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#### Abstract

We generalize several recent results concerning the asymptotic expansions of Bergman kernels to the framework of geometric quantization and establish an asymptotic symplectic identification property. More precisely, we study the asymptotic expansion of the $G$-invariant Bergman kernel of the $\operatorname{spin}^{c}$ Dirac operator associated with high tensor powers of a positive line bundle on a symplectic manifold admitting a Hamiltonian action of a compact connected Lie group $G$. We also develop a way to compute the coefficients of the expansion, and compute the first few of them, especially, we obtain the scalar curvature of the reduction space from the $G$-invariant Bergman kernel on the total space. These results generalize the corresponding results in the non-equivariant setting, which have played a crucial role in the recent work of Donaldson on stability of projective manifolds, to the geometric quantization setting.

As another kind of application, we establish some Toeplitz operator type properties in semi-classical analysis in the framework of geometric quantization.

The method we use is inspired by Local Index Theory, especially by the analytic localization techniques developed by Bismut and Lebeau.


Résumé. - Nous généralisons des résultats récents sur le développement asymptotique du noyau de Bergman au cadre de quantification géométrique, et établissons une propriété d'identification asymptotique symplectique. Plus précisement, nous étudions le développement asymptotique du noyau de Bergman $G$-invariant de l'opérateur de Dirac spin ${ }^{c}$ associé à une puissance tendant vers l'infini d'un fibré en droites positif sur une variété symplectique compacte munie d'une action hamiltonienne d'un groupe de Lie compact connexe. Nous développons aussi une façon pour calculer les coéfficients du développement, et nous calculons les premiers termes, en particulier, nous obtennons la courbure scalaire de la réduction symplectique à partir du noyau de Bergman $G$-invariant sur l'espace total. Ces résultats généralisent les résultats correspondants dans le cas non-équivariant, qui ont joué un rôle crucial dans un travail récent de Donaldson sur la stabilité de variétés projectives, au cadre de quantification géométrique.

Comme une application de notre développement, nous établissons aussi des propriétés de type de l'opérateur de Toeplitz en limite semi-classique dans le cadre de quantification géométrique.

Notre méthode est inspirée par la théorie de l'indice local, en particulier les techniques de localisation analytique développé par Bismut-Lebeau.

Dedicated to our teacher Jean-Michel Bismut
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## CHAPTER 0

## INTRODUCTION

The study of the Bergman kernel is a classical subject in the theory of several complex variables, where usually it concerns the kernel function of the projection operator to an infinite dimensional Hilbert space. The recent interest of the analogue of this concept in complex geometry mainly started with the paper of Tian [43], which was in turn inspired by a question of Yau [46]. Here, the projection concerned is, however, onto a finite dimensional space.

Since [43], the Bergman kernel has been studied extensively in [38], [14], [47], [25], where the diagonal asymptotic expansion properties for high powers of an ample line bundle were established. Moreover, the coefficients in the asymptotic expansion encode geometric information of the underlying complex projective manifolds. This asymptotic expansion plays a crucial role in the recent work of Donaldson [18], where the existence of Kähler metrics with constant scalar curvature is shown to be closely related to the Chow-Mumford stability.

In [17], [28], [30], Dai, Liu, Ma and Marinescu studied the full off-diagonal asymptotic expansion of the (generalized) Bergman kernel of the spin ${ }^{c}$ Dirac operator and the renormalized Bochner-Laplacian associated to a positive line bundle on a compact symplectic manifold. As a by product, they gave a new proof of the results mentioned in the previous paragraph. They found also various applications therein, especially as was pointed out in [30], the full off-diagonal asymptotic expansion implies Toeplitz operator type properties. This approach is inspired by the Local Index Theory, especially by the analytic localization techniques of Bismut-Lebeau [7, §11]. We refer to the above papers as well as the recent book [31] for detail informations of the Bergman kernel on compact symplectic manifolds.

In this paper, we generalize some of the results in $[\mathbf{1 7}],[\mathbf{2 8}]$ and $[\mathbf{3 0}]$ to the framework of geometric quantization, by studying the asymptotic expansion of the $G$ invariant Bergman kernel for high powers of an ample line bundle on symplectic manifolds admitting a Hamiltonian group action of a compact Lie group $G$.

To start with, let $(X, \omega)$ be a compact symplectic manifold of real dimension $2 n$. Assume that there exists a Hermitian line bundle $L$ over $X$ endowed with a Hermitian connection $\nabla^{L}$ with the property that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} R^{L}=\omega \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R^{L}=\left(\nabla^{L}\right)^{2}$ is the curvature of $\nabla^{L}$.
Let $\left(E, h^{E}\right)$ be a Hermitian vector bundle on $X$ equipped with a Hermitian connection $\nabla^{E}$ and let $R^{E}$ denote the associated curvature.

Let $g^{T X}$ be a Riemannian metric on $X$. Let $\mathbf{J}: T X \rightarrow T X$ be the skew-adjoint linear map which satisfies the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(u, v)=g^{T X}(\mathbf{J} u, v) \tag{0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $u, v \in T X$.
Let $J$ be an almost complex structure such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{T X}(J u, J v)=g^{T X}(u, v), \quad \omega(J u, J v)=\omega(u, v) \tag{0.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that $\omega(\cdot, J \cdot)$ defines a metric on $T X$. Then $J$ commutes with $\mathbf{J}$ and $J=$ $\mathbf{J}\left(-\mathbf{J}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}$ (cf. (2.8)).

Let $\nabla^{T X}$ be the Levi-Civita connection on $\left(T X, g^{T X}\right)$ with curvature $R^{T X}$ and scalar curvature $r^{X}$. The connection $\nabla^{T X}$ induces a natural connection $\nabla^{\mathrm{det}}$ on $\operatorname{det}\left(T^{(1,0)} X\right)$ with curvature $R^{\text {det }}$, and the Clifford connection $\nabla^{\text {Cliff }}$ on the Clifford module $\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right)$ with curvature $R^{\text {Cliff }}$ (cf. Section 2.2).

The $\operatorname{spin}^{c}$ Dirac operator $D_{p}$ acts on $\Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)=\bigoplus_{q=0}^{n} \Omega^{0, q}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)$, the direct sum of spaces of $(0, q)$-forms with values in $L^{p} \otimes E$. We denote by $D_{p}^{+}$the restriction of $D_{p}$ on $\Omega^{0, \text { even }}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)$. The index of $D_{p}^{+}$is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ind}\left(D_{p}^{+}\right)=\operatorname{Ker} D_{p}^{+}-\operatorname{Coker} D_{p}^{+} \tag{0.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $G$ be a compact connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} G=n_{0}$. Suppose that $G$ acts on $X$ and its action on $X$ lifts on $L$ and $E$. Moreover, we assume the $G$-action preserves the above connections and metrics on $T X, L, E$ and $J$. Then $\operatorname{Ind}\left(D_{p}^{+}\right)$is a virtual representation of $G$. Denote by $\left(\operatorname{Ker} D_{p}\right)^{G}, \operatorname{Ind}\left(D_{p}^{+}\right)^{G}$ the $G$-trivial components of $\operatorname{Ker} D_{p}, \operatorname{Ind}\left(D_{p}^{+}\right)$respectively.

The action of $G$ on $L$ induces naturally a moment map $\mu: X \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ (cf. (2.16)). We assume that $0 \in \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ is a regular value of $\mu$.

Set $P=\mu^{-1}(0)$. Then the Marsden-Weinstein symplectic reduction ( $X_{G}=$ $P / G, \omega_{X_{G}}$ ) is a symplectic orbifold ( $X_{G}$ is smooth if $G$ acts freely on $P$ ).

Moreover, $\left(L, \nabla^{L}\right),\left(E, \nabla^{E}\right)$ descend to $\left(L_{G}, \nabla^{L_{G}}\right),\left(E_{G}, \nabla^{E_{G}}\right)$ over $X_{G}$ so that the corresponding curvature condition $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} R^{L_{G}}=\omega_{G}$ holds (cf. [21]). The $G$-invariant almost complex structure $J$ also descends to an almost complex structure $J_{G}$ on $T X_{G}$, and $h^{L}, h^{E}, g^{T X}$ descend to $h^{L_{G}}, h^{E_{G}}, g^{T X_{G}}$ respectively.

One can construct the corresponding $\operatorname{spin}^{c}$ Dirac operator $D_{G, p}$ on $X_{G}$.

Assume for simplicity that $G$ acts freely on $P$.
The geometric quantization conjecture of Guillemin-Sternberg [21] can be stated as follows: for any $p>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Ind}\left(D_{p}^{+}\right)^{G}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Ind}\left(D_{G, p}^{+}\right)\right) \tag{0.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds when $E$ is the trivial bundle $\mathbb{C}$ on $X$.
When $G$ is abelian, this conjecture was proved by Meinrenken [34] and Vergne [45]. The remaining nonabelian case was proved by Meinrenken [35] using the symplectic cut techniques of Lerman, and by Tian and Zhang [44] using analytic localization techniques.

More generally, by a result of Tian and Zhang [44, Theorem 0.2], for any general vector bundle $E$ as above, there exists $p_{0}>0$ such that for any $p \geqslant p_{0}$, (0.5) still holds.

On the other hand, by [27, Theorem 2.5] (cf. (2.15)), which is a direct consequence of the Lichnerowicz formula for $D_{p}$, for $p$ large enough, both Coker $D_{p}^{+}$and Coker $D_{G, p}^{+}$ are null (cf. also [10], $[\mathbf{1 3}]$ ). Thus there exists $p_{0}>0$ such that for any $p \geqslant p_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{dim}( & \left.\operatorname{Ker} D_{p}\right)^{G}=\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Ker} D_{G, p}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Ind}\left(D_{G, p}^{+}\right)\right) \\
& =\int_{X_{G}} \operatorname{Td}\left(T X_{G}\right) \operatorname{ch}\left(L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{rk}(E) \int_{X_{G}} \frac{\left(p c_{1}\left(L_{G}\right)\right)^{n-n_{0}}}{\left(n-n_{0}\right)!}  \tag{0.6}\\
& +\int_{X_{G}}\left(c_{1}\left(E_{G}\right)+\frac{\operatorname{rk}(E)}{2} c_{1}\left(T X_{G}\right)\right) \frac{\left(p c_{1}\left(L_{G}\right)\right)^{n-n_{0}-1}}{\left(n-n_{0}-1\right)!}+\mathscr{O}\left(p^{n-n_{0}-2}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\operatorname{ch}(\cdot), c_{1}(\cdot), \operatorname{Td}(\cdot)$ are the Chern character, the first Chern class and the Todd class of the corresponding complex vector bundles ( $T X_{G}$ is a complex vector bundle with complex structure $J_{G}$ ).

Set $E_{p}:=\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes L^{p} \otimes E$. Let $\langle\quad\rangle$ be the $L^{2}$-scalar product on $\Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes\right.$ $E)=\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(X, E_{p}\right)$ induced by $g^{T X}, h^{L}, h^{E}$ as in (1.19).

Let $P_{p}^{G}$ be the orthogonal projection from $\left(\Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right),\langle \rangle\right)$ on $\left(\operatorname{Ker} D_{p}\right)^{G}$. The $G$-invariant Bergman kernel is $P_{p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\left(x, x^{\prime} \in X\right)$, the smooth kernel of $P_{p}^{G}$ with respect to the Riemannian volume form $d v_{X}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$.

Let $\mathrm{pr}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{pr}_{2}$ be the projections from $X \times X$ onto the first and the second factor $X$ respectively. Then $P_{p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ is a smooth section of $\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*}\left(E_{p}\right) \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*}\left(E_{p}^{*}\right)$ on $X \times X$. In particular, $P_{p}^{G}(x, x) \in \operatorname{End}\left(E_{p}\right)_{x}=\operatorname{End}\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes E\right)_{x}$.

The $G$-invariant Bergman kernel $P_{p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ is an analytic version of $\left(\operatorname{Ker} D_{p}\right)^{G}$. In view of (0.6), it is natural to expect that the kernel $P_{p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ should be closely related to the corresponding Bergman kernel on the symplectic reduction $X_{G}$. The purpose of this paper is to study the asymptotic expansion of the $G$-invariant Bergman kernel
$P_{p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$, and we will relate it to the asymptotic expansion of the Bergman kernel on the symplectic reduction $X_{G}$.

Let $d^{X}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ be the Riemannian distance between $x, x^{\prime} \in X$.
In Section 2.4, we prove the following result which allows us to reduce our problem as a problem near $P=\mu^{-1}(0)$, it works without the assumption on the freeness of the action of $G$ on $P$.

Theorem 0.1. - For any open $G$-neighborhood $U$ of $P$ in $X, \varepsilon_{0}>0, l, m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_{l, m}>0$ (depending on $\left.U, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$ such that for $p \geqslant 1, x, x^{\prime} \in X, d^{X}\left(G x, x^{\prime}\right) \geqslant \varepsilon_{0}$ or $x, x^{\prime} \in X \backslash U$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P_{p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\mathscr{C} m} \leqslant C_{l, m} p^{-l} \tag{0.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathscr{C}^{m}$ is the $\mathscr{C}^{m}$-norm induced by $\nabla^{L}, \nabla^{E}, \nabla^{T X}, h^{L}, h^{E}$ and $g^{T X}$.
Let $U$ be an open $G$-neighborhood of $\mu^{-1}(0)$ such that $G$ acts freely on $U$.
For any $G$-equivariant vector bundle $\left(F, \nabla^{F}\right)$ on $U$, we denote by $F_{B}$ the bundle on $U / G=B$ induced naturally by $G$-invariant sections of $F$ on $U$. The connection $\nabla^{F}$ induces canonically a connection $\nabla^{F_{B}}$ on $F_{B}$. Let $R^{F_{B}}$ be its curvature. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{F}(K)=\nabla_{K^{x}}^{F}-L_{K} \in \operatorname{End}(F) \tag{0.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $K \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $K^{X}$ the corresponding vector field on $U$.
Note that $P_{p}^{G} \in\left(\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(U \times U, \operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} E_{p} \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} E_{p}^{*}\right)\right)^{G \times G}$, thus we can view $P_{p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ $\left(x, x^{\prime} \in U\right)$ as a smooth section of $\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*}\left(E_{p}\right)_{B} \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*}\left(E_{p}^{*}\right)_{B}$ on $B \times B$.

Let $g^{T B}$ be the Riemannian metric on $U / G=B$ induced by $g^{T X}$. Let $\nabla^{T B}$ be the Levi-Civita connection on $\left(T B, g^{T B}\right)$ with curvature $R^{T B}$. Let $N_{G}$ be the normal bundle to $X_{G}$ in $B$. We identify $N_{G}$ with the orthogonal complement of $T X_{G}$ in $\left(\left.T B\right|_{X_{G}}, g^{T B}\right)$.

Let $g^{T X_{G}}, g^{N_{G}}$ be the metrics on $T X_{G}, N_{G}$ induced by $g^{T B}$ respectively.
Let $P^{T X_{G}}, P^{N_{G}}$ be the orthogonal projections from $\left.T B\right|_{X_{G}}$ on $T X_{G}, N_{G}$ respectively. Set

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla^{N_{G}}=P^{N_{G}}\left(\left.\nabla^{T B}\right|_{X_{G}}\right) P^{N_{G}}, \quad \nabla^{T X_{G}}=P^{T X_{G}}\left(\left.\nabla^{T B}\right|_{X_{G}}\right) P^{T X_{G}} \\
& { }^{0} \nabla^{T B}=\nabla^{T X_{G}} \oplus \nabla^{N_{G}}, \quad A=\left.\nabla^{T B}\right|_{X_{G}}-{ }^{0} \nabla^{T B} \tag{0.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Then $\nabla^{N_{G}},{ }^{0} \nabla^{T B}$ are Euclidean connections on $N_{G},\left.T B\right|_{X_{G}}$ respectively, $\nabla^{T X_{G}}$ is the Levi-Civita connection on $\left(T X_{G}, g^{T X_{G}}\right)$, and $A$ is the associated second fundamental form.

Denote by $\operatorname{vol}(G x)(x \in U)$ the volume of the orbit $G x$ equipped with the metric induced by $g^{T X}$. Following [44, (3.10)], let $h(x)$ be the function on $U$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x)=(\operatorname{vol}(G x))^{1 / 2} \tag{0.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $h$ reduces to a function on $B$.

Denote by $I_{\mathbb{C} \otimes E}$ the projection from $\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes E$ onto $\mathbb{C} \otimes E$ under the decomposition $\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes E=\mathbb{C} \otimes E \oplus \Lambda^{>0}\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes E$, and $I_{\mathbb{C} \otimes E_{B}}$ the corresponding projection on $B$.

In the whole paper, for any $x_{0} \in X_{G}, Z \in T_{x_{0}} B$, we write $Z=Z^{0}+Z^{\perp}$, with $Z^{0} \in T_{x_{0}} X_{G}, Z^{\perp} \in N_{G, x_{0}}$.

Let $\tau_{Z^{0}} Z^{\perp} \in N_{G, \exp _{x_{0}}^{X_{G}}\left(Z^{0}\right)}$ be the parallel transport of $Z^{\perp}$ with respect to the connection $\nabla^{N_{G}}$ along the geodesic in $X_{G},[0,1] \ni t \rightarrow \exp _{x_{0}}^{X_{G}}\left(t Z^{0}\right)$.

For $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ small enough, we identify $Z \in T_{x_{0}} B,|Z|<\varepsilon_{0}$ with $\exp _{\exp _{x_{0}}^{B}}{ }^{X_{G}\left(Z^{0}\right)}{ }^{( }\left(\tau_{Z^{0}} Z^{\perp}\right) \in$ $B$. Then for $x_{0} \in X_{G}, Z, Z^{\prime} \in T_{x_{0}} B,|Z|,\left|Z^{\prime}\right|<\varepsilon_{0}$, the map $\Psi:\left.T B\right|_{X_{G}} \times\left. T B\right|_{X_{G}} \rightarrow$ $B \times B$,

$$
\Psi\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)=\left(\exp _{\exp _{x_{0}}^{B}\left(Z^{0}\right)}^{x_{G}}\left(\tau_{Z^{0}} Z^{\perp}\right), \exp _{\exp _{x_{0}}^{B}\left(Z^{\prime 0}\right)}^{x_{X^{\prime}}}\left(\tau_{Z^{\prime 0}} Z^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

is well defined.
We identify $\left(E_{p}\right)_{B, Z}$ to $\left(E_{p}\right)_{B, x_{0}}$ by using parallel transport with respect to $\nabla^{\left(E_{p}\right)_{B}}$ along $[0,1] \ni u \rightarrow u Z$.

Let $\pi_{B}:\left.T B\right|_{X_{G}} \times\left. T B\right|_{X_{G}} \rightarrow X_{G}$ be the natural projection from the fiberwise product of $\left.T B\right|_{X_{G}}$ on $X_{G}$ onto $X_{G}$.

From Theorem 0.1, we only need to understand $P_{p}^{G} \circ \Psi$, and under our identification, $P_{p}^{G} \circ \Psi\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)$ is a smooth section of

$$
\pi_{B}^{*}\left(\operatorname{End}\left(E_{p}\right)_{B}\right)=\pi_{B}^{*}\left(\operatorname{End}\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes E\right)_{B}\right)
$$

on $\left.T B\right|_{X_{G}} \times\left. T B\right|_{X_{G}}$.
Let $\left|\left.\right|_{\mathscr{C}^{\prime}\left(X_{G}\right)}\right.$ be the $\mathscr{C}^{m^{\prime}}$-norm on $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(X_{G}, \operatorname{End}\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes E\right)_{B}\right)$ induced by $\nabla^{\mathrm{Cliff}_{B}}, \nabla^{E_{B}}, h^{E}$ and $g^{T X}$. The norm $\left|\left.\right|_{\mathscr{C}^{m^{\prime}}\left(X_{G}\right)}\right.$ induces naturally a $\mathscr{C}^{m^{\prime}}$-norm along $X_{G}$ on $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(\left.T B\right|_{X_{G}} \times\left. T B\right|_{X_{G}}, \pi_{B}^{*}\left(\operatorname{End}\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes E\right)_{B}\right)\right)$, we still denote it by $\left|\left.\right|_{\mathscr{C}^{m^{\prime}}\left(X_{G}\right)}\right.$.

Let $d v_{B}, d v_{X_{G}}, d v_{N_{G}}$ be the Riemannian volume forms on $\left(B, g^{T B}\right),\left(X_{G}, g^{T X_{G}}\right)$, $\left(N_{G}, g^{N_{G}}\right)$ respectively. Let $\kappa \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(\left.T B\right|_{X_{G}}, \mathbb{R}\right)$, with $\kappa=1$ on $X_{G}$, be defined by that for $Z \in T_{x_{0}} B, x_{0} \in X_{G}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d v_{B}\left(x_{0}, Z\right)=\kappa\left(x_{0}, Z\right) d v_{T_{x_{0}} B}(Z)=\kappa\left(x_{0}, Z\right) d v_{X_{G}}\left(x_{0}\right) d v_{N_{G, x_{0}}} \tag{0.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following result is one of the main results of this paper.
Theorem 0.2. - Assume that $G$ acts freely on $\mu^{-1}(0)$ and $\mathbf{J}=J$ on $\mu^{-1}(0)$. Then there exist $\mathcal{Q}_{r}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right) \in \operatorname{End}\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes E\right)_{B, x_{0}}\left(x_{0} \in X_{G}, r \in \mathbb{N}\right)$, polynomials in $Z, Z^{\prime}$ with the same parity as $r$, whose coefficients are polynomials in $A, R^{T B}$, $R^{\mathrm{Cliff}_{B}}, R^{E_{B}}, \mu^{E}, \mu^{\mathrm{Cliff}}$ (resp. $r^{X}, R^{\text {det }}, R^{E}$; resp. $h, R^{L}, R^{L_{B}}$; resp. $\mu$ ) and their derivatives at $x_{0}$ to order $r-1$ (resp. $r-2$; resp. $r$; resp. $r+1$ ), such that if we denote by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{x_{0}}^{(r)}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{Q}_{r}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right) P\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right), \quad \mathcal{Q}_{0}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)=I_{\mathbb{C} \otimes E_{B}} \tag{0.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
P\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)= & \exp \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}\left|Z^{0}-Z^{\prime 0}\right|^{2}-\pi \sqrt{-1}\left\langle J_{x_{0}} Z^{0}, Z^{\prime 0}\right\rangle\right)  \tag{0.13}\\
& \times 2^{\frac{n_{0}}{2}} \exp \left(-\pi\left(\left|Z^{\perp}\right|^{2}+\left|Z^{\perp}\right|^{2}\right)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

then there exists $C^{\prime \prime}>0$ such that for any $k, m, m^{\prime}, m^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C>0$ such that for $x_{0} \in X_{G}, Z, Z^{\prime} \in T_{x_{0}} B,|Z|,\left|Z^{\prime}\right| \leqslant \varepsilon_{0},{ }^{(1)}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (0.14) }\left(1+\sqrt{p}\left|Z^{\perp}\right|+\sqrt{p}\left|Z^{\perp}\right|\right)^{m^{\prime \prime}} \sup _{|\alpha|+\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right| \leqslant m} \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right|}}{\partial Z^{\alpha} \partial Z^{\prime \alpha^{\prime}}}\right.  \tag{0.14}\\
& \left.\left(p^{-n+\frac{n_{0}}{2}}\left(h \kappa^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)(Z)\left(h \kappa^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\left(Z^{\prime}\right) P_{p}^{G} \circ \Psi\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)-\sum_{r=0}^{k} P_{x_{0}}^{(r)}\left(\sqrt{p} Z, \sqrt{p} Z^{\prime}\right) p^{-\frac{r}{2}}\right)\right|_{\mathscr{C} m^{\prime}\left(X_{G}\right)} \\
& \leqslant C p^{-(k+1-m) / 2}\left(1+\sqrt{p}\left|Z^{0}\right|+\sqrt{p}\left|Z^{\prime 0}\right|\right)^{2\left(n+k+m^{\prime}+2\right)+m} \exp \left(-\sqrt{C^{\prime \prime}} \sqrt{p}\left|Z-Z^{\prime}\right|\right)+\mathscr{O}\left(p^{-\infty}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, the expansion is uniform in the following sense: for any fixed $k, m, m^{\prime}, m^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbb{N}$, assume that the derivatives of $g^{T X}, h^{L}, \nabla^{L}, h^{E}, \nabla^{E}$, and $J$ with order $\leqslant 2 n+k+m+m^{\prime}+5$ run over a set bounded in the $\mathscr{C}^{m^{\prime}}{ }_{-}$norm taken with respect to the parameters and, moreover, $g^{T X}$ runs over a set bounded below, then the constant $C$ is independent of $g^{T X}$; and the $\mathscr{C}^{m^{\prime}}$-norm in (0.14) includes also the derivatives on the parameters.

In (0.14), the term $\mathscr{O}\left(p^{-\infty}\right)$ means that for any $l, l_{1} \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_{l, l_{1}}>0$ such that its $\mathscr{C}^{l_{1}}$-norm is dominated by $C_{l, l_{1}} p^{-l}$.

It is interesting to see that the kernel $P\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)$ is the product of two kernels : along $T_{x_{0}} X_{G}$, it is the classical Bergman kernel on $T_{x_{0}} X_{G}$ with complex structure $J_{x_{0}}$, while along $N_{G}$, it is the kernel of a harmonic oscillator on $N_{G, x_{0}}$.
Remark 0.3. - i) Theorem 0.2 is a special case of Theorem 2.23 where we do not assume $\mathbf{J}=J$ on $P=\mu^{-1}(0)$. In Theorem 3.2, we get explicit informations on $P^{(r)}$ when $\mathbf{J}$ verifies (3.2).
ii) If $G$ does not act freely on $P$, then $X_{G}$ is an orbifold. In Section 4.1, we explain how to modify our arguments to get the asymptotic expansion, Theorem 4.1. Analogous to the usual orbifold case $[\mathbf{1 7},(5.27)], P_{p}^{G}(x, x)(x \in P)$ does not have a uniform asymptotic expansion if the singular set of $X_{G}$ is not empty.
iii) Let $\mathcal{V}$ be an irreducible representation of $G$, let $P_{p}^{\mathcal{V}}$ be the orthogonal projection from $\Omega^{0 \bullet} \cdot\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)$ on $\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\mathcal{V}, \operatorname{Ker} D_{p}\right) \otimes \mathcal{V} \subset \operatorname{Ker} D_{p}$. In Section 4.2, we get the asymptotic expansion of the kernel $P_{p}^{\mathcal{V}}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ from Theorems $0.1,0.2$.
iv) When $G=\{1\}$, Theorem 0.2 is [17, Theorem 4.18'].

[^0]v) If we take $Z=Z^{\prime}=0$ in (0.14), then we get for $x_{0} \in X_{G}$,
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{x_{0}}^{(0)}(0,0)=2^{\frac{n_{0}}{2}} I_{\mathbb{C} \otimes E_{B}} \tag{0.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p^{-n+\frac{n_{0}}{2}} h^{2}\left(x_{0}\right) P_{p}^{G}\left(x_{0}, x_{0}\right)-\sum_{r=0}^{k} P_{x_{0}}^{(2 r)}(0,0) p^{-r}\right|_{\mathscr{C}^{m^{\prime}}\left(X_{G}\right)} \leqslant C p^{-k-1} \tag{0.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Section 4.3, we show that (0.15) and (0.16) are direct consequences of the full offdiagonal asymptotic expansion of the Bergman kernel [17, Theorem 4.18']. In fact, one possible way to get Theorem 0.2 is to average the full off-diagonal asymptotic expansion of the Bergman kernel on $X$ [ $\mathbf{1 7}$, Theorem 4.18'] with respect to a Haar measure on $G$. However, we do not know how to get the full off-diagonal expansion, especially the fast decay along $N_{G}$ in (0.14) in this way.

In this paper we will apply the analytic localization techniques to prove Theorem 0.2 , and this method also gives us an effective way to compute the coefficients in the asymptotic expansion (cf. §3.2). The key observation is that the $G$-invariant Bergman kernel is exactly the kernel of the orthogonal projection to the zero space of a deformation of $D_{p}^{2}$ by the Casimir operator (i.e., to consider $D_{p}^{2}-p$ Cas). This plays an essential role in proving Theorems $0.1,0.2$.

Let $\mathscr{I}_{p}$ be a section of $\operatorname{End}\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes E\right)_{B}$ on $X_{G}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{I}_{p}\left(x_{0}\right)=\int_{Z \in N_{G},|Z| \leqslant \varepsilon_{0}} h^{2}\left(x_{0}, Z\right) P_{p}^{G} \circ \Psi\left(\left(x_{0}, Z\right),\left(x_{0}, Z\right)\right) \kappa\left(x_{0}, Z\right) d v_{N_{G}}(Z) \tag{0.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 0.1, modulo $\mathscr{O}\left(p^{-\infty}\right), \mathscr{I}_{p}\left(x_{0}\right)$ does not depend on $\varepsilon_{0}$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Ker} D_{p}\right)^{G} & =\int_{X} \operatorname{Tr}\left[P_{p}^{G}(y, y)\right] d v_{X}(y) \\
& =\int_{U} \operatorname{Tr}\left[P_{p}^{G}(y, y)\right] d v_{X}(y)+\mathscr{O}\left(p^{-\infty}\right)  \tag{0.18}\\
& =\int_{B} h^{2}(y) \operatorname{Tr}\left[P_{p}^{G}(y, y)\right] d v_{B}(y)+\mathscr{O}\left(p^{-\infty}\right) \\
& =\int_{X_{G}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathscr{I}_{p}\left(x_{0}\right)\right] d v_{X_{G}}\left(x_{0}\right)+\mathscr{O}\left(p^{-\infty}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

A direct consequence of Theorem 0.2 is the following corollary.
Corollary 0.4. - Taking $Z=Z^{\prime} \in N_{G, x_{0}}, m=0$ in (0.14), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\lvert\, p^{-n+\frac{n_{0}}{2}}\left(h^{2} \kappa\right)(Z) P_{p}^{G}(Z, Z)-\sum_{r=0}^{k}\right. & \left.P_{x_{0}}^{(r)}(\sqrt{p} Z, \sqrt{p} Z) p^{-r / 2}\right|_{\mathscr{C} m^{\prime}\left(X_{G}\right)}  \tag{0.19}\\
& \leqslant C p^{-(k+1) / 2}(1+\sqrt{p}|Z|)^{-m^{\prime \prime}}+\mathscr{O}\left(p^{-\infty}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, there exist $\Phi_{r} \in \operatorname{End}\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes E\right)_{B, x_{0}}(r \in \mathbb{N})$ which are polynomials in $A, R^{T B}, R^{\text {Cliff }_{B}}, R^{E_{B}}, \mu^{E}, \mu^{\text {Cliff }},\left(\right.$ resp. $r^{X}, R^{\text {det }}, R^{E}$; resp. $h, R^{L_{B}}, R^{L}$;
resp. $\mu$ ), and their derivatives at $x_{0}$ up to order $2 r-1$ (resp. $2 r-2$; resp. $2 r$; resp. $2 r+1)$, and $\Phi_{0}=I_{\mathbb{C} \otimes E_{B}}$, such that for any $k, m^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_{k, m^{\prime}}>0$ such that for any $x_{0} \in X_{G}, p \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p^{-n+n_{0}} \mathscr{I}_{p}\left(x_{0}\right)-\sum_{r=0}^{k} \Phi_{r}\left(x_{0}\right) p^{-r}\right|_{\mathscr{C} m^{\prime}} \leqslant C_{k, m^{\prime}} p^{-k-1} \tag{0.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the rest of Introduction, we will specify our results in the Kähler case.
We suppose now that $(X, \omega, J)$ is a compact Kähler manifold and $\mathbf{J}=J$ on $X$. Assume also that $\left(L, h^{L}, \nabla^{L}\right),\left(E, h^{E}, \nabla^{E}\right)$ are holomorphic Hermitian vector bundles with holomorphic Hermitian connections, and the action of $G$ on $X, L, E$ is holomorphic.

Let $H^{j}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)(0 \leqslant j \leqslant n)$ be the Dolbeault cohomology of the Dolbeault complex $\left(\Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right), \bar{\partial}^{L^{p} \otimes E}\right)$ of $X$ with values in $L^{p} \otimes E$. Espeically, $H^{0}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes\right.$ $E)$ is the space of the holomorphic sections of $L^{p} \otimes E$ on $X$.

Let $\bar{\partial}^{L^{p} \otimes E, *}$ be the formal adjoint of the Dolbeault operator $\bar{\partial}^{L^{p} \otimes E}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{p}=\sqrt{2}\left(\bar{\partial}^{L^{p} \otimes E}+\bar{\partial}^{L^{p} \otimes E, *}\right), \tag{0.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{p}^{2}=2\left(\bar{\partial}^{L^{p} \otimes E} \bar{\partial}^{L^{p} \otimes E, *}+\bar{\partial}^{L^{p} \otimes E, *} \bar{\partial}^{L^{p} \otimes E}\right) \tag{0.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

preserves the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading of $\Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)$.
By the Kodaira vanishing theorem, for $p$ large enough,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\operatorname{Ker} D_{p}\right)^{G}=H^{0}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)^{G} \tag{0.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus for $p$ large enough, $P_{p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \in\left(L^{p} \otimes E\right)_{x} \otimes\left(L^{p} \otimes E\right)_{x^{\prime}}^{*}$ and so $P_{p}^{G}(x, x) \in$ $\operatorname{End}\left(E_{x}\right), \mathscr{I}_{p}\left(x_{0}\right) \in \operatorname{End}\left(E_{x_{0}}\right)$. In particular, in (0.15),

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{x_{0}}^{(0)}(0,0)=2^{\frac{n_{0}}{2}} \operatorname{Id}_{E_{G}} \tag{0.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 0.5. - In the special case of $E=\mathbb{C}, P_{p}^{G}\left(x_{0}, x_{0}\right)$ is a non-negative function on $X_{G}$, and (0.16) has been proved in [36, Theorem 1] (without obtaining the informations on $\left.P_{x_{0}}^{(2 r)}(0,0)\right)$, while in $\left[\mathbf{3 7}\right.$, Theorem 1], it was claimed that $P_{x_{0}}^{(0)}(0,0)=1$. In [36, Prop. 1], Paoletti showed that for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $C>0$ such that for any $p,\left|P_{p}^{G}(x, x)\right| \leqslant C p^{-l}$ uniformly on any compact subset of $X \backslash\left(\mu^{-1}(0) \cup R\right)$, with $R$ the subset of unstable points of the action of $G$. In $[\mathbf{3 7}]$, some Toeplitz operator type properties on $X_{G}$ were also claimed to follow from the analysis of Toeplitz structures of Boutet de Monvel-Guillemin [11], Boutet de Monvel-Sjöstrand [12] and Shiffman-Zelditch [40]. If we suppose moreover that $G$ is a torus, Charles [15] has also a different version on the Toeplitz operator type properties on $X_{G}$.

In Section 4.5, we will show that Theorem 0.2 implies properties of Toeplitz operators on $X_{G}$ (which also hold in the symplectic case). In particular, we recover the results on Toeplitz operators from [15], [37].

Let $\widetilde{h}$ denote the restriction to $X_{G}$ of the function $h$ defined in (0.10).
The second main result of this paper is that we can in fact obtain the scalar curvature $r^{X_{G}}$ on the symplectic reduction $X_{G}$ from $\mathscr{I}_{p}$.

We will use the following notation: when a subscript index appears two times in a formula, we sum up with this index.

Theorem 0.6. - If $(X, \omega)$ is a compact Kähler manifold and L, E are holomorphic vector bundles with holomorphic Hermitian connections $\nabla^{L}, \nabla^{E}, \mathbf{J}=J$, and $G$ acts freely on $\mu^{-1}(0)$, then for $p$ large enough, $\mathscr{I}_{p}\left(x_{0}\right) \in \operatorname{End}\left(E_{G}\right)_{x_{0}}$, and in (0.20), $\Phi_{r}\left(x_{0}\right) \in \operatorname{End}\left(E_{G}\right)_{x_{0}}$ are polynomials in $A, R^{T B}, R^{E_{B}}, \mu^{E}, R^{E}$ (resp. $h, R^{L_{B}}$; resp. $\mu)$ and their derivatives at $x_{0}$ to order $2 r-1$ (resp. $2 r$; resp. $2 r+1$ ), and $\Phi_{0}=\operatorname{Id}_{E_{G}}$. Moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{8 \pi} r_{x_{0}}^{X_{G}}+\frac{3}{4 \pi} \Delta_{X_{G}} \log \widetilde{h}+\frac{1}{2 \pi} R_{x_{0}}^{E_{G}}\left(w_{j}^{0}, \bar{w}_{j}^{0}\right) . \tag{0.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $r^{X_{G}}$ is the Riemannian scalar curvature of $\left(T X_{G}, g^{T X_{G}}\right), \Delta_{X_{G}}$ is the BochnerLaplacian on $X_{G}$ (cf. (1.21)), and $\left\{w_{j}^{0}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $T^{(1,0)} X_{G}$.

Since the non-equivariant version of this result has already played a crucial role in the work of Donaldson mentioned before, we have reason to believe that Theorem 0.6 might also play a role in the study of stability properties of projective manifolds. Indeed, as Donaldson usually interprets his results in the framework of geometric quantization, this seems likely to be so.

We recover ( 0.6 ) from ( 0.25 ) after taking the trace, and then the integration on $X_{G}$. Thus $(0.25)$ is a local version of $(0.6)$ in the spirit of the Local Index Theory. The appearance of the term $\frac{3}{4 \pi} \Delta_{X_{G}} \log \widetilde{h}$ is unexpected.

Let $T$ be the torsion of the connection ${ }^{0} \nabla^{T X}$ in (1.2) on $U$. The curvature $\Theta$ of the principal bundle $U \rightarrow B$ relates to the torsion $T$ by (1.6).

Following (3.6) and (5.21), we choose $\left\{e_{j}^{\perp}\right\}$ to be an orthonormal basis of $N_{G, x_{0}}$ and $\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right\} \in T_{x_{0}}^{(1,0)} X_{G}$ to be the holomorphic basis of the normal coordinate on $X_{G}$, and define $\mathcal{T}_{k l m}, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{j k l}$ as in (5.14). In particular, by Remark 5.3, $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{j k l}=0$ if $G$ is abelian.

The $G$-invariant section $\widetilde{\mu}^{E}$ of $T Y \otimes \operatorname{End}(E)$ on $U$ is defined by (1.13) and (1.14).
If there is no other specific notification in the next formula ( 0.26 ), when we meet the operation $\left|\left.\right|^{2}\right.$, we will first do this operation, then take the sum of the indices.

Theorem 0.7. - Under the assumption of Theorem 0.6, for $p>0$ large enough, $P_{p}^{G}(x, x) \in \operatorname{End}\left(E_{x}\right)$ and $P_{x_{0}}^{(r)}(0,0) \in \operatorname{End}\left(E_{x_{0}}\right)$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{x_{0}}^{(2)}(0,0)=2^{\frac{n_{0}}{2}}\left\{\frac{1}{8 \pi} r_{x_{0}}^{X_{G}}+\frac{1}{\pi} R^{E_{G}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)+\frac{1}{\pi} \Delta_{X_{G}} \log \widetilde{h}\right.  \tag{0.26}\\
& \left.-\frac{3}{8 \pi} \nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp}} \nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp}} \log h-\frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{-1} \nabla_{J T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}},\right.}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{l}^{0}}\right) \\
& -\frac{5}{4 \pi}\left|\nabla_{e_{j}^{\perp}} \log h\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left|T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}+\left.\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left|T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2} \log h\right|^{2} \\
& -\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left|\sum_{j} T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{24 \pi} \mathcal{T}_{k l m}^{2}+\frac{1}{2^{6} \pi} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{i j k}\left(-\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{k j i}+3 \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{i j k}\right) \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}_{x_{0}}^{E}, \widetilde{\mu}_{x_{0}}^{E}\right\rangle_{g^{T Y}}+\frac{1}{\pi}\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}^{E}, T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{l}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{l}^{0}}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{3 \sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi}\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}^{E}, J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle \nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp}} \log h+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4 \pi}\left\langle J e_{k}^{\perp}, \nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp}}^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}^{E}\right\rangle\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 0.8. - Certainly, if we only assume that $\mathbf{J}=J$ on a neighborhood $U$ of $P=\mu^{-1}(0)$, then we still have $\Phi_{r}\left(x_{0}\right) \in \operatorname{End}\left(E_{G}\right)_{x_{0}}$, as we work on the kernel of the Dirac operator $D_{p}$. Set $\mathscr{I}_{p, 0}=I_{\mathbb{C} \otimes E_{G}} \mathscr{I}_{p} I_{\mathbb{C} \otimes E_{G}}$, the component of $\mathscr{I}_{p}$ on $\mathbb{C} \otimes E_{G}$. As the computation is local, we still have Theorem 0.6 with $\mathscr{I}_{p}$ replaced by $\mathscr{I}_{p, 0}$ and $\mathscr{I}_{p}-\mathscr{I}_{p, 0}=\mathscr{O}\left(p^{-\infty}\right)($ cf. (5.19)). If we only work on the $\bar{\partial}$-operator, i.e. the holomorphic sections, in Section 5.5, we explain how to reduce the case of general $\mathbf{J}$ to the case $\mathbf{J}=J$. Same remark holds for $P_{p}^{G}\left(x_{0}, x_{0}\right)$.

Let $i: P \hookrightarrow X$ be the natural injection.
Let $\pi_{G}: \mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(P, L^{p} \otimes E\right)^{G} \rightarrow \mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(X_{G}, L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G}\right)$ be the natural identification.
By a result of Zhang [48, Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 1.2], one sees that for $p$ large enough, the map

$$
\pi_{G} \circ i^{*}: \mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)^{G} \rightarrow \mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(X_{G}, L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G}\right)
$$

induces a natural isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{p}=\pi_{G} \circ i^{*}: H^{0}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)^{G} \rightarrow H^{0}\left(X_{G}, L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G}\right) \tag{0.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

(When $E=\mathbb{C}$, this result was first proved in [21, Theorem 3.8].)
The following result is a symplectic version of the above isomorphism which is proved in Corollary 4.13, as a simple application of the Toeplitz operator type properties proved in that subsection. It might be regarded as an "asymptotic symplectic quantization identification", generalizing the corresponding holomorphic identification (0.27).

Theorem 0.9. - If $X$ is a compact symplectic manifold and $\mathbf{J}=J$, then the natural map $\sigma_{p}:\left(\operatorname{Ker} D_{p}\right)^{G} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} D_{G, p}$ defined in (4.88) is an isomorphism for $p$ large enough.

Now we go back to the holomorphic situation.
Let $\langle,\rangle_{L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G}}$ be the metric on $L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G}$ induced by $h^{L_{G}}$ and $h^{E_{G}}$.
In view of $[\mathbf{4 4},(3.54)]$, the natural Hermitian product on $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(X_{G}, L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G}\right)$ is the following weighted Hermitian product $\langle,\rangle_{\widetilde{h}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle s_{1}, s_{2}\right\rangle_{\widetilde{h}}=\int_{X_{G}}\left\langle s_{1}, s_{2}\right\rangle_{L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G}}\left(x_{0}\right) \widetilde{h}^{2}\left(x_{0}\right) d v_{X_{G}}\left(x_{0}\right) . \tag{0.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, $\pi_{G}:\left(\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(P, L^{p} \otimes E\right)^{G},\langle\rangle,\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(X_{G}, L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G}\right),\langle,\rangle_{\widetilde{h}}\right)$ is an isometry.
We still denote by $\left\rangle\right.$ the scalar product on $H^{0}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)^{G}$ induced by (0.23).
Theorem 0.10. - The isomorphism $(2 p)^{-\frac{n_{0}}{4}} \sigma_{p}$ is an asymptotic isometry from $\left(H^{0}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)^{G},\langle\rangle,\right)$ onto $\left(H^{0}\left(X_{G}, L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G}\right),\langle,\rangle_{\widetilde{h}}\right)$, i.e., if $\left\{s_{i}^{p}\right\}_{i=1}^{d_{p}}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\left(H^{0}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)^{G},\langle\rangle,\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 p)^{-\frac{n_{0}}{2}}\left\langle\sigma_{p} s_{i}^{p}, \sigma_{p} s_{j}^{p}\right\rangle_{\widetilde{h}}=\delta_{i j}+\mathscr{O}\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) \tag{0.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the explicit formula (0.26), one can also get the coefficient of $p^{-1}$ in the expansion (0.29) (cf. [31, Problem 7.2]). We leave it to the interested readers.

Remark 0.11. - Theorem 0.10 also admits a natural symplectic extension corresponding to the asymptotic identification result in Theorem 0.9 (cf. Chapter 7).

Let $\widetilde{P}_{p}^{X_{G}}$ denote the orthogonal projection from $\left(\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(X_{G}, L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G}\right),\langle,\rangle_{\tilde{h}}\right)$ onto $\tilde{\sim}^{H}\left(X, L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G}\right)$. Let $\widetilde{P}_{p}{ }_{p}{ }^{G}\left(x_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right)\left(x_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime} \in X_{G}\right)$ be the smooth kernel of the operator $\widetilde{P}_{p}^{X_{G}}$ with respect to $\widetilde{h}^{2}\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right) d v_{X_{G}}\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)$.

The following result is an easy consequence of [17, Theorem 1.3].
Theorem 0.12. - Under the assumption of Theorem 0.6, there exist smooth coefficients $\widetilde{\Phi}_{r}\left(x_{0}\right) \in \operatorname{End}\left(E_{G}\right)_{x_{0}}$ which are polynomials in $R^{T X_{G}}, R^{E_{G}}$ (resp. $\left.\widetilde{h}\right)$, and their derivatives at $x_{0}$ to order $2 r-1$ (resp. $2 r$ ), and $\widetilde{\Phi}_{0}=\operatorname{Id}_{E_{G}}$, such that for any $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_{k, l}>0$ such that for any $x_{0} \in X_{G}, p \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p^{-n+n_{0}} \widetilde{h}^{2}\left(x_{0}\right) \widetilde{P}_{p}^{X_{G}}\left(x_{0}, x_{0}\right)-\sum_{r=0}^{k} \widetilde{\Phi}_{r}\left(x_{0}\right) p^{-r}\right|_{\mathscr{C}^{l}} \leqslant C_{k, l} p^{-k-1} \tag{0.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the following identity holds,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\Phi}_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{8 \pi} r_{x_{0}}^{X_{G}}+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \Delta_{X_{G}} \log \widetilde{h}+\frac{1}{2 \pi} R_{x_{0}}^{E_{G}}\left(w_{j}^{0}, \bar{w}_{j}^{0}\right) \tag{0.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 0.13. - From (0.25) and (0.31), one sees that in general $\Phi_{1} \neq \widetilde{\Phi}_{1}$, if $\widetilde{h}$ is not constant on $X_{G}$. This reflects a subtle defect between the Bergman kernel and the geometric quantization.

From the works $[\mathbf{1 7}],[\mathbf{2 8}]$ and the present paper, we see clearly that the asymptotic expansion of Bergman kernel is parallel to the small time asymptotic expansion of the heat kernel. To localize the problem, the spectral gap property (2.15) and the finite propagation speed of solutions of hyperbolic equations play essential roles.

Let $U$ be a $G$-neighborhood of $\mu^{-1}(0)$ as in Theorem 0.2 , in this paper, we will then work on $U / G$.

Indeed, after doing suitable rescaling on the coordinates, we get the limit operator $\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}$ (cf. (3.13)) which is the sum of two terms, one along $T_{x_{0}} X_{G}$, whose kernel is infinite dimensional and gives us the classical Bergman kernel as in $\mathbb{C}^{n-n_{0}}$, the other along $N_{G}$, which is a harmonic oscillator and its kernel is one dimensional. This explains well why we can expect to get the fast decay estimate along $N_{G}$ in (0.14).

This paper is organized as follows. In Chapter 1, we study connections and Laplacians associated to a principal bundle. In Chapter 2, we localize the problem by using the spectral gap property and finite propagation speed, then we use the rescaling technique in local index theory to prove Theorem 2.23 which is a version of Theorem 0.2 without assumption on $\mathbf{J}$. We assume $G$ acts freely on $P=\mu^{-1}(0)$ in Sections 2.5-2.8, and in Section 4.1 we explain Theorem 4.1, the version of Theorem 0.2 where we only assume that $\mu$ is regular at 0 . In Chapter 3 , we get explicit informations on the coefficients $P^{(r)}$ when $\mathbf{J}$ verifies (3.2), thus we get an effective way to compute its first coefficients of the asymptotic expansion (0.14). Especially, we establish (0.12) and (0.13). In Chapter 4, we explain various applications of our Theorem 0.2, including Toeplitz operator properties, etc. In Chapter 5, we compute the coefficient $\Phi_{1}$ in Theorem 0.6 and in the general case: $\mathbf{J} \neq J$. In Chapter 6 , we compute the coefficient $P_{x_{0}}^{(2)}(0,0)$ in Theorem 0.7. In Chapter 7, we prove Theorems 0.10, 0.12.

Some results of this paper have been announced in $[\mathbf{3 2}, 33]$.

Notations. - We denote by $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Z}$ the complex, natural, rational, real, integer numbers, and $\mathbb{C}^{*}=\mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}, \mathbb{N}^{*}=\mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\}, \mathbb{R}^{*}=\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, \mathbb{R}_{+}=\left[0, \infty\left[, \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}=\right] 0, \infty[\right.$. For $u \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $\lfloor u\rfloor$ the integer part of $u$.

For $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{m}, B=\left(B_{1}, \cdots, B_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{m}$, we denote by

$$
|\alpha|=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_{j}, \quad \alpha!=\prod_{j}\left(\alpha_{j}!\right), \quad B^{\alpha}=\prod_{j} B_{j}^{\alpha_{j}}
$$

We denote by $\operatorname{dim}$ or $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}$ the complex dimension of a complex (vector) space. We denote also by $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}}$ the real dimension of a space.

