a_{\alpha_{1}} \varphi\right)<2 l+\chi(\varphi)
$$

Proof. cf. [13].
Now we can easily see from (4.17), (5.15), (5.19), (5.20) and the above Lemma 5.21 that $\sum_{i} x_{i} A_{i}, a_{0}, h=\sum_{i} x_{i} B_{i}$ and the term ( $\chi<2$ ) in Propotion 5.18 are really irrelavent for the calculation of the supertrace (5.19). We write this result as follows:

Proposition 5.22 If $V^{(i)}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(F_{x^{\prime}}, F_{x}\right) \hat{\otimes} \wedge_{y}(B)$ satisfies the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} V^{(i)}+i V^{(i)} & =\left(\sum_{i} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}}+a_{-2}\right) V^{(i-1)}  \tag{5.23}\\
V^{(0)}(x, x) & =I d: F_{x} \rightarrow F_{x}
\end{align*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi_{t}\left(\operatorname{tr}_{s} V^{(n+k)}(x, x)\right) t^{k}=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi_{t}\left(\operatorname{tr}_{s} U^{(n+k)}(x, x)\right) t^{k} \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leq k \leq\left[\frac{1}{2} m\right]$.
Now the analogue of (5.15) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{(i)}(m)=\sum_{\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{i}} \frac{a_{\alpha_{1}} \cdots a_{\alpha_{i}}}{\Gamma\left(\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{i} ; 0\right)}\left(V^{(0)}\left(m+\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{i}\right)\right) \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

with each $\alpha_{i} \neq 0$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
x\left(V^{(n+k)}\right) \leq 2 n+2 k \tag{5.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

$V^{(n+k)}$ can be expressed as a sum of the following terms:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right) d y^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots d y^{\alpha}{ }^{e_{i_{1}}} \cdots e_{i_{1}} \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where in the process, we only take the interchanging between $d y^{\alpha^{\prime}}$ and $e_{i}^{\prime} e$, e.g., $e_{i} d y^{\alpha}=$ - $d y^{\alpha} e_{i}$, and has not interchanged the order of $e_{i}^{\prime} s$. It may be happened to $\alpha$ the following cases:
(1) $\varphi(x)=0$, then $\alpha(x)=0$;
(2) $\varphi(x) \neq 0$, but $\exists r \neq q \ni \alpha_{r}=\alpha_{q}$, then $\alpha(x)=0$;
(3) $\varphi(x) \neq 0$, and $\forall r \neq q, \alpha_{r} \neq \alpha_{q}$, then $p+s=2 k+2 n$, if $p<2 k$, then $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi_{t}\left(\varphi(x) d y^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots\right.$ 0 , and if $p>2 k$, then $s<2 n, \operatorname{tr}_{\theta}\left(e_{i_{1}} \cdots e_{i_{d}}\right)=0$. So in this case we must have $p=2 k$ and $s=2 n$ for a possible non-zero contribution to the supertrace, but if we have some $i_{r}=i_{q}, r \neq q$, then $\chi\left(e_{i_{1}} \cdots e_{i_{2 n}}\right)<2 n$ which implies that $\mathrm{tr}_{g}\left(e_{i_{1}} \cdots e_{i_{s}}\right)=0$.

## Summarizing these, we get

Proposition 5.28 The only terms having nontrivial contributions to (5.24) are thouse of $\alpha$ 's such that

$$
\alpha=\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right) d y^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots d y^{\alpha_{p_{1}}} e_{i_{1}} \cdots e_{i_{1}}
$$

where $\varphi(x) \neq 0, \alpha_{r} \neq \alpha_{q}(r \neq q), e_{i} \neq e_{i_{1}}(t \neq l)$ and $p+s=2 n+2 k$. In particular, if in the original expression of $\alpha$, there have some $e_{i}^{\prime} \theta$ resppeared, then $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} t^{k} \varphi_{t}\left(\operatorname{tr}_{8} \alpha\right)=0$.