For a complex vector bundle $E$ on a manifold $X, \operatorname{rank}(E)$ denotes its rank, and $\operatorname{Id}_{E}$ the identity endomorphism. Also, $\operatorname{det}(E):=\Lambda^{\operatorname{rank}(E)}(E)$ is the determinant line bundle of $E, E^{*}$ is the dual bundle of $E$ and $\operatorname{End}(E):=E \otimes E^{*}$. The space of smooth sections of $E$ over $X$ is denoted by $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, E)$.

If $Q$ is an operator, we denote by $\operatorname{Ker}(Q)$ its kernel, $\operatorname{Im}(Q)$ its image set.

If $V$ is a representation of the group $G$, then we denote its $G$-invariant sub-space by $V^{G}$.

In the whole paper, if there is no other specific notification, when an index variable appears twice in a singe term, it implies that we are summing over all its possible values.
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## CHAPTER 1

## CONNECTIONS AND LAPLACIANS ASSOCIATED TO A PRINCIPAL BUNDLE

In this Chapter, for a $G$-principal bundle $\pi: X \rightarrow B=X / G$, we will study the associated connections and Bochner-Laplacians. The results in this chapter extend the corresponding ones in $[\mathbf{2}, \S 1 \mathrm{~d})]$ and $[\mathbf{1}, \S 5.1,5.2]$ where the metric along the fiber is parallel along the horizontal direction. These results will be used in Proposition 2.7 and in Sections 3.3, 5.

If $G$ acts only infinitesimal freely on $X$, then $B=X / G$ is an orbifold. The results in this chapter can be extended easily to this situation, as will be explained in Section 4.1.

This Chapter is organized as follows. In Section 1.1, we study the Levi-Civita connection for a principal bundle which extends the results of $[\mathbf{2}, \S 1 \mathrm{~d})]$. In Section 1.2 , we study the relation of the Laplacians on the total and base manifolds.

### 1.1. Connections associated to a principal bundle

Let a compact connected Lie group $G$ act smoothly on the left on a smooth manifold $X$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} G=n_{0}$. We suppose temporary that $G$ acts freely on $X$. Then

$$
\pi: X \rightarrow B=X / G
$$

is a $G$-principal bundle. We denote by $T Y$ the relative tangent bundle for the fibration $\pi: X \rightarrow B$.

Let $g^{T X}$ be a $G$-invariant metric on $T X$. Let $\nabla^{T X}$ be the Levi-Civita connection on $T X$. By the explicit equation for $\left\langle\nabla^{T X} ., \cdot\right\rangle$ in $[\mathbf{1},(1.18)]$, for $W, Z, Z^{\prime}$ vector fields on $X$,

$$
\begin{align*}
2\left\langle\nabla_{W}^{T X} Z, Z^{\prime}\right\rangle=W\left\langle Z, Z^{\prime}\right\rangle+Z\langle W, & \left.Z^{\prime}\right\rangle-Z^{\prime}\langle W, Z\rangle  \tag{1.1}\\
& -\left\langle W,\left[Z, Z^{\prime}\right]\right\rangle-\left\langle Z,\left[W, Z^{\prime}\right]\right\rangle+\left\langle Z^{\prime},[W, Z]\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

Let $T^{H} X$ be the orthogonal complement of $T Y$ in $T X$.

For $U \in T B$, let $U^{H} \in T^{H} X$ be the lift of $U$ such that $\pi_{*} U^{H}=U$. Let $L_{U^{H}}$ be the corresponding Lie derivative.

Let $g^{T Y}, g^{T^{H} X}$ be $G$-invariant metrics on $T Y, T^{H} X$ induced by $g^{T X}$. Let $P^{T Y}$, $P^{T^{H} X}$ be the orthogonal projections from $T X$ onto $T Y, T^{H} X$.

Let $g^{T B}$ be the metric on $T B$ induced by $g^{T^{H} X}$. Let $\nabla^{T B}$ be the Levi-Civita connection on $\left(T B, g^{T B}\right)$ with curvature $R^{T B}$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{T^{H} X}=\pi^{*} \nabla^{T B}, \quad \nabla^{T Y}=P^{T Y} \nabla^{T X} P^{T Y}, \quad{ }^{0} \nabla^{T X}=\nabla^{T Y} \oplus \nabla^{T^{H} X} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\nabla^{T^{H} X},{ }^{0} \nabla^{T X}$ define Euclidean connections on $T^{H} X, T X$, and $\nabla^{T Y}$ is the connection on $T Y$ induced by $\nabla^{T X}$ (cf. [2, Def. 1.6]).

Let $T$ be the torsion of ${ }^{0} \nabla^{T X}$, and let $S \in T^{*} X \otimes \operatorname{End}(T X), \dot{g}^{T Y} \in T^{*} B \otimes \operatorname{End}(T Y)$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\nabla^{T X}-{ }^{0} \nabla^{T X}, \quad \dot{g}_{U}^{T Y}=\left(g^{T Y}\right)^{-1}\left(L_{U^{H}} g^{T Y}\right) \quad \text { for } U \in T B \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $S$ is a 1-form on $X$ taking values in skew-adjoint endomorphisms of $T X$.
By [6, Theorem 1.2] (cf. [5, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2]) the proof of which can also be found in [1, Prop. 10.2] where one applies directly (1.1), we know that $\nabla^{T Y}$ is the Levi-Civita connection on $T Y$ along the fiber $Y$, and for $U \in T B$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{U^{H}}^{T Y}=L_{U^{H}}+\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{T Y}\right)^{-1}\left(L_{U^{H}} g^{T Y}\right)=L_{U^{H}}+\frac{1}{2} \dot{g}_{U}^{T Y} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of $G$. For $K \in \mathfrak{g}$, we denote by $K_{x}^{X}=\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t} e^{-t K} x\right|_{t=0}$ the corresponding vector field on $X$, then $g K_{x}^{X}=\left(\operatorname{Ad}_{g}(K)\right)_{g x}^{X}$. Thus we can identify the trivial bundle $X \times \mathfrak{g}$ with Ad-action of $G$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ to the $G$-equivariant bundle $T Y$ by the map $K \rightarrow K^{X}$.

Let $\theta: T X \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be the connection form of the principal bundle $\pi: X \rightarrow B$ such that $T^{H} X=\operatorname{Ker} \theta$, and $\Theta$ its curvature.

For $K_{1}, K_{2} \in \mathfrak{g}, U, V \in T B$, as $U^{H}$ is $G$-invariant, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{U^{H}} K_{1}^{X}=-\left[K_{1}^{X}, U^{H}\right]=0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (1.4), (1.5), we get $T \in \Lambda^{2}\left(T^{*} X\right) \otimes T Y$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T\left(U^{H}, V^{H}\right)=\Theta\left(U^{H}, V^{H}\right)=-P^{T Y}\left[U^{H}, V^{H}\right], \quad T\left(K_{1}^{X}, K_{2}^{X}\right)=0 \\
& T\left(U^{H}, K_{1}^{X}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{T Y}\right)^{-1}\left(L_{U^{H}} g^{T Y}\right) K_{1}^{X}=\frac{1}{2} \dot{g}_{U}^{T Y} K_{1}^{X}
\end{aligned}
$$

And by (1.1), (1.4), (1.5) and (1.6), for $W \in T X$, we have (cf. also [2, (1.28)], [1, Prop. 10.6]),

$$
\begin{align*}
S(W)(T Y) \subset T^{H} X, & S\left(U^{H}\right) V^{H} \in T Y \\
2\left\langle S\left(U^{H}\right) K_{1}^{X}, V^{H}\right\rangle & =2\left\langle S\left(K_{1}^{X}\right) U^{H}, V^{H}\right\rangle=\left\langle T\left(U^{H}, V^{H}\right), K_{1}^{X}\right\rangle  \tag{1.7}\\
\left\langle S\left(K_{2}^{X}\right) U^{H}, K_{1}^{X}\right\rangle & =-\left\langle S\left(K_{2}^{X}\right) K_{1}^{X}, U^{H}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{2} U^{H}\left\langle K_{2}^{X}, K_{1}^{X}\right\rangle=\left\langle T\left(U^{H}, K_{1}^{X}\right), K_{2}^{X}\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $T B$. By (1.3) and (1.7), for $Y$ a section of $T Y$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{U^{H}}^{T X} Y=\nabla_{U^{H}}^{T Y} Y+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle T\left(U^{H}, e_{i}^{H}\right), Y\right\rangle e_{i}^{H} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 1.1. - Let $\left\{f_{l}\right\}_{l=1}^{n_{0}}$ be a $G$-invariant orthonormal frame of $T Y$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l=1}^{n_{0}} \nabla_{f_{l}}^{T Y} f_{l}=0 \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. - (1.9) is analogous to the fact that any left invariant volume form on $G$ is also right invariant. We only need to work on a fiber $Y_{b}, b \in B$.

Let $d v_{Y}$ be the Riemannian volume form on $Y_{b}$.
By using $L_{f_{k}} f_{l}=\nabla_{f_{k}}^{T Y} f_{l}-\nabla_{f_{l}}^{T Y} f_{k}$ and $d v_{Y}$ is preserved by $\nabla^{T Y}$ on $Y_{b}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{f_{k}} d v_{Y}=\sum_{l=1}^{n_{0}}\left\langle\nabla_{f_{l}}^{T Y} f_{k}, f_{l}\right\rangle d v_{Y} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now from $L_{f_{k}}=i_{f_{k}} d^{Y}+d^{Y} i_{f_{k}}$ and $\left\langle\nabla_{f_{l}}^{T Y} f_{k}, f_{l}\right\rangle$ is $G$-invariant and (1.10), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\int_{Y_{b}} L_{f_{k}} d v_{Y}=\sum_{l=1}^{n_{0}}\left\langle\nabla_{f_{l}}^{T Y} f_{k}, f_{l}\right\rangle \int_{Y_{b}} d v_{Y} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1.11), we get (1.9).
Remark 1.2. - If $g^{T Y}$ is induced by a family of $\operatorname{Ad}_{G}$-invariant metric on $\mathfrak{g}$ under the isomorphism from $X \times \mathfrak{g}$ to $T Y$ defined by $K \rightarrow K^{X}$, then (1.9) is trivial. In this case, as in [19, Theorem 11.3], for $Y_{1}, Y_{2}$ two $G$-invariant sections of $T Y$, by (1.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{Y_{1}}^{T Y} Y_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left[Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right] \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.2. Curvatures and Laplacians associated to a principal bundle

Let $\left(F, h^{F}\right)$ be a $G$-equivariant Hermitian vector bundle on $X$ with a $G$-invariant Hermitian connection $\nabla^{F}$ on $X$. For any $K \in \mathfrak{g}$, denote by $L_{K}$ the infinitesimal action induced by $K$ on the corresponding vector bundles.

Let $\mu^{F}$ be the section of $\mathfrak{g}^{*} \otimes \operatorname{End}(F)$ on $X$ defined by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{F}(K)=\nabla_{K^{X}}^{F}-L_{K} \quad \text { for } K \in \mathfrak{g} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the identification $X \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow T Y, \mu^{F}$ defines a $G$-invariant section $\widetilde{\mu}^{F}$ of $T Y \otimes \operatorname{End}(F)$ on $X$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}^{F}, K^{X}\right\rangle=\mu^{F}(K) \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The curvature $R_{\mu}^{F}$ of the Hermitian connection $\nabla^{F}-\mu^{F}(\theta)$ on $F$ is $G$-invariant. Moreover as $\nabla^{F}$ is $G$-invariant, by (1.13),

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\mu}^{F}\left(K^{X}, v\right)=\left[L_{K}, \nabla^{F}-\mu^{F}(\theta)\right](v)=0 \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $K \in \mathfrak{g}, v \in T X$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\mu}^{F}=R^{F}-\nabla^{F}\left(\mu^{F}(\theta)\right)+\mu^{F}(\theta) \wedge \mu^{F}(\theta) \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Hermitian vector bundle $\left(F, h^{F}\right)$ induces a Hermitian vector bundle $\left(F_{B}, h^{F_{B}}\right)$ on $B$ by identifying $G$-invariant sections of $F$ on $X$.

For $s \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(B, F_{B}\right) \simeq \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, F)^{G}$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{U}^{F_{B}} s=\nabla_{U H}^{F} s \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\nabla^{F_{B}}$ is a Hermitian connection on $F_{B}$ with curvature $R^{F_{B}}$.
Observe that $\nabla^{F_{B}}$ is the restriction of the connection $\nabla^{F}-\mu^{F}(\theta)$ to $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, F)^{G}$, and $R^{F_{B}}$ is the section induced by $R_{\mu}^{F}$. From (1.16), for $U_{1}, U_{2} \in T B$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{F_{B}}\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)=R^{F}\left(U_{1}^{H}, U_{2}^{H}\right)-\mu^{F}(\Theta)\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right) \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $d v_{X}$ be the Riemannian volume form on $\left(X, g^{T X}\right)$. We define a scalar product on $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, F)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle s_{1}, s_{2}\right\rangle=\int_{X}\left\langle s_{1}, s_{2}\right\rangle_{F}(x) d v_{X}(x) \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in (1.19), $h^{F_{B}}, g^{T B}$ induce a natural scalar product $\left\rangle\right.$ on $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(B, F_{B}\right)$.
Denote by $\operatorname{vol}(G x)(x \in X)$ the volume of the orbit $G x$ equipped with the metric induced by $g^{T X}$. The function

$$
h(x)=\sqrt{\operatorname{vol}(G x)}, \quad x \in X
$$

as in (0.10) is $G$-invariant and defines a function on $B$.
Denote by $\pi_{G}: \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, F)^{G} \rightarrow \mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(B, F_{B}\right)$ the natural identification. Then the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=h \pi_{G}:\left(\mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, F)^{G},\langle,\rangle\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(B, F_{B}\right),\langle,\rangle\right) \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an isometry.
Let $\left\{e_{a}\right\}_{a=1}^{m}$ be an orthonormal frame of $T X$.
Let $\left(E, h^{E}\right)$ be a Hermitian vector bundle on $X$ and let $\nabla^{E}$ be a Hermitian connection on $E$. The usual Bochner Laplacians $\Delta^{E}, \Delta_{X}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{E}:=-\sum_{a=1}^{m}\left(\left(\nabla_{e_{a}}^{E}\right)^{2}-\nabla_{\nabla_{e_{a}}^{T X} e_{a}}^{E}\right), \quad \Delta_{X}=\Delta^{\mathbb{C}} \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{f_{l}\right\}_{l=1}^{n_{0}}$ be a $G$-invariant orthonormal frame of $T Y$, and $\left\{f^{l}\right\}$ its dual frame, and let $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ be an orthonormal frame of $T B$, then $\left\{e_{i}^{H}, f_{l}\right\}$ is an orthonormal frame of $T X$.

To simplify the notation, for $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2} \in T Y \otimes \operatorname{End}(F)$, we denote by $\left\langle\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right\rangle_{g^{T Y}} \in$ $\operatorname{End}(F)$ the contraction of $\sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{2}$ on the part of $T Y$ by $g^{T Y}$. In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}^{F}, \widetilde{\mu}^{F}\right\rangle_{g^{T Y}}=\sum_{l=1}^{n_{0}}\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}^{F}, f_{l}\right\rangle^{2} \in \operatorname{End}(F) \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following result extends [1, Prop. 5.6, 5.10] where $F=X \times_{G} V$ for a $G$ representation $V$, and where $g^{T Y}$ is induced by a fixed $\operatorname{Ad}_{G}$-invariant metric on $\mathfrak{g}$ under the isomorphism from $X \times \mathfrak{g}$ to $T Y$ defined by $K \rightarrow K^{X}$ (Thus $h$ is constant on $B$ ).

Theorem 1.3. - As an operator on $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(B, F_{B}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi \Delta^{F} \Phi^{-1}=\Delta^{F_{B}}-\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}^{F}, \widetilde{\mu}^{F}\right\rangle_{g^{T Y}}-\frac{1}{h} \Delta_{B} h \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. - At first by (1.6) and (1.7),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{h}\left(e_{i} h\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(L_{e_{i}^{H}} d v_{Y}\right) / d v_{Y}=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle L_{e_{i}^{H}} f^{l}, f^{l}\right\rangle=-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle L_{e_{i}^{H}} f_{l}, f_{l}\right\rangle  \tag{1.24}\\
& \quad=\frac{1}{4}\left(L_{e_{i}^{H}} g^{T Y}\right)\left(f_{l}, f_{l}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle T\left(e_{i}^{H}, f_{l}\right), f_{l}\right\rangle=-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle S\left(f_{l}\right) f_{l}, e_{i}^{H}\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

As $\widetilde{\mu}^{F}$ is $G$-invariant, then $\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}^{F}, f_{l}\right\rangle$ is also a $G$-invariant section of $\operatorname{End}(F)$.
By (1.13), $\nabla_{f_{l}}^{F}=\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}^{F}, f_{l}\right\rangle$ on $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, F)^{G}$, and by (1.3), $\nabla_{f_{l}}^{T X} f_{l}=\nabla_{f_{l}}^{T Y} f_{l}+S\left(f_{l}\right) f_{l}$, thus by (1.20), we get for $1 \leqslant l \leqslant n_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left[\left(\nabla_{f_{l}}^{F}\right)^{2}-\nabla_{\nabla_{f_{l}}^{T X} f_{l}}^{F}\right] \Phi^{-1}=\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}^{F}, f_{l}\right\rangle^{2}-\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}^{F}, \nabla_{f_{l}}^{T Y} f_{l}\right\rangle-h \nabla_{S\left(f_{l}\right) f_{l}}^{F_{B}} h^{-1} \tag{1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1.7), (1.9), (1.21), (1.22), (1.24) and (1.25), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi \Delta^{F} \Phi^{-1}=-\sum_{i=1}^{m-n_{0}} \Phi\left[\left(\nabla_{e_{i}^{H}}^{F}\right)^{2}-\nabla_{\nabla_{e_{i}^{H}}^{T X} e_{i}^{H}}^{F}\right] \Phi^{-1}-\sum_{l=1}^{n_{0}} \Phi\left[\left(\nabla_{f_{l}}^{F}\right)^{2}-\nabla_{\nabla_{f_{l}}^{T X} f_{l}}^{F}\right] \Phi^{-1}  \tag{1.26}\\
& \quad=h \Delta^{F_{B}} h^{-1}-\sum_{l=1}^{n_{0}}\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}^{F}, f_{l}\right\rangle^{2}-2\left(e_{i} h\right) \nabla_{e_{i}}^{F_{B}} h^{-1}=\Delta^{F_{B}}-\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}^{F}, \widetilde{\mu}^{F}\right\rangle_{g^{T Y}}-\frac{1}{h} \Delta_{B} h
\end{align*}
$$

## CHAPTER 2

## $G$-INVARIANT BERGMAN KERNELS

In this Chapter, we study the uniform estimate with its derivatives on $t=\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}$ of the $G$-invariant Bergman kernel $P_{p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ of $D_{p}^{2}$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$.

The first main difficulty is to localize the problem to arbitrary small neighborhoods of $P=\mu^{-1}(0)$, so that one can study the $G$-invariant Bergman kernel in the spirit of [17]. Our observation here is that the $G$-invariant Bergman kernel is exactly the kernel of the orthogonal projection on the zero space of an operator $\mathcal{L}_{p}$, which is a deformation of $D_{p}^{2}$ by the Casimir operator. Moreover, $\mathcal{L}_{p}$ has a spectral gap property (cf. $(2.24),(2.25))$. In the spirit of $[\mathbf{1 7}, \S 4]$, this allows us to localize the problem to a problem near a $G$-neighborhood of $G x$. By combining with the Lichnerowicz formula, we get Theorem 0.1 in Section 2.4.

After localizing the problem to a problem near $P$, we first replace $X$ by $G \times \mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}$, then we reduce it to a problem on $\mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}$. On $\mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}$, the problem in Section 2.7 is similar to a problem on $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ considered in $[\mathbf{1 7}, \S 4.3]$.

Comparing with the operator in $[\mathbf{1 7}, \S 4.3]$, we have an extra quadratic term along the normal direction of $X_{G}$. This allows us to improve the estimate in the normal direction. After suitable rescaling, we will introduce a family of Sobolev norms defined by the rescaled connection on $L^{p}$ and the rescaled moment map in this situation, then we can extend the functional analysis techniques developed in $[\mathbf{1 7}, \S 4.3]$ and $[\mathbf{7}, \S 11]$.

This Chapter is organized as follows. In Section 2.1, we recall a basic property on the Casimir operator of a compact connected Lie group. In Section 2.2, we recall the definition of $\operatorname{spin}^{c}$ Dirac operators for an almost complex manifold. In Section 2.3, we introduce the operator $\mathcal{L}_{p}$ to study the $G$-invariant Bergman kernel $P_{p}^{G}$ of $D_{p}^{2}$. In Section 2.4, we explain that the asymptotic expansion of $P_{p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ is localized on a $G$-neighborhood of $G x$, and we establish Theorem 0.1. In Section 2.5, we show that our problem near $P$ is equivalent to a problem on $U / G$ for any open $G$-neighborhood $U$ of $P$. In Section 2.6, we derive an asymptotic expansion of $\Phi \mathcal{L}_{p} \Phi^{-1}$ in coordinates of $U / G$. In Section 2.7, we study the uniform estimate, with its derivatives on $t$,
of the Bergman kernel associated to the rescaled operator $\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}$ from $\Phi \mathcal{L}_{p} \Phi^{-1}$, using the heat kernel. In Theorem 2.21, we estimate uniformly the remaining term of the Taylor expansion of $e^{-u \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}$ for $u \geqslant u_{0}>0,0<t \leqslant t_{0} \leqslant 1$. In Section 2.8, we identify $J_{r, u}$, the coefficient of the Taylor expansion of $e^{-u \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}$, with the Volterra expansion of the heat kernel, thus giving a way to compute the coefficient $P_{x_{0}}^{(r)}$ in Theorem 0.2. In Section 2.9, we prove Theorem 0.2 except (0.12) and (0.13).

We use the notation in Chapter 1. In Sections 2.5-2.9, we assume $G$ acts freely on $P=\mu^{-1}(0)$.

### 2.1. Casimir operator

Let $G$ be a compact connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} G=n_{0}$. We choose an $\operatorname{Ad}_{G}$-invariant metric on $\mathfrak{g}$ such that it is the minus Killing form on the semi-simple part of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let $\left\{K_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n_{0}}$ be an orthogonal basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\left\{K^{j}\right\}$ be its dual basis of $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$.
The Casimir operator Cas of $\mathfrak{g}$ is defined as the following element of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathfrak{g}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Cas }:=\sum_{j=1}^{n_{0}} K_{j} K_{j} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then Cas is independent of the choice of $\left\{K_{j}\right\}$ and belongs to the center of $U(\mathfrak{g})$.
Let $\mathfrak{t}$ be the Lie algebra of a maximum torus $T$ of $G$, and $\mathfrak{t}^{*}$ its dual. Let | denote the norm on $\mathfrak{t}^{*}$ induced by the $\operatorname{Ad}_{G}$-invariant metric on $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathfrak{t}^{*}$ be the fundamental Weyl chamber associated to the set of positive roots $\Delta^{+}$of $G$, and its closure $\overline{\mathcal{W}} \subset \mathfrak{t}^{*}$.

Let $I=\{K \in \mathfrak{t} ; \exp (2 \pi K)=1 \in T\}$ be the integer lattice such that $T=\mathfrak{t} / 2 \pi I$, and $P=\left\{\alpha \in \mathfrak{t}^{*} ; \alpha(I) \subset \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ the lattice of integral forms.

Let $\varrho_{G}$ be the half sum of the positive roots of $G$.
By the Weyl character formula [19, Theorem 8.21], the irreducible representations of $G$ correspond one to one to $\vartheta \in \overline{\mathcal{W}} \cap P$, the highest weight of the representation.

Moreover, for any irreducible representation $\rho: G \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(V)$ with highest weight $\vartheta \in \overline{\mathcal{W}} \cap P$, classically, the action of Cas on $V$ is given by (cf. [19, Theorem 10.6]),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(\mathrm{Cas})=-\left(\left|\vartheta+\varrho_{G}\right|^{2}-\left|\varrho_{G}\right|^{2}\right) \operatorname{Id}_{V} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{1}:=\inf _{0 \neq \vartheta \in \overline{\mathcal{W}} \cap P}\left(\left|\vartheta+\varrho_{G}\right|^{2}-\left|\varrho_{G}\right|^{2}\right)>0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.2), for any representation $\rho: G \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(V)$, if the $G$-invariant subspace $V^{G}$ of $V$ is zero, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\rho(\mathrm{Cas}) \geqslant \nu_{1} \mathrm{Id}_{V} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.2. Spin ${ }^{c}$ Dirac operator

Let $(X, \omega)$ be a compact symplectic manifold of real dimension $2 n$. Assume that there exists a Hermitian line bundle $L$ over $X$ endowed with a Hermitian connection $\nabla^{L}$ with the property that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} R^{L}=\omega \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R^{L}=\left(\nabla^{L}\right)^{2}$ is the curvature of $\left(L, \nabla^{L}\right)$.
Let $\left(E, h^{E}\right)$ be a Hermitian vector bundle on $X$ with Hermitian connection $\nabla^{E}$ and its curvature $R^{E}$.

Let $g^{T X}$ be a Riemannian metric on $X$.
Let $\mathbf{J}: T X \longrightarrow T X$ be the skew-adjoint linear map which satisfies the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(u, v)=g^{T X}(\mathbf{J} u, v) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $u, v \in T X$.
Let $J$ be an almost complex structure such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{T X}(J u, J v)=g^{T X}(u, v), \quad \omega(J u, J v)=\omega(u, v) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that $\omega(\cdot, J \cdot)$ defines a metric on $T X$. Then $J$ commutes with $\mathbf{J}$ and

$$
-\langle J \mathbf{J} \cdot, \cdot\rangle=\omega(\cdot, J \cdot)
$$

is positive by our assumption. Thus $-J \mathbf{J} \in \operatorname{End}(T X)$ is symmetric and positive, and one verifies easily that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-J \mathbf{J}=\left(-\mathbf{J}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad J=\mathbf{J}\left(-\mathbf{J}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The almost complex structure $J$ induces a splitting

$$
T X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}=T^{(1,0)} X \oplus T^{(0,1)} X
$$

where $T^{(1,0)} X$ and $T^{(0,1)} X$ are the eigenbundles of $J$ corresponding to the eigenvalues $\sqrt{-1}$ and $-\sqrt{-1}$ respectively. Let $T^{*(1,0)} X$ and $T^{*(0,1)} X$ be the corresponding dual bundles.

For any $v \in T X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ with decomposition $v=v_{1,0}+v_{0,1} \in T^{(1,0)} X \oplus T^{(0,1)} X$, let $\bar{v}_{1,0}^{*} \in T^{*(0,1)} X$ be the metric dual of $v_{1,0}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(v):=\sqrt{2}\left(\bar{v}_{1,0}^{*} \wedge-i_{v_{0,1}}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines the Clifford action of $v$ on $\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right)$, where $\wedge$ and $i$ denote the exterior and interior multiplications respectively.

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{0}:=\inf _{u \in T_{x}^{1(1,0)} X, x \in X} R_{x}^{L}(u, \bar{u}) /|u|_{g T X}^{2}>0 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\nabla^{T X}$ be the Levi-Civita connection of the metric $g^{T X}$ with curvature $R^{T X}$. We denote by $P^{T^{(1,0)} X}$ the projection from $T X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ to $T^{(1,0)} X$.

Let $\nabla^{T^{(1,0)} X}=P^{T^{(1,0)} X} \nabla^{T X} P^{T^{(1,0)} X}$ be the Hermitian connection on $T^{(1,0)} X$ induced by $\nabla^{T X}$ with curvature $R^{T^{(1,0)} X}$. Let $\nabla^{\text {det }}$ be the connection on $\operatorname{det}\left(T^{(1,0)} X\right)$ induced by $\nabla^{T^{(1,0)} X}$.

Formally,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right)=S(T X) \otimes\left(\operatorname{det}\left(T^{(1,0)} X\right)\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

here $S(T X)$ is the possible (non-existent) spinors bundle associated to $\left(X, g^{T X}\right)$, and $\left(\operatorname{det}\left(T^{(1,0)} X\right)\right)^{1 / 2}$ is the possible (non-existent) square root of $\operatorname{det}\left(T^{(1,0)} X\right)$. By [24, pp.397-398], $[\mathbf{3 1}, \S 1.3], \nabla^{T X}$ induces canonically a Clifford connection $\nabla^{\mathrm{Cliff}}$ on $\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right)$ and its curvature $R^{\text {Cliff }}$ (cf. also [27, $\left.\S 2\right]$ ).

Let $\left\{e_{a}\right\}_{a}$ be an orthonormal basis of $T X$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{\mathrm{Cliff}}=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{a b}\left\langle R^{T X} e_{a}, e_{b}\right\rangle c\left(e_{a}\right) c\left(e_{b}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left[R^{T^{(1,0)} X}\right] \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $L^{p}:=L^{\otimes p}$. Let $\nabla^{E_{p}}$ be the connection on

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p}:=\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes L^{p} \otimes E \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

induced by $\nabla^{\text {Cliff }}, \nabla^{L}$ and $\nabla^{E}$.
Let $\left\rangle_{E_{p}}\right.$ be the metric on $E_{p}$ induced by $g^{T X}, h^{L}$ and $h^{E}$.
The $L^{2}$-scalar product $\left\rangle\right.$ on $\Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)$, the space of smooth sections of $E_{p}$, is given by (1.19). We denote the corresponding norm by $\|\cdot\|_{L^{2}}$.

Definition 2.1. - The $\operatorname{spin}^{c}$ Dirac operator $D_{p}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{p}:=\sum_{a=1}^{2 n} c\left(e_{a}\right) \nabla_{e_{a}}^{E_{p}}: \Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right) \longrightarrow \Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $D_{p}$ is a formally self-adjoint, first order elliptic differential operator on $\Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)$, which interchanges $\Omega^{0, \text { even }}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)$ and $\Omega^{0, \text { odd }}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)$.

If $A$ is any operator, we denote by $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathrm{A})$ the spectrum of $A$.
The following result was proved in [27, Theorems 1.1, 2.5] by applying directly the Lichnerowicz formula (cf. also [8, Theorem 1] in the holomorphic case).

Theorem 2.2. - There exists $C_{L}>0$ such that for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $s \in$ $\Omega^{>0}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)=\bigoplus_{q \geqslant 1} \Omega^{0, q}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D_{p} s\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \geqslant\left(2 p \nu_{0}-C_{L}\right)\|s\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover $\operatorname{Spec}\left(D_{p}^{2}\right) \subset\{0\} \cup\left[2 p \nu_{0}-C_{L},+\infty[\right.$.

## 2.3. $G$-invariant Bergman kernel

Suppose that the compact connected Lie group $G$ acts on the left of $X$, and the action of $G$ lifts on $L, E$ and preserves the metrics and connections, $\omega$ and the almost complex structure $J$.

Let $\mu: X \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \pi \sqrt{-1} \mu(K):=\mu^{L}(K)=\nabla_{K^{x}}^{L}-L_{K}, K \in \mathfrak{g} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\mu$ is the corresponding moment map (cf. [1, Example 7.9]), i.e. for any $K \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \mu(K)=i_{K^{x}} \omega \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $V$ a subspace of $\Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)$, we denote by $V^{\perp}$ the orthogonal complement of $V$ in $\left(\Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right),\langle\quad\rangle\right)$.

Let $\Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)^{G},\left(\operatorname{Ker} D_{p}\right)^{G}$ be the $G$-invariant subspaces of $\Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)$, Ker $D_{p}$. Let $P_{p}^{G}$ be the orthogonal projection from $\Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)$ on $\left(\operatorname{Ker} D_{p}\right)^{G}$.
Definition 2.3. - The $G$-invariant Bergman kernel $P_{p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\left(x, x^{\prime} \in X\right)$ of $D_{p}$ is the smooth kernel of $P_{p}^{G}$ with respect to the Riemannian volume form $d v_{X}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$.

Let $\left\{S_{i}^{p}\right\}_{i=1}^{d_{p}}\left(d_{p}=\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Ker} D_{p}\right)^{G}\right)$ be any orthonormal basis of $\left(\operatorname{Ker} D_{p}\right)^{G}$ with respect to the norm $\left\|\|_{L^{2}}\right.$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{d_{p}} S_{i}^{p}(x) \otimes\left(S_{i}^{p}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{*} \in\left(E_{p}\right)_{x} \otimes\left(E_{p}^{*}\right)_{x^{\prime}} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Especially, $P_{p}^{G}(x, x) \in \operatorname{End}\left(E_{p}\right)_{x} \simeq \operatorname{End}\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes E\right)_{x}$.
We use the notation $\mu^{F}$ in (1.13) now.
Observe that the Lie derivative $L_{K}$ on $T X$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{K} V=\nabla_{K^{X}}^{T X} V-\nabla_{V}^{T X} K^{X} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{T X}(K)=\nabla^{T X} K^{X} \in \operatorname{End}(T X) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.11), the action on $\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right)$ induced by $\mu^{T X}(K)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{\mathrm{Cliff}}(K)=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{a=1}^{2 n} c\left(e_{a}\right) c\left(\nabla_{e_{a}}^{T X} K^{X}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left[P^{T^{(1,0)} X} \nabla^{T X} K^{X}\right] \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the action $L_{K}$ of $K$ on smooth sections of $\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right)$ is given by (cf. also [44, (1.24)])

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{K}=\nabla_{K^{X}}^{\mathrm{Cliff}}-\mu^{\mathrm{Cliff}}(K) \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.16) and (2.22), the action $L_{K}$ of $K$ on $\Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)$ is $\nabla_{K^{X}}^{E_{p}}-\mu^{E_{p}}(K)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{E_{p}}(K)=2 \pi \sqrt{-1} p \mu(K)+\mu^{E}(K)+\mu^{\mathrm{Cliff}}(K) \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.4. - The (formally) self-adjoint operator $\mathcal{L}_{p}$ acting on $\left(\Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes\right.\right.$ $E),\langle\rangle$,$) is defined by,$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{p}=D_{p}^{2}-p \sum_{i=1}^{n_{0}} L_{K_{i}} L_{K_{i}} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following result will play a crucial role in the whole paper.
Theorem 2.5. - The projection $P_{p}^{G}$ is the orthogonal projection from $\Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes\right.$ $E)$ onto $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p}\right)$. Moreover, there exist $\nu, C_{L}>0$ such that for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p}\right)=\left(\operatorname{Ker} D_{p}\right)^{G}  \tag{2.25}\\
& \operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{p}}\right) \subset\{0\} \cup\left[2 p \nu-C_{L},+\infty[ \right.
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. - By (2.24), for any $s \in \Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathcal{L}_{p} s, s\right\rangle=\left\|D_{p} s\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+p \sum_{i=1}^{n_{0}}\left\|L_{K_{i}} s\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $\mathcal{L}_{p} s=0$ is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{p} s=L_{K_{i}} s=0 \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means $s$ is fixed by the $G$-action. Thus we get the first equation of (2.25).
For $s \in\left(\operatorname{Ker} \mathcal{L}_{p}\right)^{\perp}$, there exist $s_{1} \in \Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)^{G} \cap\left(\operatorname{Ker} D_{p}\right)^{\perp}$, $s_{2} \in$ $\left(\Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)^{G}\right)^{\perp}$, such that $s=s_{1}+s_{2}$. Clearly,

$$
D_{p} s_{1} \in \Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)^{G}, \quad D_{p} s_{2} \in\left(\Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)^{G}\right)^{\perp}
$$

By Theorem 2.2 and (2.4),

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\mathcal{L}_{p} s, s\right\rangle & =-p\left\langle\rho(\mathrm{Cas}) s_{2}, s_{2}\right\rangle+\left\|D_{p} s_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left\|D_{p} s_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}  \tag{2.28}\\
& \geqslant p \nu_{1}\left\|s_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left(2 p \nu_{0}-C_{L}\right)\left\|s_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

from which we get (2.25).
We assume that $0 \in \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ is a regular value of $\mu$. Then $X_{G}=\mu^{-1}(0) / G$ is an orbifold $\left(X_{G}\right.$ is smooth if $G$ acts freely on $\left.P=\mu^{-1}(0)\right)$. Furthermore, $\omega$ descends to a symplectic form $\omega_{G}$ on $X_{G}$. Thus one gets the Marsden-Weinstein symplectic reduction $\left(X_{G}, \omega_{G}\right)$.

Moreover, $\left(L, \nabla^{L}\right),\left(E, \nabla^{E}\right)$ descend to $\left(L_{G}, \nabla^{L_{G}}\right),\left(E_{G}, \nabla^{E_{G}}\right)$ over $X_{G}$ so that the corresponding curvature condition holds [21] :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} R^{L_{G}}=\omega_{G} \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $G$-invariant almost complex structure $J$ also descends to an almost complex structure $J_{G}$ on $T X_{G}$, and $h^{L}, h^{E}, g^{T X}$ descend to $h^{L_{G}}, h^{E_{G}}, g^{T X_{G}}$.

We can construct the corresponding $\operatorname{spin}^{c}$ Dirac operator $D_{G, p}$ on $X_{G}$.

Let $P_{G, p}$ be the orthogonal projection from $\Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X_{G}, L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G}\right)$ on $\operatorname{Ker} D_{G, p}$, and let $P_{G, p}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ be the smooth kernel of $P_{G, p}$ with respect to the Riemannian volume form $d v_{X_{G}}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$.

The purpose of this paper is to study the asymptotic expansion of $P_{p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ when $p \rightarrow \infty$, and we will relate it to the asymptotic expansion of the Bergman kernel $P_{G, p}$ on $X_{G}$.

### 2.4. Localization of the problem and proof of Theorem 0.1

Let $a^{X}$ be the injectivity radius of $\left(X, g^{T X}\right)$, and $\left.\varepsilon \in\right] 0, a^{X} / 4\left[\right.$. If $x \in X, Z \in T_{x} X$, let $\mathbb{R} \ni u \rightarrow x_{u}=\exp _{x}^{X}(u Z) \in X$ be the geodesic in $\left(X, g^{T X}\right)$, such that $x_{0}=$ $x,\left.\frac{d x_{u}}{d u}\right|_{u=0}=Z$.

For $x \in X$, we denote by $B^{X}(x, \varepsilon)$ and $B^{T_{x} X}(0, \varepsilon)$ the open balls in $X$ and $T_{x} X$ with center $x$ and radius $\varepsilon$, respectively. The map $T_{x} X \ni Z \rightarrow \exp _{x}^{X}(Z) \in X$ is a diffeomorphism from $B^{T_{x} X}(0, \varepsilon)$ on $B^{X}(x, \varepsilon)$ for $\varepsilon \leqslant a^{X}$.

From now on, we identify $B^{T_{x} X}(0, \varepsilon)$ with $B^{X}(x, \varepsilon)$ for $\varepsilon \leqslant a^{X} / 4$.
Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a smooth even function such that

$$
f(v)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \text { for } & |v| \leqslant \varepsilon / 2  \tag{2.30}\\
0 & \text { for } & |v| \geqslant \varepsilon
\end{array}\right.
$$

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(a)=\left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(v) d v\right)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i v a} f(v) d v \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $F(a)$ is an even function and lies in the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ and $F(0)=1$.
Let $\widetilde{F}$ be the holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$ such that $\widetilde{F}\left(a^{2}\right)=F(a)$. The restriction of $\widetilde{F}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ lies in the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$.

Let $\widetilde{F}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p}\right)\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ be the smooth kernel of $\widetilde{F}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p}\right)$ with respect to the volume form $d v_{X}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$.

Proposition 2.6. - For any $l, m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_{l, m}>0$ such that for $p \geqslant C_{L} / \nu$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\widetilde{F}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p}\right)\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)-P_{p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\mathscr{C}^{m}(X \times X)} \leqslant C_{l, m} p^{-l} \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the $\mathscr{C}^{m}$ norm is induced by $\nabla^{L}, \nabla^{E}, \nabla^{\mathrm{Cliff}}, h^{L}, h^{E}$ and $g^{T X}$.
Proof. - For $a \in \mathbb{R}$, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{p}(a)=1_{[p \nu,+\infty[ }(a) \widetilde{F}(a) \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by Theorem 2.5, for $p>C_{L} / \nu$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{F}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p}\right)-P_{p}^{G}=\phi_{p}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p}\right) \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.31), for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C_{m}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{a \in \mathbb{R}}|a|^{m}|\widetilde{F}(a)| \leqslant C_{m} \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $X$ is compact, there exist $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{r} \subset X$ such that $\left\{U_{i}=B^{X}\left(x_{i}, \varepsilon\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{r}$ is a covering of $X$. We identify $B^{T_{x_{i}} X}(0, \varepsilon)$ with $B^{X}\left(x_{i}, \varepsilon\right)$ by geodesics as above.

We identify $\left(E_{p}\right)_{Z}$ for $Z \in B^{T_{x_{i}} X}(0, \varepsilon)$ to $\left(E_{p}\right)_{x_{i}}$ by parallel transport with respect to the connection $\nabla^{E_{p}}$ along the curve $\gamma_{Z}:[0,1] \ni u \rightarrow \exp _{x_{i}}^{X}(u Z)$.

Let $\left\{e_{a}\right\}_{a=1}^{2 n}$ be an orthonormal basis of $T_{x_{i}} X$. Let $\widetilde{e}_{a}(Z)$ be the parallel transport of $e_{a}$ with respect to $\nabla^{T X}$ along the above curve.

Let $\Gamma^{E}, \Gamma^{L}, \Gamma^{\text {Cliff }}$ be the corresponding connection forms of $\nabla^{E}, \nabla^{L}$ and $\nabla^{\text {Cliff }}$ with respect to any fixed frame for $E, L, \Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right)$ which is parallel along the curve $\gamma_{Z}$ under the trivialization on $U_{i}$. Then $\Gamma^{L}$ is a usual 1-form.

Denote by $\nabla_{U}$ the ordinary differentiation operator on $T_{x_{i}} X$ in the direction $U$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{E_{p}}=\nabla+p \Gamma^{L}+\Gamma^{\mathrm{Cliff}}+\Gamma^{E}, \quad D_{p}=c\left(\widetilde{e}_{j}\right) \nabla_{\widetilde{e}_{j}}^{E_{p}} \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{\varphi_{i}\right\}$ be a partition of unity subordinate to $\left\{U_{i}\right\}$.
For $l \in \mathbb{N}$, we define a Sobolev norm on the $l$-th Sobolev space $\boldsymbol{H}^{l}\left(X, E_{p}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|s\|_{\boldsymbol{H}_{p}^{l}}^{2}=\sum_{i} \sum_{k=0}^{l} \sum_{i_{1}, \cdots, i_{k}=1}^{2 n}\left\|\nabla_{e_{i_{1}}} \cdots \nabla_{e_{i_{k}}}\left(\varphi_{i} s\right)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by (2.36), there exist $C, C^{\prime}, C^{\prime \prime}>0$ such that for $p \geqslant 1, s \in \boldsymbol{H}^{2}\left(X, E_{p}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{\prime}\left\|D_{p}^{2} s\right\|_{L^{2}}-C^{\prime \prime} p^{2}\|s\|_{L^{2}} \leq\|s\|_{\boldsymbol{H}_{p}^{2}} \leqslant C\left(\left\|D_{p}^{2} s\right\|_{L^{2}}+p^{2}\|s\|_{L^{2}}\right) \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that $D_{p}$ commutes with the $G$-action, thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[D_{p}, L_{K_{j}}\right]=0 \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.24), (2.39), and the facts that $D_{p}$ is self-adjoint and $L_{K_{j}}$ is skew-adjoint, we know

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\|\mathcal{L}_{p} s\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=\left\|D_{p}^{2} s\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+p^{2}\left\|\sum_{j} L_{K_{j}} L_{K_{j}} s\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}-2 p \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j}\left\langle D_{p}^{2} s, L_{K_{j}} L_{K_{j}} s\right\rangle  \tag{2.40}\\
&=\left\|D_{p}^{2} s\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+p^{2}\left\|\sum_{j} L_{K_{j}} L_{K_{j}} s\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+2 p \sum_{j}\left\|L_{K_{j}} D_{p} s\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.38) and (2.40), there exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|s\|_{\boldsymbol{H}_{p}^{2}} \leqslant C\left(\left\|\mathcal{L}_{p} s\right\|_{L^{2}}+p^{2}\|s\|_{L^{2}}\right) \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $Q$ be a differential operator of order $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with scalar principal symbol and with compact support in $U_{i}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathcal{L}_{p}, Q\right]=\left[D_{p}^{2}, Q\right]-p \sum_{j}\left[L_{K_{j}} L_{K_{j}}, Q\right] \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a differential operator of order $m+1$. Moreover, by (2.23), (2.36), the leading term of order $m-1$ differential operator in $\left[L_{K_{j}} L_{K_{j}}, Q\right]$ is $p^{2}\left[\left(\left(\Gamma^{L}-2 \pi \sqrt{-1} \mu\right)\left(K_{j}\right)\right)^{2}, Q\right]$.

Thus by (2.41) and (2.42),

$$
\begin{align*}
\|Q s\|_{\boldsymbol{H}_{p}^{2}} & \leqslant C\left(\left\|\mathcal{L}_{p} Q s\right\|_{L^{2}}+p^{2}\|Q s\|_{L^{2}}\right)  \tag{2.43}\\
& \leqslant C\left(\left\|Q \mathcal{L}_{p} s\right\|_{L^{2}}+p\|s\|_{\boldsymbol{H}_{p}^{m+1}}+p^{2}\|s\|_{\boldsymbol{H}_{p}^{m}}+p^{3}\|s\|_{\boldsymbol{H}_{p}^{m-1}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

This means

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|s\|_{\boldsymbol{H}_{p}^{2 m+2}} \leqslant C_{m} p^{2 m+2} \sum_{j=0}^{m+1}\left\|\mathcal{L}_{p}^{j} s\right\|_{L^{2}} \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, from

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{L}_{p}^{m^{\prime}} \phi_{p}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p}\right) Q s, s^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle s, Q^{*} \phi_{p}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p}\right) \mathcal{L}_{p}^{m^{\prime}} s^{\prime}\right\rangle
$$

(2.33) and (2.35), we know that for any $l, m^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_{l, m^{\prime}}>0$ such that for $p \geqslant 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{L}_{p}^{m^{\prime}} \phi_{p}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p}\right) Q s\right\|_{L^{2}} \leqslant C_{l, m^{\prime}} p^{-l+m}\|s\|_{L^{2}} \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

We deduce from (2.44) and (2.45) that if $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ are differential operators of order $m, m^{\prime}$ with compact support in $U_{i}, U_{j}$ respectively, then for any $l>0$, there exists $C_{l}>0$ such that for $p \geqslant 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|Q_{1} \phi_{p}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p}\right) Q_{2} s\right\|_{L^{2}} \leqslant C_{l} p^{-l}\|s\|_{L^{2}} \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

On $U_{i} \times U_{j}$, by using Sobolev inequality and (2.34), we get Proposition 2.6.
Observe that $K_{j}^{X}$ are vector fields along the orbits of the $G$-action, thus the contribution of $p L_{K_{j}} L_{K_{j}}$ in the wave operator $\cos \left(t \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{p}}\right)$ will propagate along the $G$-orbits, and the principal symbol of $\mathcal{L}_{p}$ is given by

$$
\sigma\left(\mathcal{L}_{p}\right)(\xi)=|\xi|^{2}+p \sum_{j}\left\langle K_{j}^{X}, \xi\right\rangle^{2} \quad \text { for } \xi \in T^{*} X
$$

By the finite propagation speed for solutions of hyperbolic equations [16, §7.8], [41, §4.4], [42, I. §2.6, §2.8], [31, Append. D.2], $\widetilde{F}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p}\right)\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ only depends on the restriction of $\mathcal{L}_{p}$ to $G \cdot B^{X}(x, \varepsilon)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{F}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p}\right)\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=0, \quad \text { if } d^{X}\left(G x, x^{\prime}\right) \geqslant \varepsilon \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

(When we apply the proof of $[42, \S 2.6, \S 2.8]$, $[31$, Append. D.2], we need to suppose that $\Sigma_{1}, \Sigma_{2}$ therein are $G$-space-like surfaces for the operator $\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}+D_{p}^{2}$ ).

Combining with Proposition 2.6, we know that the asymptotic of $P_{p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$ is localized on a neighborhood of $G x$.

Proof of Theorem 0.1. - From Proposition 2.6 and (2.47), we get (0.7) for any $x, x^{\prime} \in$ $X, d^{X}\left(G x, x^{\prime}\right) \geqslant \varepsilon_{0}$. Now we will establish (0.7) for $x, x^{\prime} \in X \backslash U$.

Recall that $U$ is a $G$-open neighborhood of $P=\mu^{-1}(0)$.
As 0 is a regular value of $\mu$, there exists $\epsilon_{0}>0$ such that $\mu: X_{2 \epsilon_{0}}=$ $\mu^{-1}\left(B^{\mathfrak{g}^{*}}\left(0,2 \epsilon_{0}\right)\right) \rightarrow B^{\mathfrak{g}^{*}}\left(0,2 \epsilon_{0}\right)$ is a submersion, $X_{2 \epsilon_{0}}$ is a $G$-open subset of $X$.

Fix $\varepsilon, \epsilon_{0}>0$ small enough such that $X_{2 \epsilon_{0}} \subset U$, and $d^{X}(x, y)>4 \varepsilon$ for any $x \in X_{\epsilon_{0}}$, $y \in X \backslash U$. Then $V_{\epsilon_{0}}=X \backslash X_{\epsilon_{0}}$ is a smooth $G$-manifold with boundary $\partial V_{\epsilon_{0}}$.

Consider the operator $\mathcal{L}_{p}$ on $V_{\epsilon_{0}}$ with the Dirichlet boundary condition. We denote it by $\mathcal{L}_{p, D}$. Note that $\mathcal{L}_{p, D}$ is self-adjoint.

Still from [42, §2.6, §2.8], [31, Append. D.2], the wave operator $\cos \left(t \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{p, D}}\right)$ is well defined and $\cos \left(t \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{p, D}}\right)\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ only depends on the restriction of $\mathcal{L}_{p}$ to $G$. $B^{X}(x, t) \cap V_{\epsilon_{0}}$, and is zero if $d^{X}\left(G x, x^{\prime}\right) \geqslant t$. Thus, by (2.31),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{F}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p}\right)\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\widetilde{F}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p, D}\right)\left(x, x^{\prime}\right), \quad \text { if } x, x^{\prime} \in X \backslash U \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now for $s \in \mathscr{C}_{0}^{\infty}\left(V_{\epsilon_{0}}, E_{p}\right)$, after taking an integration over $G$, we can get the decomposition $s=s_{1}+s_{2}$ with $s_{1} \in \Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)^{G}, s_{2} \in\left(\Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)^{G}\right)^{\perp}$ and $\operatorname{supp}\left(s_{i}\right) \subset V_{\epsilon_{0}} \backslash \partial V_{\epsilon_{0}}$.

Since $\sum_{i=1}^{\operatorname{dim} G} L_{K_{i}} L_{K_{i}}$ commutes with the $G$-action, $\mathcal{L}_{p} s_{1} \in \Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)^{G}$, $\mathcal{L}_{p} s_{2} \in\left(\Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)^{G}\right)^{\perp}$ and, by (2.24), (2.28),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\mathcal{L}_{p} s, s\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathcal{L}_{p} s_{1}, s_{1}\right\rangle+\left\langle\mathcal{L}_{p} s_{2}, s_{2}\right\rangle  \tag{2.49}\\
& \quad=\left\|D_{p} s_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}-p\left\langle\rho(\mathrm{Cas}) s_{2}, s_{2}\right\rangle+\left\langle D_{p}^{2} s_{1}, s_{1}\right\rangle \\
& \\
& \quad \geqslant p \nu_{1}\left\|s_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left\langle D_{p}^{2} s_{1}, s_{1}\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

To estimate the term $\left\langle D_{p}^{2} s_{1}, s_{1}\right\rangle$, we will use the Lichnerowicz formula.
Recall that the Bochner-Laplacian $\Delta^{E_{p}}$ on $E_{p}$ is defined by (1.21).
Let $r^{X}$ be the Riemannian scalar curvature of $\left(T X, g^{T X}\right)$.
Let $\left\{w_{a}\right\}$ be an orthonormal frame of $\left(T^{(1,0)} X, g^{T X}\right)$. Set

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega_{d}=-\sum_{a, b} R^{L}\left(w_{a}, \bar{w}_{b}\right) \bar{w}^{b} \wedge i_{\bar{w}_{a}} \\
& \tau(x)=\sum_{a} R^{L}\left(w_{a}, \bar{w}_{a}\right), \quad R_{\tau}^{E}=\sum_{a} R^{E}\left(w_{a}, \bar{w}_{a}\right)  \tag{2.50}\\
& \mathbf{c}(R)=\sum_{a<b}\left(R^{E}+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left[R^{T^{(1,0)} X}\right]\right)\left(e_{a}, e_{b}\right) c\left(e_{a}\right) c\left(e_{b}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The Lichnerowicz formula [1, Theorem 3.52] (cf. [27, Theorem 2.2]) for $D_{p}^{2}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{p}^{2}=\Delta^{E_{p}}-2 p \omega_{d}-p \tau+\frac{1}{4} r^{X}+\mathbf{c}(R) \tag{2.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Especially, as $\operatorname{supp}\left(s_{i}\right) \subset V_{\epsilon_{0}} \backslash \partial V_{\epsilon_{0}}$, from (2.51), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle D_{p}^{2} s_{1}, s_{1}\right\rangle=\left\|\nabla^{E_{p}} s_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}-p\left\langle\left(2 \omega_{d}+\tau\right) s_{1}, s_{1}\right\rangle+\left\langle\left(\frac{1}{4} r^{X}+\mathbf{c}(R)\right) s_{1}, s_{1}\right\rangle \tag{2.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $s_{1} \in \Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)^{G}$, from (1.13), for any $K \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{K^{X}}^{E_{p}} s_{1}=\left(L_{K}+\mu^{E_{p}}(K)\right) s_{1}=\mu^{E_{p}}(K) s_{1} \tag{2.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.23) and (2.53), there exist $C, C^{\prime}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\nabla^{E_{p}} s_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} & \geqslant C \sum_{j}\left\|\nabla_{K_{j}^{X}}^{E_{p}} s_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=C \sum_{j}\left\|\mu^{E_{p}}\left(K_{j}\right) s_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}  \tag{2.54}\\
& \geqslant C p^{2}\left\||\mu| s_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}-C^{\prime}\left\|s_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \geqslant C \epsilon_{0}^{2} p^{2}\left\|s_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}-C^{\prime}\left\|s_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.49)-(2.54), for $p$ large enough,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathcal{L}_{p} s, s\right\rangle \geqslant p \nu_{1}\left\|s_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+C p^{2}\left\|s_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} . \tag{2.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus there are $C, C^{\prime}>0$ such that for $p \geqslant 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p, D}\right) \subset\left[C p-C^{\prime}, \infty[\right. \tag{2.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now as $\left.K_{j}^{X}\right|_{\partial V_{\epsilon_{0}}} \in T \partial V_{\epsilon_{0}}$ for any $j$, thus $L_{K_{j}}$ preserves the Dirichlet boundary condition. We get for $l \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{K_{j}} \phi_{p}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p, D}\right)=\phi_{p}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p, D}\right) L_{K_{j}}, \quad\left(\mathcal{L}_{p, D}\right)^{l} \phi_{p}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p, D}\right)=\phi_{p}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p, D}\right)\left(\mathcal{L}_{p, D}\right)^{l} \tag{2.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus from (2.24), (2.39) and (2.57),

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{p, D}^{2} \leqslant \mathcal{L}_{p, D} \tag{2.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $l \in \mathbb{N},\left(D_{p, D}^{2}\right)^{l}$ commutes with the operator $\phi_{p}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p, D}\right)$.
Let $\phi_{p}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p, D}\right)\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ be the smooth kernel of $\phi_{p}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p, D}\right)$ with respect to $d v_{X}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$.
Then from the above argument we get that $\left(D_{p, x}^{2}\right)^{l}\left(D_{p, x^{\prime}}^{2}\right)^{k} \phi_{p}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p, D}\right)\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ verifies the Dirichlet boundary condition for $x, x^{\prime}$ respectively for any $l, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

By (2.36) and the elliptic estimate for Laplacian with Dirichlet boundary condition [42, Theorem 5.1.3], there exists $C>0$ such that for $s \in H^{2 m+2}\left(X, E_{p}\right) \cap \boldsymbol{H}_{0}^{1}\left(X, E_{p}\right)$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|s\|_{\boldsymbol{H}_{p}^{2 m+2}} \leqslant C\left(\left\|D_{p}^{2} s\right\|_{\boldsymbol{H}_{p}^{2 m}}+p^{2}\|s\|_{\boldsymbol{H}_{p}^{2 m+1}}\right) \tag{2.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus if $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ are differential operators of order $2 m, 2 m^{\prime}$ with compact support in $U_{i}, U_{j}$ respectively, by (2.59) and (2.58), as in (2.44), we get for $s \in \mathscr{C}_{0}^{\infty}\left(V_{\epsilon_{0}}, E_{p}\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|Q_{1} \phi_{p}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p, D}\right) Q_{2} s\right\|_{L^{2}} & \leqslant C p^{4 m+4 m^{\prime}} \sum_{j_{1}=0}^{m} \sum_{j_{2}=0}^{m^{\prime}}\left\|\left(D_{p, D}^{2}\right)^{j_{1}} \phi_{p}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p, D}\right)\left(D_{p, D}^{2}\right)^{j_{2}} s\right\|_{L^{2}}  \tag{2.60}\\
& \leqslant C p^{4 m+4 m^{\prime}} \sum_{j_{1}=0}^{m} \sum_{j_{2}=0}^{m^{\prime}}\left\|\left(\mathcal{L}_{p, D}\right)^{j_{1}} \phi_{p}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p, D}\right)\left(\mathcal{L}_{p, D}\right)^{j_{2}} s\right\|_{L^{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.56), (2.60), as in (2.46), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|Q_{1} \phi_{p}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p, D}\right) Q_{2} s\right\|_{L^{2}} \leqslant C_{l} p^{-l}\|s\|_{L^{2}} \tag{2.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using Sobolev inequality as in the proof of Proposition 2.6, from (2.32), (2.48) and (2.61), we get Theorem 0.1.

### 2.5. Induced operator on $U / G$

Let $U$ be a $G$-neighborhood of $P=\mu^{-1}(0)$ in $X$ such that $G$ acts freely on $\bar{U}$, the closure of $U$. We will use the notation as in Introduction and Sections 1.1, 1.2 with $X$ therein replaced by $U$, especially $B=U / G$.

Let $\pi: U \rightarrow B$ be the natural projection with fiber $Y$. Let $T Y$ be the sub-bundle of $T U$ generated by the $G$-action, let $g^{T Y}, g^{T P}$ be the metrics on $T Y, T P$ induced by $g^{T X}$.

Let $T^{H} U, T^{H} P$ be the orthogonal complements of $T Y$ in $T U,\left(T P, g^{T P}\right)$. Let $g^{T^{H} U}$ be the metric on $T^{H} U$ induced by $g^{T X}$, and it induces naturally a Riemannian metric $g^{T B}$ on $B$.

Let $d v_{B}$ be the Riemannian volume form on $\left(B, g^{T B}\right)$.
Recall that in (1.20), we defined the isometry

$$
\Phi=h \pi_{G}:\left(\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(U, E_{p}\right)^{G},\langle,\rangle\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(B, E_{p, B}\right),\langle,\rangle\right)
$$

By (1.14), $\mu^{E_{p}}$ defines a $G$-invariant section $\widetilde{\mu}^{E_{p}}$ of $T Y \otimes \operatorname{End}\left(E_{p}\right)$ on $U$.
Remark that $\omega_{d}, \tau, \mathbf{c}(R)$ in (2.50) are $G$-invariant. We still denote by $\omega_{d}, \tau, \mathbf{c}(R)$ the induced sections on $B$.

As a direct corollary of Theorem 1.3 and (2.51), we get the following result,
Proposition 2.7. - $A s$ an operator on $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(B, E_{p, B}\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi \mathcal{L}_{p} \Phi^{-1}= & \Phi D_{p}^{2} \Phi^{-1}  \tag{2.62}\\
& =\Delta^{E_{p, B}}-\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}^{E_{p}}, \widetilde{\mu}^{E_{p}}\right\rangle_{g^{T Y}}-\frac{1}{h} \Delta_{B} h-2 p \omega_{d}-p \tau+\frac{1}{4} r^{X}+\mathbf{c}(R)
\end{align*}
$$

From Theorem 0.1, Prop. 2.6 and (2.47), modulo $\mathscr{O}\left(p^{-\infty}\right), P_{p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ depends only the restriction of $\mathcal{L}_{p}$ on $U$.

To get a complete picture on $P_{p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$, we explain now that modulo $\mathscr{O}\left(p^{-\infty}\right)$, $P_{p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ depends only on the restriction of $\Phi \mathcal{L}_{p} \Phi^{-1}$ on any neighborhood of $X_{G}$ in $B$.

As in the proof of Theorem 0.1, we will fix $\epsilon_{0}>0$ small enough such that $X_{2 \epsilon_{0}}=$ $\mu^{-1}\left(B^{\mathfrak{g}^{*}}\left(0,2 \epsilon_{0}\right)\right) \subset U$, and the constant $\varepsilon>0$ verifying that $d^{X}(x, y)>4 \varepsilon$ for any $x \in X_{\epsilon_{0}}, y \in X \backslash U$. Set $B_{\epsilon_{0}}=\pi\left(X_{\epsilon_{0}}\right)$.

Let $\widetilde{F}\left(\Phi \mathcal{L}_{p} \Phi^{-1}\right)\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\left(x, x^{\prime} \in B_{\epsilon_{0}}\right)$ be the smooth kernel of $\widetilde{F}\left(\Phi \mathcal{L}_{p} \Phi^{-1}\right)$ with respect to $d v_{B}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$. We will also view $\widetilde{F}\left(\Phi \mathcal{L}_{p} \Phi^{-1}\right)$ as a $G \times G$-invariant section of $\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} E_{p} \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} E_{p}^{*}$ on $X_{\epsilon_{0}} \times X_{\epsilon_{0}}$.

Theorem 2.8. - For any $l, m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_{l, m}>0$ such that for $p \geqslant 1$, $x, x^{\prime} \in X_{\epsilon_{0}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|h(x) h\left(x^{\prime}\right) P_{p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)-\widetilde{F}\left(\Phi \mathcal{L}_{p} \Phi^{-1}\right)\left(\pi(x), \pi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)\right|_{\mathscr{C}^{m}\left(X_{\epsilon_{0}} \times X_{\epsilon_{0}}\right)} \leqslant C_{l, m} p^{-l} \tag{2.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. - Let $Q: \mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(X, E_{p}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(X, E_{p}\right)^{G}$ be the orthogonal projection and $Q^{\perp}=\operatorname{Id}-Q$. Then $D_{p}, \mathcal{L}_{p}$ commute with $Q, Q^{\perp}$, thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{F}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p}\right)=\widetilde{F}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p}\right) Q+\widetilde{F}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p}\right) Q^{\perp} \tag{2.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left(\widetilde{F}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p}\right) Q\right)\left(x, x^{\prime}\right),\left(\widetilde{F}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p}\right) Q^{\perp}\right)\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ be the Schwartz kernel of the operators $\widetilde{F}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p}\right) Q, \widetilde{F}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p}\right) Q^{\perp}$ with respect to $d v_{X}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$.

Now, by (2.4), (2.24), on $\operatorname{Im}\left(Q^{\perp}\right), \operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{p}}\right) \subset\left[\mathrm{p} \nu_{1},+\infty\left[\right.\right.$. As $\mathcal{L}_{p}$ commutes with $Q^{\perp}$, by the same argument as in (2.32), (2.46), we get for any $l, m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_{l, m}>0$ such that for $p \geqslant 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(\widetilde{F}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p}\right) Q^{\perp}\right)\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\mathscr{C}^{m}\left(X_{\epsilon_{0}} \times X_{\epsilon_{0}}\right)} \leqslant C_{l, m} p^{-l} \tag{2.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $d^{B}(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the Riemannian distance on $B$.
By (2.62) and the finite propagation speed for solutions of hyperbolic equations $[\mathbf{1 6}, \S 7.8],[41, \S 4.4]$ (cf. [31, Append. D]), $\widetilde{F}\left(\Phi \mathcal{L}_{p} \Phi^{-1}\right)\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ only depends on the restriction of $\Phi \mathcal{L}_{p} \Phi^{-1}$ to $B^{B}(x, \varepsilon)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{F}\left(\Phi \mathcal{L}_{p} \Phi^{-1}\right)\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=0, \quad \text { if } d^{B}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \geqslant \varepsilon \tag{2.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now by (2.47), (2.66) and the isometry $\Phi$ in (1.20), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(\widetilde{F}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p}\right) Q\right) \Phi^{-1}=\widetilde{F}\left(\Phi \mathcal{L}_{p} \Phi^{-1}\right) \tag{2.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.67), for $x, x^{\prime} \in X_{\epsilon_{0}}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x) h\left(x^{\prime}\right)\left(\widetilde{F}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p}\right) Q\right)\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\widetilde{F}\left(\Phi \mathcal{L}_{p} \Phi^{-1}\right)\left(\pi(x), \pi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) \tag{2.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, by (0.10) and (2.67), for any $s \in \mathscr{C}_{0}^{\infty}\left(B_{\epsilon_{0}}, E_{p, G}\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\widetilde{F}\left(\Phi \mathcal{L}_{p} \Phi^{-1}\right) s\right)(\pi(x))=\left(\Phi\left(\widetilde{F}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p}\right) Q\right) \Phi^{-1} s\right)(\pi(x)) \\
& \quad=h(x) \int_{X_{\epsilon_{0}}}\left(\widetilde{F}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p}\right) Q\right)\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) h^{-1}\left(x^{\prime}\right) s\left(x^{\prime}\right) d v_{X}\left(x^{\prime}\right)  \tag{2.69}\\
& \quad=h(x) \int_{B_{\epsilon_{0}}}\left(\widetilde{F}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p}\right) Q\right)\left(x, y^{\prime}\right) h\left(y^{\prime}\right) s\left(y^{\prime}\right) d v_{B}\left(y^{\prime}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.32), (2.64), (2.65) and (2.68), we get (2.63).
Theorem 2.8 and (2.66) help us to understand that the asymptotic behavior of $P_{p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ is local near $X_{G}$. In the rest, we will not use directly Theorem 2.8.

### 2.6. Rescaling and a Taylor expansion of the operator $\Phi \mathcal{L}_{p} \Phi^{-1}$

Recall that $N_{G}$ is the normal bundle of $X_{G}$ in $B$, and we identify $N_{G}$ as the orthogonal complement of $T X_{G}$ in $\left(\left.T B\right|_{X_{G}}, g^{T B}\right)$.

Let $P^{T X_{G}}, P^{N_{G}}$ be the orthogonal projection from $\left.T B\right|_{X_{G}}$ on $T X_{G}, N_{G}$.
Recall that $\nabla^{N_{G}},{ }^{0} \nabla^{T B}$ are connections on $N_{G}, T B$ on $X_{G}$, and $A$ is the associated second fundamental form defined in (0.9).

We fix $x_{0} \in X_{G}$.

If $W \in T_{x_{0}} X_{G}$, let $\mathbb{R} \ni t \rightarrow x_{t}=\exp _{x_{0}}^{X_{G}}(t W) \in X_{G}$ be the geodesic in $X_{G}$ such that $\left.x_{t}\right|_{t=0}=x_{0},\left.\frac{d x}{d t}\right|_{t=0}=W$.

If $W \in T_{x_{0}} X_{G},|W| \leqslant \varepsilon, V \in N_{G, x_{0}}$, let $\tau_{W} V \in N_{G, \exp _{x_{0}}{ }^{x_{G}(W)}}$ be the natural parallel transport of $V$ with respect to the connection $\nabla^{N_{G}}$ along the curve $[0,1] \ni$ $t \rightarrow \exp _{x_{0}}^{X_{G}}(t W)$.

If $Z \in T_{x_{0}} B, Z=Z^{0}+Z^{\perp}, Z^{0} \in T_{x_{0}} X_{G}, Z^{\perp} \in N_{G, x_{0}},\left|Z^{0}\right|,\left|Z^{\perp}\right| \leqslant \varepsilon$, we identify $Z$ with $\exp _{\exp _{x_{0}}^{B}\left(Z^{0}\right)}^{x_{G}}\left(\tau_{Z^{0}} Z^{\perp}\right)$. This identification is a diffeomorphism from $B_{x_{0}}^{T X_{G}}(0, \varepsilon) \times B_{x_{0}}^{N_{G}}(0, \varepsilon)$ into an open neighborhood $\mathscr{U}\left(x_{0}\right)$ of $x_{0}$ in $B$. We denote it by $\Psi$, and $\mathscr{U}\left(x_{0}\right) \cap X_{G}=B_{x_{0}}^{T X_{G}}(0, \varepsilon) \times\{0\}$.

From now on, we use indifferently the notation $B_{x_{0}}^{T X_{G}}(0, \varepsilon) \times B_{x_{0}}^{N_{G}}(0, \varepsilon)$ or $\mathscr{U}\left(x_{0}\right)$, $x_{0}$ or $0, \cdots$.

We identify $\left(L_{B}\right)_{Z},\left(E_{B}\right)_{Z}$ and $\left(E_{p, B}\right)_{Z}$ to $\left(L_{B}\right)_{x_{0}},\left(E_{B}\right)_{x_{0}}$ and $\left(E_{p, B}\right)_{x_{0}}$ by using parallel transport with respect to $\nabla^{L_{B}}, \nabla^{E_{B}}$ and $\nabla^{E_{p, B}}$ along the curve $\gamma_{u}:[0,1] \ni$ $u \rightarrow u Z$.

Recall that $T^{H} U \subset T X$ is the horizontal bundle for $\pi: U \rightarrow B$ defined in Section 2.5.

Let $P^{T^{H} U}$ be the orthogonal projection from $T X$ onto $T^{H} U$.
For $W \in T B$, let $W^{H} \in T^{H} U$ be the horizontal lift of $W$.
For $y_{0} \in \pi^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right)$, we define the curve $\widetilde{\gamma}_{u}:[0,1] \rightarrow X$ to be the lift of the curve $\gamma_{u}$ with $\widetilde{\gamma}_{0}=y_{0}$ and $\frac{\partial \widetilde{\gamma}_{u}}{\partial u} \in T^{H} U$. Then on $\pi^{-1}\left(B^{T B}(0, \varepsilon)\right)$, we use the parallel transport with respect to $\nabla^{L}, \nabla^{E}$ and $\nabla^{E_{p}}$ along the curve $\widetilde{\gamma}_{u}$ to trivialize the corresponding bundles. By (1.17), the previous trivialization is naturally induced by this one.

This also gives a trivialization of $\pi^{-1}\left(B^{T B}(0, \varepsilon)\right)$ as $G \times B^{T B}(0, \varepsilon)$, and the $G$-action on $G \times B^{T B}(0, \varepsilon)$ induced from its action on $\pi^{-1}\left(B^{T B}(0, \varepsilon)\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(1, Z)=(g, Z) \tag{2.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{e_{i}^{0}\right\},\left\{e_{j}^{\perp}\right\}$ be orthonormal basis of $T_{x_{0}} X_{G}, N_{G, x_{0}}$, then $\left\{e_{i}\right\}=\left\{e_{i}^{0}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $T_{x_{0}} B$. Let $\left\{e^{i}\right\}$ be its dual basis. We will also denote $\Psi_{*}\left(e_{i}^{0}\right), \Psi_{*}\left(e_{j}^{\perp}\right)$ by $e_{i}^{0}, e_{j}^{\perp}$. Thus in our coordinates,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_{i}^{0}}=e_{i}^{0}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial Z_{j}^{\perp}}=e_{j}^{\perp} . \tag{2.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

In what follows, for $\varepsilon>0$ small enough, we will extend the geometric objects on $B^{T B}\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)$ to $\mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}} \simeq T_{x_{0}} B$ (here we identify $\left(Z_{1}, \cdots, Z_{2 n-n_{0}}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}$ to $\left.\sum_{i} Z_{i} e_{i} \in T_{x_{0}} B\right)$ such that $D_{p}$ will become the restriction of a $\operatorname{spin}^{c}$ Dirac operator on $G \times \mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}$ associated to a Hermitian line bundle with positive curvature. In this way, we can replace $X$ by $G \times \mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}$.

First of all, we denote by $L_{0}, E_{0}$ the trivial bundles $\left.L\right|_{G y_{0}},\left.E\right|_{G y_{0}}$, lifted on $X_{0}=$ $G \times \mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}$, and we still denote by $\nabla^{L}, \nabla^{E}, h^{L}$ etc. the connections and metrics on $L_{0}, E_{0}$ on $\pi^{-1}\left(B^{T_{x_{0}} B}(0,4 \varepsilon)\right)$ induced by the above identification. Then $h^{L}, h^{E}$ is identified with the constant metrics $h^{L_{0}}=h^{L_{y_{0}}}, h^{E_{0}}=h^{E_{y_{0}}}$.

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}^{\perp}=\sum_{j} Z_{j}^{\perp} e_{j}^{\perp}=Z^{\perp}, \quad \mathcal{R}^{0}=\sum_{i} Z_{i}^{0} e_{i}^{0}=Z^{0}, \quad \mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R}^{\perp}+\mathcal{R}^{0}=Z \tag{2.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\mathcal{R}$ is the radial vector field on $\mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}$.
Let $\varepsilon>0$ with $\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0} / 2$. Let $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a smooth even function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(v)=1 \text { if }|v|<2 ; \quad \varphi(v)=0 \text { if }|v|>4 \tag{2.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varphi_{\varepsilon}: X_{0} \rightarrow X_{0}$ be the map defined by $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(g, Z)=(g, \varphi(|Z| / \varepsilon) Z)$ for $(g, Z) \in$ $G \times \mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}$.

Let $g^{T X_{0}}(g, Z)=g^{T X}\left(\varphi_{\varepsilon}(g, Z)\right), J_{0}(g, Z)=J\left(\varphi_{\varepsilon}(g, Z)\right)$ be the metric and almostcomplex structure on $X_{0}$.

Let $\nabla^{E_{0}}=\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{*} \nabla^{E}$, then $\nabla^{E_{0}}$ is the extension of $\nabla^{E}$ on $\pi^{-1}\left(B^{T_{x_{0}} B}(0, \varepsilon)\right)$.
Let $\nabla^{L_{0}}$ be the Hermitian connection on $\left(L_{0}, h^{L_{0}}\right)$ on $G \times \mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}$ defined by that for $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{L_{0}}=\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{*} \nabla^{L}+\left(1-\varphi\left(\frac{|Z|}{\varepsilon}\right)\right) R_{y_{0}}^{L}\left(\mathcal{R}^{H}, P_{y_{0}}^{T Y} \cdot\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\varphi^{2}\left(\frac{|Z|}{\varepsilon}\right)\right) R_{y_{0}}^{L}\left(\mathcal{R}^{H}, P_{y_{0}}^{T^{H} U} \cdot\right) \tag{2.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

We calculate directly that its curvature $R^{L_{0}}=\left(\nabla^{L_{0}}\right)^{2}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{Z}^{L_{0}}=\psi_{\varepsilon}^{*} R^{L}+d((1\left.\left.-\varphi\left(\frac{|Z|}{\varepsilon}\right)\right) R_{y_{0}}^{L}\left(Z, P_{y_{0}}^{T Y} \cdot\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\varphi^{2}\left(\frac{|Z|}{\varepsilon}\right)\right) R_{y_{0}}^{L}\left(Z, P_{y_{0}}^{T^{H} U} \cdot\right)\right)  \tag{2.75}\\
&=R_{\psi_{\varepsilon}(Z)}^{L}\left(P_{y_{0}}^{T Y} \cdot, P_{y_{0}}^{T Y} \cdot\right)+R_{y_{0}}^{L}\left(P_{y_{0}}^{T^{H} U} \cdot, \cdot\right) \\
&+\varphi^{2}\left(\frac{|Z|}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(R_{\psi_{\varepsilon}(Z)}^{L}-R_{y_{0}}^{L}\right)\left(P_{y_{0}}^{T^{H} U} \cdot, P_{y_{0}}^{T^{H} U} \cdot\right) \\
&+\varphi\left(\frac{|Z|}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(R_{\psi_{\varepsilon}(Z)}^{L}-R_{y_{0}}^{L}\right)\left(P_{y_{0}}^{T^{H} U} \cdot, P_{y_{0}}^{T Y} \cdot\right) \\
&-\varphi^{\prime}\left(\frac{|Z|}{\varepsilon}\right) \frac{Z^{*}}{\varepsilon|Z|} \wedge\left[R_{y_{0}}^{L}\left(Z, P_{y_{0}}^{T Y} \cdot\right)-R_{\psi_{\varepsilon}(Z)}^{L}\left(Z, P_{y_{0}}^{T Y} \cdot\right)\right] \\
& \quad-\left(\varphi \varphi^{\prime}\right)\left(\frac{|Z|}{\varepsilon}\right) \frac{Z^{*}}{\varepsilon|Z|} \wedge\left[R_{y_{0}}^{L}\left(Z, P_{y_{0}}^{T^{H} U} \cdot\right)-R_{\psi_{\varepsilon}(Z)}^{L}\left(Z, P_{y_{0}}^{T^{H} U} \cdot\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Here $Z^{*} \in T_{x_{0}}^{*} B$ is the dual of $Z \in T_{x_{0}} B$ with respect to the metric $g^{T_{x_{0}} B}$.
From (2.75), one deduces that $R^{L_{0}}$ is positive in the sense of (2.10) for $\varepsilon$ small enough, and the corresponding constant $\nu_{0}$ for $R^{L_{0}}$ is bigger than $\frac{4}{5} \nu_{0}$ uniformly for $y_{0} \in P$.

From now on, we fix $\varepsilon$ as above.
Now $G$ acts naturally on $X_{0}$ by (2.70), and under our identification, the $G$-action on $L, E$ on $G \times B^{T_{x_{0}} B}(0, \varepsilon)$ is exactly the $G$-action on $\left.L\right|_{G y_{0}},\left.E\right|_{G y_{0}}$.

We define a $G$-action on $L_{0}, E_{0}$ by its $G$-action on $G y_{0}$, then it extends the $G$-action on $L, E$ on $G \times B^{T_{x_{0}} B}(0, \varepsilon)$ to $X_{0}$.

By (2.17), for any $K \in \mathfrak{g}, W \in T P$ on $P=\mu^{-1}(0)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& R^{L}\left(W, K^{X}\right)=-2 \pi \sqrt{-1} \omega\left(W, K^{X}\right)=2 \pi \sqrt{-1} W(\mu(K))=0 \\
& R_{\left(1, Z^{0}\right)}^{L}\left(\mathcal{R}^{H}, K^{X}\right)=R_{\left(1, Z^{0}\right)}^{L}\left(\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)^{H}, K^{X}\right) \tag{2.76}
\end{align*}
$$

Observe that for $(1, Z) \in G \times \mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}$, by $(2.70), \varphi_{\varepsilon *} K_{(1, Z)}^{X_{0}}=K_{y_{0}}^{X}$ for $K \in \mathfrak{g}$, by (2.16), the moment map $\mu_{X_{0}}: X_{0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ of the $G$-action on $X_{0}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \pi \sqrt{-1} \mu_{X_{0}}(K)_{(1, Z)}=\left(1-\varphi\left(\frac{|Z|}{\varepsilon}\right)\right) R_{y_{0}}^{L}\left(\mathcal{R}^{H}, K_{y_{0}}^{X}\right)+2 \pi \sqrt{-1} \mu(K)_{\varphi_{\varepsilon}(1, Z)} \tag{2.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now from the choice of our coordinate, we know that $\mu_{X_{0}}=0$ on $G \times \mathbb{R}^{2 n-2 n_{0}} \times\{0\}$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \pi \sqrt{-1} \mu(K)_{\varphi_{\varepsilon}(1, Z)}=R_{(1, Z)}^{L}\left(\varphi\left(\frac{|Z|}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)^{H}, K^{X}\right)+\mathscr{O}\left(\varphi\left(\frac{|Z|}{\varepsilon}\right)\left|Z \| Z^{\perp}\right|\right) \tag{2.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

From our construction, (2.77) and (2.78), we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{X_{0}}^{-1}(0)=G \times \mathbb{R}^{2 n-2 n_{0}} \times\{0\} \tag{2.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.76) and (2.77), for $Z \in T_{x_{0}} B,|Z| \geqslant 4 \varepsilon$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \pi \sqrt{-1} \mu_{X_{0}}(K)_{(1, Z)}=R_{y_{0}}^{L}\left(\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)^{H}, K_{y_{0}}^{X}\right) \tag{2.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $D_{p}^{X_{0}}$ be the Dirac operator on $X_{0}$ associated to the above data by the construction in Section 2.2. By the argument in [27, p. 656-657] and the proof of Theorem 2.5 , we know the analogue of Theorems 2.2, 2.5 still holds for $D_{p}^{X_{0}}$. Let $\mathcal{L}_{p}^{X_{0}}$ be the operator on $X_{0}$ defined as in (2.24). Then there exists $C>0$ such that for $p \geqslant 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p}^{X_{0}}\right) \subset\{0\} \cup[p \nu-C,+\infty[ \tag{2.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0, p}=\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X_{0}\right) \otimes L_{0}^{p} \otimes E_{0} \tag{2.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $g^{T B_{0}}$ be the metric on $B_{0}=\mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}$ induced by $g^{T X_{0}}$, and let $d v_{B_{0}}$ be the Riemannian volume form on $\left(B_{0}, g^{T B_{0}}\right)$.

The operator $\Phi \mathcal{L}_{p}^{X_{0}} \Phi^{-1}$ is also well-defined on $T_{x_{0}} B \simeq \mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}$.
Let $P_{x_{0}, p}$ be the orthogonal projection from $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}},\left(E_{0, p}\right)_{B_{0}}\right)$ onto $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\Phi \mathcal{L}_{p}^{X_{0}} \Phi^{-1}\right)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}$. Let $P_{x_{0}, p}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)\left(Z, Z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}\right)$ be the smooth kernel of $P_{x_{0}, p}$ with respect to $d v_{B_{0}}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)$. As before, we view $P_{x_{0}, p}$ as a $G \times G$-invariant section of $\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*}\left(E_{0, p}\right) \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*}\left(E_{0, p}\right)^{*}$ on $X_{0} \times X_{0}$.

Let $P_{0, p}^{G}$ be the orthogonal projection from $\Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X_{0}, L_{0}^{p} \otimes E_{0}\right)$ onto $\left(\operatorname{Ker} D_{p}^{X_{0}}\right)^{G}$, and let $P_{0, p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ be the smooth kernel of $P_{0, p}^{G}$ with respect to the volume form $d v_{X_{0}}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$.

Note that $\Phi$ in (1.20) defines an isometry from $\left(\operatorname{Ker} D_{p}^{X_{0}}\right)^{G}=\operatorname{Ker} \mathcal{L}_{p}^{X_{0}}$ onto $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\Phi \mathcal{L}_{p}^{X_{0}} \Phi^{-1}\right)$, as in (2.68), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x) h\left(x^{\prime}\right) P_{0, p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=P_{x_{0}, p}\left(\pi(x), \pi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) \tag{2.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.9. - For any $l, m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_{l, m}>0$ such that for $x, x^{\prime} \in$ $G \times B^{T_{x_{0}} B}(0, \varepsilon)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(P_{0, p}^{G}-P_{p}^{G}\right)\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\mathscr{C} m} \leqslant C_{l, m} p^{-l} \tag{2.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. - By the analogue of Theorems 2.2, 2.5, we know that for $x, x^{\prime} \in G \times$ $B^{T_{x_{0}} B}(0, \varepsilon), P_{0, p}^{G}-\widetilde{F}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p}^{X_{0}}\right)$ verifies also (2.32), and for $x, x^{\prime} \in G \times B^{T_{x_{0}} B}(0, \varepsilon)$,

$$
\widetilde{F}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p}^{X_{0}}\right)\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\widetilde{F}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p}\right)\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)
$$

by finite propagation speed. Thus we get (2.84).
Let $T^{*(0,1)} X_{0}$ be the anti-holomorphic cotangent bundle of $\left(X_{0}, J_{0}\right)$. Since $J_{0}(g, Z)=J\left(\varphi_{\varepsilon}(g, Z)\right), T_{Z, J_{0}}^{*(0,1)} X_{0}$ is naturally identified with $T_{\varphi_{\varepsilon}(g, Z), J}^{*(0,1)} X_{0}$.

Let $\nabla^{\text {Cliff }_{0}}$ be the Clifford connection on $\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X_{0}\right)$ induced by the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla^{T X_{0}}$ on $\left(X_{0}, g^{T X_{0}}\right)$. Let $R^{E_{0}}, R^{T X_{0}}, R^{\text {Cliff }_{0}}$ be the corresponding curvatures on $E_{0}, T X_{0}$ and $\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X_{0}\right)$ (cf. (2.12)).

We identify $\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X_{0}\right)_{(g, Z)}$ with $\Lambda\left(T_{(g, 0)}^{*(0,1)} X\right)$ by identifying first $\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X_{0}\right)_{(g, Z)}$ with $\Lambda\left(T_{\varphi_{\varepsilon}(g, Z), J}^{*(0,1)} X_{0}\right)$, which in turn is identified with $\Lambda\left(T_{g y_{0}}^{*(0,1)} X\right)$ by using parallel transport along $u \rightarrow u \varphi_{\varepsilon}(g, Z)$ with respect to $\nabla^{\text {Cliff }_{0}}$. We also trivialize $\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X_{0}\right)$ in this way.

Let $S_{L}$ be a $G$-invariant unit section of $\left.L\right|_{G y_{0}}$. Using $S_{L}$ and the above discussion, we get an isometry

$$
\left.\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X_{0}\right) \otimes L_{0}^{p} \otimes E_{0} \simeq\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes E\right)\right|_{\pi^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right)}=:\left.\mathbf{E}\right|_{\pi^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right)}
$$

For any $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2 n-n_{0}$, let $\widetilde{e}_{i}(Z)$ be the parallel transport of $e_{i}$ with respect to the connection ${ }^{0} \nabla^{T B}$ along $[0,1] \ni u \rightarrow u Z^{0}$, and with respect to the connection $\nabla^{T B}$ along $[1,2] \ni u \rightarrow Z^{0}+(u-1) Z^{\perp}$.

Recall that $A, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}$ have been defined in (0.9), (2.72).
The following Lemma extends [1, Prop. 1.28] (cf. also [17, Lemma 4.5]).
Lemma 2.10. - The Taylor expansion of $\widetilde{e}_{i}(Z)$ with respect to the basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ to order $r$ is a polynomial of the Taylor expansion of the curvature coefficients of $R^{T B}$ to order $r-2$ and $A$ to order $r-1$.

Proof. - Let $\partial_{i}=\nabla_{e_{i}}$ be the partial derivatives along $e_{i}$.
Let $\Gamma^{T B}$ be the connection form of $\nabla^{T B}$ with respect to the frame $\left\{\widetilde{e}_{i}\right\}$ of $T B$. By the definition of our fixed frame, we have $i_{\mathcal{R}^{\perp}} \Gamma^{T B}=0$. As in $[\mathbf{1},(1.12)]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\mathcal{R}^{\perp}} \Gamma^{T B}=\left[i_{\mathcal{R}^{\perp}}, d\right] \Gamma^{T B}=i_{\mathcal{R}^{\perp}}\left(d \Gamma^{T B}+\Gamma^{T B} \wedge \Gamma^{T B}\right)=i_{\mathcal{R}^{\perp}} R^{T B} . \tag{2.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Theta(Z)=\left(\theta_{j}^{i}(Z)\right)_{i, j=1}^{2 n-n_{0}}$ be the $\left(2 n-n_{0}\right) \times\left(2 n-n_{0}\right)$-matrix such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{i}=\sum_{j} \theta_{i}^{j}(Z) \widetilde{e}_{j}(Z), \quad \widetilde{e}_{j}(Z)=\left(\Theta(Z)^{-1}\right)_{j}^{k} e_{k} \tag{2.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $\theta^{j}(Z)=\sum_{i} \theta_{i}^{j}(Z) e^{i}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\sum_{j} e^{j} \otimes e_{j}=\sum_{j} \theta^{j} \widetilde{e}_{j} \in T^{*} B \otimes T B \tag{2.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\nabla^{T B}$ is torsion free, $\nabla^{T B} \theta=0$. Thus the $\mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}$-valued one-form $\theta=\left(\theta^{j}(Z)\right)$ satisfies the structure equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \theta+\Gamma^{T B} \wedge \theta=0 \tag{2.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the same proof of [1, Prop. 1.27], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}^{\perp}=\sum_{j} Z_{j}^{\perp} \widetilde{e}_{j}^{\perp}(Z), \quad i_{\mathcal{R}^{\perp}} \theta=\sum_{j} Z_{j}^{\perp} e_{j}^{\perp}=Z^{\perp} \tag{2.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here under our trivialization by $\left\{\widetilde{e}_{i}\right\}$, we consider $Z^{\perp}=\left(0, Z_{1}^{\perp}, \cdots, Z_{n_{0}}^{\perp}\right)$ as a $\mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}$ valued function.

Substituting (2.89) and $\left(L_{\mathcal{R}^{\perp}}-1\right) Z^{\perp}=0$ into the identity $i_{\mathcal{R}^{\perp}}\left(d \theta+\Gamma^{T B} \wedge \theta\right)=0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{\mathcal{R}^{\perp}}-1\right) L_{\mathcal{R}^{\perp}} \theta=\left(L_{\mathcal{R}^{\perp}}-1\right)\left(d Z^{\perp}+\Gamma^{T B} Z^{\perp}\right)=\left(L_{\mathcal{R}^{\perp}} \Gamma^{T B}\right) Z^{\perp}=\left(i_{\mathcal{R}^{\perp}} R^{T B}\right) Z^{\perp} . \tag{2.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we consider $R^{T B}$ as a matrix of 2-forms, so that $R^{T B} Z^{\perp}$ is a vector of 2-forms, and $\theta$ is a $\mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}$-valued 1 -form.

By (2.89) and (2.90), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{e_{j}}\left(L_{\mathcal{R}^{\perp}}-1\right) L_{\mathcal{R}^{\perp}} \theta^{i}(Z)=\left\langle R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{j}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \widetilde{e}_{i}\right\rangle(Z) \tag{2.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will denote by $\partial^{\perp}, \partial^{0}$ the partial derivatives along $N_{G}, T X_{G}$ respectively. Then we have the following Taylor expansions of (2.91): for $j \in\left\{2\left(n-n_{0}\right)+1, \cdots, 2 n-n_{0}\right\}$, i.e. $e_{j} \in N_{G}$, by $L_{\mathcal{R}^{\perp}} e^{j}=e^{j}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\left|\alpha^{\perp}\right| \geqslant 1}\left(\left|\alpha^{\perp}\right|^{2}+\left|\alpha^{\perp}\right|\right)\left(\left(\partial^{\perp}\right)^{\alpha^{\perp}} \theta_{j}^{i}\right)\left(Z^{0}\right) \frac{\left(Z^{\perp}\right)^{\alpha^{\perp}}}{\alpha^{\perp}!}=\left\langle R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{j}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \widetilde{e}_{i}\right\rangle(Z) \tag{2.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $j \in\left\{1, \cdots, 2\left(n-n_{0}\right)\right\}$, i.e. $e_{j} \in T X_{G}$, by $L_{\mathcal{R}^{\perp}} e^{j}=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\left|\alpha^{\perp}\right| \geqslant 1}\left(\left|\alpha^{\perp}\right|^{2}-\left|\alpha^{\perp}\right|\right)\left(\left(\partial^{\perp}\right)^{\alpha^{\perp}} \theta_{j}^{i}\right)\left(Z^{0}\right) \frac{\left(Z^{\perp}\right)^{\alpha^{\perp}}}{\alpha^{\perp}!}=\left\langle R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{j}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \widetilde{e}_{i}\right\rangle(Z) \tag{2.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.92), (2.93), we still need to obtain the Taylor expansions for $\theta_{j}^{i}\left(Z^{0}\right),(1 \leqslant$ $\left.i, j \leqslant 2 n-n_{0}\right)$ and $\left(\partial_{k}^{\perp} \theta_{j}^{i}\right)\left(Z^{0}\right),\left(1 \leqslant j \leqslant 2\left(n-n_{0}\right)\right)$.

By our construction, we know that for $i$ or $j \in\left\{2\left(n-n_{0}\right)+1, \cdots, 2 n-n_{0}\right\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{e}_{k}^{\perp}\left(Z^{0}\right)=e_{k}^{\perp}\left(Z^{0}\right), \quad \theta_{j}^{i}\left(Z^{0}\right)=\delta_{i, j} \tag{2.94}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $[\mathbf{1},(1.21)]($ cf. $[\mathbf{1 7},(4.35)])$, we know that on $\mathbb{R}^{2 n-2 n_{0}} \times\{0\}$, for $i, j \in$ $\left\{1, \cdots, 2\left(n-n_{0}\right)\right\}$,

$$
\theta_{j}^{i}(0)=\delta_{i, j}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\left|\alpha^{0}\right| \geqslant 1}\left(\left|\alpha^{0}\right|^{2}+\left|\alpha^{0}\right|\right)\left(\left(\partial^{0}\right)^{\alpha^{0}} \theta_{j}^{i}\right)(0) \frac{\left(Z^{0}\right)^{\alpha^{0}}}{\alpha^{0}!}=\left\langle R^{T X_{G}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{j}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}, \widetilde{e}_{i}\right\rangle\left(Z^{0}\right) \tag{2.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

while by $(0.9),(2.86)$, and $\left[e_{i}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right]=0(c f .(2.71))$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\partial_{k}^{\perp} \theta_{j}^{i}\right)\left(Z^{0}\right) & =e_{k}^{\perp}\left\langle e_{j}^{0}, \widetilde{e}_{i}^{0}\right\rangle\left(Z^{0}\right)=\left\langle\nabla_{e^{\perp}}^{T B} e_{j}^{0}, \widetilde{e}_{i}^{0}\right\rangle\left(Z^{0}\right)  \tag{2.96}\\
& =\left\langle\nabla_{e_{j}^{0}}^{T B} e_{k}^{\perp}, \widetilde{e}_{i}^{0}\right\rangle\left(Z^{0}\right)=-\left\langle\nabla_{e_{j}^{0}}^{T B} \widetilde{e}_{i}^{0}, e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle\left(Z^{0}\right)=-\left\langle A\left(e_{j}^{0}\right) \widetilde{e}_{i}^{0}, e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle\left(Z^{0}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Let $R^{T X_{G}}, R^{N_{G}}$ be the curvatures of $\nabla^{T X_{G}}, \nabla^{N_{G}}$. By (0.9),

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{T X_{G}}+R^{N_{G}}+A^{2}+{ }^{0} \nabla_{\cdot}^{T B} A=\left.R^{T B}\right|_{X_{G}} \in \Lambda^{2}\left(T X_{G}\right) \otimes \operatorname{End}(T B) \tag{2.97}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $1 \leqslant j \leqslant 2\left(n-n_{0}\right), 2\left(n-n_{0}\right)+1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2 n-n_{0}, i^{\prime}=i-2\left(n-n_{0}\right)$, by $\left[e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{0}\right]=0$, as in (2.96), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial_{k}^{\perp} \theta_{j}^{i}\right)\left(Z^{0}\right)=e_{k}^{\perp}\left\langle e_{j}^{0}, \widetilde{e}_{i^{\prime}}^{\perp}\right\rangle\left(Z^{0}\right)=\left\langle\nabla_{e_{j}^{0}}^{T B} e_{k}^{\perp}, \widetilde{e}_{i^{\prime}}^{\perp}\right\rangle\left(Z^{0}\right)=\left\langle\nabla_{e_{j}^{0}}^{N_{G}} e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{i^{\prime}}^{\perp}\right\rangle\left(Z^{0}\right) \tag{2.98}
\end{equation*}
$$

By [1, Prop. 1.18] (cf. (2.103)) and (2.98), the Taylor expansion of $\left(\partial_{k}^{\perp} \theta_{j}^{i}\right)\left(Z^{0}\right)$ at 0 to order $r$ only determines by those of $R^{N_{G}}$ to order $r-1$.

Now by (2.86), (2.92)-(2.98) determine the Taylor expansion of $\theta_{j}^{i}(Z)$ to order $m$ in terms of the Taylor expansion of the curvature coefficients of $R^{T B}$ to order $m-2$ and $A$ to order $m-1$.

By (2.86), we get Lemma 2.10.
Let $d v_{T B}$ be the Riemannian volume form on $\left(T_{x_{0}} B, g^{T B}\right)$.
Let $\kappa(Z)\left(Z \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}\right)$ be the smooth positive function defined by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d v_{B_{0}}(Z)=\kappa(Z) d v_{T B}(Z) \tag{2.99}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\kappa(0)=1$.
For $s \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}, \mathbf{E}_{x_{0}}\right)$ and $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}$, for $t=\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}$, set

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(S_{t} s\right)(Z):=s(Z / t), \quad \nabla_{t}:=S_{t}^{-1} t \kappa^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla^{E_{p, B_{0}}} \kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}} S_{t}, \\
& \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}:=S_{t}^{-1} t^{2} \kappa^{\frac{1}{2}} \Phi D_{p}^{X_{0}, 2} \Phi^{-1} \kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}} S_{t} . \tag{2.100}
\end{align*}
$$

As in (1.18), we denote by $R^{L_{B}}, R^{E_{B}}, R^{\text {Cliff }_{B}}$ the curvatures on $L_{B}, E_{B}$, $\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right)_{B}$ induced by $\nabla^{L}, \nabla^{E}, \nabla^{\mathrm{Cliff}}$ on $X$.

As in (1.14), $\widetilde{\mu} \in T Y, \widetilde{\mu}^{E} \in T Y \otimes \operatorname{End}(E), \widetilde{\mu}^{\text {Cliff }} \in T Y \otimes \operatorname{End}\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right)\right)$ are sections induced by $\mu, \mu^{E}, \mu^{\text {Cliff }}$ in (2.17), (2.23).

Denote by $\nabla_{V}$ the ordinary differentiation operator on $T_{x_{0}} B$ in the direction $V$.
Denote by $\left(\partial^{\alpha} R^{L_{B}}\right)_{x_{0}}$ the tensor $\left(\partial^{\alpha} R^{L_{B}}\right)_{x_{0}}\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right):=\partial^{\alpha}\left(R^{L_{B}}\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)\right)_{x_{0}}$.