Proof. All that we need to notice is the following:

$$
e_{i} e_{j} \equiv-e_{j} e_{i} \quad(\bmod \{\chi<2\}) .
$$

From this proposition and Lemma 1.8, we immediately have:
Proposition 5.29 If $W^{(i)}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \in \wedge_{x^{\prime}}(T G) \hat{\otimes} \wedge_{y}(B)$ satisfies the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} W^{(i)}+i W^{(i)} \\
= & \left(\sum_{i} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}}-\frac{1}{64} x_{i} x_{j} R_{i r I J} R_{r j S T} d z^{I} d z^{J} d z^{s} d z^{T}\right) W^{(i-1)}  \tag{5.30}\\
& W^{(0)}(x, x)=1
\end{align*}
$$

where we denote $z=(x, y)$ and use $I, J$ etc., to denote the total subscripts $i, j, \alpha$, etc. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi_{t}\left(\operatorname{tr}_{s}\left(P_{t}(x, x)\right)\right) d x=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}}\right)^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{1}{2} m\right]}\left(W^{(n+k)}(x)\right)_{2 n} \tag{5.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\cdot)_{2 n}$ stands for the term which is a multiple of $d x_{1} \cdots d x_{2 n}$.
Proof. This follows directly from (5.4), (5.18), (5.19), (5.22), (5.28) and (1.8). Notice that $d x \cdot d x=0$.

Denote by $\Omega=-\frac{1}{2} R_{i j I J} d z^{I} d z^{J}$ the matrix of two forms over $M$. Clearly, $\Omega$ is the curvature matrix for the connection $\nabla$ in (2.4) of the vector bundle $T G$ over $M$. As in the usual computations of characteristic classes, we take the identification of $\Omega$ to its Chern root matrix:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & u_{1} & & &  \tag{5.32}\\
-u_{1} & 0 & & & \\
& & \ddots & & \\
& & & 0 & u_{n} \\
& & & -u_{n} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i} x_{j} \Omega_{i r} \Omega_{r j}=-\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(x_{2 l-1}^{2}+x_{2 l}^{2}\right) u_{l}^{2} \tag{5.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (5.30) becomes

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} W^{(i)}+i W^{(i)}=\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}}+\frac{1}{16} \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(x_{2 l-1}^{2}+x_{2 l}^{2}\right) u_{i}^{2}\right) W^{(i-1)}  \tag{5.34}\\
W^{(0)}(x, x)=1
\end{gather*}
$$

As in [13], set

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 n} ; t\right)=\frac{e^{-\rho^{2} / 4 t}}{(4 \pi t)^{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} W^{(i)}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 n}\right) t^{i} \tag{5.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (5.34) and (5.35), we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial H}{\partial t}=\sum_{i} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} H+\frac{1}{16} \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(x_{2 l-1}^{2}+x_{2 l}^{2}\right) u_{l}^{2} H  \tag{5.36}\\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow 0}(4 \pi t)^{n} H(0, \cdots, 0 ; t)=1
\end{align*}
$$

Solving this equation as in [13], we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 n} ; t\right)=\left(\frac{1}{4 \pi}\right)^{n} \prod_{l=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1} u_{l} / 2}{\sinh \sqrt{-1} u_{l} t / 2} e^{\left(x_{2 t-1}^{2}+x_{2 t}^{2}\right) \frac{\sqrt{-1} 1_{2 l}}{8} \operatorname{coth} \frac{\sqrt{-1} i_{i t}}{2}}\right) \tag{5.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

So

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(0, \cdots, 0 ; t)=\frac{1}{(4 \pi)^{n}} \prod_{l=1}^{n} \frac{\sqrt{-1} u_{l} / 2}{\sinh \sqrt{-1} u_{l} t / 2} \tag{5.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining with (5.35), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} W^{(i)}(0) t^{i}=\prod_{l=1}^{n} \frac{\sqrt{-1} u_{i} t / 2}{\sinh \sqrt{-1} u_{i} t / 2} \tag{5.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} W^{(i)}(0)=\prod_{l=1}^{n} \frac{\sqrt{-1} u_{l} / 2}{\sinh \sqrt{-1} u_{l} / 2}=\hat{A}(\sqrt{-1} \Omega) \tag{5.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that when $N>n+\left[\frac{1}{2} m\right], W^{(N)}(0)=0$ and when $N<n, W^{(N)}(0)$ is not a multipul of $d x^{1} \cdots d x^{2 n}$. Hence from (5.31) and (5.40) we finally get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi_{t}\left(\operatorname{tr}_{\xi} P_{t}(x, x)\right) d x=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}}\right)^{n}(\hat{A}(\sqrt{-1} \cap))_{2 n} \tag{5.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is what we call the local Atiyah-Singer index theorem for families of Dirac operators. As a direct corollary, we get