Theorem 2.11. - There exist $\mathcal{A}_{i, j, r}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathcal{B}_{i, r}, \mathcal{C}_{r}\right)\left(r \in \mathbb{N}, i, j \in\left\{1, \cdots, 2 n-n_{0}\right\}\right)$ polynomials in $Z$, and $\mathcal{A}_{i, j, r}$ is a homogeneous polynomial in $Z$ with degree $r$, the degree on $Z$ of $\mathcal{B}_{i, r}$ is $\leqslant r+1$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}_{r}$ is $\leqslant r+2$ ), and has the same parity with $r-1$ (resp. $r$ ), with the following properties:

- the coefficients of $\mathcal{A}_{i, j, r}$ are polynomials in $R^{T B}$ (resp. A) and their derivatives at $x_{0}$ to order $r-2($ resp. $r-1)$;
- the coefficients of $\mathcal{B}_{i, r}$ are polynomials in $R^{T B}, R^{\text {Cliff }_{B}}, R^{E_{B}}$, (resp. $A, R^{L_{B}}$ ) and their derivatives at $x_{0}$ to order $r-2($ resp. $r-1, r)$;
- the coefficients of $\mathcal{C}_{r}$ are polynomials in $R^{T B}, R^{\text {Cliff }_{B}}, R^{E_{B}}, r^{X}, \operatorname{Tr}\left[R^{T^{(1,0)} X}\right]$, $R^{E}$ (resp. A, $\widetilde{\mu}^{E}, \widetilde{\mu}^{\mathrm{Cliff}}$; resp. $h, R^{L}, R^{L_{B}}$; resp. $\mu$ ) and their derivatives at $x_{0}$ to order $r-2$ (resp. $r-1$; resp. $r$; resp. $r+1$ ).
- if we denote by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{O}_{r} & =\mathcal{A}_{i, j, r} \nabla_{e_{i}} \nabla_{e_{j}}+\mathcal{B}_{i, r} \nabla_{e_{i}}+\mathcal{C}_{r} \\
\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0} & =-\sum_{j=1}^{2 n-n_{0}}\left(\nabla_{e_{j}}+\frac{1}{2} R_{x_{0}}^{L_{B}}\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{j}\right)\right)^{2}-2 \omega_{d, x_{0}}-\tau_{x_{0}}+4 \pi^{2}\left|P^{T Y} \mathbf{J}_{x_{0}} \mathcal{R}\right|^{2} \tag{2.101}
\end{align*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}=\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}+\sum_{r=1}^{m} t^{r} \mathcal{O}_{r}+\mathscr{O}\left(t^{m+1}\right) \tag{2.102}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, there exists $m^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}, t \leqslant 1,|t Z| \leqslant \varepsilon$, the derivatives of order $\leqslant k$ of the coefficients of the operator $\mathscr{O}\left(t^{m+1}\right)$ are dominated by $C t^{m+1}(1+$ $|Z|)^{m^{\prime}}$.

Proof. - Let $\Gamma^{E_{B}}, \Gamma^{L_{B}}$ and $\Gamma^{\text {Cliff }_{B}}$ be the connection forms of $\nabla^{E_{B}}, \nabla^{L_{B}}$ and $\nabla^{\mathrm{Cliff}_{B}}$ with respect to any fixed frames for $E_{B}, L_{B}$ and $\Lambda\left(T^{*(1,0)} X\right)_{B}$ which are parallel along the curve $\gamma_{u}:[0,1] \ni u \rightarrow u Z$ under our trivialization on $B^{T_{x_{0}} B}(0, \varepsilon)$. Then $\Gamma^{E_{B}}$ is a $\operatorname{End}\left(\mathbb{C}^{\operatorname{dim} E}\right)$-valued 1-form on $\mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}$ and $\Gamma^{L_{B}}$ is a 1 -form on $\mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}$.

Now for $\Gamma^{\bullet}=\Gamma^{E_{B}}, \Gamma^{L_{B}}$ or $\Gamma^{\mathrm{Cliff}_{B}}$ and $R^{\bullet}=R^{E_{B}}, R^{L_{B}}$ or $R^{\mathrm{Cliff}_{B}}$ respectively, by the definition of our fixed frame and [1, Proposition 1.18] (cf. also [31, Prop. 1.2.4]), the Taylor coefficients of $\Gamma^{\bullet}\left(e_{j}\right)(Z)$ at $x_{0}$ to order $r$ only determines by those of $R^{\bullet}$ to order $r-1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{|\alpha|=r}\left(\partial^{\alpha} \Gamma^{\bullet}\right)_{x_{0}}\left(e_{j}\right) \frac{Z^{\alpha}}{\alpha!}=\frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{|\alpha|=r-1}\left(\partial^{\alpha} R^{\bullet}\right)_{x_{0}}\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{j}\right) \frac{Z^{\alpha}}{\alpha!} \tag{2.103}
\end{equation*}
$$

Especially,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{Z}^{\bullet}\left(e_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{2} R_{x_{0}}^{\bullet}\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{j}\right)+\mathscr{O}\left(|Z|^{2}\right) \tag{2.104}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.100), for $t=1 / \sqrt{p}$, if $|Z| \leqslant \sqrt{p} \varepsilon$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{t}=\kappa^{\frac{1}{2}}(t Z)\left(\nabla+\left(t \Gamma^{\mathrm{Cliff}_{B}}+t \Gamma^{E_{B}}+\frac{1}{t} \Gamma^{L_{B}}\right)(t Z)\right) \kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t Z) \tag{2.105}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{e_{i}}^{T B} e_{j}\right)(Z)=\Gamma_{i j}^{k}(Z) e_{k}, \quad g_{i j}(Z)=g^{T B}\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)(Z)=\theta_{i}^{k} \theta_{j}^{k}(Z) \tag{2.106}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\Gamma_{i j}^{k}$ is the connection form of $\nabla^{T B}$ with respect to the frame $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$.
Let $\left(g^{i j}\right)$ be the inverse matrix of $\left(g_{i j}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{E_{p, B}}=-\sum_{i, j} g^{i j}\left(\nabla_{e_{i}}^{E_{p, B}} \nabla_{e_{j}}^{E_{p, B}}-\Gamma_{i j}^{k} \nabla_{e_{k}}^{E_{p, B}}\right) \tag{2.107}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by (1.1), (2.99),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \kappa(Z)=\left(\operatorname{det} g_{i j}\right)^{1 / 2}(Z) \\
& \Gamma_{i j}^{k}=\frac{1}{2} g^{k l}\left(\partial_{i} g_{j l}+\partial_{j} g_{i l}-\partial_{l} g_{i j}\right) \tag{2.108}
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.62), (2.100) and (2.107),

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}(Z)=-g^{i j}(t Z) & \left(\nabla_{t, e_{i}} \nabla_{t, e_{j}}-t \Gamma_{i j}^{k}(t Z) \nabla_{t, e_{k}}\right)-\left\langle t \widetilde{\mu}^{E_{p}}, t \widetilde{\mu}^{E_{p}}\right\rangle_{g^{T Y}}(t Z)  \tag{2.109}\\
& -2 \omega_{d}(t Z)-\tau(t Z)+t^{2}\left(\frac{1}{4} r^{X}+\mathbf{c}(R)-\frac{1}{h} \Delta_{B_{0}} h\right)(t Z)
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.23),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle t \widetilde{\mu}^{E_{p}}, t \widetilde{\mu}^{E_{p}}\right\rangle_{g^{T Y}}=-4 \pi^{2} \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{t} \widetilde{\mu}_{g^{T Y}}^{2}+\left\langle 4 \pi \sqrt{-1} \widetilde{\mu}+t^{2}\left(\widetilde{\mu}^{\mathrm{Cliff}}+\widetilde{\mu}^{E}\right), \widetilde{\mu}^{\mathrm{Cliff}}+\widetilde{\mu}^{E}\right\rangle_{g^{T Y}} .\right. \tag{2.110}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.6), (2.17), and $\widetilde{\mu}_{y_{0}}=0$, for $y_{0} \in P, \pi\left(y_{0}\right)=x_{0}$, we get for $K \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left\langle\mathbf{J} e_{i}^{H}, K^{X}\right\rangle_{y_{0}}=\omega\left(K^{X}, e_{i}^{H}\right)=\nabla_{e_{i}^{H}}(\mu(K))=\left\langle\nabla_{e_{i}^{H}}^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}, K^{X}\right\rangle_{y_{0}} \tag{2.111}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\widetilde{\mu}|_{g^{T Y}}^{2}(Z)=\left|\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}\right|_{g^{T Y}}^{2}+\mathscr{O}\left(|Z|^{3}\right)=\left|P^{T Y} \mathbf{J}_{x_{0}} \mathcal{R}\right|^{2}+\mathscr{O}\left(|Z|^{3}\right) \tag{2.112}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.10, (2.103), (2.105), (2.109) and (2.112), we know that $\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}$ has the expansion (2.102), in particular, we get the formula $\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}$ in (2.101).

By (2.97), (2.103) and (2.109), we get the properties on $\mathcal{A}_{i, j, r}, \mathcal{B}_{i, r}$.
By (2.97), (2.109) and (2.110), we get the properties on $\mathcal{C}_{r}$.
The proof of Theorem 2.11 is complete.

### 2.7. Uniform estimate on the $G$-invariant Bergman kernel

Recall that the operators $\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}, \nabla_{t}$ were defined in (2.100), and $\mathbf{E}_{0}=\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X_{0}\right) \otimes$ $E_{0}$. We have trivialized the bundle $\mathbf{E}_{0, B_{0}}$ to $\mathbf{E}_{B, x_{0}}$ in Section 2.6. We still denote by $h^{\mathbf{E}_{0, B_{0}}}$ the metric on the trivial bundle $\mathbf{E}_{B, x_{0}}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}$ induced by the corresponding metric on $\mathbf{E}_{0, B_{0}}$. By our trivialization, $\left(E_{0, B}, h^{\mathbf{E}_{0, B_{0}}}\right)$ is identified to the trivial Hermitian vector bundle $\left(E_{B, x_{0}}, h^{\mathbf{E}_{B, x_{0}}}\right)$.

We also denote by $\langle,\rangle_{0, L^{2}}$ and $\left\|\|_{0, L^{2}}\right.$ the scalar product and the $L^{2}$ norm on $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(T_{x_{0}} B, \mathbf{E}_{B, x_{0}}\right)$ induced by $g^{T_{x_{0}} B}, h^{\mathbf{E}_{0, B_{0}}}$ as in (1.19).

Let $\widetilde{\mu}_{X_{0}}, \widetilde{\mu}^{E_{0, p}}$ be the $G$-invariant sections of $T Y, T Y \otimes \operatorname{End}\left(E_{0, p}\right)$ on $X_{0}$ induced by $\mu_{X_{0}}, \mu^{E_{0, p}}$ as in (1.14).

Let $\left\{f_{l}\right\}$ be a $G$-invariant orthonormal frame of $T Y$ on $\pi^{-1}\left(B^{B}\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right)$, then $\left(f_{0, l}\right)_{Z}=\left(f_{l}\right)_{\varphi_{\varepsilon}(Z)}$ is a $G$-invariant orthonormal frame of $T Y_{0}$ on $X_{0}$.

Definition 2.12. - Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{t}=\left\{\nabla_{t, e_{i}}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2 n-n_{0} ; \frac{1}{t}\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}_{X_{0}}, f_{0, l}\right\rangle(t Z), 1 \leqslant l \leqslant n_{0}\right\} \tag{2.113}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $k \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, let $\mathcal{D}_{t}^{k}$ be the family of operators acting on $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(T_{x_{0}} B, \mathbf{E}_{B, x_{0}}\right)$ which can be written in the form $Q=Q_{1} \cdots Q_{k}, Q_{i} \in \mathcal{D}_{t}$.

For $s \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(T_{x_{0}} B, \mathbf{E}_{B, x_{0}}\right), k \geqslant 1$, set

$$
\begin{align*}
\|s\|_{t, 0}^{2} & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}}|s(Z)|_{h^{\mathbf{E}_{B, x_{0}}}} d v_{T_{x_{0} B}}(Z) \\
\|s\|_{t, k}^{2} & =\|s\|_{t, 0}^{2}+\sum_{l=1}^{k} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_{t}^{l}}\|Q s\|_{t, 0}^{2} \tag{2.114}
\end{align*}
$$

We denote by $\left\langle s^{\prime}, s\right\rangle_{t, 0}$ the inner product on $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(T_{x_{0}} B, \mathbf{E}_{B, x_{0}}\right)$ corresponding to $\|\quad\|_{t, 0}^{2}$.

Let $\boldsymbol{H}_{t}^{m}$ be the Sobolev space of order $m$ with norm $\left\|\|_{t, m}\right.$. Let $\boldsymbol{H}_{t}^{-1}$ be the Sobolev space of order -1 and let $\|\quad\|_{t,-1}$ be the norm on $\boldsymbol{H}_{t}^{-1}$ defined by $\|s\|_{t,-1}=$ $\sup _{0 \neq s^{\prime} \in \boldsymbol{H}_{t}^{1}}\left|\left\langle s, s^{\prime}\right\rangle_{t, 0}\right| /\left\|s^{\prime}\right\|_{t, 1}$.

If $A \in \mathscr{L}\left(\boldsymbol{H}_{t}^{m}, \boldsymbol{H}_{t}^{m^{\prime}}\right)\left(m, m^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}\right)$, we denote by $\|A\|_{t}^{m, m^{\prime}}$ the norm of $A$ with respect to the norms $\|\quad\|_{t, m}$ and $\left\|\|_{t, m^{\prime}}\right.$.

Then $\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}$ is a formally self-adjoint elliptic operator with respect to $\left\|\|_{t, 0}^{2}\right.$, and is a smooth family of operators with respect to the parameter $x_{0} \in X_{G}$.

Theorem 2.13. - There exist constants $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}>0$ such that for $\left.\left.t \in\right] 0,1\right]$ and any $s, s^{\prime} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}, \mathbf{E}_{B, x_{0}}\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t} s, s\right\rangle_{t, 0} \geqslant C_{1}\|s\|_{t, 1}^{2}-C_{2}\|s\|_{t, 0}^{2} \\
& \left|\left\langle\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t} s, s^{\prime}\right\rangle_{t, 0}\right| \leqslant C_{3}\|s\|_{t, 1}\left\|s^{\prime}\right\|_{t, 1} \tag{2.115}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. - By (2.80) and our construction for $L_{0}, E_{0}$ on $X_{0}$, we know for $Z \in T_{x_{0}} B$, $|Z|>4 \varepsilon$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{E_{0, p}}(K)_{(1, Z)}=p R_{y_{0}}^{L}\left(\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)^{H}, K_{y_{0}}^{X}\right) \tag{2.116}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus from (2.109) and (2.114),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t} s, s\right\rangle_{t, 0}=\left\|\nabla_{t} s\right\|_{t, 0}^{2}-t^{2}\left\langle\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}^{E_{0, p}}, \widetilde{\mu}^{E_{0, p}}\right\rangle_{g^{T Y}}(t Z) s, s\right\rangle_{t, 0}  \tag{2.117}\\
& \quad+\left\langle\left(-2 S_{t}^{-1} \omega_{d}-S_{t}^{-1} \tau+t^{2} S_{t}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{4} r^{X}+\mathbf{c}(R)-\frac{1}{h} \Delta_{B_{0}} h\right)\right) s, s\right\rangle_{t, 0}
\end{align*}
$$

From $(2.77),(2.110),(2.116)$, and our construction on $\nabla^{E_{0}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-t^{2}\left\langle\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}^{E_{0, p}}, \widetilde{\mu}^{E_{0, p}}\right\rangle_{g^{T Y}}(t Z) s, s\right\rangle_{t, 0} \geqslant 2 \pi^{2} \sum_{l=1}^{n_{0}}\left\|\frac{1}{t}\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}_{X_{0}}, f_{0, l}\right\rangle(t Z) s\right\|_{t, 0}^{2}-C t\|s\|_{t, 0}^{2} \tag{2.118}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.117) and (2.118), we get (2.115).
Recall that $\nu$ is the constant in (2.25).
Let $\delta$ be the counterclockwise oriented circle in $\mathbb{C}$ of center 0 and radius $\nu / 4$, and let $\Delta$ be the oriented path in $\mathbb{C}$ which goes parallel to the real axis from $+\infty+i$ to $\frac{\nu}{2}+i$ then parallel to the imaginary axis to $\frac{\nu}{2}-i$ and the parallel to the real axis to $+\infty-i$.


Theorems 2.14-2.16 are the analogues of [17, Theorems 4.8-4.10] (cf. also [31, Theorems 4.1.10-4.1.12]). Especially, the proofs of Theorems 2.14, 2.16 are exactly the same as the proof of $[\mathbf{1 7}$, Theorems 4.8, 4.10], we include the proofs for the sake of completeness.

Theorem 2.14. - There exist $t_{0}>0, C>0$ such that for $\left.\left.t \in\right] 0, t_{0}\right], \lambda \in \delta \cup \Delta$ and $x_{0} \in X_{G},\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-1}$ exists and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-1}\right\|_{t}^{0,0} \leqslant C \\
& \left\|\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-1}\right\|_{t}^{-1,1} \leqslant C\left(1+|\lambda|^{2}\right) \tag{2.119}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. - By (2.25), (2.62) for $D_{p}^{X_{0}}$, and (2.100), there exists $t_{0}>0$ such that for $\left.t \in] 0, t_{0}\right]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{\mathrm{t}}\right) \subset\{0\} \cup[\nu,+\infty[ \tag{2.120}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-1}$ exists for $\lambda \in \delta \cup \Delta$.
The first inequality of (2.119) is from (2.120).

By (2.115), for $\lambda_{0} \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda_{0} \leqslant-2 C_{2},\left(\lambda_{0}-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-1}$ exists, and we have $\|\left(\lambda_{0}-\right.$ $\left.\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-1} \|_{t}^{-1,1} \leqslant \frac{1}{C_{1}}$. Now,

$$
\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-1}=\left(\lambda_{0}-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-1}-\left(\lambda-\lambda_{0}\right)\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-1}\left(\lambda_{0}-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-1}
$$

Thus for $\lambda \in \delta \cup \Delta$, from (2.121), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-1}\right\|_{t}^{-1,0} \leq \frac{1}{C_{1}}\left(1+\frac{4}{\nu}\left|\lambda-\lambda_{0}\right|\right) \tag{2.122}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we change the last two factors in (2.121), and apply (2.122), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-1}\right\|_{t}^{-1,1} & \leqslant \frac{1}{C_{1}}+\frac{\left|\lambda-\lambda_{0}\right|}{C_{1}^{2}}\left(1+\frac{4}{\nu}\left|\lambda-\lambda_{0}\right|\right)  \tag{2.123}\\
& \leqslant C\left(1+|\lambda|^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The proof of our Theorem is complete.
Proposition 2.15. - Take $m \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$. There exists $C_{m}>0$ such that for $\left.\left.t \in\right] 0,1\right]$, $Q_{1}, \cdots, Q_{m} \in \mathcal{D}_{t} \cup\left\{Z_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{2 n-n_{0}}$ and $s, s^{\prime} \in \mathscr{C}_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}, \mathbf{E}_{B, x_{0}}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\langle\left[Q_{1},\left[Q_{2}, \ldots,\left[Q_{m}, \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right] \ldots\right]\right] s, s^{\prime}\right\rangle_{t, 0}\right| \leqslant C_{m}\|s\|_{t, 1}\left\|s^{\prime}\right\|_{t, 1} \tag{2.124}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. - Note that $\left[\nabla_{t, e_{i}}, Z_{j}\right]=\delta_{i j}$. By (2.109), we know that $\left[Z_{j}, \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right]$ verifies (2.124).

Recall that by $(2.77)$ and $(2.80),\left(\nabla_{e_{i}}\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}_{X_{0}}, f_{0, l}\right\rangle\right)(t Z)$ is uniformly bounded with its derivatives for $t \in[0,1]$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{e_{i}}\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}_{X_{0}}, f_{0, l}\right\rangle=\left(e_{i}\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}_{X_{0}}, f_{0, l}\right\rangle\right)_{x_{0}}=\omega\left(f_{0, l}, e_{i}\right)_{x_{0}} \tag{2.125}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|Z| \geqslant 4 \varepsilon$. Thus $\left[\frac{1}{t}\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}_{X_{0}}, f_{0, l}\right\rangle(t Z), \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right]$ also verifies (2.124).
Note that by (2.100),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\nabla_{t, e_{i}}, \nabla_{t, e_{j}}\right]=\left(R^{L_{0, B_{0}}}(t Z)+t^{2} R^{\mathbf{E}_{0, B_{0}}}(t Z)\right)\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right) \tag{2.126}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus from (2.109), (2.125) and (2.126), we know that $\left[\nabla_{t, e_{k}}, \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right]$ has the same structure as $\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}$ for $\left.\left.t \in\right] 0,1\right]$, i.e. $\left[\nabla_{t, e_{k}}, \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right]$ has the type as

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i j} a_{i j}(t, t Z) & \nabla_{t, e_{i}} \nabla_{t, e_{j}}+\sum_{i} c_{i}(t, t Z) \nabla_{t, e_{i}}  \tag{2.127}\\
& +\sum_{l}\left[c_{l}^{\prime}(t, t Z) \frac{1}{t}\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}_{X_{0}}, f_{0, l}\right\rangle(t Z)+d\left|\frac{1}{t} \widetilde{\mu}_{X_{0}}\right|_{g^{T Y}}^{2}(t Z)\right]+c(t, t Z)
\end{align*}
$$

where $d \in \mathbb{C} ; a_{i j}(t, Z), c_{i}(t, Z), c_{j}^{\prime}(t, Z), c(t, Z)$ and their derivatives on $Z$ are uniformly bounded for $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}, t \in[0,1]$; moreover, they are polynomials in $t$. In fact, for $\left[\nabla_{t, e_{k}}, \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right], d=0$ in (2.127).

Let $\left(\nabla_{t, e_{i}}\right)^{*}$ be the adjoint of $\nabla_{t, e_{i}}$ with respect to $\langle,\rangle_{t, 0}$, then by (2.114),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{t, e_{i}}\right)^{*}=-\nabla_{t, e_{i}}-t\left(k^{-1} \nabla_{e_{i}} k\right)(t Z) \tag{2.128}
\end{equation*}
$$

the last term of (2.128) and its derivatives in $Z$ are uniformly bounded in $Z \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}, t \in[0,1]$.

By (2.127) and (2.128), (2.124) is verified for $m=1$.
By iteration, we know that $\left[Q_{1},\left[Q_{2}, \ldots,\left[Q_{m}, \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right] \ldots\right]\right.$ has the same structure (2.127) as $\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}$. By (2.128), we get Proposition 2.15.

Theorem 2.16. - For any $\left.t \in] 0, t_{0}\right], \lambda \in \delta \cup \Delta, m \in \mathbb{N}$, the resolvent $\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-1}$ maps $\boldsymbol{H}_{t}^{m}$ into $\boldsymbol{H}_{t}^{m+1}$. Moreover for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2 n-n_{0}}$, there exist $N \in \mathbb{N}, C_{\alpha, m}>0$ such that for $\left.t \in] 0, t_{0}\right], \lambda \in \delta \cup \Delta, s \in \mathscr{C}_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}, \mathbf{E}_{B, x_{0}}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|Z^{\alpha}\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-1} s\right\|_{t, m+1} \leqslant C_{\alpha, m}\left(1+|\lambda|^{2}\right)^{N} \sum_{\alpha^{\prime} \leqslant \alpha}\left\|Z^{\alpha^{\prime}} s\right\|_{t, m} \tag{2.129}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. - For $Q_{1}, \cdots, Q_{m} \in \mathcal{D}_{t}, Q_{m+1}, \cdots, Q_{m+|\alpha|} \in\left\{Z_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{2 n-n_{0}}$, we can express $Q_{1} \cdots Q_{m+|\alpha|}\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-1}$ as a linear combination of operators of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[Q_{1},\left[Q_{2}, \ldots\left[Q_{m^{\prime}},\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-1}\right] \ldots\right]\right] Q_{m^{\prime}+1} \cdots Q_{m+|\alpha|}, \quad m^{\prime} \leqslant m+|\alpha| \tag{2.130}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathscr{R}_{t}$ be the family of operators

$$
\mathscr{R}_{t}=\left\{\left[Q_{j_{1}},\left[Q_{j_{2}}, \ldots\left[Q_{j_{l}}, \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right] \ldots\right]\right]\right\} .
$$

Clearly, any commutator $\left[Q_{1},\left[Q_{2}, \ldots\left[Q_{m^{\prime}},\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-1}\right] \ldots\right]\right]$ is a linear combination of operators of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-1} R_{1}\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-1} R_{2} \cdots R_{m^{\prime}}\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-1} \tag{2.131}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $R_{1}, \cdots, R_{m^{\prime}} \in \mathscr{R}_{t}$.
By Proposition 2.15, the norm $\left\|\|_{t}^{1,-1}\right.$ of the operators $R_{j} \in \mathscr{R}_{t}$ is uniformly bound by $C$.

By Theorem 2.14, we find that there exist $C>0, N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the norm $\|\quad\|_{t}^{0,1}$ of operators (2.131) is dominated by $C\left(1+|\lambda|^{2}\right)^{N}$.

Let $\pi_{B}: T B \times_{B} T B \rightarrow B$ be the natural projection from the fiberwise product of $T B$ on $B$.

Let $e^{-u \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right),\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t} e^{-u \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}\right)\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)$ be the smooth kernels of the operators $e^{-u \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}$, $\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t} e^{-u \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}$ with respect to $d v_{T_{x_{0}} B}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)$.

Note that $\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}$ are families of differential operators with coefficients in $\operatorname{End}\left(\mathbf{E}_{B, x_{0}}\right)=$ $\operatorname{End}\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes E\right)_{B, x_{0}}$. Thus we can view $e^{-u \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right),\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t} e^{-u \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}\right)\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)$ as smooth sections of $\pi_{B}^{*}\left(\operatorname{End}\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes E\right)_{B}\right)$ on $T B \times_{B} T B$.

Let $\nabla^{\operatorname{End}\left(\mathbf{E}_{B}\right)}$ be the connection on $\operatorname{End}\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes E\right)_{B}$ induced by $\nabla^{\mathrm{Cliff}_{B}}$ and $\nabla^{E_{B}}$. And $\nabla^{\operatorname{End}\left(\mathbf{E}_{B}\right)}, h^{E}$ and $g^{T X}$ induce naturally a $\mathscr{C}^{m}$-norm for the parameter $x_{0} \in X_{G}$.

As in Introduction, for $Z \in T_{x_{0}} B$, we will write $Z=Z^{0}+Z^{\perp}$, with $Z^{0} \in T_{x_{0}} X_{G}$, $Z^{\perp} \in N_{G, x_{0}}$.

In the following result, we adapt [ $\mathbf{1 7}$, Theorem 4.11] to the present situation. The new point is that the kernels here have the fast decay estimate along the normal direction $N_{G, x_{0}}$.

Theorem 2.17. - There exists $C^{\prime \prime}>0$ such that for any $m, m^{\prime}, m^{\prime \prime}, r \in \mathbb{N}, u_{0}>0$, there exists $C>0$ such that for $\left.t \in] 0, t_{0}\right], u \geqslant u_{0}, Z, Z^{\prime} \in T_{x_{0}} B$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{|\alpha|+\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right| \leqslant m}\left(1+\left|Z^{\perp}\right|+\left|Z^{\prime \perp}\right|\right)^{m^{\prime \prime}}\left|\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right|}}{\partial Z^{\alpha} \partial Z^{\prime \alpha^{\prime}}} \frac{\partial^{r}}{\partial t^{r}} e^{-u \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\mathscr{C} m^{\prime}\left(X_{G}\right)} \\
& \quad \leqslant C\left(1+\left|Z^{0}\right|+\left|Z^{\prime 0}\right|\right)^{2\left(n+r+m^{\prime}+1\right)+m} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \nu u-\frac{2 C^{\prime \prime}}{u}\left|Z-Z^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)  \tag{2.132}\\
& \sup _{|\alpha|+\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right| \leqslant m}\left(1+\left|Z^{\perp}\right|+\left|Z^{\prime \perp}\right|\right)^{m^{\prime \prime}}\left|\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right|}}{\partial Z^{\alpha} \partial Z^{\prime \alpha^{\prime}}} \frac{\partial^{r}}{\partial t^{r}}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t} e^{-u \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}\right)\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\mathscr{C}^{m^{\prime}}\left(X_{G}\right)} \\
& \quad \leqslant C\left(1+\left|Z^{0}\right|+\left|Z^{\prime 0}\right|\right)^{2\left(n+r+m^{\prime}+1\right)+m} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4} \nu u-\frac{2 C^{\prime \prime}}{u}\left|Z-Z^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathscr{C}^{m^{\prime}}\left(X_{G}\right)$ is the $\mathscr{C}^{m^{\prime}}$ norm for the parameter $x_{0} \in X_{G}$.
Proof. - By (2.120), for any $k \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{-u \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}=\frac{(-1)^{k-1}(k-1)!}{2 \pi i u^{k-1}} \int_{\delta \cup \Delta} e^{-u \lambda}\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-k} d \lambda  \tag{2.133}\\
& \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t} e^{-u \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}=\frac{(-1)^{k-1}(k-1)!}{2 \pi i u^{k-1}} \int_{\Delta} e^{-u \lambda}\left[\lambda\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-k}-\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-k+1}\right] d \lambda
\end{align*}
$$

From Theorem 2.16, we deduce that if $Q \in \cup_{l=1}^{m} \mathcal{D}_{t}^{l}$, there are $N \in \mathbb{N}, C_{m}>0$ such that for any $\lambda \in \delta \cup \Delta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|Q\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-m}\right\|_{t}^{0,0} \leqslant C_{m}\left(1+|\lambda|^{2}\right)^{N} \tag{2.134}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $\mathscr{L}_{t}^{2}$ is self-adjoint with respect to $\left\|\|_{t, 0}\right.$. After taking the adjoint of (2.134), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-m} Q\right\|_{t}^{0,0} \leqslant C_{m}\left(1+|\lambda|^{2}\right)^{N} \tag{2.135}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.133), (2.134) and (2.135), we get if $Q, Q^{\prime} \in \cup_{l=1}^{m} \mathcal{D}_{t}^{l}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|Q e^{-u \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}} Q^{\prime}\right\|_{t}^{0,0} \leqslant C_{m} e^{\frac{1}{4} \nu u}  \tag{2.136}\\
& \left\|Q\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t} e^{-u \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}\right) Q^{\prime}\right\|_{t}^{0,0} \leqslant C_{m} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \nu u}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\left|\left.\right|_{m}\right.$ be the usual Sobolev norm on $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}, \mathbf{E}_{B, x_{0}}\right)$ induced by $h^{\mathbf{E}_{B, x_{0}}}=$ $h^{\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes E\right)_{B, x_{0}}}$ and the volume form $d v_{T_{x_{0} B}}(Z)$ as in (2.114).

Observe that by (2.105), (2.114), there exists $C>0$ such that for $s \in$ $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(T_{x_{0}} B, \mathbf{E}_{B, x_{0}}\right), \operatorname{supp}(s) \subset B^{T_{x_{0}} B}(0, q), m \geqslant 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{C}(1+q)^{-m}\|s\|_{t, m} \leqslant|s|_{m} \leqslant C(1+q)^{m}\|s\|_{t, m} \tag{2.137}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now (2.136), (2.137) together with Sobolev's inequalities imply that if $Q, Q^{\prime} \in$ $\cup_{l=1}^{m} \mathcal{D}_{t}^{l}$, for $\mathcal{K}_{u}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)=e^{-\frac{1}{4} \nu u} e^{-u \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}$ or $e^{\frac{1}{2} \nu u} \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t} e^{-u \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{|Z|,\left|Z^{\prime}\right| \leqslant q}\left|Q_{Z} Q_{Z^{\prime}}^{\prime} \mathcal{K}_{u}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqslant C(1+q)^{2 n+2} \tag{2.138}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.77), (2.78) and (2.80),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l=1}^{n_{0}}\left|\frac{1}{t}\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}_{X_{0}}, f_{0, l}\right\rangle(t Z)\right|^{2}=\left|\frac{1}{t} \widetilde{\mu}_{X_{0}}\right|_{g^{T Y}}^{2}(t Z) \geqslant C\left|Z^{\perp}\right|^{2} \tag{2.139}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus by (2.105), (2.138), (2.139), we derive (2.132) with the exponentials $e^{\frac{1}{4} \nu u}$, $e^{-\frac{1}{2} \nu u}$ for the case when $r=m^{\prime}=0$ and $C^{\prime \prime}=0$, i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
\sup _{|\alpha|+\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right| \leqslant m} & \left(1+\left|Z^{\perp}\right|+\left|Z^{\prime \perp}\right|\right)^{m^{\prime \prime}}\left|\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right|}}{\partial Z^{\alpha} \partial Z^{\prime \alpha^{\prime}}} \mathcal{K}_{u}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)\right|  \tag{2.140}\\
& \leqslant C\left(1+\left|Z^{0}\right|+\left|Z^{\prime 0}\right|\right)^{2 n+m+2}
\end{align*}
$$

To obtain (2.132) in general, we proceed as in the proof of [4, Theorem 11.14].
Note that the function $f$ is defined in (2.30). For $\varrho>1$, put

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{u, \varrho}(a)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp (i v \sqrt{2 u} a) \exp \left(-\frac{v^{2}}{2}\right)\left(1-f\left(\frac{1}{\varrho} \sqrt{2 u} v\right)\right) \frac{d v}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \tag{2.141}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exist $C^{\prime}, C_{1}>0$ such that for any $c>0, m, m^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $C>0$ such that for $u \geqslant u_{0}, a \in \mathbb{C},|\operatorname{Im}(a)| \leqslant c, \varrho>1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|a|^{m}\left|K_{u, \varrho}^{\left(m^{\prime}\right)}(a)\right| \leqslant C \exp \left(C^{\prime} c^{2} u-\frac{C_{1}}{u} \varrho^{2}\right) \tag{2.142}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $c>0$, let $V_{c}$ be the image of $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C},|\operatorname{Im}(\lambda)| \leqslant c\}$ by the map $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda^{2}$. Then

$$
V_{c}=\left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \geqslant \frac{1}{4 c^{2}} \operatorname{Im}(\lambda)^{2}-c^{2}\right\}
$$

and $\delta \cup \Delta \subset V_{c}$ for $c$ large enough.
Let $\widetilde{K}_{u, \varrho}$ be the holomorphic function such that $\widetilde{K}_{u, \varrho}\left(a^{2}\right)=K_{u, \varrho}(a)$. By (2.142), for $\lambda \in V_{c}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\lambda|^{m}\left|\widetilde{K}_{u, \varrho}^{\left(m^{\prime}\right)}(\lambda)\right| \leqslant C \exp \left(C^{\prime} c^{2} u-\frac{C_{1}}{u} \varrho^{2}\right) \tag{2.143}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using finite propagation speed of solutions of hyperbolic equations (cf. [41, §4.4], [31, Append. D]) and (2.141), we find that there exists a fixed constant (which depends on $\varepsilon) c^{\prime}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{K}_{u, \varrho}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)=e^{-u \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { if }\left|Z-Z^{\prime}\right| \geqslant c^{\prime} \varrho \tag{2.144}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.143), we see that given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a unique holomorphic function $\widetilde{\sim}_{u, \varrho, k}(\lambda)$ defined on a neighborhood of $V_{c}$ such that it verifies the same estimates as $\widetilde{K}_{u, \varrho}$ in (2.143) and $\widetilde{K}_{u, \varrho, k}(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow+\infty$; moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{K}_{u, \varrho, k}^{(k-1)}(\lambda) /(k-1)!=\widetilde{K}_{u, \varrho}(\lambda) \tag{2.145}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus as in (2.133),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{K}_{u, \varrho}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\delta \cup \Delta} \widetilde{K}_{u, \varrho, k}(\lambda)\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-k} d \lambda  \tag{2.146}\\
& \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t} \widetilde{K}_{u, \varrho}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Delta} \widetilde{K}_{u, \varrho, k}(\lambda)\left[\lambda\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-k}-\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-k+1}\right] d \lambda
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.134), (2.135) and by proceeding as in (2.136)-(2.138), we find that for $\mathbf{K}_{u}(a)=\widetilde{K}_{u, \varrho}(a)$ or $a \widetilde{K}_{u, \varrho}(a)$, for $|Z|,\left|Z^{\prime}\right| \leqslant q$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{|\alpha|+\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right| \leqslant m}\left(1+\left|Z^{\perp}\right|+\left|Z^{\prime \perp}\right|\right)^{2 n+m+m^{\prime \prime}+2}\left|\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right|}}{\partial Z^{\alpha} \partial Z^{\alpha^{\prime}}} \mathbf{K}_{u}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)\right|  \tag{2.147}\\
& \leqslant C(1+q)^{2 n+2+m} \exp \left(C^{\prime} c^{2} u-\frac{C_{1}}{u} \varrho^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Setting $\varrho \in \mathbb{N}^{*},\left|\varrho-\frac{1}{c^{\prime}}\right| Z-Z^{\prime}| |<1$ in (2.147), we get for $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}$ verifying $|\alpha|+\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right| \leqslant$ $m$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1+\left|Z^{\perp}\right|+\left|Z^{\prime \perp}\right|\right)^{m^{\prime \prime}}\left|\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right|}}{\partial Z^{\alpha} \partial Z^{\prime \alpha^{\prime}}} \mathbf{K}_{u}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)\right|  \tag{2.148}\\
& \quad \leqslant C\left(1+\left|Z^{0}\right|+\left|Z^{\prime 0}\right|\right)^{2 n+m+2} \exp \left(C^{\prime} c^{2} u-\frac{C_{1}}{2 c^{\prime 2} u}\left|Z-Z^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Take $\delta_{1}=\frac{C^{\prime} c^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \nu}{C^{\prime} c^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \nu}$, from $(2.140)^{\delta_{1}} \times(2.148)^{1-\delta_{1}}$ and (2.144), we get (2.132) for $r=m^{\prime}=0$.

To get (2.132) for $r \geqslant 1$, note that from (2.133), for $k \geqslant 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{r}}{\partial t^{r}} e^{-u \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}=\frac{(-1)^{k-1}(k-1)!}{2 \pi i u^{k-1}} \int_{\delta \cup \Delta} e^{-u \lambda} \frac{\partial^{r}}{\partial t^{r}}\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-k} d \lambda \tag{2.149}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have the similar equation for $\frac{\partial^{r}}{\partial t^{r}}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t} e^{-u \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}\right)$.
Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k, r}=\left\{(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r})=\left(k_{i}, r_{i}\right) \mid \sum_{i=0}^{j} k_{i}=k+j, \sum_{i=1}^{j} r_{i}=r, k_{i}, r_{i} \in \mathbb{N}^{*}\right\} \tag{2.150}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exist $a_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\lambda, t)=\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-k_{0}} \frac{\partial^{r_{1}} \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}{\partial t^{r_{1}}}\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-k_{1}} \cdots \frac{\partial^{r_{j}} \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}{\partial t^{r_{j}}}\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-k_{j}} \\
& \frac{\partial^{r}}{\partial t^{r}}\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-k}=\sum_{(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r}) \in I_{k, r}} a_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}} A_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\lambda, t) \tag{2.151}
\end{align*}
$$

We claim that $A_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\lambda, t)$ is well defined and for any $m \in \mathbb{N}, k>2(m+r+1)$, $Q, Q^{\prime} \in \cup_{l=1}^{m} \mathcal{D}_{t}^{l}$, there exist $C>0, N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $\lambda \in \delta \cup \Delta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|Q A_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\lambda, t) Q^{\prime} s\right\|_{t, 0} \leqslant C(1+|\lambda|)^{N} \sum_{|\beta| \leqslant 2 r}\left\|Z^{\beta} s\right\|_{t, 0} \tag{2.152}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, by (2.109), $\frac{\partial^{r}}{\partial t^{r}} \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}$ is a combination of

$$
\frac{\partial^{r_{1}}}{\partial t^{r_{1}}}\left(g^{i j}(t Z)\right), \quad\left(\frac{\partial^{r_{2}}}{\partial t^{r_{2}}} \nabla_{t, e_{i}}\right), \quad \frac{\partial^{r_{3}}}{\partial t^{r_{3}}}(q(t Z)), \quad \frac{\partial^{r_{4}}}{\partial t^{r_{4}}}\left(t\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}^{E_{0, p}}, f_{0, l}(t Z)\right\rangle\right)
$$

where $q$ runs over the functions $r^{X}$, etc., appearing in (2.109). Now $\frac{\partial^{r_{1}}}{\partial t^{r_{1}}}(q(t Z))$ (resp. $\left.\frac{\partial^{r_{1}}}{\partial t^{r_{1}}}\left(t\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}^{E_{0, p}}, f_{0, l}\right\rangle(t Z)\right), \frac{\partial^{r_{1}}}{\partial t^{r_{1}}} \nabla_{t, e_{i}}\right)\left(r_{1} \geqslant 1\right)$ are functions of the type as $q^{\prime}(t Z) Z^{\beta}$, $|\beta| \leqslant r_{1}$ (resp. $r_{1}+1$ ) (where $q^{\prime}$, as $q$, runs over the functions $r^{X}$, etc., appearing in (2.109)), with $q^{\prime}(Z)$ and its derivatives on $Z$ being bounded smooth functions on $Z$.

Let $\mathscr{R}_{t}^{\prime}$ be the family of operators of the type

$$
\mathscr{R}_{t}^{\prime}=\left\{\left[f_{j_{1}} Q_{j_{1}},\left[f_{j_{2}} Q_{j_{2}}, \ldots\left[f_{j_{l}} Q_{j_{l}}, \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right] \ldots\right]\right]\right\}
$$

with $f_{j_{i}}$ smooth bounded (with its derivatives) functions and $Q_{j_{i}} \in \mathcal{D}_{t} \cup\left\{Z_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{2 n-n_{0}}$.
Now for the operator $A_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\lambda, t) Q^{\prime}$, we will move first all the term $Z^{\beta}$ in $q^{\prime}(t Z) Z^{\beta}$ as above to the right hand side of this operator, to do so, we always use the commutator trick, i.e., each time, we consider only the commutation for $Z_{i}$, not for $Z^{\beta}$ with $|\beta|>1$.

Then $A_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\lambda, t) Q^{\prime}$ is as the form $\sum_{|\beta| \leqslant 2 r} L_{\beta}^{t} Q_{\beta}^{\prime \prime} Z^{\beta}$, and $Q_{\beta}^{\prime \prime}$ is obtained from $Q^{\prime}$ and its commutation with $Z^{\beta}$.

Now we move all the terms $\nabla_{t, e_{i}},\left\langle\frac{1}{t} \widetilde{\mu}, f_{0, l}\right\rangle(t Z)$ in $\frac{\partial^{r_{j}} \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}{\partial t^{r_{j}}}$ to the right hand side of the operator $L_{\beta}^{t}$.

Then as in the proof of Theorem 2.16, we get finally that $Q A_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\lambda, t) Q^{\prime}$ is as the form $\sum_{\beta} \mathscr{L}_{\beta}^{t} Z^{\beta}$ where $\mathscr{L}_{\beta}^{t}$ is a linear combination of operators of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-k_{0}^{\prime}} R_{1}\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-k_{1}^{\prime}} R_{2} \cdots R_{l^{\prime}}\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-k_{l^{\prime}}^{\prime}} Q^{\prime \prime \prime} Q^{\prime \prime} \tag{2.153}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $R_{1}, \cdots, R_{l^{\prime}} \in \mathscr{R}_{t}^{\prime}, Q^{\prime \prime \prime} \in \cup_{l=1}^{2 r} \mathcal{D}_{t}^{l}, Q^{\prime \prime} \in \cup_{l=1}^{m} \mathcal{D}_{t}^{l},|\beta| \leqslant 2 r$, and $Q^{\prime \prime}$ is obtained from $Q^{\prime}$ and its commutation with $Z^{\beta}$.

By the argument as in (2.134) and (2.135), as $k>2(m+r+1)$, we can split the above operator to two parts

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-k_{0}^{\prime}} R_{1}\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-k_{1}^{\prime}} R_{2} \cdots R_{i}\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-k_{i}^{\prime \prime}} ; \\
& \left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-\left(k_{i}^{\prime}-k_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)} \cdots R_{l^{\prime}}\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-k_{l^{\prime}}^{\prime}} Q^{\prime \prime \prime} Q^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the $\|\quad\|_{t}^{0,0}$-norm of each part is bounded by $C\left(1+|\lambda|^{2}\right)^{N}$.
Thus the proof of (2.152) is complete.
By (2.149), (2.151) and (2.152), we get the similar estimates (2.140), (2.148) for $\frac{\partial^{r}}{\partial t^{r}} e^{-u \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}, \frac{\partial^{r}}{\partial t^{r}}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t} e^{-u \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}\right)$ with the exponential $2 n+m+2 r+2$ instead of $2 n+m+2$ therein.

Thus we get (2.132) for $m^{\prime}=0$.
Finally, for $U \in T X_{G}$ a vector on $X_{G}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{U}^{\pi^{*} \operatorname{End}\left(\mathbf{E}_{B}\right)} e^{-u \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}=\frac{(-1)^{k-1}(k-1)!}{2 \pi i u^{k-1}} \int_{\delta \cup \Delta} e^{-u \lambda} \nabla_{U}^{\pi^{*} \operatorname{End}\left(\mathbf{E}_{B}\right)}\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-k} d \lambda \tag{2.154}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, by using the similar formula (2.151) for $\nabla_{U}^{\pi^{*} \operatorname{End}\left(\mathbf{E}_{B}\right)}\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-k}$ by replacing $\frac{\partial^{r_{1}} \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}{\partial t^{r_{1}}}$ by $\nabla_{U}^{\pi^{*} \operatorname{End}\left(\mathbf{E}_{B}\right)} \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}$, and remark that $\nabla_{U}^{\pi^{*} \operatorname{End}\left(\mathbf{E}_{B}\right)} \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}$ is a differential operator on $T_{x_{0}} B$ with the same structure as $\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}$.

Then by the above argument, we get (2.132) for $m^{\prime} \geqslant 1$.
Let $P_{0, t}$ be the orthogonal projection from $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(T_{x_{0}} B, \mathbf{E}_{B, x_{0}}\right)$ to the kernel of $\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}$ with respect to $\langle,\rangle_{t, 0}$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{u}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Delta} e^{-u \lambda}\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-1} d \lambda \tag{2.155}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.120),

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{u}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)=e^{-u \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}-P_{0, t}=\int_{u}^{+\infty} \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t} e^{-u_{1} \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}} d u_{1} \tag{2.156}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $P_{0, t}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right), F_{u}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)$ be the smooth kernels of $P_{0, t}, F_{u}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)$ with respect to $d v_{T_{x_{0}} B}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)$.

Corollary 2.18. - With the notation in Theorem 2.17,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{|\alpha|+\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right| \leqslant m}\left(1+\left|Z^{\perp}\right|+\left|Z^{\prime \perp}\right|\right)^{m^{\prime \prime}}\left|\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right|}}{\partial Z^{\alpha} \partial Z^{\prime \alpha^{\prime}}} \frac{\partial^{r}}{\partial t^{r}} F_{u}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\mathscr{C} m^{\prime}(P)}  \tag{2.157}\\
& \\
& \leqslant C\left(1+\left|Z^{0}\right|+\left|Z^{\prime 0}\right|\right)^{2 n+m+2 m^{\prime}+2 r+2} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{8} \nu u-\sqrt{C^{\prime \prime} \nu}\left|Z-Z^{\prime}\right|\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. - Note that $\frac{1}{8} \nu u+\frac{2 C^{\prime \prime}}{u}\left|Z-Z^{\prime}\right|^{2} \geqslant \sqrt{C^{\prime \prime} \nu}\left|Z-Z^{\prime}\right|$, thus

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{u}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{4} \nu u_{1}-\frac{2 C^{\prime \prime}}{u_{1}}\left|Z-Z^{\prime}\right|^{2}} d u_{1} \leqslant e^{-\sqrt{C^{\prime \prime} \nu}\left|Z-Z^{\prime}\right|} \int_{u}^{+\infty} & e^{-\frac{1}{8} \nu u_{1}} d u_{1}  \tag{2.158}\\
& =\frac{8}{\nu} e^{-\frac{1}{8} \nu u-\sqrt{C^{\prime \prime} \nu}\left|Z-Z^{\prime}\right|}
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.132), (2.156) and (2.158), we get (2.157).
For $k$ large enough, set

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{r, u}=\frac{(-1)^{k-1}(k-1)!}{2 \pi i r!u^{k-1}} \int_{\Delta} e^{-u \lambda} \sum_{(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r}) \in I_{k, r}} a_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}} A_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\lambda, 0) d \lambda \\
& J_{r, u}=\frac{(-1)^{k-1}(k-1)!}{2 \pi i r!u^{k-1}} \int_{\delta \cup \Delta} e^{-u \lambda} \sum_{(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r}) \in I_{k, r}} a_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}} A_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\lambda, 0) d \lambda  \tag{2.159}\\
& F_{r, u, t}=\frac{1}{r!} \frac{\partial^{r}}{\partial t^{r}} F_{u}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)-F_{r, u}, \quad J_{r, u, t}=\frac{1}{r!} \frac{\partial^{r}}{\partial t^{r}} e^{-u \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}-J_{r, u} .
\end{align*}
$$

Certainly, as $t \rightarrow 0$, the limit of $\|\quad\|_{t, m}$ exists, and we denote it by $\left\|\|_{0, m}\right.$.
Theorems 2.19, 2.20 are the analogues of [17, Theorems 4.14, 4.15], we include the proofs for the sake of completeness.

Theorem 2.19. - For any $r \geqslant 0, k>0$, there exist $C>0, N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right], \lambda \in \delta \cup \Delta$, (2.160)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(\frac{\partial^{r} \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}{\partial t^{r}}-\left.\frac{\partial^{r} \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}{\partial t^{r}}\right|_{t=0}\right) s\right\|_{t,-1} \leqslant C t \sum_{|\alpha| \leqslant r+3}\left\|Z^{\alpha} s\right\|_{0,1} \\
& \left\|\left(\frac{\partial^{r}}{\partial t^{r}}\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-k}-\sum_{(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r}) \in I_{k, r}} a_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}} A_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\lambda, 0)\right) s\right\|_{0,0} \leqslant C t\left(1+|\lambda|^{2}\right)^{N} \sum_{|\alpha| \leqslant 4 r+3}\left\|Z^{\alpha} s\right\|_{0,0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. - Note that by (2.105), (2.114), for $t \in[0,1], k \geqslant 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|s\|_{t, 0} \leqslant C\|s\|_{0,0}, \quad\|s\|_{t, k} \leqslant C \sum_{|\alpha| \leqslant k}\left\|Z^{\alpha} s\right\|_{0, k} \tag{2.161}
\end{equation*}
$$

An application of Taylor expansion for (2.109) leads to the following inequality, if $s, s^{\prime}$ have compact support,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\langle\left(\frac{\partial^{r} \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}{\partial t^{r}}-\left.\frac{\partial^{r} \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}{\partial t^{r}}\right|_{t=0}\right) s, s^{\prime}\right\rangle_{0,0}\right| \leqslant C t\left\|s^{\prime}\right\|_{t, 1} \sum_{|\alpha| \leqslant r+3}\left\|Z^{\alpha} s\right\|_{0,1} \tag{2.162}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we get the first inequality of (2.160).
Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-1}-\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1}=\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} \tag{2.163}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now from (2.119), (2.162) and (2.163),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-1}-\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1}\right) s\right\|_{0,0} \leqslant C t(1+|\lambda|)^{N} \sum_{|\alpha| \leqslant 3}\left\|Z^{\alpha} s\right\|_{0,0} \tag{2.164}
\end{equation*}
$$

After taking the limit, we know that Theorems 2.14-2.16 still hold for $t=0$.
Note that $\nabla_{0, e_{j}}=\nabla_{e_{j}}+\frac{1}{2} R_{x_{0}}^{L_{B}}\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{j}\right)$ by (2.105).
If we denote by $\mathscr{L}_{\lambda, t}=\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\lambda, t)-A_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\lambda, 0) & =\sum_{i=1}^{j} \mathscr{L}_{\lambda, t}^{-k_{0}} \cdots\left(\frac{\partial^{r_{i}} \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}{\partial t^{r_{i}}}-\left.\frac{\partial^{r_{i}} \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}{\partial t^{r_{i}}}\right|_{t=0}\right) \mathscr{L}_{\lambda, 0}^{-k_{i}} \cdots \mathscr{L}_{\lambda, 0}^{-k_{j}}  \tag{2.165}\\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{j} \mathscr{L}_{\lambda, t}^{-k_{0}} \cdots\left(\mathscr{L}_{\lambda, t}^{-k_{i}}-\mathscr{L}_{\lambda, 0}^{-k_{i}}\right)\left(\left.\frac{\partial^{r_{i+1}} \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}{\partial t^{r_{i+1}}}\right|_{t=0}\right) \cdots \mathscr{L}_{\lambda, 0}^{-k_{j}}
\end{align*}
$$

Now from the first inequality of (2.160), (2.119), (2.151), (2.164) and (2.165), we get (2.160).

Theorem 2.20. - There exist $C>0, N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $\left.t \in] 0, t_{0}\right], u \geqslant u_{0}, q \in \mathbb{N}$, $Z, Z^{\prime} \in T_{x_{0}} B,|Z|,\left|Z^{\prime}\right| \leqslant q$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|F_{r, u, t}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqslant C t^{\frac{1}{2 n-n_{0}+1}}(1+q)^{N} e^{-\frac{1}{8} \nu u}  \tag{2.166}\\
& \left|J_{r, u, t}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqslant C t^{\frac{1}{2 n-n_{0}+1}}(1+q)^{N} e^{\frac{1}{2} \nu u}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. - Let $J_{x_{0}, q}^{0}$ be the vector space of square integrable sections of $\mathbf{E}_{B, x_{0}}$ over $\left\{Z \in T_{x_{0}} B,|Z| \leqslant q+1\right\}$.

If $s \in J_{x_{0}, q}^{0}$, put $\|s\|_{(q)}^{2}=\int_{|Z| \leqslant q+1}|s|_{h^{\mathbf{E}_{B, x_{0}}}} d v_{T B}(Z)$. Let $\|A\|_{(q)}$ be the operator norm of $A \in \mathscr{L}\left(J_{x_{0}, q}^{0}\right)$ with respect to $\left\|\|_{(q)}\right.$.

By (2.149), (2.159) and (2.160), we get: there exist $C>0, N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $\left.t \in] 0, t_{0}\right], u \geqslant u_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|F_{r, u, t}\right\|_{(q)} \leqslant C t(1+q)^{N} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \nu u}  \tag{2.167}\\
& \left\|J_{r, u, t}\right\|_{(q)} \leqslant C t(1+q)^{N} e^{\frac{1}{4} \nu u}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}} \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a smooth function with compact support, equal 1 near 0 , such that $\int_{T_{x_{0}} B} \phi(Z) d v_{T_{x_{0}} B}(Z)=1$.

Take $\varsigma \in] 0,1]$.
By the proof of Theorem 2.17, $F_{r, u}$ verifies the similar inequality as in (2.157). Thus by (2.157), there exists $C>0$ such that if $|Z|,\left|Z^{\prime}\right| \leqslant q, U, U^{\prime} \in \mathbf{E}_{B, x_{0}}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 68) } \mid\left\langle F_{r, u, t}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right) U, U^{\prime}\right\rangle-\int_{T_{x_{0}} B \times T_{x_{0}} B}\left\langle F_{r, u, t}\left(Z-W, Z^{\prime}-W^{\prime}\right) U, U^{\prime}\right\rangle  \tag{2.168}\\
& \left.\times \frac{1}{\varsigma^{4 n-2 n_{0}}} \phi(W / \varsigma) \phi\left(W^{\prime} / \varsigma\right) d v_{T_{x_{0}} B}(W) d v_{T_{x_{0} B} B}\left(W^{\prime}\right)\left|\leqslant C \varsigma(1+q)^{N} e^{-\frac{1}{8} \nu u}\right| U \| U^{\prime} \right\rvert\, .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, by (2.167),

$$
\begin{align*}
\mid \int_{T_{x_{0}} B \times T_{x_{0}} B}\langle & \left.F_{r, u, t}\left(Z-W, Z^{\prime}-W^{\prime}\right) U, U^{\prime}\right\rangle \frac{1}{\varsigma^{4 n-2 n_{0}}} \phi(W / \varsigma) \phi\left(W^{\prime} / \varsigma\right)  \tag{2.169}\\
& \left.d v_{T_{x_{0}} B}(W) d v_{T_{x_{0}} B}\left(W^{\prime}\right)\left|\leqslant C t \frac{1}{\varsigma^{2 n-n_{0}}}(1+q)^{N} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \nu u}\right| U \| U^{\prime} \right\rvert\,
\end{align*}
$$

By taking $\varsigma=t^{1 /\left(2 n-n_{0}+1\right)}$, we get (2.166).
In the same way, we get $(2.166)$ for $J_{r, u, t}$.
Theorem 2.21. - There exists $C^{\prime \prime}>0$ such that for any $k, m, m^{\prime}, m^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $N \in \mathbb{N}, C>0$ such that if $\left.t \in] 0, t_{0}\right], u \geqslant u_{0}, Z, Z^{\prime} \in T_{x_{0}}^{H} U, \alpha, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{2 n-n_{0}}$, $|\alpha|+\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right| \leqslant m$,

$$
\begin{align*}
(1 & \left.+\left|Z^{\perp}\right|+\left|Z^{\prime \perp}\right|\right)^{m^{\prime \prime}}\left|\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right|}}{\partial Z^{\alpha} \partial Z^{\prime \alpha^{\prime}}}\left(F_{u}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)-\sum_{r=0}^{k} F_{r, u} t^{r}\right)\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\mathscr{C} m^{\prime}\left(X_{G}\right)} \\
& \leqslant C t^{k+1}\left(1+\left|Z^{0}\right|+\left|Z^{\prime 0}\right|\right)^{2\left(n+k+m^{\prime}+2\right)+m} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{8} \nu u-\sqrt{C^{\prime \prime} \nu}\left|Z-Z^{\prime}\right|\right)  \tag{2.170}\\
(1 & \left.+\left|Z^{\perp}\right|+\left|Z^{\prime \perp}\right|\right)^{m^{\prime \prime}}\left|\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right|}}{\partial Z^{\alpha} \partial Z^{\prime \alpha^{\prime}}}\left(e^{-u \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}-\sum_{r=0}^{k} J_{r, u} t^{r}\right)\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\mathscr{C}^{m^{\prime}}\left(X_{G}\right)} \\
& \leqslant C t^{k+1}\left(1+\left|Z^{0}\right|+\left|Z^{\prime 0}\right|\right)^{2\left(n+k+m^{\prime}+2\right)+m} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \nu u-\frac{2 C^{\prime \prime}}{u}\left|Z-Z^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. - By (2.159) and (2.166),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{1}{r!} \frac{\partial^{r}}{\partial t^{r}} F_{u}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)\right|_{t=0}=F_{r, u},\left.\quad \frac{1}{r!} \frac{\partial^{r}}{\partial t^{r}} e^{-u \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}\right|_{t=0}=J_{r, u} \tag{2.171}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now by Theorem 2.17 and (2.159), $J_{r, u}, F_{r, u}$ have the same estimates as $\frac{\partial^{r}}{\partial t^{r}} e^{-u \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}$, $\frac{\partial^{r}}{\partial t^{r}} F_{u}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)$ in (2.132), (2.157).

Again from (2.132), (2.157), (2.159), (2.166), and the Taylor expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t)-\sum_{r=0}^{k} \frac{1}{r!} \frac{\partial^{r} G}{\partial t^{r}}(0) t^{r}=\frac{1}{k!} \int_{0}^{t}\left(t-t_{0}\right)^{k} \frac{\partial^{k+1} G}{\partial t^{k+1}}\left(t_{0}\right) d t_{0} \tag{2.172}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get (2.170).

### 2.8. Evaluation of $J_{r, u}$

For $u>0$, we will write $u \Delta_{j}$ for the rescaled simplex $\left\{\left(u_{1}, \cdots, u_{j}\right) \mid 0 \leqslant u_{1} \leqslant u_{2} \leqslant\right.$ $\left.\cdots \leqslant u_{j} \leqslant u\right\}$.

Let $e^{-u \mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)$ be the smooth kernel of $e^{-u \mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}}$ with respect to $d v_{T_{x_{0}} B}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)$.
Recall that the $\mathcal{O}_{r}$ 's have been defined in (2.101).

Theorem 2.22. - For $r \geqslant 0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{r, u}=\sum_{\sum_{i=1}^{j} r_{i}=r, r_{i} \geqslant 1}(-1)^{j} \int_{u \Delta_{j}} e^{-\left(u-u_{j}\right) \mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}} \mathcal{O}_{r_{j}} e^{-\left(u_{j}-u_{j-1}\right) \mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}}  \tag{2.173}\\
& \cdots \mathcal{O}_{r_{1}} e^{-u_{1} \mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}} d u_{1} \cdots d u_{j}
\end{align*}
$$

where the product in the integrand is the convolution product. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{r, u}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)=(-1)^{r} J_{r, u}\left(-Z,-Z^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.174}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. - We introduce an even extra-variable $\sigma$ such that $\sigma^{r+1}=0$.
Set [ $]^{[r]}$ the coefficient of $\sigma^{r}, \mathscr{L}_{\sigma}=\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{r} \mathcal{O}_{j} \sigma^{j}$.
From (2.159), (2.171), we know

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{r, u}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)=\left.\frac{1}{r!} \frac{\partial^{r}}{\partial t^{r}} e^{-u \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t=0}=\left[e^{-u \mathscr{L}_{\sigma}}\right]^{[r]}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.175}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now from (2.175) and the Volterra expansion of $e^{-u \mathscr{L}_{\sigma}}$ (cf. [1, §2.4]), we get (2.173).

We prove (2.174) by iteration.

By (1.18), for $x_{0} \in X_{G}, U_{1}, U_{2} \in T_{x_{0}} B, R_{x_{0}}^{L_{B}}\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)=R^{L}\left(U_{1}^{H}, U_{2}^{H}\right)$. From (2.6), (2.101), we get

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}=-\sum_{j=1}^{2 n-n_{0}}\left(\nabla_{e_{j}}\right)^{2}-\pi^{2}\left\langle\left(\left(P^{T^{H} U} \mathbf{J} P^{T^{H} U}\right)^{2}\right.\right. & \left.\left.+4 P^{T^{H} U} \mathbf{J} P^{T Y} \mathbf{J} P^{T^{H} U}\right)_{x_{0}} \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}\right\rangle  \tag{2.176}\\
+ & 2 \pi \sqrt{-1} \nabla_{P^{T^{H} U}} \mathbf{J} P^{T^{H}}{ }^{H} \mathcal{R}
\end{array}\right) 2 \omega_{d, x_{0}}-\tau_{x_{0}} .
$$

Here the matrix $\left(\left(P^{T^{H}} U \mathbf{J} P^{T^{H} U}\right)^{2}+4 P^{T^{H} U} \mathbf{J} P^{T Y} \mathbf{J} P^{T^{H} U}\right)_{x_{0}}$ need not commute with $P^{T^{H}} U \mathbf{J} P^{T^{H} U}$. Thus $[\mathbf{3},(6.37),(6.38)]$ does not apply directly here, and we could not get a precise formula for $e^{-u \mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}}$ as in $[\mathbf{1 7}, ~(4.106)]$.

By the uniqueness of the solution of heat equations and (2.176), we know

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-u \mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)=e^{-u \mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}}\left(-Z,-Z^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.177}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.173),

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0, u}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)=e^{-u \mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.178}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we get (2.174) for $r=0$.
If (2.174) holds for $r \leqslant k$, then by (2.173), (2.178),

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{k+1, u}=-\sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \int_{0}^{u} e^{-\left(u-u_{1}\right) \mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}} \mathcal{O}_{j} J_{k+1-j, u_{1}} d u_{1} \tag{2.179}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the iteration, Theorem 2.11 and (2.178), and note that $\nabla_{e_{i}}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{j}$ will change the parity of the polynomials we obtained, we get (2.174) for $r=k+1$.

### 2.9. Proof of Theorem 0.2

By (2.156) and (2.170), for any $u>0$ fixed, there exists $C_{u}>0$ such that for $t=\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}, Z, Z^{\prime} \in T_{x_{0}} B, x_{0} \in X_{G}, \alpha, \alpha^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2 n-n_{0}},|\alpha|+\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right| \leqslant m$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(1+\left|Z^{\perp}\right|+\right. & \left.\left|Z^{\prime \perp}\right|\right)^{m^{\prime \prime}}\left|\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right|}}{\partial Z^{\alpha} \partial Z^{\prime \alpha^{\prime}}}\left(P_{0, t}-\sum_{r=0}^{k} t^{r}\left(J_{r, u}-F_{r, u}\right)\right)\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\mathscr{C}^{m^{\prime}}\left(X_{G}\right)}  \tag{2.180}\\
& \leqslant C_{u} t^{k+1}\left(1+\left|Z^{0}\right|+\left|Z^{\prime 0}\right|\right)^{2\left(n+k+m^{\prime}+2\right)+m} \exp \left(-\sqrt{C^{\prime \prime} \nu}\left|Z-Z^{\prime}\right|\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{(r)}=J_{r, u}-F_{r, u} \tag{2.181}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $P^{(r)}$ does not depend on $u>0$ by (2.180), as $P_{0, t}$ does not depend on $u$.

Moreover, by taking the limit of (2.157) as $t \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1+\left|Z^{\perp}\right|+\left|Z^{\prime \perp}\right|\right)^{m^{\prime \prime}}\left|F_{r, u}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\mathscr{C} m^{\prime}\left(X_{G}\right)}  \tag{2.182}\\
& \quad \leqslant C\left(1+\left|Z^{0}\right|+\left|Z^{\prime 0}\right|\right)^{2 n+2 r+2 m^{\prime}+2} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{8} \nu u-\sqrt{C^{\prime \prime} \nu}\left|Z-Z^{\prime}\right|\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{r, u}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)=P^{(r)}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)+F_{r, u}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)=P^{(r)}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-\frac{1}{8} \nu u}\right) \tag{2.183}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly on any compact set of $T_{x_{0}} B \times T_{x_{0}} B$.
Especially, from (2.174), (2.183), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{(r)}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)=(-1)^{r} P^{(r)}\left(-Z,-Z^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.184}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.100), for $Z, Z^{\prime} \in T_{x_{0}} B$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{x_{0}, p}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)=p^{n-\frac{n_{0}}{2}} \kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}}(Z) P_{0, t}\left(Z / t, Z^{\prime} / t\right) \kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(Z^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.185}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note in passing that, as a consequence of (2.180) and (2.185), we obtain the following estimate.

Theorem 2.23. - For any $k, m, m^{\prime}, m^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C>0$ such that for $Z, Z^{\prime} \in T_{x_{0}} B,|Z|,\left|Z^{\prime}\right| \leqslant \varepsilon, x_{0} \in X_{G}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{|\alpha|+\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right| \leqslant m}\left(1+\sqrt{p}\left|Z^{\perp}\right|+\sqrt{p}\left|Z^{\prime \perp}\right|\right)^{m^{\prime \prime}} \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right|}}{\partial Z^{\alpha} \partial Z^{\prime \alpha^{\prime}}}\right. \tag{2.186}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left.\left(p^{-n+\frac{n_{0}}{2}} P_{x_{0}, p}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)-\sum_{r=0}^{k} P^{(r)}\left(\sqrt{p} Z, \sqrt{p} Z^{\prime}\right) \kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}}(Z) \kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(Z^{\prime}\right) p^{-r / 2}\right)\right|_{\mathscr{C} m^{\prime}\left(X_{G}\right)}
$$

$$
\leqslant C p^{-(k+1-m) / 2}\left(1+\sqrt{p}\left|Z^{0}\right|+\sqrt{p}\left|Z^{\prime 0}\right|\right)^{2\left(n+k+m^{\prime}+2\right)+m} \exp \left(-\sqrt{C^{\prime \prime}} \nu \sqrt{p}\left|Z-Z^{\prime}\right|\right)
$$

From (2.83), (2.84), (2.108) and (2.186), we get Theorem 0.2 without knowing the properties (0.12), (0.13) for $P^{(r)}$.

To prove the uniformity part of Theorem 0.2 , we notice that in the proof of Theorem 2.17, we only use the derivatives of the coefficients of $\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}$ with order $\leqslant 2 n+m+m^{\prime}+$ $r+2$. Thus the constants in Theorems 2.17 and 2.20 , (resp. Theorem 2.21) are uniformly bounded, if with respect to a fixed metric $g_{0}^{T X}$, the $\mathscr{C}^{2 n+m+m^{\prime}+r+4}$ (resp. $\left.\mathscr{C}^{2 n+m+m^{\prime}+k+5}\right)$ - norms on $X$ of the data $\left(g^{T X}, h^{L}, \nabla^{L}, h^{E}, \nabla^{E}, J\right)$ are bounded (as by (2.109), the coefficients of $\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}$ are functions of $g^{T X}$ (resp. $\nabla^{L}, \nabla^{E}$ ) and their derivatives with order $\leqslant 2$ (resp. 1 )), and $g^{T X}$ is bounded below.

Moreover, taking derivatives with respect to the parameters we obtain a similar equation as (2.154), where $x_{0} \in X_{G}$ plays now a role of a parameter. Thus the $\mathscr{C}^{m^{\prime}}{ }_{-}$ norm in (2.186) can also include the parameters if the $\mathscr{C}^{m^{\prime}}$ - norms (with respect to the parameter $x_{0} \in X_{G}$ ) of the derivatives of above data with order $\leqslant 2 n+k+m+5$ are bounded.

Thus we can take $C_{k, l}$ in (0.10) independent of $g^{T X}$ under our condition. This achieves the proof of Theorem 0.2 except ( 0.12 ) and ( 0.13 ) which will be proved in Theorem 3.2 under the condition in Theorem 0.2.

## CHAPTER 3

## EVALUATION OF $P^{(r)}$

In this Chapter, inspired by the method in $[\mathbf{2 8}, \S 1.4,1.5]$, we develop a direct and effective method to compute $P^{(r)}$. In particular, we get $(0.12)$ and (0.13) under the condition in Theorem 0.2.

This section is organized as follows. In Section 3.1, we study the spectrum of the limiting operator $\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}$. In Section 3.2, we get a direct method to evaluate $P^{(r)}$ in (0.12), especially, we prove (0.12) and (0.13). In Section 3.3, we compute explicitly $\mathcal{O}_{1}$ in (2.102), and get a general formula for $P^{(2)}$ by using the operators $\mathcal{O}_{1}, \mathcal{O}_{2}$. In Section 3.4, we compute explicitly an interesting example: the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(2)$ on $\left(\mathbb{C} P^{1}, 2 \omega_{F S}\right)$. We verify that Theorem 0.2 coincides with our computation here if 0 is a regular value of the moment map $\mu$, but it does not hold if 0 is a singular value.

We use the notations in Section 2.6, and we suppose that (3.2) is verified.

### 3.1. Spectrum of $\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}$

Recall that $T^{H} P$ is the orthogonal complement of $T Y$ in $\left(T P, g^{T P}\right)$. Note that by (2.6) and (2.17), we have the following orthogonal splitting of vector bundles on $P=\mu^{-1}(0)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T P=T^{H} P \oplus T Y, \quad T X=T^{H} P \oplus T Y \oplus \mathbf{J} T Y \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the rest of this Chapter, we suppose that on $P$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{J}^{2} T Y=T Y \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2.8) and (3.2) imply that $-J \mathbf{J}$ preserves $T Y$ and $\mathbf{J} T Y$. Especially if $\mathbf{J}=J$ on $P$, then (3.2) holds.

By (2.8) , (2.17) and (3.1), the condition (3.2) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{J} T Y=J T Y, \quad \mathbf{J} T^{H} P=T^{H} P=J T^{H} P \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $\left.(\mathbf{J} T Y)_{B}\right|_{X_{G}}$ is the orthogonal complement of $T X_{G}$ in $T B$, and $\mathbf{J}$ induces naturally $\mathbf{J}_{G} \in \operatorname{End}\left(T X_{G}\right)$. We will identify $\left.(\mathbf{J} T Y)_{B}\right|_{X_{G}}$ to the normal bundle of $X_{G}$ in $B$.

For $U, V \in T_{x_{0}} B, x_{0} \in X_{G}$, by (3.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega\left(U^{H}, V^{H}\right)=\omega_{G}\left(P^{T X_{G}} U, P^{T X_{G}} V\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the above discussion, for $x_{0} \in X_{G}$, we can choose $\left\{w_{j}^{0}\right\}_{j=1}^{n-n_{0}},\left\{e_{j}^{\perp}\right\}_{j=1}^{n_{0}}$ orthonormal basis of $T_{x_{0}}^{(1,0)} X_{G},(\mathbf{J} T Y)_{B, x_{0}} \subset T B$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\mathbf{J}\right|_{T_{x_{0}}^{(1,0)} X_{G}} & =\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \operatorname{diag}\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n-n_{0}}\right) \in \operatorname{End}\left(T_{x_{0}}^{(1,0)} X_{G}\right),  \tag{3.5}\\
\left.\mathbf{J}^{2}\right|_{(\mathbf{J} T Y)_{B}} & =\frac{-1}{4 \pi^{2}} \operatorname{diag}\left(a_{1}^{\perp, 2}, \cdots, a_{n_{0}}^{\perp, 2}\right) \in \operatorname{End}\left((\mathbf{J} T Y)_{B, x_{0}}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

with $a_{j}, a_{j}^{\perp}>0$, and let $\left\{w^{0, j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n-n_{0}},\left\{e^{\perp j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n_{0}}$ be their dual basis, then

$$
e_{2 j-1}^{0}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(w_{j}^{0}+\bar{w}_{j}^{0}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad e_{2 j}^{0}=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\sqrt{2}}\left(w_{j}^{0}-\bar{w}_{j}^{0}\right)
$$

$j=1, \ldots, n-n_{0}$, form an orthonormal basis of $T_{x_{0}} X_{G}$.
From now on, we use the coordinate in Section 2.6 induced by the above basis.
Denote by $Z^{0}=\left(Z_{1}^{0}, \cdots, Z_{2 n-2 n_{0}}^{0}\right)$, $Z^{\perp}=\left(Z_{1}^{\perp}, \cdots, Z_{n_{0}}^{\perp}\right)$, then $Z=\left(Z^{0}, Z^{\perp}\right)$.
In what follows we will use the complex coordinates $z^{0}=\left(z_{1}^{0}, \cdots, z_{n-n_{0}}^{0}\right)$, thus $Z^{0}=z^{0}+\bar{z}^{0}$, and $w_{i}^{0}=\sqrt{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \bar{w}_{i}^{0}=\sqrt{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{2 i-1}^{0}=\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}, \quad e_{2 i}^{0}=\sqrt{-1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will also identify $z^{0}$ to $\sum_{i} z_{i}^{0} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}$ and $\bar{z}^{0}$ to $\sum_{i} \bar{z}_{i}^{0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}$ when we consider $z^{0}$ and $\bar{z}^{0}$ as vector fields. Remark that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}\right|^{2}=\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right|^{2}=\frac{1}{2}, \quad \text { so that }\left|z^{0}\right|^{2}=\left|\bar{z}^{0}\right|^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left|Z^{0}\right|^{2} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is very useful to rewrite $\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}$ by using the creation and annihilation operators. Set

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{i}=-2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}+\frac{1}{2} a_{i} \bar{z}_{i}^{0}, \quad b_{i}^{+}=2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}+\frac{1}{2} a_{i} z_{i}^{0}, \quad b=\left(b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n-n_{0}}\right)  \tag{3.8}\\
& b_{j}^{\perp}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_{j}^{\perp}}+a_{j}^{\perp} Z_{j}^{\perp}, \quad b_{j}^{\perp+}=\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_{j}^{\perp}}+a_{j}^{\perp} Z_{j}^{\perp}, \quad b^{\perp}=\left(b_{1}^{\perp}, \cdots, b_{n_{0}}^{\perp}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Then for any polynomial $g\left(Z^{0}, Z^{\perp}\right)$ on $Z^{0}$ and $Z^{\perp}$,

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
{\left[b_{i}, b_{j}^{+}\right]=b_{i} b_{j}^{+}-b_{j}^{+} b_{i}=-2 a_{i} \delta_{i j},} & {\left[b_{i}, b_{j}\right]=\left[b_{i}^{+}, b_{j}^{+}\right]=0} \\
{\left[g, b_{j}\right]=2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}} g,} & {\left[g, b_{j}^{+}\right]=-2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}} g}  \tag{3.9}\\
{\left[b_{i}^{\perp}, b_{j}^{\perp+}\right]=-2 a_{i}^{\perp} \delta_{i j},} & {\left[b_{j}^{\perp}, b_{k}^{\perp}\right]=\left[b_{j}^{\perp+}, b_{k}^{\perp+}\right]=0} \\
{\left[g, b_{j}^{\perp}\right]=-\left[g, b_{j}^{\perp+}\right]=\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_{j}^{\perp}} g .} &
\end{array}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{L}=\sum_{j=1}^{n-n_{0}} b_{j} b_{j}^{+}, \quad \mathscr{L}^{\perp}=\sum_{j=1}^{n_{0}} b_{j}^{\perp} b_{j}^{\perp+}, \quad \nabla_{0, \cdot}=\nabla \cdot+\frac{1}{2} R_{x_{0}}^{L_{B}}(\mathcal{R}, \cdot) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (0.1), (1.18) and (3.4), for $U, V \in T_{x_{0}} B$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{x_{0}}^{L_{B}}(U, V)=-2 \pi \sqrt{-1}\left\langle\mathbf{J} P^{T X_{G}} U, P^{T X_{G}} V\right\rangle \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.50), (3.5), (3.8), (3.10) and (3.11), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{i}=-2 \nabla_{0, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}}, \quad b_{i}^{+}=2 \nabla_{0, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{\square}}}, \quad \nabla_{0, e_{j}^{\perp}}=\nabla_{e_{j}^{\perp}} \\
& \tau_{x_{0}}=\sum_{j} a_{j}+\sum_{j} a_{j}^{\perp} \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.101), (3.10) and (3.12), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0} & =-\sum_{j=1}^{2 n-2 n_{0}}\left(\nabla_{0, e_{j}^{0}}\right)^{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{n_{0}}\left(\left(\nabla_{e_{j}^{\perp}}\right)^{2}-\left|a_{j}^{\perp} Z_{j}^{\perp}\right|^{2}\right)-2 \omega_{d, x_{0}}-\tau_{x_{0}}  \tag{3.13}\\
& =\mathscr{L}+\mathscr{L}^{\perp}-2 \omega_{d, x_{0}} .
\end{align*}
$$

By [42, §8.6], [28, Theorem 1.15] (cf. [31, Theorems 4.1.20, E.1.1]), we know
Theorem 3.1. - The spectrum of the restriction of $\mathscr{L}$ on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n-2 n_{0}}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Spec}\left(\left.\mathscr{L}\right|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n-2 n_{0}}\right)}\right)=\left\{2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-n_{0}} \alpha_{i}^{0} a_{i}: \alpha^{0}=\left(\alpha_{1}^{0}, \cdots, \alpha_{n-n_{0}}^{0}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{n-n_{0}}\right\} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and an orthogonal basis of the eigenspace of $2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-n_{0}} \alpha_{i}^{0} a_{i}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{\alpha^{0}}\left(\left(z^{0}\right)^{\beta} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i} a_{i}\left|z_{i}^{0}\right|^{2}\right)\right), \quad \text { with } \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{n-n_{0}} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spectrum of the restriction of $\mathscr{L}^{\perp}$ on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_{0}}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Spec}\left(\left.\mathscr{L}^{\perp}\right|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_{0}}\right)}\right)=\left\{2 \sum_{i=1}^{n_{0}} \alpha_{i}^{\perp} a_{i}^{\perp}: \alpha^{\perp}=\left(\alpha_{1}^{\perp}, \cdots, \alpha_{n_{0}}^{\perp}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{n_{0}}\right\} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the eigenspace of $2 \sum_{i=1}^{n_{0}} \alpha_{i}^{\perp} a_{i}^{\perp}$ is one dimensional and an orthonormal basis is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n_{0}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a_{i}^{\perp}}}\left(2 a_{i}^{\perp}\right)^{\alpha_{i}^{\perp}}\left(\alpha_{i}^{\perp}!\right)\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(b^{\perp}\right)^{\alpha^{\perp}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} a_{i}^{\perp}\left|Z_{i}^{\perp}\right|^{2}\right) . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Especially, the orthonormal basis of $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\left.\mathscr{L}\right|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n-2 n_{0}}\right)}\right) ; \operatorname{Ker}\left(\left.\mathscr{L}^{\perp}\right|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_{0}}\right)}\right)$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{a^{\beta}}{2^{|\beta|} \beta!} \prod_{i=1}^{n-n_{0}} \frac{a_{i}}{2 \pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\left(z^{0}\right)^{\beta} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{n-n_{0}} a_{j}\left|z_{j}^{0}\right|^{2}\right)\right), \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{n-n_{0}}  \tag{3.18}\\
& G^{\perp}\left(Z^{\perp}\right)=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n_{0}} \frac{a_{i}^{\perp}}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{0}} a_{i}^{\perp}\left|Z_{i}^{\perp}\right|^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Let $P_{\mathscr{L}}\left(Z^{0}, Z^{\prime 0}\right), P_{\mathscr{L} \perp}\left(Z^{\perp}, Z^{\prime \perp}\right), P\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)$ be the kernels of the orthogonal projections $P_{\mathscr{L}}, P_{\mathscr{L} \perp}, P$ from $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n-2 n_{0}}\right), L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_{0}}\right), L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}\right)$ onto $\operatorname{Ker}(\mathscr{L}), \operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathscr{L}^{\perp}\right)$, $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathscr{L}+\mathscr{L}^{\perp}\right)$ respectively.

From (3.18), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{\mathscr{L}}\left(Z^{0}, Z^{\prime 0}\right) & =\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-n_{0}} \frac{a_{i}}{2 \pi}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{n-n_{0}} a_{i}\left(\left|z_{i}^{0}\right|^{2}+\left|z_{i}^{\prime 0}\right|^{2}-2 z_{i}^{0} \bar{z}_{i}^{\prime 0}\right)\right) \\
P_{\mathscr{L}^{\perp}}\left(Z^{\perp}, Z^{\prime \perp}\right) & =\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n_{0}} \sqrt{\frac{a_{i}^{\perp}}{\pi}}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{0}} a_{i}^{\perp}\left(\left|Z_{i}^{\perp}\right|^{2}+\left|Z_{i}^{\prime \perp}\right|^{2}\right)\right),  \tag{3.19}\\
P\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right) & =P_{\mathscr{L}}\left(Z^{0}, Z^{\prime 0}\right) P_{\mathscr{L}}\left(Z^{\perp}, Z^{\prime \perp}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $P^{N}$ be the orthogonal projection from $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}},\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes E\right)_{x_{0}}\right)$ onto $N=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)$. Let $P^{N}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)$ be the associated kernel.

Recall that the projection $I_{\mathbb{C} \otimes E_{B}}$ from $\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes E\right)_{B}$ onto $\mathbb{C} \otimes E_{B}$ is defined in Introduction.

By (2.8), (2.10), (2.50) and (3.5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\omega_{d, x_{0}} \geqslant \nu_{0} \quad \text { on } \Lambda^{>0}\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{N}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)=P\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right) I_{\mathbb{C} \otimes E_{B}} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\mathbf{J}=J$ on $P$, then by (3.19) and (3.21),

$$
\begin{align*}
P^{N}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)=\exp (- & \left.\frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-n_{0}}\left(\left|z_{i}^{0}\right|^{2}+\left|z_{i}^{\prime 0}\right|^{2}-2 z_{i}^{0} \bar{z}_{i}^{\prime 0}\right)\right)  \tag{3.22}\\
& \times 2^{\frac{n_{0}}{2}} \exp \left(-\pi\left(\left|Z^{\perp}\right|^{2}+\left|Z^{\prime \perp}\right|^{2}\right)\right) I_{\mathbb{C} \otimes E_{B}} \\
P^{N}\left(\left(0, Z^{\perp}\right),\left(0, Z^{\perp}\right)\right)= & 2^{\frac{n_{0}}{2}} \exp \left(-2 \pi\left|Z^{\perp}\right|^{2}\right) I_{\mathbb{C} \otimes E_{B}}
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.2. Evaluation of $P^{(r)}$ : a proof of (0.12) and (0.13)

Recall that $\delta$ is the counterclockwise oriented circle in $\mathbb{C}$ of center 0 and radius $\nu / 4$.

By (2.120),

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{0, t}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\delta}\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}\right)^{-1} d \lambda \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f(\lambda, t)$ be a formal power series with values in $\operatorname{End}\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}},\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.E)_{B, x_{0}}\right)$ )
(3.24) $f(\lambda, t)=\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} t^{r} f_{r}(\lambda), \quad f_{r}(\lambda) \in \operatorname{End}\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}},\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes E\right)_{B, x_{0}}\right)\right)$.

By (2.102), consider the equation of formal power series for $\lambda \in \delta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}-\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} t^{r} \mathcal{O}_{r}\right) f(\lambda, t)=\operatorname{Id}_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}},\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes E\right)_{B, x_{0}}\right)} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $N^{\perp}$ be the orthogonal space of $N$ in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}},\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes E\right)_{B, x_{0}}\right)$, and $P^{N^{\perp}}$ be the orthogonal projection from $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}},\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes E\right)_{B, x_{0}}\right)$ onto $N^{\perp}$.

We decompose $f(\lambda, t)$ according to the splitting $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}},\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes\right.\right.$ $\left.E)_{B, x_{0}}\right)=N \oplus N^{\perp}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{r}(\lambda)=P^{N} f_{r}(\lambda), \quad f_{r}^{\perp}(\lambda)=P^{N^{\perp}} f_{r}(\lambda) \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Theorem 3.1, (3.13), (3.20), (3.26) and identifying the powers of $t$ in (3.25), we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{0}(\lambda)=\frac{1}{\lambda} P^{N}, \quad f_{0}^{\perp}(\lambda)=\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} \\
& f_{r}^{\perp}(\lambda)=\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{r} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{O}_{j} f_{r-j}(\lambda)  \tag{3.27}\\
& g_{r}(\lambda)=\frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{j=1}^{r} P^{N} \mathcal{O}_{j} f_{r-j}(\lambda) .
\end{align*}
$$

Recall that $P^{(r)}(r \in \mathbb{N})$ is defined in (2.181) and (2.186).
Theorem 3.2. - There exist $J_{r}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)$ polynomials in $Z, Z^{\prime}$ with the same parity as $r$, and $\operatorname{deg} J_{r}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right) \leqslant 3 r$, whose coefficients are polynomials in $R^{T B}, R^{\text {Cliff }_{B}}, R^{E_{B}}$, $r^{X}, \operatorname{Tr}\left[R^{T^{(1,0)} X}\right], R^{E}$ (resp. A, $\mu^{E}, \mu^{\text {Cliff }} ;$ resp. $h, R^{L}, R^{L_{B}} ;$ resp. $\mu$ ) and their derivatives at $x_{0}$ up to order $r-2$ (resp. $r-1$; resp. $r$; resp. $r+1$ ), and in the inverses of the linear combination of the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{J}$ at $x_{0}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{(r)}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)=J_{r}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right) P\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{(0)}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)=P^{N}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)=P\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right) I_{\mathbb{C} \otimes E_{B}} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. - By (3.23), for $\sigma>0$, by combining Theorems 2.13-2.16 and the argument as in $[\mathbf{2 8}, \S 1.3]$, we get another proof of the existence of the asymptotic expansion of $P_{0, t}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)$ for $|Z|,\left|Z^{\prime}\right| \leqslant \sigma$ when $t \rightarrow 0$.

By (2.83), (2.84) and (2.185), this gives another proof of Theorems 0.2, 2.23 for $|Z|,\left|Z^{\prime}\right| \leqslant \sigma / \sqrt{p}$. Moreover, by (2.149), (2.159) and (3.26),

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{(r)}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\delta} g_{r}(\lambda) d \lambda+\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\delta} f_{r}^{\perp}(\lambda) d \lambda . \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.27), (3.30), we get (3.29).
Generally, from Theorems 2.11, 3.1, (3.9), (3.27), (3.30) and the residue formula, we conclude Theorem 3.2.

Proof of (0.12) and (0.13). - As $\mathbf{J}=J$ on $\mu^{-1}(0)$, the condition (3.2) is verified.
From Theorem 3.2, (3.22), we get (0.12) and (0.13).
From Theorem 3.1, (3.27), (3.30), and the residue formula, we can get $P^{(r)}$ by using the operators $\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1}, P^{N}, P^{N^{\perp}}, \mathcal{O}_{k}(k \leqslant r)$.

This gives us a direct method to compute $P^{(r)}$ in view of Theorem 3.1. In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{(1)}=-P^{N} \mathcal{O}_{1} P^{N^{\perp}}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}}-P^{N^{\perp}}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{O}_{1} P^{N} \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
P^{(2)}= & \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\delta}\left[\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1} f_{1}+\mathcal{O}_{2} f_{0}\right)(\lambda)+\frac{1}{\lambda} P^{N}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1} f_{1}+\mathcal{O}_{2} f_{0}\right)(\lambda)\right] d \lambda  \tag{3.32}\\
= & \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\delta}\left\{\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}}\left[\mathcal{O}_{1}\left(\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{O}_{1}+\frac{1}{\lambda} P^{N} \mathcal{O}_{1}\right)+\mathcal{O}_{2}\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{\lambda} P^{N}\left[\mathcal{O}_{1}\left(\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{O}_{1}+\frac{1}{\lambda} P^{N} \mathcal{O}_{1}\right)+\mathcal{O}_{2}\right]\right\}\left(\lambda-\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} d \lambda \\
= & \left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{O}_{1}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{O}_{1} P^{N}-P^{N^{\perp}}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-2} \mathcal{O}_{1} P^{N} \mathcal{O}_{1} P^{N} \\
& +\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{O}_{1} P^{N} \mathcal{O}_{1}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}}-\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{O}_{2} P^{N} \\
& +P^{N} \mathcal{O}_{1}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{O}_{1}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}}-P^{N} \mathcal{O}_{1}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-2} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{O}_{1} P^{N} \\
& -P^{N} \mathcal{O}_{1} P^{N} \mathcal{O}_{1}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-2} P^{N^{\perp}}-P^{N} \mathcal{O}_{2}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}}
\end{align*}
$$

In the next Section we will prove $P^{N} \mathcal{O}_{1} P^{N}=0$, thus the second and seventh terms in (3.32) are zero.

### 3.3. A formula for $\mathcal{O}_{1}$

We will use the notation in Chapter 1. All tensors in this Section will be evaluated at the base point $x_{0} \in X_{G}$.

For $\psi$ a tensor on $X$, we denote by $\nabla^{X} \psi$ its covariant derivative induced by $\nabla^{T X}$.

If $\psi_{1}$ is a $G$-equivariant tensor, then we can consider it as a tensor on $B=U / G$ with the covariant derivative $\nabla^{B} \psi_{1}$, we will denote by

$$
\left(\nabla^{B} \nabla^{B} \psi_{1}\right)_{\left(c_{j} e_{j}, c_{k}^{\prime} e_{k}\right)}:=c_{j} c_{k}^{\prime}\left(\nabla_{e_{j}}^{B} \nabla_{e_{k}}^{B} \psi_{1}\right)_{x_{0}}
$$

etc.
We denote by $\left\{e_{a}\right\}$ an orthonormal basis of $\left(T X, g^{T X}\right)$.
To simplify the notation, we often denote by $U$ the lift $U^{H} \in T^{H} X$ of $U \in T B$.
Recall that $\widetilde{\mu} \in T Y$ is defined by (1.14) and the moment map $\mu(2.16)$, and that $A$ is the second fundamental form of $X_{G}$ defined by (0.10).

Lemma 3.3. - The following identities hold,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}\right)_{x_{0}}=-\mathbf{J} \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \\
& \begin{aligned}
&\left(\nabla_{\cdot}^{T Y} \nabla_{\cdot}^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}\right)_{(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})}:=\left(\nabla_{e_{j}^{H}}^{T Y} \nabla_{e_{i}^{H}}^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}\right)_{x_{0}} Z_{j} Z_{i} \\
& \quad=-P^{T Y}\left(\left(\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{X} \mathbf{J}\right)\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}+2 \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)+\left(\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{\perp}}^{X} \mathbf{J}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right) \\
& \quad-\mathbf{J} A\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}-\frac{1}{2} T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, \mathbf{J} \mathcal{R}^{0}\right)+T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \mathbf{J} \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right) .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.33}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. - Recall that $P^{T Y}, P^{T^{H} X}$ are the orthogonal projections from $T X$ onto $T Y, T^{H} X$ defined in Section 1.1. Note that on $P$, by (3.3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{J} e_{i}^{\perp, H} \in T Y, \quad \mathbf{J} e_{i}^{0, H}=\left(\mathbf{J}_{G} e_{i}^{0}\right)^{H} \in T^{H} P . \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (1.14) and (2.17), for $K \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left\langle\mathbf{J} e_{i}^{H}, K^{X}\right\rangle=\nabla_{e_{i}^{H}} \mu(K)=\left\langle\nabla_{e_{i}^{H}}^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}, K^{X}\right\rangle+\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}, \nabla_{e_{i}^{H}}^{T Y} K^{X}\right\rangle \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1.4), (1.5), (1.6) and (3.35),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{e_{i}^{H}}^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}=-P^{T Y} \mathbf{J} e_{i}^{H}-\frac{1}{2} \dot{g}_{e_{i}^{H}}^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}=-P^{T Y} \mathbf{J} e_{i}^{H}-T\left(e_{i}^{H}, \widetilde{\mu}\right) . \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.36) and the fact that $\widetilde{\mu}=0$ on $P$, one gets the first equation in (3.33).
Now for $W$ (resp. $Y$ ) a smooth section of $T X$ (resp. $T Y$ ), by (1.8),

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\nabla_{e_{j}^{H}}^{T Y} P^{T Y} W, Y\right\rangle=e_{j}^{H}\langle W, Y\rangle & -\left\langle P^{T Y} W, \nabla_{e_{j}^{H}}^{T Y} Y\right\rangle  \tag{3.37}\\
& =\left\langle\nabla_{e_{j}^{H}}^{T X} W, Y\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle T\left(e_{j}^{H}, P^{T^{H} X} W\right), Y\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.37),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{e_{j}^{H}}^{T Y} P^{T Y} W=P^{T Y} \nabla_{e_{j}^{H}}^{T X} W+\frac{1}{2} T\left(e_{j}^{H}, P^{T^{H} X} W\right) \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.36) and (3.38),

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{e_{j}^{H}}^{T Y} \nabla_{e_{i}^{H}}^{T Y} \tilde{\mu}=-P^{T Y} & \left(\nabla_{e_{j}^{H}}^{X} \mathbf{J}\right) e_{i}^{H}-P^{T Y} \mathbf{J} \nabla_{e_{j}^{H}}^{T X} e_{i}^{H}  \tag{3.39}\\
& -\frac{1}{2} T\left(e_{j}^{H}, P^{T^{H} X} \mathbf{J} e_{i}^{H}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_{e_{j}^{H}}^{T Y} \dot{g}_{e_{i}^{H}}^{T Y}\right) \widetilde{\mu}-\frac{1}{2} \dot{g}_{e_{i}^{H}}^{T Y}\left(\nabla_{e_{j}^{H}}^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By (1.3) and (1.7), for $U_{1}, U_{2}$ sections of $T B$ on $B$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{U_{2}^{H}}^{T X} U_{1}^{H}=\left(\nabla_{U_{2}}^{T B} U_{1}\right)^{H}-\frac{1}{2} T\left(U_{2}^{H}, U_{1}^{H}\right) \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the definition of our basis $\left\{e_{i}^{0}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right\}$ in Section 2.6,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\nabla_{e_{i}^{0}}^{T B} e_{j}^{0}\right)_{x_{0}}=A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{0} \\
& \left(\nabla_{e_{i}^{0}}^{T B} e_{j}^{\perp}\right)_{x_{0}}=\left(\nabla_{e_{j}^{\perp}}^{T B} e_{i}^{0}\right)_{x_{0}}=A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{\perp}, \quad\left(\nabla_{e_{j}^{\perp}}^{T B} e_{i}^{\perp}\right)_{x_{0}}=0 . \tag{3.41}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus by (1.6), (3.2), (3.36), (3.39), (3.40), (3.41) and the facts that $A$ exchanges $N_{G}$ and $T X_{G}$ on $X_{G}$, and that $\widetilde{\mu}=0$ on $P$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{\cdot}^{T Y} \nabla_{\cdot}^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}\right)_{(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})}=-P^{T Y}\left(\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{X} \mathbf{J}\right) \mathcal{R}-\mathbf{J} A\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}-\frac{1}{2} T\left(\mathcal{R}, \mathbf{J} \mathcal{R}^{0}\right)+T\left(\mathcal{R}, \mathbf{J} \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right) \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use the closeness of $\omega$ to complete the proof of (3.33).
From (0.2), for $U, V, W \in T X$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\left(\nabla_{U}^{X} \mathbf{J}\right) V, W\right\rangle=\left(\nabla_{U}^{X} \omega\right)(V, W) \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\left(\nabla_{U}^{X} \mathbf{J}\right) V, W\right\rangle+\left\langle\left(\nabla_{V}^{X} \mathbf{J}\right) W, U\right\rangle+\left\langle\left(\nabla_{W}^{X} \mathbf{J}\right) U, V\right\rangle=d \omega(U, V, W)=0 \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (1.3), (1.7), (3.34) and (3.44) for $Y$ a smooth section of $T Y$, at $x_{0}$,

$$
\left\langle\mathbf{J} \nabla_{Y}^{T X} e_{j}^{0}, e_{i}^{\perp}\right\rangle=-\left\langle\nabla_{Y}^{T X} e_{j}^{0}, \mathbf{J} e_{i}^{\perp}\right\rangle=-\left\langle T\left(e_{j}^{0}, \mathbf{J} e_{i}^{\perp}\right), Y\right\rangle
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle T\left(e_{i}^{\perp}, \mathbf{J} e_{j}^{0}\right), Y\right\rangle & =-2\left\langle\nabla_{Y}^{T X}\left(\mathbf{J} e_{j}^{0}\right), e_{i}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
& =-2\left\langle\left(\nabla_{Y}^{X} \mathbf{J}\right) e_{j}^{0}, e_{i}^{\perp}\right\rangle+2\left\langle T\left(e_{j}^{0}, \mathbf{J} e_{i}^{\perp}\right), Y\right\rangle  \tag{3.45}\\
& =2\left\langle\left(\nabla_{e_{j}^{0}}^{X} \mathbf{J}\right) e_{i}^{\perp}, Y\right\rangle-2\left\langle\left(\nabla_{e_{i}^{\perp}}^{X} \mathbf{J}\right) e_{j}^{0}, Y\right\rangle+2\left\langle T\left(e_{j}^{0}, \mathbf{J} e_{i}^{\perp}\right), Y\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.42), (3.45), we get the second equation of (3.33).
The following formula extends [29, Theorem 2.2] to the group action case.
Theorem 3.4. - The following identity holds,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{O}_{1}= & -\frac{2}{3}\left(\partial_{j} R^{L_{B}}\right)_{x_{0}}\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{i}\right) Z_{j} \nabla_{0, e_{i}}-\frac{1}{3}\left(\partial_{i} R^{L_{B}}\right)_{x_{0}}\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{i}\right)  \tag{3.46}\\
& -2\left\langle A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle \nabla_{0, e_{i}^{0}} \nabla_{0, e_{j}^{0}}-\pi \sqrt{-1}\left\langle\left(\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{X} \mathbf{J}\right) e_{a}, e_{b}\right\rangle c\left(e_{a}\right) c\left(e_{b}\right) \\
& +4 \pi^{2}\left\langle\left(\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{X} \mathbf{J}\right)\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}+2 \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)+\left(\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{\perp}}^{X} \mathbf{J}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}-T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \mathbf{J} \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right), \mathbf{J} \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
& +4 \pi^{2}\left\langle\mathbf{J} A\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}+\frac{1}{2} T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, \mathbf{J} \mathcal{R}^{0}\right), \mathbf{J} \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
& +4 \pi \sqrt{-1}\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}^{\mathrm{Cliff}}+\widetilde{\mu}^{E}, \mathbf{J} \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. - For $\psi \in\left(T^{*} X \otimes \operatorname{End}\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right)\right)\right)_{B} \simeq\left(T^{*} X \otimes\left(C(T X) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}\right)\right)_{B}$, where $C(T X)$ is the Clifford algebra bundle of $T X$, we denote by $\nabla^{X} \psi$ the covariant derivative of $\psi$ induced by $\nabla^{T X}$.