Theorem (Atiyah-Singer [1]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}}\right)^{n} \int_{G_{n}} \hat{A}(\sqrt{-1} \cap) \tag{5.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a representative of $\mathrm{Ch}\left(\operatorname{ker} D_{+, y}-\operatorname{ker} D_{-, y}\right)$.

## APPENDIX

In this appendix, we will briefly outline how our method works for twisted Dirac operators. For simplicity (and without loss of generality), we only carry out the single operator case. Now, by Lichnerowicz formula, we can deduce that (Compare [13])

$$
\begin{align*}
D^{2}= & -\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}}+\frac{1}{4} R_{i j s t} x_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} e_{\theta} e_{t}+\frac{1}{64} x_{i} x_{j} R_{i r s t} R_{r j p q} e_{\theta} e_{t} e_{p} e_{q}  \tag{a.1}\\
& +\frac{1}{2} e_{i} e_{j} \otimes F\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)+(\chi<2)
\end{align*}
$$

where $F$ is the curvature matrix of the connection of the vector bundle $\xi$ over $G$. Now doing similarly as in $\S 5$, we see that if $V^{(i)}$ is the solution of the following equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} V^{(i)}+i V^{(i)}=\left[\sum_{i} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}}-\frac{1}{16} x_{i} x_{j} \Omega_{i r} \Omega_{r}-\frac{1}{2} d x^{\beta} d x^{i} \otimes F\left(e_{\theta}, e_{i}\right)\right] V^{(i-1)}  \tag{a.2}\\
& V^{(-1)} \equiv 0, \quad V^{(0)}(0)=I d:(F \otimes \xi)_{x} \rightarrow(F \otimes \xi)_{x}
\end{align*}
$$

then the local index is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(D)=\frac{1}{2 \pi(\sqrt{-1})^{n}} \operatorname{tr}_{s} V^{(n)}(0) \tag{a.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Aside (5.32), we pick another identification

$$
-\frac{1}{2} d x^{i} d x^{j} \otimes F\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right) \leftrightarrow 1 \otimes\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
v_{1} & &  \tag{a.4}\\
& \ddots & \\
& & v_{N}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $N=\operatorname{dim} \xi$. Set $H=\frac{e^{-\theta^{2} / 4 t}}{(4 \pi t)^{n}} \sum_{i} t^{i} V^{(i)}$ then by solving an equation similar to (5.36), we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
H\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 n} ; t\right)= & \prod_{t=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1} u_{i}}{8 \pi \sinh \left(\sqrt{-1} u_{i} t / 2\right)} e^{\left(x_{2 t-1}^{2}+x_{2 t}^{2}\right) \frac{\sqrt{-T_{2 i t}}}{8} \operatorname{coth} \frac{\sqrt{-1} v_{2} t}{3}}\right) . \\
& \cdot\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
e^{v_{1} t} & \ddots & \\
& & e^{v_{N} t}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau(D) \\
= & \lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}}\right)^{n} \frac{1}{n!} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{n}}\left((4 \pi t)^{n}\left(\prod_{1}^{n} \frac{\sqrt{-1} u_{l}}{8 \pi \sinh \left(\sqrt{-1} u_{l} t / 2\right)}\right)\left(e^{v_{1} t}+\cdots+e^{v_{N} t}\right)\right) \\
= & \left(\frac{1}{2 \pi}\right)^{n}\left(\hat{A}(\Omega) \operatorname{ch}\left(\frac{F}{\sqrt{-1}}\right)\right)_{2 n}
\end{aligned}
$$

this is the local Atiyah-Singer index theorem for twisted Dirac operators.
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Note added in proof: We learned after completing this work that Berline-Vergne, in a preprint dating Sept. 1986, had also given a differential geometric proof of this Bismut local index theorem. (Topology 26 No. 4 (1987))