From $\left[\nabla_{W}^{\mathrm{Cliff}}, c\left(e_{a}\right)\right]=c\left(\nabla_{W}^{T X} e_{a}\right)$, (cf. also [31, Prop. 1.3.1]), we observe that for $W \in T B$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{W}^{X}\left(\psi\left(e_{a}\right) c\left(e_{a}\right)\right) & =\left(\nabla_{W}^{X} \psi\right)\left(e_{a}\right) c\left(e_{a}\right)+\psi\left(\nabla_{W^{H}}^{T X} e_{a}\right) c\left(e_{a}\right)+\psi\left(e_{a}\right) c\left(\nabla_{W^{H}}^{T X} e_{a}\right)  \tag{3.47}\\
& =\left(\nabla_{W}^{X} \psi\right)\left(e_{a}\right) c\left(e_{a}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Thus by (2.50) and (3.47), for $k \geqslant 2$,

$$
\begin{align*}
&-\left(2 \omega_{d}+\tau\right)(t Z)=\frac{1}{2}\left(R^{L}\left(e_{a}, e_{b}\right) c\left(e_{a}\right) c\left(e_{b}\right)\right)(t Z)  \tag{3.48}\\
&=\left.\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=0}^{k} \frac{\partial^{r}}{\partial t^{r}}\left[\left(R^{L}\left(e_{a}, e_{b}\right) c\left(e_{a}\right) c\left(e_{b}\right)\right)(t Z)\right]\right|_{t=0} \frac{t^{r}}{r!}+\mathscr{O}\left(t^{k+1}\right) \\
&=\frac{1}{2}\left(R_{x_{0}}^{L}+t\left(\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{X} R^{L}\right)_{x_{0}}\right)\left(e_{a}, e_{b}\right) c\left(e_{a}\right) c\left(e_{b}\right)+\mathscr{O}\left(t^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 3.3 and (2.110), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
-t^{2}\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}^{E_{p}}, \widetilde{\mu}^{E_{p}}\right\rangle(t Z)= & \left.4 \pi^{2} \sum_{k=2}^{3} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial t^{k}}\left(|\widetilde{\mu}|_{g^{T Y}}^{2}(t Z)\right)\right|_{t=0} t^{k-2}  \tag{3.49}\\
& +4 \pi \sqrt{-1} t\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}^{\mathrm{Cliff}}+\widetilde{\mu}^{E}, \mathbf{J} \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle_{x_{0}}+\mathscr{O}\left(t^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The following two formulas are clear,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}|\widetilde{\mu}|_{g^{T Y}}^{2}(t Z)\right|_{t=0} & =\left.\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla \nabla|\widetilde{\mu}|_{g^{T Y}}^{2}(Z)\right)_{(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})}\right|_{Z=0}=\left|\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}\right|^{2} \\
\left.\frac{1}{3!} \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial t^{3}}|\widetilde{\mu}|_{g^{T Y}}^{2}(t Z)\right|_{t=0} & =\left.\frac{1}{6}\left(\nabla \nabla \nabla|\widetilde{\mu}|_{g^{T Y}}^{2}(Z)\right)_{(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})}\right|_{Z=0}  \tag{3.50}\\
& =\left\langle\left(\nabla^{T Y} \nabla^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}\right)_{(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})}, \nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

From Lemma 3.3, (3.49) and (3.50), we see that the contribution from $-t^{2}\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}^{E_{p}}, \widetilde{\mu}^{E_{p}}\right\rangle(t Z)$ forms the last three terms of (3.46).

By (2.103), (2.105) and (3.10), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{t, e_{i}}=\nabla_{0, e_{i}}+\frac{t}{3}\left(\partial_{j} R^{L_{B}}\right)_{x_{0}} Z_{j}\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{i}\right)-\frac{t}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\kappa} \nabla_{e_{i}} \kappa\right)(t Z)+\mathscr{O}\left(t^{2}\right) \tag{3.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $g_{i j}(Z)=\theta_{i}^{k}(Z) \theta_{j}^{k}(Z)$ and (2.94)-(2.96), we know

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{i j}(Z) & =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\delta_{i j}-2\left\langle A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle+\mathscr{O}\left(|Z|^{2}\right) \quad \text { for } 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant 2\left(n-n_{0}\right) \\
\delta_{i j}+\mathscr{O}\left(|Z|^{2}\right) \quad \text { otherwise } ;
\end{array}\right.  \tag{3.52}\\
\kappa(Z) & =\operatorname{det}\left(g_{i j}(Z)\right)^{1 / 2}=1-\left\langle A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle+\mathscr{O}\left(|Z|^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.41), (3.51) and (3.52), the first three terms of the right hand side of (3.46) is the coefficient $t^{1}$ of the Taylor expansion of $-g^{i j}(t Z)\left(\nabla_{t, e_{i}} \nabla_{t, e_{j}}-t \nabla_{t, \nabla_{e_{i}}^{T B} e_{j}(t Z)}\right)$.

By (2.109), (3.43), (3.48) and the above argument, the proof of Theorem 3.4 is complete.

Theorem 3.5. - We have the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{N} \mathcal{O}_{1} P^{N}=0 \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. - By (3.8) and (3.19),

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{i}^{+} P^{N}=b_{i}^{\perp+} P^{N}=0, \quad\left(b_{i}^{\perp} P^{N}\right)\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)=2 a_{i}^{\perp} Z_{i}^{\perp} P^{N}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right) \\
& \left(b_{i} P^{N}\right)\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)=a_{i}\left(\bar{z}_{i}^{0}-\bar{z}_{i}^{\prime 0}\right) P^{N}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.54}
\end{align*}
$$

We learn from (3.54) that for any polynomial $g\left(Z^{\perp}\right)$ in $Z^{\perp}$, we can write $g\left(Z^{\perp}\right) P^{N}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)$ as sums of $g_{\beta^{\perp}}\left(b^{\perp}\right)^{\beta^{\perp}} P^{N}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)$ with constants $g_{\beta^{\perp}}$. By Theorem 3.1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mathscr{L} \perp}\left(b^{\perp}\right)^{\alpha^{\perp}} g\left(Z^{\perp}\right) P^{N}=0, \quad \text { for }\left|\alpha^{\perp}\right|>0 \tag{3.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{w_{a}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\left(T^{(1,0)} X, g^{T X}\right)$.
Note that if $f, g$ are two $\mathbb{C}$-linear forms, then

$$
f\left(e_{a}\right) g\left(e_{a}\right)=f\left(w_{a}\right) g\left(\bar{w}_{a}\right)+f\left(\bar{w}_{a}\right) g\left(w_{a}\right) .
$$

Thus by Theorem 3.1, (2.9), (3.21) and (3.54),

$$
\begin{align*}
P^{N} & \left\langle\left(\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{X} \mathbf{J}\right) e_{a}, e_{b}\right\rangle c\left(e_{a}\right) c\left(e_{b}\right) P^{N}=-2 P^{N}\left\langle\left(\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{X} \mathbf{J}\right) w_{a}, \bar{w}_{a}\right\rangle P^{N}  \tag{3.56}\\
& =-2 P^{N}\left\langle\left(\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{X} \mathbf{J}\right) w_{a}, \bar{w}_{a}\right\rangle P^{N}=\left.\sqrt{-1} P^{N} \operatorname{Tr}\right|_{T X}\left[J\left(\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{X} \mathbf{J}\right)\right] P^{N}
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.8), (3.12), (3.21), (3.46), (3.54)-(3.56), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
P^{N} \mathcal{O}_{1} P^{N} & =P^{N}\left\{\frac{2}{3}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{R}} R^{L_{B}}\right)_{x_{0}}\left(\mathcal{R}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) b_{i}-\frac{1}{3}\left(\partial_{e_{i}^{0}} R^{L_{B}}\right)_{x_{0}}\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{i}^{0}\right)\right.  \tag{3.57}\\
+ & \frac{1}{3}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{R}} R^{L_{B}}\right)_{x_{0}}\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right) b_{j}^{\perp}-\frac{1}{3}\left(\partial_{e_{j}^{\perp}} R^{L_{B}}\right)_{x_{0}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right) \\
& \left.+\left.\pi \operatorname{Tr}\right|_{T X}\left[J\left(\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{X} \mathbf{J}\right)\right]+8 \pi^{2}\left\langle\left(\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{X} \mathbf{J}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \mathbf{J} \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle\right\} P^{N}
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.9), (3.54) and (3.55),

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{N} Z_{j}^{\perp} Z_{k}^{\perp} P^{N}=\frac{1}{2 a_{k}^{\perp}} P^{N} Z_{j}^{\perp} b_{k}^{\perp} P^{N}=\frac{1}{2 a_{k}^{\perp}} \delta_{j k} P^{N} \tag{3.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\psi$ a tensor on $X_{G}$, let $\nabla^{X_{G}} \psi$ be the covariant derivative of $\psi$ induced by the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla^{T X_{G}}$.

For $U, V, W \in T_{x_{0}} X_{G}$, by (3.2), (3.3) and (3.11), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial_{U} R^{L_{B}}\right)_{x_{0}}(V, W)=-2 \pi \sqrt{-1}\left\langle\left(\nabla_{U}^{X_{G}} \mathbf{J}_{G}\right) V, W\right\rangle=-2 \pi \sqrt{-1}\left\langle\left(\nabla_{U}^{X} \mathbf{J}\right) V, W\right\rangle \tag{3.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.8), (3.2), (3.5), we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{J} e_{j}^{\perp}=\frac{a_{j}}{2 \pi} J e_{j}^{\perp} \tag{3.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 3.1, (1.18), (2.8), (3.9), (3.44) and (3.54)-(3.60), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& P^{N} \mathcal{O}_{1} P^{N}=P^{N}\left\{-\frac{4 \pi \sqrt{-1}}{3}\left[2\left\langle\left(\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{X} \mathbf{J}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right\rangle+\left\langle\left(\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}}^{X} \mathbf{J}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right\rangle\right.\right.  \tag{3.61}\\
&\left.-\left\langle\left(\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}}^{X} \mathbf{J}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}\right\rangle\right]+\left.\left.\pi \operatorname{Tr}\right|_{T X}\left[J\left(\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{X} \mathbf{J}\right)\right]+2 \pi\left\langle\left(\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{X} \mathbf{J}\right) e_{j}^{\perp}, J e_{j}^{\perp}\right\rangle\right\} P^{N} \\
&= \pi P^{N}\left[-4 \sqrt{-1}\left\langle\left(\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{X} \mathbf{J}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right\rangle\right. \\
&\left.\quad+\left.\operatorname{Tr}\right|_{T X}\left[J\left(\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{X} \mathbf{J}\right)\right]-2\left\langle J\left(\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{X} \mathbf{J}\right) e_{j}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right\rangle\right] P^{N}=0
\end{align*}
$$

The proof of Theorem 3.5 is complete.
From (3.32) and Theorem 3.5, we get the following general formula which will be used in Chapter 5,

$$
\begin{align*}
P^{(2)}= & \left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{O}_{1}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{O}_{1} P^{N}-\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{O}_{2} P^{N} \\
& +P^{N} \mathcal{O}_{1}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{O}_{1}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}}-P^{N} \mathcal{O}_{2}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}}  \tag{3.62}\\
& +\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{O}_{1} P^{N} \mathcal{O}_{1}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}}-P^{N} \mathcal{O}_{1}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-2} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{O}_{1} P^{N}
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.4. Example $\left(\mathbb{C} P^{1}, 2 \omega_{F S}\right)$

Let $\omega_{F S}$ be the Kähler form associated to the Fubini-Study metric $g_{F S}^{T \mathbb{C}} P^{1}$ on $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$. We will use the metric $g^{T \mathbb{C} P^{1}}=2 g_{F S}^{T \mathbb{C} P^{1}}$ on $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$ in this Section.

Let $L$ be the holomorphic line bundle $\mathcal{O}(2)$ on $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$. Recall that $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ is the tautological line bundle of $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$.

We will use the homogeneous coordinate $\left(z_{0}, z_{1}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$ for $\mathbb{C} P^{1} \simeq\left(\mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash\{0\}\right) / \mathbb{C}^{*}$.
Denote by $U_{i}=\left\{\left[z_{0}, z_{1}\right] \in \mathbb{C} P^{1} ; z_{i} \neq 0\right\},(i=0,1)$, the open subsets of $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$, and the two coordinate charts are defined by $\phi_{i}: U_{i} \simeq \mathbb{C}, \phi_{i}\left(\left[z_{0}, z_{1}\right]\right)=\frac{z_{j}}{z_{i}}, j \neq i$.

For any $i_{0}, i_{1} \in \mathbb{N}, z_{0}^{i_{0}} z_{1}^{i_{1}}$ is naturally identified to a holomorphic section of $\mathcal{O}\left(-i_{0}-\right.$ $\left.i_{1}\right)^{*}$ on $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{0}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{1}, \mathcal{O}(k)\right)=\mathbb{C}\left\{s_{k, i_{0}}:=z_{0}^{i_{0}} z_{1}^{i_{1}}, i_{0}+i_{1}=k, \text { and } i_{0}, i_{1} \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \tag{3.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

On $U_{i}$, the trivialization of the line bundle $L$ is defined by $L \ni s \rightarrow s / z_{i}^{2}$, here $z_{i}^{2}$ is considered as a holomorphic section of $\mathcal{O}(2)$.

In the following, we will work on $\mathbb{C}$ by using $\phi_{0}: U_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then for $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{F S}(z)=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \bar{\partial} \partial \log \left(\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{-1}\right)=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \frac{d z \wedge d \bar{z}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{3.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $h^{L}$ be the smooth Hermitian metric on $L$ on $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$ defined by for $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|s_{2,0}\right|_{h^{L}}^{2}(z)=\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{-2} \tag{3.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\nabla^{L}$ be the holomorphic Hermitian connection of ( $L, h^{L}$ ) with its curvature $R^{L}$.

By (3.64) and (3.65), under our trivialization on $\mathbb{C}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla^{L}=\bar{\partial}+\partial+\partial \log \left(\left|s_{2,0}\right|_{h^{L}}^{2}\right)  \tag{3.66}\\
& \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} R^{L}=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} \bar{\partial} \partial \log \left|s_{2,0}\right|_{h^{L}}^{2}=2 \omega_{F S}=: \omega
\end{align*}
$$

Let $K$ be the canonical basis of Lie $S^{1}=\mathbb{R}$, i.e. for $t \in \mathbb{R}, \exp (t K)=e^{2 \pi \sqrt{-1} t} \in S^{1}$.
We define an $S^{1}$-action on $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$ by $g \cdot\left[z_{0}, z_{1}\right]=\left[z_{0}, g z_{1}\right]$ for $g \in S^{1}$.
On our local coordinate $U_{0}, g \cdot z=g z$, and the vector field $K^{\mathbb{C} P^{1}}$ on $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$ induced by $K$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{\mathbb{C} P^{1}}(z):=\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \exp (-t K) \cdot z\right|_{t=0}=-2 \pi \sqrt{-1}\left(z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}-\bar{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}\right) \tag{3.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\mu(K)\left(\left[z_{0}, z_{1}\right]\right)=\frac{2\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}}{\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}}-1
$$

Then, on $\mathbb{C}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(K)=2|z|^{2}\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{-1}-1 \tag{3.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.64), (3.67) and (3.68), we verify easily that $\mu$ is a moment map associated to the $S^{1}$-action on $\left(\mathbb{C} P^{1}, \omega\right)$ in the sense of $(2.17)$.

The Lie $S^{1}$-action on the sections of $L$ defined by (2.16) induces a holomorphical $S^{1}$-action on $L$. In particular, from (3.66)-(3.68),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \exp (t K) \cdot s_{2, j}\right|_{t=0}=: L_{K} s_{2, j}=2 \pi \sqrt{-1}(1-j) s_{2, j} \tag{3.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.69), the $S^{1}$-invariant sub-space of $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{1}, L^{p}\right)$ and $\mu^{-1}(0)$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{0}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{1}, L^{p}\right)^{S^{1}}=\mathbb{C} s_{2 p, p}, \quad \mu^{-1}(0)=\{z \in \mathbb{C},|z|=1\} \tag{3.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $S^{1}$ acts freely on $\mu^{-1}(0)$, thus $\left(\mathbb{C} P^{1}\right)_{S^{1}}=\{\mathrm{pt}\}$.
Under our trivialization of $L, s_{2 p, j} \in H^{0}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{1}, L^{p}\right)$ is the function $z^{j}$, and from (3.65),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|s_{2 p, j}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{|z|^{2 j}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2 p}} 2 \omega_{F S}=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{2 t^{j} d t}{(1+t)^{2 p+2}}=\frac{2 j!(2 p-j)!}{(2 p+1)!} \tag{3.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $\left(\frac{(2 p+1)!}{2(p!)^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2} s_{2 p, p}$ is an orthonormal basis of $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{1}, L^{p}\right)^{S^{1}}$.
Let $\bar{\partial}^{L^{p} *}$ be the formal adjoint of the Dolbeault operator $\bar{\partial}^{L^{p}}$. For $p \geqslant 1$, the spin ${ }^{c}$ Dirac operator $D_{p}$ in (2.14) and its kernel are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{p}=\sqrt{2}\left(\bar{\partial}^{L^{p}}+\bar{\partial}^{L^{p} *}\right), \quad \operatorname{Ker} D_{p}=H^{0}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{1}, L^{p}\right) \tag{3.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, by Def. 2.3, for $p \geqslant 1$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{p}^{G}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)=\frac{(2 p+1)!}{2(p!)^{2}} s_{2 p, p}(z) \otimes s_{2 p, p}\left(z^{\prime}\right)^{*} \\
& P_{p}^{G}(z, z)=\frac{(2 p+1)!}{2(p!)^{2}}\left|s_{2 p, p}\right|_{h^{L^{p}}}^{2}(z)=\frac{(2 p+1)!}{2(p!)^{2}} \frac{|z|^{2 p}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2 p}} \tag{3.73}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that our trivialization by $s_{2,0}$ is not unitary, thus we do not see directly the off-diagonal decay (0.14) from (3.73).

Here we will only verify that (3.73) is compatible with (0.13), (0.15) and (0.16).
Recall that Stirling's formula [42, Chap. 3, (A.40)] tells us that as $p \rightarrow+\infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p!=(2 \pi p)^{1 / 2} p^{p} e^{-p}\left(1+\mathscr{O}\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)\right) \tag{3.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.74),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(2 p+1)!}{2(p!)^{2}}=\frac{\sqrt{p}}{\sqrt{\pi} e} 2^{2 p}\left(1+\frac{1}{2 p}\right)^{2 p}\left(1+\mathscr{O}\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)\right)=\sqrt{\frac{p}{\pi}} 2^{2 p}\left(1+\mathscr{O}\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)\right) \tag{3.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, $\mathbb{C}^{*}$ is an open neighborhood of $\mu^{-1}(0)$ and $B=\mathbb{C}^{*} / S^{1} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{+}$by mapping $z \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ to $r=|z| \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$.

By (3.64), the metrics on $\{|z|=r\}=\left\{r e^{2 \pi \sqrt{-1} \theta} ; \theta \in \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z}\right\}, B \simeq \mathbb{R}^{+}$induced by $\omega=2 \omega_{F S}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
8 \pi r^{2}\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{-2} d \theta \otimes d \theta, \quad g^{T B}=\frac{2}{\pi}\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{-2} d r \otimes d r \tag{3.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.76), the fiberwise volume function $h^{2}(r)$ in $(0.10)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$is

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{2}(r)=\sqrt{8 \pi} r\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{-1} \tag{3.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.73), (3.75) and (3.77), we get for $|z|=r$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{2}(r) P_{p}^{G}(z, z)=\sqrt{8 \pi} \frac{(2 p+1)!}{2(p!)^{2}}\left(\frac{r}{1+r^{2}}\right)^{2 p+1}=\sqrt{2 p}\left(\frac{2 r}{1+r^{2}}\right)^{2 p+1}\left(1+\mathscr{O}\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)\right) \tag{3.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $|z|=1$, from (3.78), we re-find (0.15) and (0.16).
From (3.76), $\sqrt{2 \pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\left(B, g^{T B}\right)$ at $r=1$, thus the normal coordinate $Z^{\perp}$ has the form $r-1=\sqrt{2 \pi}\left(Z^{\perp}+\mathscr{O}\left(\left|Z^{\perp}\right|^{2}\right)\right.$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(2 r\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{-1}\right)^{2 p+1}=e^{(2 p+1) \log \left(1-\pi\left(Z^{\perp}\right)^{2}+\mathscr{O}\left(\left|Z^{\perp}\right|^{3}\right)\right)}=e^{-2 \pi p\left(Z^{\perp}\right)^{2}}+\cdots \tag{3.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that (3.78), (3.79) are compatible with (0.13) and (3.22).
If we consider the sub-space $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{1}, L^{p}\right)_{p}$ of $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{1}, L^{p}\right)$ with the weight $p$ of $S^{1}$-action, then by (2.16) as in (3.69), and (3.71), $\sqrt{p+\frac{1}{2}} s_{2 p, 0}$ is an orthonormal basis of $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{1}, L^{p}\right)_{p}$.

Thus the smooth kernel $P_{p}^{p}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$ of the orthogonal projection from $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{1}, L^{p}\right)$ onto $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{1}, L^{p}\right)_{p}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{p}^{p}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) & =\left(p+\frac{1}{2}\right) s_{2 p, 0}(z) \otimes s_{2 p, 0}\left(z^{\prime}\right)^{*}  \tag{3.80}\\
P_{p}^{p}(z, z) & =\left(p+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{-2 p}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $\mu^{-1}(-1)=\{0\}$, i.e. -1 is a singular value of $\mu$.

Let $\mu_{1}$ be the moment map defined by $\mu_{1}(K)=\mu(K)+1$, then $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{1}, L^{p}\right)_{p}$ is the corresponding $S^{1}$-invariant holomorphic sections of $L^{p}$ with respect to the corresponding $S^{1}$-action.

Thus 0 is a singular value of $\mu_{1}$ and this explains why we have a factor $p$ in (3.80) instead of $p^{1 / 2}$ in (3.78).

## CHAPTER 4

## APPLICATIONS

This Chapter is organized as follows. In Section 4.1, we explain Theorem 4.1, the version of Theorem 0.2 when we only assume that $\mu$ is regular at 0 . In Section 4.2, we explain how to handle the $\vartheta$-weight Bergman kernel. In Section 4.3, we deduce (0.15), and (0.16) from [17, Theorem 4.18']. In Section 4.4, we review the characterization of the Toeplitz operators established in [30], and only Lemma 4.6 is new. In Section 4.5, we explain Theorem 0.2 implies Toeplitz operator type properties on $X_{G}$. In Section 4.6, we extend our results for non-compact manifolds and for covering spaces. In Section 4.7, we explain that the relation on the $G$-invariant Bergman kernel on $X$ and the Bergman kernel on $X_{G}$.

We use the notation in Introduction.

### 4.1. Orbifold case

We will use the notation for the orbifold as in $[\mathbf{2 6}, \S 1],[\mathbf{1 7}, \S 4.2],[\mathbf{3 1}, \S 5.4]$ and we recall briefly here.

Let $M$ be an orbifold, by definition, there exist a connected open covering $\{U\}$ of $M$ and a ramified covering $\tau_{U}: \widetilde{U} \rightarrow U$ which is $H_{U}$-equivariant and induces a homeomorphism $U \sim \widetilde{U} / H_{U}$, here $H_{U}$ is a finite group acting effectively on the connected smooth manifold $\widetilde{U}$, moreover, these ramified coverings are compatible. Especially, for any $x \in M$, there exist a small neighborhood $U_{x} \subset M$, a finite group $H_{x}$ acting linearly and effectively on $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ and $\widetilde{U}_{x} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ an $H_{x}$-open set such that $\widetilde{U}_{x} \xrightarrow{\tau_{x}} \widetilde{U}_{x} / H_{x}=U_{x}$ and $\{0\}=\tau_{x}^{-1}(x) \in \widetilde{U}_{x}$.

Any additional structure on $M$ is induced by a corresponding $H_{x}$-invariant structure on $\widetilde{U}_{x}$. In this way, we can define an oriented, Riemannian, almost-complex or complex structure on $M$.

An orbifold vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ over $M$ is an orbifold defined by an $H_{x}^{\mathcal{E}}$-equivariant (Here $H_{x}^{\mathcal{E}}$ is a finite group) vector bundle $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{U_{x}}$ on $\widetilde{U}_{x}$ such that $H_{x}=H_{x}^{\mathcal{E}} / K_{x}^{\mathcal{E}}$, here
$K_{x}^{\mathcal{E}}=\left\{g \in H_{x}^{\mathcal{E}}, g\right.$ acts on $\widetilde{U}_{x}$ as Id $\}$, and $\left(H_{x}^{\mathcal{E}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{U_{x}}\right) \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{U_{x}} / H_{x}^{\mathcal{E}}$ defines the orbifold structure on $\mathcal{E}$. If $K_{x}^{\mathcal{E}}=\{e\}$ for any $x \in X$, then we call $\mathcal{E}$ a proper orbifold vector bundle. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}_{U_{x}}^{\mathrm{pr}}}$ be the maximal $K_{x}^{\mathcal{E}}$-invariant sub-bundle of $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{U_{x}}$ on $\widetilde{U}_{x}$, then $\left(G_{U_{x}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{E}_{U_{x}}^{\text {pr }}}\right)$ defines a proper orbifold vector bundle on $X$, denote it by $\mathcal{E}^{\text {pr }}$.

Now we go back to the hypotheses in the Introduction. In this Section, we only suppose that $0 \in \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ is a regular value of $\mu$, then $G$ acts only infinitesimal freely on $P=\mu^{-1}(0)$, thus $X_{G}=P / G$ is a compact symplectic orbifold.

Let $G^{0}=\{g \in G, g \cdot x=x$ for any $x \in P\}$, then $G^{0}$ is a finite normal sub-group of $G$ and the group $G / G_{0}$ acts effectively on $P$.

Let $U$ be a $G$-neighborhood of $P=\mu^{-1}(0)$ in $X$ such that $G$ acts infinitesimal freely on $\bar{U}$, the closure of $U$. From the construction in Section 1.2, any $G$-equivariant vector bundle $F$ on $U$ induces an orbifold vector bundle $F_{B}$ on the orbifold $B=U / G$.

The function $h$ in (0.10) is only $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}$ on the regular part of the orbifold $B$, and we extend continuously $h$ to $U / G$ from its regular part, which is $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}$ and we denote it by $\widehat{h}$, then $\widehat{h}$ is also $\mathscr{C}{ }^{\infty}$ on $U$.

As we work on $P$ in Section 2.4, 2.5, we need not to modify this part. Especially, Theorem 0.1 still holds.

We need to modify Section 2.6 as follows.
Observe first that the construction in Section 1.1 works well if we only assume that $G$ acts locally freely on $X$ therein.

We identify the normal bundle $N$ of $P$ in $U$, to the orthogonal complement of $T P$. Denote by $\nabla^{T^{H} U}$ the connection on $T^{H} U$ as in Section 1.1, and on $P$, let $\nabla^{N}, \nabla^{T^{H} P}$ be the connections on $N, T^{H} P$ as in (0.9), and let ${ }^{0} \nabla^{T^{H} U}=\nabla^{N} \oplus \nabla^{T^{H} P}$ be the connection on $T^{H} U=N \oplus T^{H} P$.

For $y_{0} \in P, W \in T^{H} U$ (resp. $T^{H} P$ ), we define $\mathbb{R} \ni t \rightarrow x_{t}=\exp _{y_{0}}^{T^{H} U}(t W) \in U$ (resp. $\exp _{y_{0}}^{T^{H} P}(t W) \in P$ ) the curve such that $\left.x_{t}\right|_{t=0}=y_{0},\left.\frac{d x}{d t}\right|_{t=0}=W, \frac{d x}{d t} \in T^{H} U$, $\nabla_{\frac{d x}{d t}}^{T^{H}} U \frac{d x}{d t}=0$ (resp. $\frac{d x}{d t} \in T^{H} P, \nabla_{\frac{d x}{d t}}^{T^{H} P} \frac{d x}{d t}=0$ ).

By proceeding as in Section 2.6, we identify $B^{T^{H}} U\left(y_{0}, \varepsilon\right)$ to a subset of $U$ as following, for $Z \in B^{T^{H} U}\left(y_{0}, \varepsilon\right), Z=Z^{0}+Z^{\perp}, Z^{0} \in T_{y_{0}}^{H} P, Z^{\perp} \in N_{y_{0}}$, we identify $Z$


Set $G_{y_{0}}=\left\{g \in G, g y_{0}=y_{0}\right\}$, then $G \cdot B^{T^{H} U}\left(y_{0}, \varepsilon\right)=G \times_{G_{y_{0}}} B^{T^{H} U}\left(y_{0}, \varepsilon\right)$ is a $G$-neighborhood of $G y_{0}$, and $\left(G_{y_{0}}, B^{T^{H}} U\left(y_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right)$ is a local coordinate of $B$.

As the construction in Section 2.6 is $G_{y_{0}}$-equivariant, we extend the geometric objects on $G \times{ }_{G_{y_{0}}} B^{T^{H} U}\left(y_{0}, \varepsilon\right)$ to $G \times_{G_{y_{0}}} \mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}=X_{0}$.

Thus we get the corresponding geometric objects on $G \times \mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}$ by using the covering $G \times \mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}} \rightarrow G \times_{G_{y_{0}}} \mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}$, especially, $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{p}^{X_{0}}$ (where we use the $\widehat{\sim}$ notation to indicate the modification) is defined similarly on $G \times \mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}$, and Theorem 2.5 holds for $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{p}^{X_{0}}$.

Let $\widehat{\pi}_{G}: G \times \mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}$ be the natural projection and as in (1.20), (2.82), we define

$$
\widehat{\Phi}=\widehat{h} \widehat{\pi}_{G}: \mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(G \times \mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}, E_{0, p}\right)^{G} \longrightarrow \mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}},\left(E_{0, p}\right)_{\mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}}\right)
$$

then the operator $\widehat{\Phi} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{p}^{X_{0}} \widehat{\Phi}^{-1}$ is well-defined on $T_{y_{0}}^{H} U \simeq \mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}$.
Let $g^{T^{H} X_{0}}$ be the metric on $\mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}$ induced by $g^{T X_{0}}$, and let $d v_{T^{H} X_{0}}$ be the Riemannian volume form on $\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}, g^{T^{H} X_{0}}\right)$.

Let $P_{y_{0}, p}$ be the orthogonal projection from $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}},\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes L^{p} \otimes E\right)_{y_{0}}\right)$ onto $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\widehat{\Phi} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{p}^{X_{0}} \widehat{\Phi}^{-1}\right)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}$. Let $P_{y_{0}, p}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)\left(Z, Z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}\right)$ be the smooth kernel of $P_{y_{0}, p}$ with respect to $d v_{T^{H} X_{0}}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)$.

Let $P_{0, p}^{G}$ be the orthogonal projection from $\Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X_{0}, L_{0}^{p} \otimes E_{0}\right)$ on $\left(\operatorname{Ker} D_{p}^{X_{0}}\right)^{G}$, and let $P_{0, p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ be the smooth kernel of $P_{0, p}^{G}$ with respect to the Riemannian volume form $d v_{X_{0}}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$.

Let $P_{p}^{X_{0} / G}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)\left(y, y^{\prime} \in X_{0} / G\right)$ be the smooth kernel associated to the operator on $X_{0} / G$ induced by $\widehat{\Phi} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{p}^{X_{0}} \widehat{\Phi}^{-1}$ as $P_{x_{0}, p}$ in (2.83).

Note that our trivialization of the restriction of $L$ on $B^{T^{H}} U\left(y_{0}, \varepsilon\right)$ as in Section 2.6 is not $G_{y_{0}}$-invariant, except that $G_{y_{0}}$ acts trivially on $L_{y_{0}}$.

For $x, x^{\prime} \in X_{0}$, with their representatives $\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{x}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{h}(x) \widehat{h}\left(x^{\prime}\right) P_{0, p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=P_{p}^{X_{0} / G}\left(\pi(x), \pi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{\left|G^{0}\right|} \sum_{g \in G_{y_{0}}}(g, 1) \cdot P_{y_{0}, p}\left(g^{-1} \widetilde{x}, \widetilde{x}^{\prime}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\left|G^{0}\right|$ is the cardinal of $G^{0}$. The second equation of (4.1) is from direct computation (cf. [17, (5.19)], [31, (5.4.17)]).

As we work on $G \times \mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}$, for the operator $\widehat{\Phi} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{p}^{X_{0}} \widehat{\Phi}^{-1}$, Prop. 2.9 and Sections 2.7-2.9 still hold.

From Theorem 2.23 for $P_{y_{0}, p}$ and (4.1), we get
Theorem 4.1. - Theorem 0.1 still holds.
Under the same notation in Theorems 0.2, 2.23, for $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}^{2 n-n_{0}},|\alpha|+\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right| \leqslant m$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(1+\sqrt{p}\left|Z^{\perp}\right|\right.\left.+\sqrt{p}\left|Z^{\prime \perp}\right|\right)^{m^{\prime \prime}} \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right|}}{\partial Z^{\alpha} \partial Z^{\prime \alpha^{\prime}}}\left(p^{-n+\frac{n_{0}}{2}}\left(\widehat{h} \kappa^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)(Z)\left(\widehat{h} \kappa^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\left(Z^{\prime}\right) P_{p}^{G} \circ \Psi\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)\right.\right.  \tag{4.2}\\
&-\left.\frac{1}{\left|G^{0}\right|} \sum_{r=0}^{k} \sum_{g \in G_{y_{0}}}(g, 1) \cdot P_{y_{0}}^{(r)}\left(g^{-1} \sqrt{p} Z, \sqrt{p} Z^{\prime}\right) p^{-\frac{r}{2}}\right)\left.\right|_{\mathscr{C} m^{\prime}(P)} \\
& \leqslant C p^{-(k+1-m) / 2}\left(1+\sqrt{p}\left|Z^{0}\right|+\sqrt{p}\left|Z^{\prime 0}\right|\right)^{2\left(n+k+m^{\prime}+2\right)+m} \\
& \times \exp \left(-\sqrt{C^{\prime \prime} \nu p} \inf _{g \in G_{y_{0}}}\left|g^{-1} Z-Z^{\prime}\right|\right)+\mathscr{O}\left(p^{-\infty}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

If $Z=Z^{\prime}=Z^{0}$, then for $g \in G_{y_{0}}$, such that $g Z^{0}=Z^{0}$, we use Theorem 2.23 for $Z=Z^{\prime}=0$ with the base point $Z^{0}$, and for the rest element in $G_{y_{0}}$, we use Theorem 2.23 for $Z=Z^{\prime}=Z^{0}$ with the base point $y_{0}$, then we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\lvert\, p^{-n+\frac{n_{0}}{2}}\left(\widehat{h}^{2} \kappa\right)\left(Z^{0}\right) P_{p}^{G} \circ \Psi\left(Z^{0}, Z^{0}\right)\right.  \tag{4.3}\\
& \quad-\frac{1}{\left|G^{0}\right|} \sum_{r=0}^{k} \sum_{g \in G_{y_{0}}, g Z^{0}=Z^{0}}(g, 1) \cdot P_{Z^{0}}^{(2 r)}(0,0) p^{-r} \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{\left|G^{0}\right|} \sum_{r=0}^{2 k} \sum_{g \in G_{y_{0}}, g Z^{0} \neq Z^{0}}(g, 1) \cdot P_{y_{0}}^{(r)}\left(g^{-1} \sqrt{p} Z^{0}, \sqrt{p} Z^{0}\right) p^{-\frac{r}{2}} \right\rvert\, \\
& \quad \leqslant C p^{-(2 k+1) / 2}\left(1+\left(1+\sqrt{p}\left|Z^{0}\right|\right)^{2(n+2 k+2)} \exp \left(-\sqrt{C^{\prime \prime \prime} \nu p}\left|Z^{0}\right|\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Note that if $g \in G_{y_{0}}$ acts as the multiplication by $e^{i \theta}$ on $L_{y_{0}}$, then $(g, 1) \cdot P_{y_{0}}^{(r)}$, $(g, 1) \cdot P_{Z^{0}}^{(r)}$ in (4.3) have a factor $e^{i \theta p}$ which depends on $p$.

Of course, after replacing $L$ by some power of $L$, we can assume that $G_{y_{0}}$ acts as identity on $L$ for any $y_{0} \in P$, in this case, $(g, 1) \cdot P_{y_{0}}^{(r)}\left(g^{-1} Z^{0}, Z^{0}\right),(g, 1) \cdot P_{Z^{0}}^{(r)}(0,0)$ do not depend on $p$.

From Theorem 3.2 and (4.3), if the singular set of $X_{G}$ is not empty, analogous to the usual orbifold case $[\mathbf{1 7},(5.27)], p^{-n+\frac{n_{0}}{2}} P_{p}^{G}\left(y_{0}, y_{0}\right),\left(y_{0} \in P\right)$ does not have a uniform asymptotic expansion in the form $\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} c_{r}\left(y_{0}\right) p^{-r}$.

## 4.2. $\vartheta$-weight Bergman kernel on $X$

In this section, we assume that $G$ acts on $P=\mu^{-1}(0)$ freely.
Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a finite dimensional irreducible representation of $G$, we denote it by $\rho^{\mathcal{V}}: G \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{V})$. Let $\vartheta$ be the highest weight of the representation $\mathcal{V}$. Let $\mathcal{V}^{*}$ be the trivial vector bundle on $X$ with $G$-action $\rho^{\mathcal{V}^{*}}$ induced by $\rho^{\mathcal{V}}$.

Let $P_{p}^{\mathcal{V}}$ be the orthogonal projection from $\Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)$ on $\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\mathcal{V}, \operatorname{Ker} D_{p}\right) \otimes$ $\mathcal{V} \subset \operatorname{Ker} D_{p}$ Let $P_{p}^{\mathcal{V}}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right),\left(x, x^{\prime} \in X\right)$, be the smooth kernel of $P_{p}^{\mathcal{V}}$ with respect to $d v_{X}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$.

We call $P_{p}^{\mathcal{V}}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ the $\vartheta$-weight Bergman kernel of $D_{p}$.
We explain now the asymptotic expansion of $P_{p}^{\mathcal{V}}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$.
We will consider the corresponding objects in Chapters 1-3 by replacing $E$ by $E \otimes$ $\mathcal{V}^{*}$. Especially, we denote by $D_{p}^{\mathcal{V}^{*}}$ the corresponding $\operatorname{spin}^{c}$ Dirac operator associated to the bundle $L^{p} \otimes E \otimes \mathcal{V}^{*}$.

Certainly, all results in Chapters 1-3 still hold for the bundle $E \otimes \mathcal{V}^{*}$.
Let $P_{p}^{\vartheta}$ be the orthogonal projection from $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(X, E_{p} \otimes \mathcal{V}^{*}\right)$ onto $\left(\operatorname{Ker} D_{p}^{\mathcal{V}^{*}}\right)^{G}$, and $P_{p}^{\vartheta}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right),\left(x, x^{\prime} \in X\right)$ the smooth kernel of $P_{p}^{\vartheta}$ with respect to $d v_{X}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$.

As $\mathcal{V}$ is an irreducible representation of $G$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ker} D_{p}^{\mathcal{V}^{*}}=\left(\operatorname{Ker} D_{p}\right) \otimes \mathcal{V}^{*}, \quad\left(\operatorname{Ker} D_{p}^{\mathcal{V}^{*}}\right)^{G}=\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\mathcal{V}, \operatorname{Ker} D_{p}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{v_{i}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{V}$ with respect to a $G$-invariant metric on $\mathcal{V}$ and $\left\{v_{i}^{*}\right\}$ the corresponding dual basis.

Let $d g$ be a Haar measure on $G$. By Schur Lemma,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{G} g \cdot\left(v_{j} \otimes v_{i}^{*}\right) d g=\frac{1}{\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{V}} \delta_{i j} \mathrm{Id} \mathcal{V} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus if $W$ is a finite dimensional representation of $G$ with the highest weight $\vartheta$, then for any $s \in W$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=\sum_{i}\left(\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{V}\right)\left(\int_{G} g \cdot\left(s \otimes v_{i}^{*}\right) d g\right) \otimes v_{i} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{G}(\mathcal{V}, W) \otimes \mathcal{V}=W \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.6) and the $G \times G$-invariance of the kernel $P_{p}^{\vartheta}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{p}^{\mathcal{V}}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\left(\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{V}\right) \sum_{i}\left(P_{p}^{\vartheta}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) v_{i}^{*}, v_{i}\right)  \tag{4.7}\\
& P_{p}^{\mathcal{V}}(x, x)=\left(\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{V}\right) \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}^{*}} P_{p}^{\vartheta}(x, x) \in \operatorname{End}\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes E\right)_{x}
\end{align*}
$$

In fact, let $\left\{\psi_{j}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\left(\operatorname{Ker} D_{p}^{\mathcal{V}^{*}}\right)^{G}$, then $P_{p}^{\vartheta}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{j} \psi_{j}(x) \otimes$ $\psi_{j}\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{*}$, and for any $j$ fixed, in view of the second equality in (4.4), one sees that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{j}^{*} \psi_{j} \in \operatorname{End}_{G}(\mathcal{V}) \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}\left[\psi_{j}^{*} \psi_{j}\right]=\left\|\psi_{j}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=1 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus by Schur Lemma,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{j}^{*} \psi_{j}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{V}} \operatorname{Id} \mathcal{V} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left\{\left(\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{V}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{j} v_{i}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\mathcal{V}, \operatorname{Ker} D_{p}\right) \otimes \mathcal{V} \subset \operatorname{Ker} D_{p}$.
Let $U$ be a $G$-neighborhood of $P=\mu^{-1}(0)$ as in Theorem $0.2, P_{p}^{\vartheta}$ is viewed as a smooth section of $\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*}\left(E_{p} \otimes \mathcal{V}^{*}\right)_{B} \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*}\left(E_{p} \otimes \mathcal{V}^{*}\right)_{B}^{*}$ on $B \times B$, or as a $G \times G$-invariant smooth section of $\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*}\left(E_{p} \otimes \mathcal{V}^{*}\right) \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*}\left(E_{p} \otimes \mathcal{V}^{*}\right)^{*}$ on $U \times U$.

Moreover, $v_{i}, v_{i}^{*}$ are smooth (not $G$-invariant) sections of $U \times \mathcal{V}, U \times \mathcal{V}^{*}$ on $U$. Thus from (4.7), $P_{p}^{\mathcal{V}}$ is not a $G \times G$-invariant section of $\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*}\left(E_{p}\right) \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*}\left(E_{p}^{*}\right)$ on $U \times U$.

Now (2.83), (2.84), (2.108) and (2.186) (cf. also Theorem 0.2 ) apply well to the bundle $E \otimes \mathcal{V}^{*}$, thus we get the asymptotic expansion of $P_{p}^{\vartheta}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ as $p \rightarrow+\infty$, and the leading term in the expansion of

$$
p^{-n+\frac{n_{0}}{2}}\left(h \kappa^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)(x)\left(h \kappa^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\left(x^{\prime}\right) P_{p}^{\vartheta}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \text { is } P\left(\sqrt{p} Z, \sqrt{p} Z^{\prime}\right) I_{\mathbb{C} \otimes\left(E \otimes \mathcal{V}^{*}\right)_{B}}
$$

By (4.7), the leading term of the asymptotic expansion of

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{-n+\frac{n_{0}}{2}}\left(h \kappa^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)(x)\left(h \kappa^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\left(x^{\prime}\right) P_{p}^{\mathcal{V}}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \text { is }\left(\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{V}\right)^{2} P\left(\sqrt{p} Z, \sqrt{p} Z^{\prime}\right) I_{\mathbb{C} \otimes E_{B}} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Theta$ be the curvature of $P \rightarrow X_{G}$ as in Section 1.1. Let $\rho_{*}^{\mathcal{V}^{*}}$ denote the differential of $\rho^{\mathcal{V}^{*}}$. By (1.18),

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{\left(E \otimes \mathcal{V}^{*}\right)_{G}}=R^{E_{G}}+\rho_{*}^{\mathcal{V}^{*}}(\Theta) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same way, we can define $\mathscr{I}_{p}^{\mathcal{V}}$ a section of $\operatorname{End}\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes E\right)_{B}$ on $X_{G}$ by (0.17) for $P_{p}^{\mathcal{V}}$. From (0.25) (which will be proved in Chapter 5), (4.7), (4.10) and (4.11), we get

Theorem 4.2. - Under the condition of Theorem 0.6, the first coefficients of the asymptotic expansion of $\mathscr{I}_{p}^{\mathcal{V}} \in \operatorname{End}\left(E_{G}\right)$ in (0.20) is

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{0}= & \left(\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{V}\right)^{2}  \tag{4.12}\\
\Phi_{1}=\frac{1}{8 \pi}( & \left.\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{V}\right)^{2}\left(r_{x_{0}}^{X_{G}}+6 \Delta_{X_{G}} \log h+4 R_{x_{0}}^{E_{G}}\left(w_{j}^{0}, \bar{w}_{j}^{0}\right)\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left(\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{V}\right) \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}^{*}}\left[\rho_{*}^{\mathcal{V}^{*}}(\Theta)\left(w_{j}^{0}, \bar{w}_{j}^{0}\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

### 4.3. Averaging the Bergman kernel: a direct proof of (0.15) and (0.16)

We use the same assumption and notation as in Theorem 0.2.
Let $P_{p}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ be the smooth kernel of the orthogonal projection $P_{p}$ from $\Omega^{0,}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)$ onto Ker $D_{p}$ with respect to $d v_{X}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$. Then $P_{p}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ is the usual Bergman kernel associated to $D_{p}$.

Let $d g$ be a Haar measure on $G$. By Schur Lemma,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\int_{G}\left((g, 1) \cdot P_{p}\right)\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) d g=\int_{G}(g, 1) \cdot P_{p}\left(g^{-1} x, x^{\prime}\right) d g \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

One possible way to get Theorem 0.2 is to apply the full off-diagonal expansion [17, Theorem 4.18'] to (4.13).

Unfortunately, we do not know how to get the full off-diagonal expansion, especially the fast decay along $N_{G}$ in (0.14) in this way.

However, it is easy to get (0.15) and (0.16) as direct consequences of $[\mathbf{1 7}$, Theorem 4.18'] and (4.13).

As in Section 2.5, we denote by $T Y$ the sub-bundle of $T X$ on a neighborhood of $P=\mu^{-1}(0)$ generated by the $G$-action and by $T^{H} P$ the orthogonal complement of $\left.T Y\right|_{P}$ in $\left(T P, g^{T P}\right)$.

Take $y_{0} \in P$. Let $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{2\left(n-n_{0}\right)},\left\{f_{l}\right\}_{l=1}^{n_{0}}$ be orthonormal basis of $T_{y_{0}}^{H} P, T_{y_{0}} Y$. Then $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{2\left(n-n_{0}\right)} \cup\left\{f_{l}, J_{y_{0}} f_{l}\right\}_{l=1}^{n_{0}}$ is an orthonormal basis of $T_{y_{0}} X$. We use this orthonormal basis to get a local coordinate of $X$ by using the exponential map $\exp _{y_{0}}^{X}$.

We identify $B^{T_{y_{0}} X}(0, \varepsilon)$ to $B^{X}\left(y_{0}, \varepsilon\right)$ by the exponential map $Z \rightarrow \exp _{y_{0}}^{X}(u Z)$.
Let $\nabla^{\text {Cliff } \otimes E}$ be the connection on $\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes E$ induced by $\nabla^{\text {Cliff }}$ and $\nabla^{E}$.

For $Z \in B^{T_{y_{0}} X}(0, \varepsilon)$, we identify $L_{Z},\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes E\right)_{Z},\left(E_{p}\right)_{Z}$ to $L_{y_{0}}$, $\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes E\right)_{y_{0}},\left(E_{p}\right)_{y_{0}}$ by parallel transport with respect to the connections $\nabla^{L}, \nabla^{\mathrm{Cliff} \otimes E}, \nabla^{E_{p}}$ along the curve $\gamma_{Z}:[0,1] \ni u \rightarrow u Z$.

Under this identification, for $Z, Z^{\prime} \in B^{T_{y_{0}} X}(0, \varepsilon)$, one has

$$
P_{p}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right) \in \operatorname{End}\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes E\right)_{y_{0}}
$$

Let $\kappa_{1}(Z)$ be the function on $B^{T_{y_{0}} X}(0, \varepsilon)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d v_{X}(Z)=\kappa_{1}(Z) d v_{T_{x_{0}} X} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By [17, Theorem 4.18'] (i.e. Theorem 0.2 for $G=\{1\}$ ), there exist $J_{r}\left(Z^{\prime}\right) \in$ $\operatorname{End}\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*}(0,1) X\right) \otimes E\right)_{y_{0}}$, polynomials in $Z^{\prime}$ with the same parity as $r$, such that for any $k, m^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $C, M>0$ such that for $Z^{\prime} \in T_{y_{0}} X,\left|Z^{\prime}\right| \leqslant \varepsilon$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\lvert\, \frac{1}{p^{n}} P_{p}\left(Z^{\prime}, 0\right)\right. & -\left.\sum_{r=0}^{k} J_{r}\left(\sqrt{p} Z^{\prime}\right) \kappa_{1}^{-1}\left(Z^{\prime}\right) e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} p\left|Z^{\prime}\right|^{2}} p^{-\frac{r}{2}}\right|_{\mathscr{C} m^{\prime}(P)}  \tag{4.15}\\
& \leqslant C p^{-(k+1) / 2}\left(1+\sqrt{p}\left|Z^{\prime}\right|\right)^{M} \exp \left(-\sqrt{C^{\prime \prime} \nu_{0}} \sqrt{p}\left|Z^{\prime}\right|\right)+\mathscr{O}\left(p^{-\infty}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0}(Z)=I_{\mathbb{C} \otimes E} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $K \in \mathfrak{g},|K|$ small, $e^{K} \operatorname{maps}\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes E\right)_{e^{-K} y_{0}}, L_{e^{-K} y_{0}}$ to $\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes\right.$ $E)_{y_{0}}, L_{y_{0}}$, and under our identification, we denote these maps by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{E}(K) \in \operatorname{End}\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes E\right)_{y_{0}}, \quad f^{L}(K) \in \operatorname{End}\left(L_{y_{0}}\right) \simeq \mathbb{C} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

As the $G$-action preserves $h^{L}$ and $\nabla^{L}$, we know $\left|f^{L}(K)\right|=1$ and $f^{E}(K)$ is also an isometry.

For $K \in \mathfrak{g}$, let ad $K$ be the adjoint representation defined by $(\operatorname{ad} K) K^{\prime}=\left[K, K^{\prime}\right]$ for $K^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{g}$. By [1, Prop. 5.1], if we denote by

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{\mathfrak{g}}(K)=\operatorname{det}_{\mathfrak{g}}\left(\frac{1-e^{-\operatorname{ad} K}}{\operatorname{ad} K}\right) \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $K \in \mathfrak{g}$, then in exponential coordinates of $G$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(e^{K}\right)=j_{\mathfrak{g}}(K) d K \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

As the $G$-action preserves all metrics and connections, thus for any smooth kernel $\Psi_{p}=\mathscr{O}\left(p^{-\infty}\right)$, we have $(g, 1) \cdot \Psi_{p}\left(g^{-1} x, x^{\prime}\right)=\mathscr{O}\left(p^{-\infty}\right)$ for any $g \in G$.

By [17, Prop. 4.1] (i.e. Theorem 0.1 for $G=\{1\}),(4.13)$, as $G$ acts freely on $P$, we know

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{p}^{G}\left(y_{0}, y_{0}\right)=\int_{K \in \mathfrak{g},|K| \leqslant \varepsilon} f^{E}(K)\left(f^{L}(K)\right)^{p} P_{p}\left(e^{-K} y_{0}, y_{0}\right) j_{\mathfrak{g}}(K) d K+\mathscr{O}\left(p^{-\infty}\right) \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $S^{L}$ be the section of $L$ on $B^{T_{y_{0}} X}(0, \varepsilon)$ obtained by parallel transport of a unit vector of $L_{y_{0}}$ with respect to the connection $\nabla^{L}$ along the curve $\gamma_{Z}$. Let $\Gamma^{L}$ be the connection form of $L$ with respect to this trivialization.

Recall that for $K \in \mathfrak{g}$, the corresponding vector field $K^{X}$ on $X$ is defined in Section 1.1. Recall that $\left\{K_{i}\right\}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$.

By (2.104), for $K \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(e^{K} \cdot S^{L}\right)(0)=e^{K} \cdot S^{L}\left(e^{-K} y_{0}\right)=f^{L}(K) S^{L}(0), \text { with } f^{L}(0)=1 \\
& \Gamma_{Z}^{L}\left(K^{X}\right)=\frac{1}{2} R_{y_{0}}^{L}\left(Z, K^{X}\right)+\mathscr{O}\left(|Z|^{2}\right) \tag{4.21}
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.16), (2.17), (4.21) and $\mu=0$ on $P$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(L_{K_{j}}\left(L_{K_{i}} S^{L}\right)\right)(0) & =\left(\nabla_{K_{j}^{X}}^{L}\left(\nabla_{K_{i}^{X}}^{L} S^{L}-2 \pi \sqrt{-1} \mu\left(K_{i}\right) S^{L}\right)\right)(0)  \tag{4.22}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} R_{y_{0}}^{L}\left(K_{j}^{X}, K_{i}^{X}\right) S^{L}(0)=\pi \sqrt{-1}\left\langle d \mu\left(K_{i}\right), K_{j}^{X}\right\rangle S^{L}(0)=0
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.16), (4.21), (4.22), $\mu=0$ on $P$ and $K^{X} \in T Y$ on $P$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial f^{L}}{\partial K_{i}}(0) S^{L}(0) & =\left(L_{K_{i}} S^{L}\right)(0)=\left(\nabla_{K_{i}^{X}}^{L} S^{L}\right)(0)=0 \\
\frac{\partial^{2} f^{L}}{\partial K_{i} \partial K_{j}}(0) S^{L}(0) & =\left.\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t_{1} \partial t_{2}}\left(e^{t_{1} K_{i}+t_{2} K_{j}} \cdot S^{L}\right)(0)\right|_{t_{1}=t_{2}=0} \\
& =\left(L_{K_{j}}\left(L_{K_{i}} S^{L}\right)+L_{K_{i}}\left(L_{K_{j}} S^{L}\right)\right)(0)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus from (4.23),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f^{L}(K)\right)^{p}=\left(1+\mathscr{O}\left(|K|^{3}\right)\right)^{p} \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, from (2.95), (2.106), (2.108) (for $G=\{1\}$ ),

$$
\begin{align*}
& f^{E}(K)=\operatorname{Id}_{\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes E\right)_{x_{0}}}+\mathscr{O}(|K|)  \tag{4.25}\\
& \kappa_{1}(Z)=1+\mathscr{O}\left(|Z|^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Let $d v_{Y}$ be the Riemannian volume form on $\left(T Y, g^{T Y}\right)$. Observe also that if we denote by $i_{y_{0}}: G \rightarrow G y_{0}$ the map defined by $i_{y_{0}}(g)=g y_{0}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{h^{2}(y)} d v_{Y}(y)=\left(i_{y_{0}}^{-1}\right)^{*} d g \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives us a factor $\frac{1}{h^{2}\left(y_{0}\right)}$ when we take the integral on $\mathfrak{g}$ instead on the normal coordinates on $X$.

By (4.13), (4.15), (4.20), (4.24)-(4.26) and the Taylor expansion for $\kappa_{1}, f^{E}, f^{L}$, as in $[\mathbf{1}$, Theorems $5.8,5.9]$, we know that there exist $J_{r}^{\prime}(Z)$ polynomials in $Z$ with same parity on $r$, and $J_{0}^{\prime}=I_{\mathbb{C} \otimes E}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{p}^{G}\left(y_{0}, y_{0}\right) \sim p^{n} \frac{1}{h^{2}\left(y_{0}\right)} \int_{K \in \mathfrak{g},|K| \leqslant \varepsilon} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} p|K|^{2}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} J_{r}^{\prime}(\sqrt{p} K) p^{-r / 2} d K \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{K \in \mathfrak{g}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} p|K|^{2}} d K=2^{\frac{n_{0}}{2}} p^{-\frac{n_{0}}{2}} \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

After taking the integral on $\mathfrak{g}$, from (4.27) and (4.28), we get (0.15) and (0.16).

By (4.7), (4.27) and (4.28), we get also the asymptotic expansion for $P_{p}^{\mathcal{V}}\left(y_{0}, y_{0}\right)$, $y_{0} \in P$.

### 4.4. Berezin-Toeplitz quantization

Let $(X, \omega)$ be a compact symplectic manifold of real dimension $2 n$. Let $\left(L, h^{L}\right)$ be a Hermitian line bundle over $X$ endowed with a Hermitian connection $\nabla^{L}$ such that (0.1) holds.

Let $\left(E, h^{E}\right)$ be a Hermitian vector bundle on $X$ with Hermitian connection $\nabla^{E}$.
Let $g^{T X}$ be a Riemannian metric on $X$ and let $J$ be an almost complex structure such that ( 0.3 ) holds and that $\omega(\cdot, J \cdot)$ defines a metric on $T X$.

Let $P_{p}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ be the smooth kernel of the orthogonal projection $P_{p}$ from $\Omega^{0,}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)$ onto $\operatorname{Ker} D_{p}$ with respect to the Riemannian volume form $d v_{X}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$. Then $P_{p}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ is the usual Bergman kernel associated to $D_{p}$.

Definition 4.3. - A family of operators $T_{p}: \operatorname{Ker} D_{p} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} D_{p}$ is a Toeplitz operator if there exists a sequence of smooth sections $g_{l} \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, \operatorname{End}(E))$ with an asymptotic expansion $g(\cdot, p)$ of the form $\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} p^{-l} g_{l}(x)$ such that for any $k \geqslant 0$, there exists $C>0$ such that for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{p}-P_{p} \sum_{l=0}^{k} p^{-l} g_{l}(x) P_{p}\right\|^{0,0} \leqslant C p^{-k-1} \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\|\quad\|^{0,0}$ is the operator norm with respect to the norm $\left\|\|_{L^{2}}\right.$. We call $g_{0}(x)$ the principal symbol of $T_{p}$. If $T_{p}$ is self-adjoint, then we call $T_{p}$ is a self-adjoint Toeplitz operator.

We express (4.29) symbolically by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{p}=P_{p}\left(\sum_{l=0}^{k} p^{-l} g_{l}\right) P_{p}+\mathcal{O}\left(p^{-k-1}\right) \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (4.29) holds for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{p}=P_{p}\left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} p^{-l} g_{l}\right) P_{p}+\mathcal{O}\left(p^{-\infty}\right) \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The map which associates to a section $\mathbf{f} \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, \operatorname{End}(E))$ the bounded operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\mathbf{f}, p}=P_{p} \mathbf{f} P_{p}: L^{2}\left(X, E_{p}\right) \longrightarrow L^{2}\left(X, E_{p}\right), \text { with } E_{p}:=\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes L^{p} \otimes E \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the Berezin-Toeplitz quantization.
Recall that $a^{X}$ is the injectivity radius of $\left(X, g^{T X}\right)$. In what follows, we fix $\varepsilon \in$ $] 0, a^{X} / 4\left[\right.$. For $x \in X$, we identify $B^{T_{x} X}(0,4 \varepsilon)$ with $B^{X}(x, 4 \varepsilon)$ by using the exponential
map. Let $d v_{T X}$ be the Riemannian volume form on $\left(T_{x_{0}} X, g^{T_{x_{0}} X}\right)$ for $x_{0} \in X$. Let $\kappa_{x_{0}}$ be a smooth positive function on $T_{x_{0}} X$ with $\kappa_{x_{0}}(0)=1$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d v_{X}(Z)=\kappa_{x_{0}}(Z) d v_{T X}(Z) \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $\operatorname{det}_{\mathbb{C}}$ for the determinant function on the complex bundle $T^{(1,0)} X$. Set $\mathbf{J} \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, \operatorname{End}(T X))$ as in $(0.2)$, and $\left|\mathbf{J}_{x_{0}}\right|=\left(-\mathbf{J}_{x_{0}}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$. Set $\mathscr{P}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right),\left(Z, Z^{\prime} \in\right.$ $\left.T_{x_{0}} X\right)$ be the analogue of $P_{\mathscr{L}}$ in (3.19),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{P}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{det}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\left|\mathbf{J}_{x_{0}}\right|\right) \exp \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}\langle | \mathbf{J}_{x_{0}}\left|\left(Z-Z^{\prime}\right),\left(Z-Z^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle-\pi \sqrt{-1}\left\langle\mathbf{J}_{x_{0}} Z, Z^{\prime}\right\rangle\right) \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

We trivialize $L, E$ and $E_{p}$ over $B^{T_{x} X}(0,4 \varepsilon)$ by using the parallel transport with respect to $\nabla^{L}, \nabla^{E}$ and $\nabla^{E_{p}}$ along the curves $\gamma_{Z}(u)=u Z$.

Let $\pi: T X \times_{X} T X \rightarrow X$ be the natural projection from the fiberwise product of $T X$ on $X$.

Let $\left\{\Xi_{p}\right\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of linear operators $\Xi_{p}: L^{2}\left(X, E_{p}\right) \longrightarrow L^{2}\left(X, E_{p}\right)$ with smooth kernel $\Xi_{p}(x, y)$ with respect to $d v_{X}(y)$. Then under the above trivialization, $\Xi_{p}(x, y)$ induces a smooth section $\Xi_{p, x_{0}}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)$ of $\pi^{*}\left(\operatorname{End}\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*}(0,1) X\right) \otimes E\right)\right)$ over $T X \times_{X} T X$ with $Z, Z^{\prime} \in T_{x_{0}} X,|Z|,\left|Z^{\prime}\right|<4 \varepsilon$ which depends smoothly on $x_{0}$.

We will denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{-n} \Xi_{p, x_{0}}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right) \cong \sum_{r=0}^{k}\left(Q_{r, x_{0}} \mathscr{P}_{x_{0}}\right)\left(\sqrt{p} Z, \sqrt{p} Z^{\prime}\right) p^{-\frac{r}{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(p^{-\frac{k+1}{2}}\right), \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

if

$$
\left\{Q_{r, x_{0}} \in \operatorname{End}\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes E\right)_{x_{0}}\left[Z, Z^{\prime}\right]\right\}_{0 \leqslant r \leqslant k, x_{0} \in X}
$$

is a smooth family of polynomials on $Z, Z^{\prime}$ with respect to the parameter $x_{0} \in X$, such that there exist constants $\left.\left.\varepsilon^{\prime} \in\right] 0,4 \varepsilon\right]$ and $C_{0}>0$ with the following property: for every $l \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $C_{k, l}>0, M>0$ such that for $x_{0} \in X, Z, Z^{\prime} \in T_{x_{0}} X$, $|Z|,\left|Z^{\prime}\right|<\varepsilon^{\prime}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$ the following estimate holds : ${ }^{(1)}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|p^{-n} \Xi_{p, x_{0}}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right) \kappa_{x_{0}}^{1 / 2}(Z) \kappa_{x_{0}}^{1 / 2}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)-\sum_{r=0}^{k}\left(Q_{r, x_{0}} \mathscr{P}_{x_{0}}\right)\left(\sqrt{p} Z, \sqrt{p} Z^{\prime}\right) p^{-\frac{r}{2}}\right|_{\mathscr{C}^{l}(X)}  \tag{4.36}\\
\leqslant & C_{k, l} p^{-\frac{k+1}{2}}\left(1+\sqrt{p}|Z|+\sqrt{p}\left|Z^{\prime}\right|\right)^{M} \exp \left(-\sqrt{C_{0} p}\left|Z-Z^{\prime}\right|\right)+\mathscr{O}\left(p^{-\infty}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

[^1]In [30, Theorem 4.9] (cf. also [31, Theorem 7.3.1]), Ma and Marinescu established a useful criterion which ensures that a given family is a Toeplitz operator.

Theorem 4.4. - Let $\left\{T_{p}: L^{2}\left(X, E_{p}\right) \longrightarrow L^{2}\left(X, E_{p}\right)\right\}$ be a family of bounded linear operators which satisfies the following three conditions:
(i) For any $p \in \mathbb{N}, P_{p} T_{p} P_{p}=T_{p}$.
(ii) For any $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ and any $l \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_{l}>0$ such that for all $p \geqslant 1$ and all $\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \in X \times X$ with $d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)>\varepsilon_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|T_{p}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqslant C_{l} p^{-l} \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) There exists a family of polynomials $\left\{\mathcal{Q}_{r, x_{0}} \in \operatorname{End}\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes E\right)_{x_{0}}\left[Z, Z^{\prime}\right]\right\}_{x_{0} \in X}$ such that: (a) each $\mathcal{Q}_{r, x_{0}}$ has the same parity as $r$,
(b) the family is smooth in $x_{0} \in X$ and
(c) there exists $0<\varepsilon^{\prime}<\varepsilon$ such that for any $x_{0} \in X$ and $Z, Z^{\prime} \in T_{x_{0}} X$, $|Z|,\left|Z^{\prime}\right|<\varepsilon^{\prime}$, in the sense of (4.35) and (4.36), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{-n} T_{p, x_{0}}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right) \cong \sum_{r=0}^{k}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{r, x_{0}} P_{x_{0}}\right)\left(\sqrt{p} Z, \sqrt{p} Z^{\prime}\right) p^{-\frac{r}{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(p^{-\frac{k+1}{2}}\right) \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\left\{T_{p}\right\}$ is a Toeplitz operator.
By the asymptotic expansion of $P_{p}$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$ (Theorems $0.1,0.2$ for $G=\{1\}$ ), for any $\mathbf{f} \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, \operatorname{End}(E))$, the Toeplitz operator $T_{\mathbf{f}, p}$ verifies the conditions in Theorem 4.4.

Moreover, from the proof of Theorem 4.4, in fact

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}_{0, x_{0}}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{Q}_{0, x_{0}}(0,0), \text { for } x_{0} \in X \tag{4.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)=\left.\mathcal{Q}_{0, x_{0}}(0,0)\right|_{\mathbb{C} \otimes E} \in \operatorname{End}\left(E_{x_{0}}\right), \tag{4.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{-n}\left(T_{p}-T_{g_{0}, p}\right)_{x_{0}}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right) \cong \mathcal{O}\left(p^{-1}\right) \tag{4.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{p}=P_{p} g_{0} P_{p}+\mathcal{O}\left(p^{-1}\right) \tag{4.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

And by recurrence as in (4.40), we find $g_{l} \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, \operatorname{End}(E))$ such that (4.29) holds.

The Poisson bracket $\{$,$\} on (X, 2 \pi \omega)$ is defined by: for $g_{1}, g_{2} \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X)$, if $\xi_{g_{2}}$ is the Hamiltonian vector field generated by $g_{2}$ which is defined by $2 \pi i_{\xi_{g_{2}}} \omega=d g_{2}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{g_{1}, g_{2}\right\}=-\xi_{g_{2}}\left(d g_{1}\right) \tag{4.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a corollary of Theorem 4.4, we get the following result [30, Theorem 1.1] (cf. also [31, Theorems 7.4.1 and 8.1.10]),

Theorem 4.5. - Let $f, g \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, \operatorname{End}(E))$. Then the product of the Toeplitz operators $T_{f, p}$ and $T_{g, p}$ is a Toeplitz operator, more precisely, it admits an asymptotic expansion in the sense of (4.31):

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{f, p} T_{g, p}=\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} p^{-r} T_{C_{r}(f, g), p}+\mathcal{O}\left(p^{-\infty}\right) \tag{4.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{r}$ are bidifferential operators such that $C_{r}(f, g) \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, \operatorname{End}(E))$ and $C_{0}(f, g)=f g$.

If $f, g \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}(f, g)-C_{1}(g, f)=\sqrt{-1}\{f, g\} \operatorname{Id}_{E} \tag{4.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[T_{f, p}, T_{g, p}\right]=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{p} T_{\{f, g\}, p}+\mathcal{O}\left(p^{-2}\right) \tag{4.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

In conclusion, the set of Toeplitz operators forms an algebra. In particular, when $(X, J, \omega)$ is a compact Kähler manifold and $E=\mathbb{C}, g^{T X}=\omega(\cdot, J \cdot)$, Theorem 4.5 recovers the result in $[\mathbf{9}]$ (cf. also $[\mathbf{3 9}, \mathbf{2 3}],[\mathbf{2 0}]$ ) where the theory of Toeplitz structures by Boutet de Monvel and Guillemin [11] is used. Some related results were also announced in [10].

Lemma 4.6.-Let

$$
T_{p}=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} P_{p} g_{l} p^{-l} P_{p}+\mathscr{O}\left(p^{-\infty}\right): \operatorname{Ker} D_{p} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} D_{p}
$$

be a Toeplitz operator with principal symbol $g_{0} \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, \operatorname{End}(E))$. Then
i) If $g_{0}$ is invertible, then $T_{p}^{-1}$ is a Toeplitz operator with principal symbol $g_{0}^{-1}$.
ii) If $g_{0}=g \operatorname{Id}_{E}$ with $g \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X), g>0$, and $T_{p}$ is self-adjoint, then for any $q \in \mathbb{N}^{*}, T_{p}^{1 / q}$ is a self-adjoint Toeplitz operator with principal symbol $g^{1 / q} \operatorname{Id}_{E}$.

Proof. - We only prove ii), the proof of i) is similar and simpler.
As $g>0$, there exist $C_{0}, C_{1}>0$ such that $C_{0}<g<C_{1}$. Thus for any $s \in \operatorname{Ker} D_{p}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle T_{p} s, s\right\rangle=\left\langle g_{0} s, s\right\rangle+\mathscr{O}\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)\|s\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \geq\left(C_{0}+\mathscr{O}\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)\right)\|s\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \tag{4.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus for $p$ large enough, $T_{p}^{1 / q}: \operatorname{Ker} D_{p} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} D_{p}$ is well defined. (In the case i), we get $T_{p}^{-1}: \operatorname{Ker} D_{p} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} D_{p}$ is well defined for $p$ large enough.)

Let $\delta_{1}$ be a smooth bounded closed counterclockwise oriented contour on $\{\lambda \in$ $\mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re}(\lambda)>0\}$ such that $\left[\frac{1}{2} C_{0}, 2 C_{1}\right]$ is in the interior domain got by $\delta_{1}$.

As in the proof of Theorem 4.4, by recurrence, we will find $f_{l} \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, \operatorname{End}(E))$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{-n}\left(T_{p}-\left(T_{k, p}\right)^{q}\right)=\mathcal{O}\left(p^{-k-1}\right) \quad \text { with } \quad T_{k, p}=\sum_{l=0}^{k} P_{p} f_{l} p^{-l} P_{p} \tag{4.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for $p$ large enough,

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{p}^{1 / q}-T_{k, p}= & \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\lambda \in \delta_{1}} \lambda^{1 / q}\left[\left(\lambda-T_{p}\right)^{-1}-\left(\lambda-\left(T_{k, p}\right)^{q}\right)^{-1}\right] d \lambda  \tag{4.49}\\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\lambda \in \delta_{1}} \lambda^{1 / q}\left(\lambda-T_{p}\right)^{-1}\left(T_{p}-\left(T_{k, p}\right)^{q}\right)\left(\lambda-\left(T_{k, p}\right)^{q}\right)^{-1} d \lambda
\end{align*}
$$

If (4.48) holds, then by (4.49) we know that in the sense of the operator norm,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{p}^{1 / q}-T_{k, p}=\mathcal{O}\left(p^{-k-1}\right) \tag{4.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

To complete the proof of Lemma 4.6, it remains to establish (4.48).
As explained after Theorem 4.4, there exist $Q_{0, r} \in \operatorname{End}\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes E\right)_{x_{0}}$ such that in the sense of (4.35),

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{-n} T_{p}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right) \cong \sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\left(Q_{0, r} \mathscr{P}\right)\left(\sqrt{p} Z, \sqrt{p} Z^{\prime}\right) p^{-r / 2}+\mathscr{O}\left(p^{-\infty}\right) \tag{4.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will prove by recurrence that there exist $f_{l} \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, \operatorname{End}(E))$ self-adjoint such that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|p^{-n+n_{0}}\left(T_{p}-\left(T_{k, p}\right)^{q}\right)\left(\sqrt{p} Z, \sqrt{p} Z^{\prime}\right)\right|  \tag{4.52}\\
& \leqslant p^{-(2 k+1) / 2}\left(1+\sqrt{p}|Z|+\sqrt{p}\left|Z^{\prime}\right|\right)^{M} \exp \left(-\sqrt{C^{\prime \prime} \nu_{0}} \sqrt{p}\left|Z-Z^{\prime}\right|\right)+\mathscr{O}\left(p^{-\infty}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Set $f_{0}=g^{1 / q} \operatorname{Id}_{E}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{-n}\left(T_{p}-\left(T_{0, p}\right)^{q}\right)\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right) \cong \sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\left(\left(Q_{0, r}-\widetilde{Q}_{0, r}^{0}\right) \mathscr{P}\right)\left(\sqrt{p} Z, \sqrt{p} Z^{\prime}\right) p^{-r / 2} \tag{4.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now as $Q_{0,0}=\widetilde{Q}_{0,0}^{0}=g \operatorname{Id}_{E}$, by (4.41), we know

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{0,1}-\widetilde{Q}_{0,1}^{0}=0 \tag{4.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus (4.48) is verified for $k=0$.
Assume that for $k \leqslant m$, we have found $f_{l}$ such that (4.48) holds. If we denote the expansion of $\left(T_{m, p}\right)^{q}$ in the sense of (4.35),

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{-n}\left(T_{m, p}\right)^{q}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right) \cong \sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\left(\widetilde{Q}_{0, r}^{m} \mathscr{P}\right)\left(\sqrt{p} Z, \sqrt{p} Z^{\prime}\right) p^{-r / 2}+\mathcal{O}\left(p^{-\frac{k+1}{2}}\right) \tag{4.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.48),

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{-n}\left(T_{p}-\left(T_{m, p}\right)^{q}\right)\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right) \cong \sum_{r=2 m+2}^{\infty}\left(\left(Q_{0, r}-\widetilde{Q}_{0, r}^{m}\right) \mathscr{P}\right)\left(\sqrt{p} Z, \sqrt{p} Z^{\prime}\right) p^{-r / 2} \tag{4.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.39), (4.40), we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{m+1}\left(x_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{q} g^{-\frac{q-1}{q}}\left(Q_{0,2 m+2}-\widetilde{Q}_{0,2 m+2}^{m}\right)(0,0) . \tag{4.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by (4.56) and (4.57),

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{-n}\left(T_{p}-\left(T_{m+1, p}\right)^{q}\right)\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right) \cong \sum_{r=2 m+3}^{\infty}\left(\left(Q_{0, r}-\widetilde{Q}_{0, r}^{m+1}\right) \mathscr{P}\right)\left(\sqrt{p} Z, \sqrt{p} Z^{\prime}\right) p^{-r / 2} \tag{4.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.40), (4.41) and (4.58), we know

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(Q_{0,2 m+3}-\widetilde{Q}_{0,2 m+3}^{m}\right)(0,0)=0 \tag{4.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus (4.48) holds for $k=m+1$.
By the above argument, we have established (4.48), thus Lemma 4.6.
Assume now that $(X, \omega)$ is a compact symplectic orbifold and $L, E$ are proper orbifold vector bundles verifying the conditions of the beginning of this section. Otherwise, as explained in [31, Remark 5.4.5], we are working on the proper orbifold sub-bundle $E^{\mathrm{pr}}$ of $E$.

We can still define the $\operatorname{spin}^{c}$ Dirac operator $D_{p}: \Omega^{0 \bullet}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right) \longrightarrow \Omega^{0 \bullet}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes\right.$ $E)$. The orthogonal projection $P_{p}: L^{2}\left(X, E_{p}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} D_{p}$ with $E_{p}:=\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes$ $L^{p} \otimes E$ is called the Bergman projection. A Toeplitz operator is a family of linear operator $T_{p}: \operatorname{Ker} D_{p} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} D_{p}$ verifying (4.29).

We need to introduce the correct analogue of (4.35) in the orbifold case, in order to take into account the group action associated to an orbifold chart. Let $\left\{\Xi_{p}\right\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of linear operators $\Xi_{p}: L^{2}\left(X, E_{p}\right) \longrightarrow L^{2}\left(X, E_{p}\right)$ with smooth kernel $\Xi_{p}(x, y)$ with respect to $d v_{X}(y)$.

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{-n} \Xi_{p, x_{0}}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right) \cong \sum_{r=0}^{k}\left(Q_{r, x_{0}} \mathscr{P}_{x_{0}}\right)\left(\sqrt{p} Z, \sqrt{p} Z^{\prime}\right) p^{-\frac{r}{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(p^{-\frac{k+1}{2}}\right), \tag{4.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

if for every open set $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and every orbifold chart $\left(H_{U}, \widetilde{U}\right) \xrightarrow{\tau_{U}} U$, there exists a sequence of kernels $\left\{\widetilde{\Xi}_{p, U}\left(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{x}^{\prime}\right)\right\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a family

$$
\left\{Q_{r, x_{0}}\right\}_{0 \leqslant r \leqslant k, x_{0} \in X} \in \operatorname{End}\left(\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right) \otimes E\right)_{x_{0}}\left[\widetilde{Z}, \widetilde{Z}^{\prime}\right]
$$

smooth with respect to the parameter $x_{0} \in X$ such that for every fixed $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime}>0$ and every $\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{x}^{\prime} \in \widetilde{U}$ the following hold

$$
\begin{align*}
& (g, 1) \widetilde{\Xi}_{p, U}\left(g^{-1} \widetilde{x}, \widetilde{x}^{\prime}\right)=\left(1, g^{-1}\right) \widetilde{\Xi}_{p, U}\left(\widetilde{x}, g \widetilde{x}^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { for any } g \in H_{U} \\
& \widetilde{\Xi}_{p, U}\left(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{x}^{\prime}\right)=\mathscr{O}\left(p^{-\infty}\right) \quad \text { for } d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)>\varepsilon^{\prime \prime},  \tag{4.61}\\
& \Xi_{p}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{g \in H_{U}}(g, 1) \widetilde{\Xi}_{p, U}\left(g^{-1} \widetilde{x}, \widetilde{x}^{\prime}\right)+\mathscr{O}\left(p^{-\infty}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

and moreover, for every relatively compact open subset $\widetilde{V} \subset \widetilde{U}$, the relation (4.62)

$$
p^{-n} \widetilde{\Xi}_{p, U, \widetilde{x}_{0}}\left(\widetilde{Z}, \widetilde{Z}^{\prime}\right) \cong \sum_{r=0}^{k}\left(Q_{r, \widetilde{x}_{0}} \mathscr{P}_{\widetilde{x}_{0}}\right)\left(\sqrt{p} \widetilde{Z}, \sqrt{p} \widetilde{Z}^{\prime}\right) p^{-\frac{r}{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(p^{-\frac{k+1}{2}}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad \widetilde{x}_{0} \in \widetilde{V}
$$

holds in the sense of (4.35).
Note that although the notation (4.60) and (4.35) are formally similar, they have different meaning.

Then in $[\mathbf{3 0}, \S 6]$, we find the following analogue of Theorem 4.4.
Theorem 4.7. - Let $\left\{T_{p}: L^{2}\left(X, E_{p}\right) \longrightarrow L^{2}\left(X, E_{p}\right)\right\}$ be a family of bounded linear operators which satisfies i), ii) of Theorem 4.4 and (4.60). Then $\left\{T_{p}\right\}$ is a Toeplitz operator.

From Theorem 4.7, we extend also Theorem 4.5 to the orbifold case, for more details, see $[\mathbf{3 0}, \S 6]$.

### 4.5. Toeplitz operators on $X_{G}$

In this Section, we suppose that $(X, \omega)$ is a Kähler manifold, $\mathbf{J}=J$, and $L, E$ are holomorphic vector bundles with holomorphic Hermitian connections $\nabla^{L}, \nabla^{E}$. Let $G$ be a compact connected Lie group acting holomorphically on $X, L, E$ which preserves $h^{L}$ and $h^{E}$.

We suppose that $G$ acts freely on $P=\mu^{-1}(0)$. Then $\left(X_{G}, \omega_{G}\right)$ is Kähler and $L_{G}, E_{G}$ are holomorphic on $X_{G}$.

In this case, there exists a natural isomorphism from $\left(\operatorname{Ker} D_{p}\right)^{G}$ onto $\operatorname{Ker} D_{G, p}$.
At the end of this Section, we will explain the corresponding result in the symplectic case, especially, for $p \gg 1$, we construct a natural isomorphism from $\left(\operatorname{Ker} D_{p}\right)^{G}$ onto $\operatorname{Ker} D_{G, p}$.

In the current situation, the $\operatorname{spin}^{c}$ Dirac operator $D_{p}$ was given by ( 0.21 ) and $D_{p}^{2}$ preserves the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading of $\Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)$. Similar properties hold for $D_{G, p}$.

As in Section 2.3, let $P_{G, p}$ be the orthogonal projection from $\Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X_{G}, L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G}\right)$ onto Ker $D_{G, p}$, and let $P_{G, p}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ be the corresponding smooth kernel.

By the Kodaira vanishing theorem, for $p$ large enough,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\operatorname{Ker} D_{p}\right)^{G}=H^{0}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)^{G}, \quad \operatorname{Ker} D_{G, p}=H^{0}\left(X_{G}, L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G}\right) \tag{4.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $D_{p}^{2}, D_{G, p}^{2}$ preserve the $\mathbb{Z}$-gradings of $\Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right), \Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X_{G}, L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G}\right)$ respectively, we only need to take care of their restrictions on $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)$ and $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(X_{G}, L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G}\right)$. In this way,

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(X \times X, \operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*}\left(L^{p} \otimes E\right) \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*}\left(L^{p} \otimes E\right)^{*}\right)  \tag{4.64}\\
& P_{G, p}\left(x_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(X_{G} \times X_{G}, \operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*}\left(L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G}\right) \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*}\left(L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G}\right)^{*}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Recall that the morphism $\sigma_{p}: H^{0}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)^{G} \rightarrow H^{0}\left(X_{G}, L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G}\right)$ was defined in (0.27). Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{p}^{G}=\sigma_{p} \circ P_{p}^{G}: \mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(X_{G}, L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G}\right) \tag{4.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\sigma_{p}^{G *}$ be the adjoint of $\sigma_{p}^{G}$ with respect to the natural inner products (cf. (1.19)) on $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right), \mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(X_{G}, L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G}\right)$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{p}^{X_{G}}:=p^{-\frac{n_{0}}{2}} \sigma_{p}^{G} \circ \sigma_{p}^{G *} \tag{4.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{s_{p, i}\right\}_{i=1}^{d_{p}}$ be an orthonormal basis of $H^{0}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)^{G}$. For $y_{0} \in X_{G}, x, x^{\prime} \in X$, one verifies

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{d_{p}} s_{p, i}(x) \otimes s_{p, i}\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{*}  \tag{4.67}\\
\sigma_{p}^{G}\left(y_{0}, x\right) & =P_{p}^{G}\left(y_{0}, x\right), \quad \sigma_{p}^{G *}\left(x, y_{0}\right)=P_{p}^{G}\left(x, y_{0}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where by $P_{p}^{G}\left(y_{0}, x\right)$ (resp. $\left.P_{p}^{G}\left(x, y_{0}\right)\right)$ we mean $P_{p}^{G}(y, x)$ (resp. $\left.P_{p}^{G}(x, y)\right)$ for any $y \in \pi_{G}^{-1}\left(y_{0}\right)$, which is well-defined by the $G$-invariance of $P_{p}^{G}$.

From (0.27), we know that $\mathcal{P}_{p}^{X_{G}}$ commutes with the operator $P_{G, p}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{p}^{X_{G}}=P_{G, p} \mathcal{P}_{p}^{X_{G}} P_{G, p} \tag{4.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left.P_{p}^{G}\right|_{P}$ be the restriction of the smooth kernel $P_{p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ on $P \times P$. Then

$$
\left.P_{p}^{G}\right|_{P}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(P \times P, \operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*}\left(L^{p} \otimes E\right) \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*}\left(L^{p} \otimes E\right)^{*}\right)
$$

is $G \times G$-invariant. By composing with $\pi_{G}$,

$$
\left(\left.\pi_{G} \circ P_{p}^{G}\right|_{P}\right)\left(x_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(X_{G} \times X_{G}, \operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*}\left(L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G}\right) \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*}\left(L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G}\right)^{*}\right)
$$

We denote by $\left.\pi_{G} \circ P_{p}^{G}\right|_{P}$ the operator defined by the smooth kernel $\left(\left.\pi_{G} \circ P_{p}^{G}\right|_{P}\right)\left(x_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ and the Riemannian volume form $d v_{X_{G}}\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)$. Then from (4.67), we verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{p}^{X_{G}}\left(x_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right)=p^{-\frac{n_{0}}{2}} P_{p}^{G}\left(x_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right)=\left.p^{-\frac{n_{0}}{2}} \pi_{G} \circ P_{p}^{G}\right|_{P}\left(x_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right) \tag{4.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $h$ is the fiberwise volume function defined by (0.10).
Let $d g$ be a Haar measure on $G$.
The main result of this Section is the following result.
Theorem 4.8. - Let $f$ be a smooth section of $\operatorname{End}(E)$ on $X$. Let $f^{G} \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(X_{G}\right.$, $\operatorname{End}\left(E_{G}\right)$ ) be the $G$-invariant part of $f$ on $P$ defined by $f^{G}(x)=\int_{G} g \cdot f\left(g^{-1} x\right) d g$. Then $\mathcal{T}_{f, p}=p^{-\frac{n_{0}}{2}} \sigma_{p}^{G} f \sigma_{p}^{G *}$ is a Toeplitz operator with principal symbol $2^{\frac{n_{0}}{2}} \frac{f^{G}}{h^{2}}(x)$. In particular $\mathcal{P}_{p}^{X_{G}}$ is a Toeplitz operator with principal symbol $2^{\frac{n_{0}}{2}} / h^{2}(x)$.

Proof. - We need to find a family of sections $g_{l} \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(X_{G}, \operatorname{End}\left(E_{G}\right)\right)$ such that for any $m \geqslant 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}_{f, p}=\sum_{l=0}^{m} P_{G, p} g_{l} p^{-l} P_{G, p}+\mathscr{O}\left(p^{-m-1}\right) \tag{4.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 0.1, (4.65), (4.67), we know for $\varepsilon>0$, and any $l \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_{l}>0$ such that for all $p \geqslant 1$ and all $\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \in X_{G} \times X_{G}$ with $d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)>\varepsilon_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{T}_{f, p}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqslant C_{l} p^{-l} \tag{4.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

We still need to verify the condition iii) of Theorem 4.4.
Let $U$ be a $G$-neighborhood of $P=\mu^{-1}(0)$ as in Theorem 0.2.
Let $\psi$ be a $G$-invariant function on $X$ such that $\psi=1$ on an open neighborhood of $P$ and $\operatorname{supp}(\psi) \subset\left\{y \in X, d(y, P)<\varepsilon_{0} / 2\right\} \cap U$.

Write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{p}^{G} f \sigma_{p}^{G *}=\sigma_{p}^{G} \psi f \sigma_{p}^{G *}+\sigma_{p}^{G}(1-\psi) f \sigma_{p}^{G *} \tag{4.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $x_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime} \in X_{G}$, let $x, x^{\prime} \in P$ such that $\pi(x)=x_{0}, \pi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=x_{0}^{\prime}$. By (4.67),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sigma_{p}^{G}((1-\psi) f) \sigma_{p}^{G *}\right)\left(x_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right)=\int_{X} P_{p}^{G}(x, y)((1-\psi) f)(y) P_{p}^{G}\left(y, x^{\prime}\right) d v_{X}(y) \tag{4.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Theorem 0.1, (4.73) and $\operatorname{supp}((1-\psi) f) \cap P=\emptyset$, we know that for any $l, m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_{l, m}>0$ such that for any $p \in \mathbb{N}, x_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime} \in X_{G}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(\sigma_{p}^{G}((1-\psi) f) \sigma_{p}^{G *}\right)\left(x_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\mathscr{C}^{m}\left(X_{G} \times X_{G}\right)} \leqslant C_{l, m} p^{-l} \tag{4.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define $f_{B} \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(B, \operatorname{End}\left(E_{B}\right)\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{B}\left(x_{0}\right)=\int_{G} g \cdot(\psi f)\left(g^{-1} x\right) d g \tag{4.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x_{0} \in B, x \in U$ such that $\pi(x)=x_{0}$. Clearly, if $x_{0} \in P$, as $\left.\psi\right|_{P}=1$, one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{B}\left(x_{0}\right)=f^{G}\left(x_{0}\right) \tag{4.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.75), for $x_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime} \in B, x, x^{\prime} \in U$ such that $\pi(x)=x_{0}, \pi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=x_{0}^{\prime}$, one gets

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{p}^{G} \psi f \sigma_{p}^{G *}\left(x_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right)=\int_{U} P_{p}^{G} & (x, y)(\psi f)(y) P_{p}^{G}\left(y, x^{\prime}\right) d v_{X}(y)  \tag{4.77}\\
& =\int_{B} P_{p}^{G}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) f_{B}\left(y_{0}\right) P_{p}^{G}\left(y_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right) h^{2}\left(y_{0}\right) d v_{B}\left(y_{0}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

For $x_{0} \in X_{G}$, we will work on the normal coordinates of $X_{G}$ with center $x_{0}$ as in Theorem 0.2.

Recall that $P_{\mathscr{L}}\left(Z^{0}, Z^{\prime 0}\right)$ was defined by (3.19) with $a_{i}=a_{i}^{\perp}=2 \pi$ therein.
By (4.72), (4.74) and (4.77), for $\left|Z^{0}\right|,\left|Z^{\prime 0}\right| \leqslant \varepsilon_{0} / 2$,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathcal{T}_{f, p}\left(Z^{0}, Z^{\prime 0}\right)-p^{-n_{0} / 2} \int_{\substack{|W| \leqslant \varepsilon_{0}, W \in T_{x_{0}} B}} P_{p}^{G}\left(Z^{0}, W\right)\left(f_{B} h^{2}\right)(W) P_{p}^{G}\left(W, Z^{\prime 0}\right) d v_{B}(W)  \tag{4.78}\\
=\mathscr{O}\left(p^{-\infty}\right)
\end{array}
$$

By Theorem 0.2, (4.78) and the Taylor expansion of $f_{B}$, there exist $Q_{0, r} \in$ $\operatorname{End}\left(E_{G, x_{0}}\right)$ polynomials on $Z^{0}, Z^{\prime 0}$ with same parity on $r$ such that the following
formula, obtained through compositions, holds,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|p^{-n+n_{0}} \mathcal{T}_{f, p}\left(Z^{0}, Z^{\prime 0}\right) \kappa^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(x_{0}, Z^{0}\right) \kappa^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(x_{0}, Z^{\prime 0}\right)-\sum_{r=0}^{k}\left(Q_{0, r} P_{\mathscr{L}}\right)\left(\sqrt{p} Z^{0}, \sqrt{p} Z^{\prime 0}\right) p^{-\frac{r}{2}}\right|_{\mathscr{C} m^{\prime}\left(X_{G}\right)}  \tag{4.79}\\
& \quad \leqslant C p^{-(k+1) / 2}\left(1+\sqrt{p}\left|Z^{0}\right|+\sqrt{p}\left|Z^{\prime 0}\right|\right)^{M} \exp \left(-\sqrt{C^{\prime \prime} \nu} \sqrt{p}\left|Z^{0}-Z^{\prime 0}\right|\right)+\mathscr{O}\left(p^{-\infty}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, by (0.13), (4.75) and (4.78),

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(Q_{0,0} P_{\mathscr{L}}\right)\left(Z^{0}, Z^{\prime 0}\right)=P_{\mathscr{L}}\left(Z^{0}, Z^{\prime 0}\right) \frac{f^{G}}{h^{2}}\left(x_{0}\right) 2^{n_{0}} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_{0}}} \exp \left(-2 \pi\left|W^{\perp}\right|^{2}\right) d W^{\perp}  \tag{4.80}\\
& =\frac{f^{G}}{h^{2}}\left(x_{0}\right) 2^{n_{0} / 2} P_{\mathscr{L}}\left(Z^{0}, Z^{\prime 0}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

By Theorem 4.4, (4.71) and (4.79), there exist $g_{l} \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(X_{G}, \operatorname{End}\left(E_{G}\right)\right)$ such that (4.70) holds, and by (4.40) and (4.42),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}_{f, p}=2^{n_{0} / 2} P_{G, p} \frac{f^{G}}{h^{2}} P_{G, p}+\mathcal{O}\left(p^{-1}\right) \tag{4.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of Theorem 4.8 is complete.
Corollary 4.9. - For $f_{1}, f_{2} \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathcal{T}_{f_{1}, p}, \mathcal{T}_{f_{2}, p}\right]=\frac{2^{n_{0}} \sqrt{-1}}{p} P_{G, p}\left\{\frac{f_{1}^{G}}{h^{2}}, \frac{f_{2}^{G}}{h^{2}}\right\} P_{G, p}+\mathscr{O}\left(p^{-2}\right) \tag{4.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\{$,$\} is the Poisson bracket on \left(X_{G}, 2 \pi \omega_{G}\right)$.
Proof. - By Theorems 4.5, 4.8, we get immediately (4.82).
Since the isomorphism $\sigma_{p}: H^{0}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)^{G} \rightarrow H^{0}\left(X_{G}, L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G}\right)$ is not an isometry, we define the associated unitary operator,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{p}=\sigma_{p}^{G *}\left(\sigma_{p}^{G} \circ \sigma_{p}^{G *}\right)^{-1 / 2}: H^{0}\left(X_{G}, L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)^{G} \tag{4.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.10. - Let $f$ be a $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}$ section of $\operatorname{End}(E)$ on $X$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{f, p}^{G}=\Sigma_{p}^{*} f \Sigma_{p}: H^{0}\left(X_{G}, L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(X_{G}, L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G}\right) \tag{4.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a Toeplitz operator on $X_{G}$. Its principal symbol is $f^{G} \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(X_{G}, \operatorname{End}\left(E_{G}\right)\right)$.
Proof. - By (4.68) and (4.83),

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{f, p}^{G}=\left(\mathcal{P}_{p}^{X_{G}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{T}_{f, p}\left(\mathcal{P}_{p}^{X_{G}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{4.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 4.8, (4.66), $\mathcal{P}_{p}^{X_{G}}=p^{-\frac{n_{0}}{2}} \sigma_{p}^{G} \circ \sigma_{p}^{G *}, \mathcal{T}_{f, p}$ are Toeplitz operators on $X_{G}$ with principal symbols $2^{n_{0} / 2} / h^{2}(x), 2^{n_{0} / 2} \frac{f^{G}}{h^{2}}(x)$ respectively.

By Lemma 4.6, we know that $\left(\mathcal{P}_{p}^{X_{G}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is a Toeplitz operator on $X_{G}$ with principal symbol $2^{-n_{0} / 4} h(x)$.

By Theorem 4.5, we then know that $T_{f, p}^{G}$ is a Toeplitz operator and its principal symbol is $f^{G}(x)$.

Remark 4.11. - i) When $E=\mathbb{C}$, and $f=1$, from Theorem 4.8, $\mathcal{P}_{p}^{X_{G}}$ is an elliptic (i.e. its principal symbol is invertible) Toeplitz operator. This is the analytic core result claimed in $[\mathbf{3 7}, \S 8]$.
ii) When $E=\mathbb{C}$ and $G$ is the torus $\mathbb{T}^{n_{0}}$, Theorem 4.10 is one of the main results of Charles [15, Theorem 1.2], and in $[\mathbf{1 5}, \S 5.6]$, he knew also that $\mathcal{P}_{p}^{X_{G}}$ is an elliptic Toeplitz operator. Moreover, he established the corresponding version when $X_{G}$ is an orbifold.

If $X$ is only symplectic and $\mathbf{J}=J$, then as the argument in $[\mathbf{4 4}, \S 3 \mathrm{e})], J$ induces an almost complex structure $J_{G}$ on $(T X)_{B}$, and $J_{G}$ preserves $N_{G, J}=N_{G} \oplus J_{G} N_{G}$ and $T X_{G}$. Thus one can construct canonically the Hermitian vector bundles $N_{G, J}^{(1,0)}$ etc, which further give the canonical identification of Hermitian vector bundles

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right)_{B}\right|_{X_{G}}=\Lambda\left(N_{G, J}^{*(0,1)}\right) \widehat{\otimes} \Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X_{G}\right) \tag{4.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $q$ be the canonical orthogonal projection

$$
\begin{equation*}
q: \Lambda\left(N_{G, J}^{*(0,1)}\right) \widehat{\otimes} \Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X_{G}\right) \otimes L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G} \rightarrow \Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X_{G}\right) \otimes L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G} \tag{4.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

which acts as identity on $\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X_{G}\right) \otimes L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G}$ and maps each

$$
\Lambda^{i}\left(N_{G, J}^{*(0,1)}\right) \widehat{\otimes} \Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X_{G}\right) \otimes L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G}, \quad i \geqslant 1, \text { to zero. }
$$

We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{p}:=P_{G, p} q \pi_{G} i^{*} P_{p}^{G}:\left(\operatorname{Ker} D_{p}\right)^{G} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} D_{G, p} \tag{4.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

Certainly in the Kähler case, $\sigma_{p}$ coincides with (0.27).
By using Theorems 0.1, 0.2 as in the proof of Theorem 4.8, we get
Theorem 4.12. - Let $f$ be a smooth section of $\operatorname{End}(E)$ on $X$, then $\mathcal{T}_{f, p}=$ $p^{-n_{0} / 2} \sigma_{p} f \sigma_{p}^{*}: \operatorname{Ker} D_{G, p} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} D_{G, p}$ is a Toeplitz operator with principal symbol $2^{n_{0} / 2} \frac{f^{G}}{h^{2}}(x) \in \operatorname{End}\left(E_{G}\right)$.

Corollary 4.13. - For $p$ large enough, $\sigma_{p}$ in (4.88) is an isomorphism. Thus $\sigma_{p}$ defines a natural identification for 'quantization commutes with reduction' in the (asymptotic) symplectic case.

Proof. - From Theorem 4.12 for $f=1$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{-n_{0} / 2} \sigma_{p} \sigma_{p}^{*}=2^{n_{0} / 2} P_{G, p} h^{-2} P_{G, p}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) \tag{4.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus for $p$ large enough, $\sigma_{p} \sigma_{p}^{*}$ is an isomorphism. Thus $\sigma_{p}$ is surjective.
In view of (0.6), $\sigma_{p}$ in (4.88) is an isomorphism.

Remark 4.14. - If we replace the condition $\mathbf{J}=J$ by (3.2), then the canonical $\operatorname{map} \sigma_{p}$ in (4.88) is still well defined. From the argument here, we still know that $\sigma_{p}$ is an isomorphism for $p$ large enough.

Now, we relax further our condition. As in Section 4.1, we only suppose that $0 \in \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ is a regular value of $\mu$, then the symplectic reduction $X_{G}$ is a compact symplectic orbifold. Then (4.86)-(4.88) are still well defined.

As explained in Theorem 4.1, Theorem 0.1 still holds. From Theorem 4.7, (4.1) and the proof of Theorem 4.8, we get

Theorem 4.15. - If $f \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, \operatorname{End}(E))$, then $\mathcal{T}_{f, p}=p^{-n_{0} / 2} \sigma_{p} f \sigma_{p}^{*}: \operatorname{Ker} D_{G, p} \rightarrow$ Ker $D_{G, p}$ is a Toeplitz operator with principal symbol $2^{n_{0} / 2} \frac{f^{G}}{\hat{h}^{2}}(x) \in \operatorname{End}\left(E_{G}\right)$.

For $p$ large enough, $\sigma_{p}$ in (4.88) is an isomorphism.

### 4.6. Generalization to non-compact manifolds

In this Section, let $(X, \omega)$ be a symplectic manifold, and $\left(L, \nabla^{L}\right)\left(\operatorname{resp} .\left(E, \nabla^{E}\right)\right)$ be Hermitian line (vector) bundle, with Hermitian connections, on $X$, and the compact connected Lie group $G$ acts on $X$ as in Introduction, especially, $\omega=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} R^{L}$. But we only suppose that $\left(X, g^{T X}\right)$ is a complete Riemannian manifold.

If $G=1$, these kind results were studied in $[\mathbf{2 8}, \S 3.5]$.
By the argument in Section 2.3, if the square of the $\operatorname{spin}^{c}$ Dirac operator $D_{p}^{2}$ has a spectral gap as in (2.15), then we can localize our problem and get a version of Theorems 0.1, 0.2 from Section 2.6. In particular, if the geometric data on $X$ verify the bounded geometry, then $D_{p}^{2}$ verify the spectral gap (2.15).

We explain in more details now.
We suppose
i) The tensors $R^{E}, r^{X}, \operatorname{Tr}\left[R^{T^{(1,0)} X}\right]$ are uniformly bounded with respect on $\left(X, g^{T X}\right)$.
ii) There exists $c>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{-1} R^{L}(\cdot, J \cdot) \geqslant c g^{T X}(\cdot, \cdot) \tag{4.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 4.16. - For the operator $D_{p}=\sqrt{2}\left(\bar{\partial}^{L^{p} \otimes E}+\bar{\partial}^{L^{p} \otimes E, *}\right)$ in the holomorphic case, the above condition i) can be replaced by $[\mathbf{2 8},(3.39)]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{-1}\left(R^{\mathrm{det}}+R^{E}\right) \geqslant-C \Theta \operatorname{Id}_{E}, \quad|\partial \Theta|_{g^{T X}}<C \tag{4.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $R^{\text {det }}$ is the curvature of the holomorphic Hermitian connection on $\operatorname{det}\left(T^{(1,0)} X\right)$, $\Theta=g^{T X}(J \cdot, \cdot)$. For two (1,1)-forms $\Omega$ and $\Omega^{\prime}$ we write $\Omega \geqslant \Omega^{\prime}$ if $\left(\Omega-\Omega^{\prime}\right)(\cdot, J \cdot) \geqslant 0$.

Then by the argument in $[\mathbf{2 7}$, p. 656] (cf. $[\mathbf{2 8}, \S 3.5]$ ), we know that Theorem 2.2 still holds. Thus Theorem 2.5 still holds.

Let $P_{p}^{G}$ be the orthogonal projection from $L^{2}\left(X, E_{p}\right)$ onto $\left(\operatorname{Ker} D_{p}\right)^{G}$, and $P_{p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ $\left(x, x^{\prime} \in X\right)$ be its kernel as in Def. 2.3.

Note that $\operatorname{Ker} D_{p}$ and $\left(\operatorname{Ker} D_{p}\right)^{G}$ need not be finite dimensional.
By the proof of Prop. 2.6, we know that for any compact subset $K \subset X, l, m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_{l, m}(K)>0$ such that for $p \geqslant C_{L} / \nu$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\widetilde{F}\left(\mathcal{L}_{p}\right)\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)-P_{p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\mathscr{C}^{m}(K \times K)} \leqslant C_{l, m}(K) p^{-l} \tag{4.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the proof of Theorem 0.1, we get
Theorem 4.17. - For any compact subset $K \subset X, 0<\varepsilon_{0} \leqslant \delta_{0}, l, m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_{l, m}>0$ (depending on $K, \varepsilon$ ) such that for $p \geqslant 1, x, x^{\prime} \in K, d^{X}\left(G x, x^{\prime}\right) \geqslant \varepsilon_{0}$ or $x, x^{\prime} \in\left(X \backslash X_{2 \varepsilon_{0}}\right) \cap K$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P_{p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\mathscr{C}^{m}} \leqslant C_{l, m} p^{-l} \tag{4.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Section 2.6, we get Theorem 0.2 , but now the norm $\mathscr{C}^{m^{\prime}}\left(X_{G}\right)$ in (0.14) should be replaced by $\mathscr{C}^{m^{\prime}}(K)$ for the compact subset $K \subset X_{G}$.

One interesting case of the above discussion is when $P=\mu^{-1}(0)$ is compact, by the same argument as in Theorems 4.8, 4.12, we can prove a version of Section 4.5. Especially, the map $\sigma_{p}:\left(\operatorname{Ker} D_{p}\right)^{G} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} D_{G, p}$ in (0.27), (4.88) is still well defined. Thus we get the following extension of Theorems 4.8, 4.12, 4.15:

Theorem 4.18. - Under the assumption i), ii), if $P=\mu^{-1}(0)$ is compact and $0 \in \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ is a regular value of $\mu$, then for $f \in \mathscr{C}_{\text {Const }}^{\infty}(X, \operatorname{End}(E))$, the algebra of smooth sections of $X$ which are a constant map (i.e. $C \operatorname{Id}_{E}$ ) outside a compact set, then $\mathcal{T}_{f, p}=p^{-n_{0} / 2} \sigma_{p} f \sigma_{p}^{*}: \operatorname{Ker} D_{G, p} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} D_{G, p}$ is a Toeplitz operator with principal symbol $2^{n_{0} / 2} \frac{f^{G}}{\hat{h}^{2}}(x) \in \operatorname{End}\left(E_{G}\right)$.

In fact, when $X=\mathbb{C}^{n}, G=\mathbb{T}^{n_{0}}$, the torus, $L$ is the trivial line bundle with the metric $|1|_{h^{L}}(Z)=e^{-|z|^{2}}$, the Toeplitz operator type properties was studied by Charles [15].

Another interesting case is a version of Theorem 0.2 for covering manifolds.
Let $\widetilde{X}$ be a para-compact smooth manifold, such that there is a discrete group $\Gamma$ acting freely on $\widetilde{X}$ with a compact quotient $X=\widetilde{X} / \Gamma$.

Let $\pi_{\Gamma}: \widetilde{X} \longrightarrow X$ be the projection. Assume that all the above geometric data on $X$ can be lift on $\widetilde{X}$. We denote by $\widetilde{\mathbf{J}}, g^{T \widetilde{X}}, \widetilde{\omega}, \widetilde{J}, \widetilde{L}, \widetilde{E}$ the pull-back of the corresponding objects in Introduction by the projection $\pi_{\Gamma}: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$, moreover, we assume that the $G$-action and the $\Gamma$-action on them commute.

By the above arguments (cf. [27, Theorems 4.4 and 4.6]), there exists a spectral gap for the square of the spin ${ }^{c}$ Dirac operator $\widetilde{D}_{p}$ on $\widetilde{X}$.

By the finite propagation speed of solutions of hyperbolic equations (2.66), we get an extension of [ $\mathbf{2 8}$, Theorem 3.14] where $G=1$.

Theorem 4.19. - We fix $0<\varepsilon_{0}<\inf _{x \in X}\{$ injectivity radius of $x\}$. For any $k, l \in$ $\mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_{k, l}>0$ such that for $x, x^{\prime} \in \widetilde{X}, p \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\widetilde{P}_{p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)-P_{p}^{G}\left(\pi_{\Gamma}(x), \pi_{\Gamma}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)\right|_{\mathscr{C}_{l}} \leqslant C_{k, l} p^{-k-1}, \quad \text { if } d^{\tilde{X}}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)<\varepsilon_{0}, \\
& \left|\widetilde{P}_{p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\mathscr{C}_{l}} \leqslant C_{k, l} p^{-k-1}, \quad \text { if } d^{\widetilde{X}}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \geqslant \varepsilon_{0} . \tag{4.94}
\end{align*}
$$

Especially, $\widetilde{P}_{\underset{\sim}{p}}^{G}(x, x)$ has the same asymptotic expansion as $P_{p}^{G}\left(\pi_{\Gamma}(x), \pi_{\Gamma}(x)\right)$ in Corollary 0.4 on $\widetilde{X}$.

### 4.7. Relation on the Bergman kernel on $X_{G}$

From (2.62), if the operator $\Phi \mathcal{L}_{p} \Phi^{-1}$ has the form $D_{G, p}^{2}+\Delta_{N}+4 \pi|\mu|^{2} p^{2}-2 \pi n_{0} p$ under the splitting (4.86), then we will find the full asymptotic expansion of the Bergman kernel on $X_{G}$ from $P_{p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$.

In this Section, we suppose that $X$ is compact and $G$ is a torus $\mathbb{T}^{n_{0}}=\mathbb{R}^{n_{0}} / \mathbb{Z}^{n_{0}}$.
Let $\theta: T P \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be a connection form for the $G$-principal bundle $\pi: P=\mu^{-1}(0) \rightarrow$ $X_{G}$ with curvature $\Theta$. Let $T^{H} P=\operatorname{Ker} \theta \subset T P$.

Set $M=P \times \mathfrak{g}^{*}, \mathbf{q}: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ be the natural projection and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{M}=\pi^{*} \omega_{G}+d\langle\mathbf{q}, \theta\rangle=\pi^{*} \omega_{G}+\langle\mathbf{q}, \Theta\rangle+\langle d \mathbf{q}, \theta\rangle . \tag{4.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the normal crossing formula [22, Prop. 40.1], we know there exists a symplectic diffeomorphism such that on a neighborhood $U$ of $P$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\text {sym }}:(X, \omega) \simeq\left(M, \omega^{M}\right) \tag{4.96}
\end{equation*}
$$

and under this identification, the moment map $\mu$ (cf. (2.16)) is defined by $-\mathbf{q}$.
From now on, we use this neighborhood of $P$ and we will choose metrics and connections.

Let $g^{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the metric on $\mathfrak{g}$ induced by the canonical flat metric on $\mathbb{R}^{n_{0}}$, and $\left\{K_{i}\right\}$ be the canonical unitary basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n_{0}}$.

Now we choose $J$ an almost -complex structure on $T X$ compatible with $\omega$ such that on $T^{H} P$ on $U, J$ is induced by an almost-complex structure on $T X_{G}$ which is compatible with $\omega_{G}$, and on $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{*}$, for $K \in \mathfrak{g}, J K \in \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ is defined by $\left(J K, K^{\prime}\right)=$ $\left\langle K, K^{\prime}\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$ for $K^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{g}$.

We also suppose $\Theta$ is $J$-invariant.
Let $g^{T X}$ be a $J$-invariant metric on $T X$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{T X}=\pi^{*} g^{T X_{G}} \oplus g^{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus g^{\mathfrak{g}^{*}} \quad \text { on } U \tag{4.97}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $g^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a constant metric on $T Y=\mathfrak{g}, \nabla^{T Y}$ is the trivial connection on $T Y$. By (1.3), on $U$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{U_{1}^{H}}^{T P}=\nabla_{U_{1}^{H}}^{T X_{G}}+\nabla_{U_{1}^{H}}^{T Y}+S\left(U_{1}^{H}\right) \tag{4.98}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\nabla^{\Lambda\left(N_{G, J}^{*(0,1)}\right)}$ be the trivial connection on the trivial bundle $\Lambda\left(N_{G, J}^{*(0,1)}\right)$ (cf. (4.86)) on $U$, and $\nabla^{\mathrm{Cliff}_{X_{G}}}$ be the Clifford connection on $\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X_{G}\right)$.

By (1.7), (4.98), under the identification (4.86), on $U$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{e_{i}^{H}}^{\mathrm{Cliff}} & =\nabla_{e_{i}^{H}}^{\mathrm{Cliff}} X_{X_{G}} \otimes \mathrm{Id}+\operatorname{Id} \otimes \nabla_{e_{i}^{H}}^{\Lambda\left(N_{G, J}^{*(0,1)}\right)}+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle S\left(e_{i}^{H}\right) e_{j}^{H}, K_{l}\right\rangle c\left(e_{j}^{H}\right) c\left(K_{l}\right)  \tag{4.99}\\
& =\nabla_{e_{i}^{H}}^{\mathrm{Cliff}} X_{G}
\end{align*} \mathrm{Id}+\mathrm{Id} \otimes \nabla_{e_{i}^{H}}^{\Lambda\left(N_{G, J}^{*(0,1)}\right)}+\frac{1}{4}\left\langle\Theta\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right), K_{l}\right\rangle c\left(e_{j}^{H}\right) c\left(K_{l}\right) .
$$

However, the last term does not preserve $\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X_{G}\right)$ and $\Lambda\left(N_{G, J}^{*(0,1)}\right)$.
From (2.62) and (4.99), in general, $\Phi \mathcal{L}_{p} \Phi^{-1}$ will not preserve $\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X_{G}\right)$ and $\Lambda\left(N_{G, J}^{*(0,1)}\right)$ if $\Theta$ is not null.

Now, we suppose that $\Theta=0$ on $X_{G}$.
In this situation, on $B=U / G \subset X_{G} \times \mathfrak{g}^{*}$, by (2.62), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi \mathcal{L}_{p} \Phi^{-1}=D_{G, p}^{2}-\sum_{l}\left(\nabla_{K_{l}}^{\Lambda\left(N_{G, J}^{*(0,1)}\right)}\right)^{2}+4 \pi^{2}|\mathbf{q}|^{2} p^{2}-2 n_{0} \pi p \tag{4.100}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 0.2, Section 3.2 and (3.19), we know that the asymptotic expansion of the Bergman kernel has the following relation for $\left(x, Z^{\perp}\right) \in N_{G, x},\left(x^{\prime}, Z^{\prime \perp}\right) \in N_{G, x^{\prime}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{p}^{G}\left(\left(x, Z^{\perp}\right),\left(x^{\prime}, Z^{\prime \perp}\right)\right)=P_{G, p}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) p^{n_{0} / 2} P_{\mathscr{L} \perp}\left(\sqrt{p} Z^{\perp}, \sqrt{p} Z^{\prime \perp}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(p^{-\infty}\right) \tag{4.101}
\end{equation*}
$$

## CHAPTER 5

## COMPUTING THE COEFFICIENT $\Phi_{1}$

In this Chapter, $(X, \omega, J)$ is a compact Kähler manifold, $g^{T X}$ is a $G$-invariant Riemannian metric on $T X$ which is compatible with $J .\left(E, h^{E}\right),\left(L, h^{L}\right)$ are holomorphic Hermitian vector bundles on $X$, and $\nabla^{E}, \nabla^{L}$ are the holomorphic Hermitian connections on $\left(E, h^{E}\right),\left(L, h^{L}\right)$. Moreover,

$$
\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} R^{L}=\omega .
$$

The action of $G$ is holomorphic and $G$ acts freely on $P=\mu^{-1}(0)$. Thus $\left(X_{G}, \omega_{G}, J_{G}\right)$ is a compact Kähler manifold.

In Sections 5.1-5.4, we suppose that in (0.2), $\mathbf{J}=J$ on a $G$-neighborhood $U$ of $P=\mu^{-1}(0)$.

The main purpose here is to compute the coefficient $\Phi_{1}$ in (0.20).
By (0.19) (cf. also Theorem 2.23),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)=\int_{Z \in N_{G, x_{0}}} P_{x_{0}}^{(2)}(Z, Z) d v_{N_{G}}(Z) . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will first compute explicitly the terms $\mathcal{O}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{2}$ involved in $P^{(2)}$ in (3.32), (3.62), and then compute the integration of $P^{(2)}$ along the normal spaces to $X_{G}$.

Sometimes the computations seem to be long and tedious, involving many subtle relations between metrics, connections and curvatures near $X_{G}$, but fortunately the final result on $\Phi_{1}$ is still of a simple form, as expected.

Throughout the computations below, a key idea is to rewrite all operators by using the creation and annihilation operators $b_{i}, b_{i}^{+}, b_{j}^{\perp}, b_{j}^{\perp+}$, then under the help of (3.9) and Theorem 3.1, we can do the operations and obtain the crucial Lemmas 5.9, 5.11.

To get the final simple formula ( 0.25 ), we still need to prove a highly non-trivial identity (5.131).

In the usual case, i.e. $G=\{1\}$, Ma-Marinescu have used the similar formula (3.62) to compute the coefficients in varies generalities. In the Kähler case (cf. [31, §4.1.8]),
the computation is quite easy as $\mathcal{O}_{1}=0$. In the symplectic case $[\mathbf{2 8}, \S 2], \mathcal{O}_{1} \neq 0$, but the contribution from $\mathcal{O}_{1}$ is zero at $(0,0)$ and in the $\operatorname{spin}^{c}$ Dirac operator case $[\mathbf{2 9}$, $\S 2], \mathcal{O}_{1} \neq 0$, and the contribution from $\mathcal{O}_{1}$ is non zero at $(0,0)$.

This Chapter is organized as follows. In Section 5.1, we explain various relations of the curvature of the fibration $P \rightarrow X_{G}$ and the second fundamental form of $P$. In Section 5.2, we obtain the explicit formulas for the operators $\mathcal{O}_{1}, \mathcal{O}_{2}$. In Section 5.3, we apply the formulas in Section 5.2 and (5.1) to (3.62), and we get a formula for the coefficient $\Phi_{1}$. In Section 5.4, we compute finally $\Phi_{1}$, thus proving Theorem 0.6. In Section 5.5 , we explain how to reduce the general case to the case $\mathbf{J}=J$ which has been worked out in Sections 5.1-5.4.

In the whole Chapter, if there is no other specific notification, when we meet the operation $\left|\left.\right|^{2}\right.$, we will first do this operation, then take the sum of the indices.

### 5.1. The second fundamental form of $P$

We use the notations in Sections 2.2, 2.3. Then the normal bundle $N_{G}$ of $X_{G}$ in $U / G$ is $(J T Y)_{G}$.

Let $\iota: X_{G} \rightarrow U / G$ be the natural embedding.
We will apply the notation in Section 1.1 to $B=U / G$.
Let $\nabla^{T X_{G}}, \nabla^{N_{G}}$ be connections on $T X_{G}, N_{G}$ induced by projections of the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla^{T B}$ on $T B$. Then $\nabla^{T X_{G}}$ is the Levi-Civita connection on $\left(T X_{G}, g^{T X_{G}}\right)$.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{0} \nabla^{T B}=\nabla^{T X_{G}} \oplus \nabla^{N_{G}} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the connection on $T B$ on $X_{G}$ induced by $\nabla^{T X_{G}}, \nabla^{N_{G}}$ with curvature ${ }^{0} R^{T B}$.
Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\left.\nabla^{T B}\right|_{X_{G}}-{ }^{0} \nabla^{T B} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $A$ is a 1-form on $X_{G}$ taking values in the skew-adjoint endomorphisms of $\left.(T B)\right|_{X_{G}}$ which exchange $T X_{G}$ and $N_{G}$.

We recall the following properties of $R^{T B}$, the curvature of $\nabla^{T B}$ : for $U, V, W, W_{2} \in$ $T B$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle R^{T B}(U, V) W, W_{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle R^{T B}\left(W, W_{2}\right) U, V\right\rangle \\
& R^{T B}(U, V) W+R^{T B}(V, W) U+R^{T B}(W, U) V=0 \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

On $X_{G}$, let $\left\{e_{i}^{0}\right\}$ be an orthonormal frame of $T X_{G}$, let $\left\{e_{j}^{\perp}\right\}$ be an orthonormal frame of $N_{G}$, then $\left\{e_{i}\right\}=\left\{e_{i}^{0}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right\}$ is an orthonormal frame of $T B$.

The following result gives detail informations on the torsion $T$ of the fibration, as well as the second fundamental form $A$.

Theorem 5.1. - On $P$, the restriction of the tensor $\langle J T(\cdot, J \cdot), \cdot\rangle$ on $\left(N_{G}\right)^{\otimes 3}$ is symmetric, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{0}\right)^{H}=\frac{1}{2} J T\left(e_{i}^{0, H}, J e_{j}^{0, H}\right)  \tag{5.5a}\\
& T\left(e_{i}^{0, H}, e_{j}^{0, H}\right)=T\left(\left(J_{G} e_{i}^{0}\right)^{H},\left(J_{G} e_{j}^{0}\right)^{H}\right)  \tag{5.5b}\\
& T\left(e_{i}^{0, H}, e_{j}^{\perp, H}\right)=2 T\left(\left(J_{G} e_{i}^{0}\right)^{H}, J e_{j}^{\perp, H}\right),  \tag{5.5c}\\
& \left\langle T\left(e_{i}^{0, H}, e_{j}^{\perp, H}\right), J e_{k}^{\perp, H}\right\rangle=\left\langle T\left(e_{i}^{0, H}, e_{k}^{\perp, H}\right), J e_{j}^{\perp, H}\right\rangle  \tag{5.5~d}\\
& \sum_{k}\left\langle T\left(e_{k}^{\perp, H}, e_{j}^{\perp, H}\right), J e_{k}^{\perp, H}\right\rangle=0 . \tag{5.5e}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. - Observe first that we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla^{T X} J=0  \tag{5.6a}\\
& \left(J_{G} e_{i}^{0}\right)^{H}=J e_{i}^{0, H} \quad \text { on } \quad P \tag{5.6b}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $Z$ be a smooth section of $T Y$, then by (3.1), JZ $\mathcal{\text { s }}$, $T Y \subset T^{H} X$ on $P$, by (1.3), (1.7), (3.1) and (5.6a), on $P$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle J\left(A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{0}\right)^{H}, Z\right\rangle=-\left\langle\nabla_{e_{i}^{0, H}}^{T^{H} X} e_{j}^{0, H}, J Z\right\rangle=-\left\langle\nabla_{e_{i}^{0, H}}^{T X} e_{j}^{0, H}, J Z\right\rangle  \tag{5.7}\\
& \quad=\left\langle\nabla_{e_{i}^{0, H}}^{T X}\left(J e_{j}^{0, H}\right), Z\right\rangle=\left\langle S\left(e_{i}^{0, H}\right) J e_{j}^{0, H}, Z\right\rangle=-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle T\left(e_{i}^{0, H}, J e_{j}^{0, H}\right), Z\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus we get (5.5a), as $A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{0} \in N_{G}=(J T Y)_{G}$ on $X_{G}$.
Note that $\left[Z, e_{i}^{H}\right] \in T Y$, by (1.3), (1.7) and (5.6a),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle T\left(e_{i}^{H}, e_{j}^{H}\right), Z\right\rangle=2\left\langle\nabla_{e_{i}^{H}}^{T X} Z, e_{j}^{H}\right\rangle=2\left\langle\nabla_{Z}^{T X} e_{i}^{H}, e_{j}^{H}\right\rangle=2\left\langle\nabla_{Z}^{T X}\left(J e_{i}^{H}\right), J e_{j}^{H}\right\rangle \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.6b) and (5.8), we get (5.5b).
From (1.3), (1.7), (5.8) and $J e_{j}^{\perp, H}, J e_{k}^{\perp, H} \in T Y$ on $P$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle T\left(e_{i}^{0, H}, e_{j}^{\perp, H}\right), Z\right\rangle=2\left\langle S(Z)\left(J e_{i}^{0, H}\right), J e_{j}^{\perp, H}\right\rangle=2\left\langle T\left(J e_{i}^{0, H}, J e_{j}^{\perp, H}\right), Z\right\rangle \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we get (5.5c). By (1.6), (5.9), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle T\left(e_{i}^{0, H}, e_{j}^{\perp, H}\right), J e_{k}^{\perp, H}\right\rangle=2\left\langle T\left(J e_{i}^{0, H}, J e_{j}^{\perp, H}\right), J e_{k}^{\perp, H}\right\rangle=\left\langle T\left(e_{i}^{0, H}, e_{k}^{\perp, H}\right), J e_{j}^{\perp, H}\right\rangle \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we get (5.5d). By (1.3), (1.7), (5.6a) and $J e_{j}^{\perp, H} \in T Y$ on $P$,
$\left\langle T\left(e_{i}^{\perp, H}, J e_{j}^{\perp, H}\right), J e_{k}^{\perp, H}\right\rangle=\left\langle\nabla_{J e_{k}^{\perp, H}}^{T X} e_{i}^{\perp, H}, J e_{j}^{\perp, H}\right\rangle$ $=-\left\langle\nabla_{J e_{k}^{\perp, H}}^{T X}\left(J e_{i}^{\perp, H}\right), e_{j}^{\perp, H}\right\rangle=\left\langle\nabla_{J e_{k}^{\perp, H}}^{T X} e_{j}^{\perp, H}, J e_{i}^{\perp, H}\right\rangle=\left\langle T\left(e_{j}^{\perp, H}, J e_{i}^{\perp, H}\right), J e_{k}^{\perp, H}\right\rangle$.

By (1.7) and (5.11), $\langle J T(\cdot, J \cdot), \cdot\rangle$ is symmetric on the horizontal lift of $N_{G}^{\otimes 3}$.

Note that $\left\{J e_{k}^{\perp, H}\right\}$ is a $G$-invariant orthonormal frame of $T Y$ on $P$, by (5.8),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle T\left(e_{i}^{\perp, H}, e_{j}^{\perp, H}\right), J e_{k}^{\perp, H}\right\rangle=2\left\langle\nabla_{J e_{k}^{\perp, H}}^{T Y}\left(J e_{i}^{\perp, H}\right), J e_{j}^{\perp, H}\right\rangle \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (1.9) and (5.12), we get (5.5e). The proof of Theorem 5.1 is complete.
Remark 5.2. - From (1.6) and (5.5b), $\left.\Theta\right|_{X_{G}}$ is a (1,1)-form on $X_{G}$. Especially, for any complex representation $F$ of $G, P \times_{G} F$ is a holomorphic vector bundle on $X_{G}$. Moreover, by (5.5a), for $U \in T X_{G}, V \in N_{G}$, we have at $x_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(U) V=\left\langle A(U) V, e_{j}^{0}\right\rangle e_{j}^{0}=-\left\langle V, A(U) e_{j}^{0}\right\rangle e_{j}^{0}=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle T\left(U, J e_{j}^{0}\right), J V\right\rangle e_{j}^{0} \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $x_{0} \in X_{G}$, if $\left\{e_{j}^{\perp}\right\}$ is a fixed orthonormal basis of $N_{G, x_{0}}$ as above, then for $U \in$ $T_{x_{0}} X_{G}$, we will denote by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{T}_{i j k}=\left\langle J T\left(e_{i}^{\perp}, J e_{j}^{\perp}\right), e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{i j k}=\left\langle J T\left(e_{i}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right), e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
& \mathcal{T}_{j k}(U)=\left\langle J T\left(U, e_{j}^{\perp}\right), e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle \tag{5.14}
\end{align*}
$$

By Theorem 5.1, $\mathcal{T}_{i j k}$ is symmetric on $i, j, k$ and $\mathcal{T}_{j k} \in T_{x_{0}}^{*} X_{G}$ is symmetric on $j, k$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{i j k}$ is anti-symmetric on $i, j$. Moreover, as functions along the fiber $G x_{0}, \mathcal{T}_{i j k}, \mathcal{T}_{j k}$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{i j k}$ are constant.

Remark 5.3. - From Remark 1.2 and (5.12), we know that $\langle J T(\cdot, \cdot), \cdot\rangle$ is antisymmetric on $\left(N_{G}\right)^{\otimes 3}$ if $g^{T Y}$ is induced by a family of Ad-invariant metric on $\mathfrak{g}$. If $G$ is abelian, then by $(1.12),(5.12), T(\cdot, \cdot)=0$ on $\left(N_{G}\right)^{\otimes 2}$, thus $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{i j k}=0$.

### 5.2. The operators $\mathcal{O}_{1}, \mathcal{O}_{2}$ in (2.102)

We use the notations in Sections 2.6, 3.1, and all tensors will be evaluated at $x_{0} \in X_{G}$.

Recall that $(X, \omega)$ is Kähler and $\mathbf{J}=J$ on a $G$-neighborhood $U$ of $P=\mu^{-1}(0)$, then in (3.5)

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}=a_{j}^{\perp}=2 \pi \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, on $U$, the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla^{T X}$ preserves $T^{(1,0)} X$ and $T^{(0,1)} X$, and $\nabla^{T^{(1,0)} X}=P^{T^{(1,0)} X} \nabla^{T X} P^{T^{(1,0)} X}$ is the holomorphic Hermitian connection on $T^{(1,0)} X$, while the Clifford connection $\nabla^{\text {Cliff }}$ on $\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right)$ is $\nabla^{\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right)}$, the natural connection induced by $\nabla^{T^{(1,0)} X}$.

Let $\bar{\partial}^{L^{p} \otimes E, *}$ be the canonical formal adjoint of the Dolbeault operator $\bar{\partial}^{L^{p} \otimes E}$ on $\Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(U, L^{p} \otimes E\right)$. Then the operator $D_{p}$ in (2.14) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{p}=\sqrt{2}\left(\bar{\partial}^{L^{p} \otimes E}+\bar{\partial}^{L^{p} \otimes E, *}\right) \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $D_{p}^{2}$ preserves the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading of $\Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(U, L^{p} \otimes E\right)$.

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{p, i}^{2}=\left.D_{p}^{2}\right|_{\Omega^{0, i}\left(U, L^{p} \otimes E\right)} \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Delta^{L^{p} \otimes E}$ be the Laplacian on $L^{p} \otimes E$ associated to $\nabla^{L^{p} \otimes E}$. Then by (2.51) (cf. also $[\mathbf{3 1},(1.4 .31)]$ ), as $\mathbf{J}=J$ on $U$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{p, 0}^{2}=\Delta^{L^{p} \otimes E}-R_{\tau}^{E}-2 \pi n p \quad \text { on } U \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\nabla^{\text {Cliff }}$ preserves the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading of $\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X\right)$, the operator $\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}$ in (2.100) also preserves the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading on $\Lambda\left(T^{*(0,1)} X_{0}\right)$. Moreover, $\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}$ is invertible on $\oplus_{q=1}^{n} \Omega^{0, q}\left(X_{0}, L_{0}^{p} \otimes E_{0}\right)$ for $t$ small enough (cf. Theorem 2.2 or [31, Theorem 1.5.5]).

From Section 3.2, for $P^{(r)}$ in (0.12),

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{(r)}=I_{\mathbb{C} \otimes E_{G}} P^{(r)} I_{\mathbb{C} \otimes E_{G}} \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we only need to do the computation for $D_{p, 0}^{2}$.
In what follows, we compute everything on $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(U, L^{p} \otimes E\right)$.
Take $x_{0} \in X_{G}$.
If $Z \in T_{x_{0}} B, Z=Z^{0}+Z^{\perp}, Z^{0} \in T_{x_{0}} X_{G}, Z^{\perp} \in N_{G, x_{0}},\left|Z^{0}\right|,\left|Z^{\perp}\right| \leqslant \varepsilon$, as in Section 2.6, we identify $Z$ with $\exp _{\exp _{x_{0}}^{B}\left(Z^{0}\right)}^{X_{G}} \tau_{Z^{0}}\left(Z^{\perp}\right)$. This identification is a diffeomorphism from $B_{x_{0}}^{T X_{G}}(0, \varepsilon) \times B_{x_{0}}^{N_{G}}(0, \varepsilon)$ into an open neighborhood $\mathscr{U}\left(x_{0}\right)$ of $x_{0}$ in $B$, we denote it by $\Psi$. Then $\mathscr{U}\left(x_{0}\right) \cap X_{G}=B_{x_{0}}^{T X_{G}}(0, \varepsilon) \times\{0\}$.

In what follows, we use indifferently the notation $B_{x_{0}}^{T X_{G}}(0, \varepsilon) \times B_{x_{0}}^{N_{G}}(0, \varepsilon)$ or $\mathscr{U}\left(x_{0}\right)$, $x_{0}$ or $0, \cdots$.

From now on, we replace $U / G$ by $\mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}} \simeq T_{x_{0}} B$ as in Section 2.6, and we use the notation therein. Especially,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{t}=t S_{t}^{-1} \kappa^{1 / 2} \nabla^{\left(L^{p} \otimes E\right)_{B}} \kappa^{-1 / 2} S_{t} \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathcal{O}_{r}$ in (2.102) takes value in $\operatorname{End}\left(E_{B}\right)$.
Let $\left\{e_{i}^{0}\right\},\left\{e_{j}^{\perp}\right\}$ be orthonormal basis of $T_{x_{0}} X_{G}, N_{G, x_{0}}$ respectively. We will also denote $\Psi_{*}\left(e_{i}^{0}\right), \Psi_{*}\left(e_{j}^{\perp}\right)$ by $e_{i}^{0}, e_{j}^{\perp}$.

Let $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ denote the basis $\left\{e_{i}^{0}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right\}$. Thus in our coordinates,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_{i}^{0}}=e_{i}^{0}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial Z_{j}^{\perp}}=e_{j}^{\perp} . \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $\left(g^{i j}(Z)\right)$ the inverse of the matrix $\left(g_{i j}(Z)\right)=\left(g_{i j}^{T B}(Z)\right)($ cf. (2.106) ).
Recall that $\Gamma_{i j}^{l}$ is the connection form of $\nabla^{T B}$, with respect to the frame $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$, defined in (2.106). Also recall that $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}^{0}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{\perp}$ are defined in (2.72).

As in (1.14), the moment map $\mu$ induces a $G$-invariant $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}$ section $\widetilde{\mu}$ of $T Y$ on $U$.
Note also that by $(2.50), R_{\tau}^{E} \in \operatorname{End}(E)$ defines a section of $\operatorname{End}\left(E_{B}\right)$ on $B=U / G$. Recall that $h(x)=\sqrt{\operatorname{vol}(G x)}$ is defined in (0.10).

Set

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathscr{L}_{3}^{t}(Z) & =-g^{i j}(t Z)\left(\nabla_{t, e_{i}} \nabla_{t, e_{j}}-t \Gamma_{i j}^{k}(t Z) \nabla_{t, e_{k}}\right)  \tag{5.22}\\
& +t^{2}\left(\frac{1}{h} g^{i j}\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} \nabla_{e_{j}} h-\Gamma_{i j}^{k} \nabla_{e_{k}} h\right)\right)(t Z)-t^{2} R_{\tau}^{E}(t Z)-2 \pi n .
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.62), (2.100) and (5.22), we can reformulate (2.101), (2.109), in using the notations in (3.10), as follows,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{0, \cdot}=\nabla \cdot+\frac{1}{2} R_{x_{0}}^{L_{B}}(\mathcal{R}, \cdot)=\nabla \cdot-\pi \sqrt{-1}\left\langle J_{x_{0}} Z^{0}, \cdot\right\rangle_{x_{0}} \\
& \mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}=\sum_{j=1}^{n-n_{0}} b_{j} b_{j}^{+}+\sum_{j=1}^{n_{0}} b_{j}^{\perp} b_{j}^{\perp+}=-\sum_{j}\left(\nabla_{0, e_{j}}\right)^{2}+4 \pi^{2}\left|Z^{\perp}\right|^{2}-2 \pi n  \tag{5.23}\\
& \mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}(Z)=\mathscr{L}_{3}^{t}(Z)+4 \pi^{2}\left|\frac{1}{t} \widetilde{\mu}\right|_{g^{T Y}}^{2}(t Z)-\left\langle 4 \pi \sqrt{-1} \widetilde{\mu}+t^{2} \widetilde{\mu}^{E}, \widetilde{\mu}^{E}\right\rangle_{g^{T Y}}(t Z) .
\end{align*}
$$

If there is no other specification, we will evaluate our tensors at $x_{0}$, and most of time, we will omit the subscript $x_{0}$.

Set $h_{0}=h_{x_{0}}:=h\left(x_{0}\right)$, and for $U \in T_{x_{0}} B$, set

$$
\begin{align*}
B(Z, U)= & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{|\alpha|=2}\left(\partial^{\alpha} R^{L_{B}}\right)_{x_{0}} \frac{Z^{\alpha}}{\alpha!}(\mathcal{R}, U) \\
I_{1}= & -B\left(Z, e_{i}\right) \nabla_{0, e_{i}}-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{e_{i}}\left(B\left(Z, e_{i}\right)\right) \\
I_{2}= & \left(\left\langle\frac{1}{3} R^{T X_{G}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}+\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{T X_{G}}\left(A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right), e_{j}^{0}\right\rangle\right. \\
+ & \left\langle e_{i}^{0}, \nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{T X_{G}}\left(A\left(e_{j}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)\right\rangle-3\left\langle A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, A\left(e_{j}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle  \tag{5.24}\\
& \left.\quad+\left\langle R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{0}\right\rangle\right) \nabla_{0, e_{i}^{0}} \nabla_{0, e_{j}^{0}} \\
+ & \left(\left\langle R^{N_{G}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{j}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{\perp}\right\rangle+\frac{4}{3}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{\perp}\right\rangle\right) \nabla_{0, e_{i}^{\perp}} \nabla_{0, e_{j}^{0}} \\
& +\frac{1}{3}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{\perp}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right\rangle \nabla_{0, e_{i}^{\perp}} \nabla_{0, e_{j}^{\perp}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Recall that the operator $\mathscr{L}$ has been defined in (3.10).

Set also

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{i i}(\mathcal{R})= & \frac{2}{3} R_{x_{0}}^{T X_{G}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}+\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{T B}\left(A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}\right)+R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0} \\
& +A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}+\nabla_{e_{i}^{0}}^{T X_{G}}\left(A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)-A\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right) A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0} \\
K_{2}(\mathcal{R})= & \frac{1}{3}\left\langle R^{T X_{G}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{i}^{0}\right\rangle+\left\langle R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{0}\right\rangle  \tag{5.25}\\
& +\frac{1}{3}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{\perp}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{\perp}\right\rangle+2\left(\sum_{i}\left\langle A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle\right)^{2} \\
& -\left|A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right|^{2}+2\left\langle\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{T X_{G}}\left(A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right), e_{i}^{0}\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 5.4. - There exist second order differential operators $\mathcal{O}_{r}^{\prime}$ as in Theorem 2.11 such that for $|t| \leqslant 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{L}_{3}^{t}=\mathscr{L}_{3}^{0}+\sum_{r=1}^{m} t^{r} \mathcal{O}_{r}^{\prime}+\mathscr{O}\left(t^{m+1}\right) \tag{5.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathscr{L}_{3}^{0}= & \mathscr{L}-\sum_{j=1}^{n_{0}}\left(\nabla_{e_{j}^{\perp}}\right)^{2}-2 \pi n_{0}=\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}-4 \pi^{2}\left|Z^{\perp}\right|^{2}  \tag{5.27}\\
\mathcal{O}_{1}^{\prime}= & -\frac{2}{3}\left(\partial_{j} R^{L_{B}}\right)_{x_{0}}\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{i}\right) Z_{j} \nabla_{0, e_{i}}-\frac{1}{3}\left(\partial_{i} R^{L_{B}}\right)_{x_{0}}\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{i}\right) \\
& -2\left\langle A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle \nabla_{0, e_{i}^{0}} \nabla_{0, e_{j}^{0}}, \\
\mathcal{O}_{2}^{\prime}= & I_{1}+I_{2}+\left[\frac{1}{4} K_{2}(\mathcal{R})-\frac{3}{8}\left(\sum_{l}\left\langle A\left(e_{l}^{0}\right) e_{l}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle\right)^{2}, \mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right] \\
& -2\left\langle A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle\left(\frac{2}{3}\left(\partial_{k} R^{L_{B}}\right)_{x_{0}}\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{j}^{0}\right) Z_{k} \nabla_{0, e_{i}^{0}}+\frac{1}{3}\left(\partial_{j}^{0} R^{L_{B}}\right)_{x_{0}}\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{i}^{0}\right)\right) \\
& +\left\langle\Gamma_{i i}(\mathcal{R}), e_{j}\right\rangle \nabla_{0, e_{j}}-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle A\left(e_{l}^{0}\right) e_{l}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle \nabla_{A\left(e_{k}^{0}\right) e_{k}^{0}}+2\left\langle A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle \nabla_{A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{0}} \\
& +\frac{2}{3}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{\perp}\right) e_{i}^{\perp}, e_{j}\right\rangle \nabla_{0, e_{j}}-R_{x_{0}}^{E_{B}}\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{i}\right) \nabla_{0, e_{i}}-R_{\tau, x_{0}}^{E_{B}} \\
& -\frac{1}{9} \sum_{i}\left[\sum_{j}\left(\partial_{j} R^{L_{B}}\right)_{x_{0}}\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{i}\right) Z_{j}\right]^{2}+\frac{1}{h_{0}}\left(\nabla_{e_{j}} \nabla_{e_{j}} h-\nabla_{A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}} h\right)_{x_{0}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. - By (2.103) and (5.20),

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{t, e_{i}}=\kappa^{1 / 2} & (t Z)\left(\nabla_{e_{i}}+\left(\frac{1}{2} R_{x_{0}}^{L_{B}}+\frac{t}{3}\left(\partial_{k} R^{L_{B}}\right)_{x_{0}} Z_{k}\right.\right.  \tag{5.28}\\
& \left.\left.+\frac{t^{2}}{4} \sum_{|\alpha|=2}\left(\partial^{\alpha} R^{L_{B}}\right)_{x_{0}} \frac{Z^{\alpha}}{\alpha!}+\frac{t^{2}}{2} R_{x_{0}}^{E_{B}}\right)\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{i}\right)+\mathscr{O}\left(t^{3}\right)\right) \kappa^{-1 / 2}(t Z)
\end{align*}
$$

To get (5.27), we could use (2.92)-(2.96), while here we will get it directly from the local computation.

By [1, Prop. 1.28] (cf. [28, (1.31)]) and (2.103),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle e_{i}^{0}, e_{j}^{0}\right\rangle_{Z^{0}}=\delta_{i j}+\frac{1}{3}\left\langle R_{x_{0}}^{T X_{G}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{j}^{0}\right\rangle_{x_{0}}+\mathscr{O}\left(\left|Z^{0}\right|^{3}\right) \\
& \left(\nabla_{e_{k}^{G}}^{N_{G}} \nabla_{e_{i}^{0}}^{N_{G}} e_{j}^{\perp}\right)_{x_{0}}=\frac{1}{2} R_{x_{0}}^{N_{G}}\left(e_{k}^{0}, e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{\perp} \tag{5.29}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, for $W, V \in N_{G, x_{0}}, \gamma_{s}(t)=\left(Z^{0}, t(W+s V)\right)$ is a family of geodesics from $\left(Z^{0}, 0\right)$ in $B$. Set $Y=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \gamma_{s}(t), X\left(\gamma_{s}(t)\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \gamma_{s}(t)=t V$.

Since $\nabla_{Y}^{T B} Y=0, \nabla_{Y}^{T B} X-\nabla_{X}^{T B} Y=[Y, X]=\gamma_{*}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right]=0$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\nabla_{X}^{T B} \nabla_{Y}^{T B} Y=\nabla_{Y}^{T B} \nabla_{Y}^{T B} X-R^{T B}(Y, X) Y \tag{5.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take $V=e_{i}^{\perp}$, we get at $s=t=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{W}^{T B} \nabla_{W}^{T B} e_{i}^{\perp}\right)_{Z^{0}}=\frac{1}{3} \nabla_{Y}^{T B} \nabla_{Y}^{T B} \nabla_{Y}^{T B} X=\frac{1}{3} R^{T B}\left(W, e_{i}^{\perp}\right) W \tag{5.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under our coordinates, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\nabla_{e_{j}^{\perp}}^{T B} e_{i}^{\perp}\right)_{x_{0}}=\left(\nabla_{e_{i}^{0}}^{T X} e_{j}^{0}\right)_{x_{0}}=\left(\nabla_{e_{j}^{0}}^{N_{G}} e_{i}^{\perp}\right)_{x_{0}}=0, \quad\left(\nabla_{e_{i}^{0}}^{T B} e_{j}^{0}\right)_{x_{0}}=A_{x_{0}}\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{0}, \\
& \left(\nabla_{e_{j}^{\perp}}^{T B} e_{i}^{0}\right)_{x_{0}}=\left(\nabla_{e_{i}^{0}}^{T B} e_{j}^{\perp}\right)_{x_{0}}=A_{x_{0}}\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{\perp}, \\
& \left(\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T B} e_{i}^{\perp}\right)_{Z}=0,  \tag{5.32}\\
& \left(\nabla_{e_{j}^{\perp}}^{T B} e_{i}^{\perp}\right)_{Z^{0}}=\left(\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{N_{G}} e_{i}^{\perp}\right)_{Z^{0}}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, by (5.4), (5.29), (5.31) and (5.32) (comparing with $[\mathbf{2 8},(1.31)]$ ), as $\left[e_{i}, e_{j}\right]=$ 0 by (5.21), we have at $x_{0}$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp}}^{T B} \nabla_{e_{j}^{\perp}}^{T B} e_{i}^{\perp}=\frac{1}{3} R^{T B}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right) e_{i}^{\perp}+\frac{1}{3} R^{T B}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{\perp}\right) e_{j}^{\perp}, \\
& \nabla_{e_{k}^{0}}^{T B} \nabla_{e_{j}^{\frac{1}{j}}}^{T B} e_{i}^{\perp}=0, \\
& \nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp}}^{T B} \nabla_{e_{j}^{\perp}}^{T B} e_{i}^{0}=\nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp}}^{T B} \nabla_{e_{i}^{0}}^{T B} e_{j}^{\perp}=R^{T B}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{\perp}, \\
& \nabla_{e_{k}^{0}}^{T B} \nabla_{e_{j}^{\perp}}^{T B} e_{i}^{0}=\nabla_{e_{k}^{0}}^{T B} \nabla_{e_{i}^{0}}^{T B} e_{j}^{\perp} \\
& =\nabla_{e_{k}^{0}}^{N_{G}} \nabla_{e_{i}^{0}}^{N_{G}} e_{j}^{\perp}+A\left(e_{k}^{0}\right) A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{\perp}+\nabla_{e_{k}^{0}}^{T X_{G}}\left(A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{\perp}\right)  \tag{5.33}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} R^{N_{G}}\left(e_{k}^{0}, e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{\perp}+A\left(e_{k}^{0}\right) A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{\perp}+\nabla_{e_{k}^{0}}^{T X_{G}}\left(A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{\perp}\right), \\
& \nabla_{e_{j}^{\perp}}^{T B} \nabla_{e_{k}^{0}}^{T B} e_{i}^{0}=R^{T B}\left(e_{j}^{\perp}, e_{k}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}+\nabla_{e_{k}^{0}}^{T B} \nabla_{e_{j}^{\perp}}^{T B} e_{i}^{0}, \\
& \nabla_{e_{k}^{0}}^{T B} \nabla_{e_{j}^{0}}^{T B} e_{i}^{0}=\nabla_{e_{k}^{0}}^{T X_{G}} \nabla_{e_{j}^{0}}^{T X_{G}} e_{i}^{0}+\nabla_{e_{k}^{0}}^{T B}\left(A\left(e_{j}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{3} R^{T X_{G}}\left(e_{k}^{0}, e_{j}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}+\frac{1}{3} R^{T X_{G}}\left(e_{k}^{0}, e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{0}+\nabla_{e_{k}^{0}}^{T B}\left(A\left(e_{j}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

In the following, for a tensor $\psi$ and the covariant derivative $\nabla^{B}$ acting on $\psi$ induced by $\nabla^{T B}$, we denote by

$$
\left(\nabla^{B} \nabla^{B} \psi\right)_{\left(c_{j} e_{j}, c_{k}^{\prime} e_{k}\right)}=c_{j} c_{k}^{\prime}\left(\nabla_{e_{j}}^{B} \nabla_{e_{k}}^{B} \psi\right)_{x_{0}}
$$

From (5.33), we get at $x_{0}$ the following formula which will be used in (5.38), (5.39), (5.56), (5.57) and (6.26),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\nabla^{T B} \nabla^{T B} e_{i}^{0}\right)_{\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{0}\right)}=\frac{1}{3} R^{T X_{G}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}+\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{T B}\left(A\left(e_{j}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}\right) Z_{j}^{0},  \tag{5.34}\\
& \left(\nabla^{T B} \nabla^{T B} e_{i}^{0}\right)_{\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)}=\frac{1}{2} R^{N_{G}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}+A\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right) A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}+\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{T X_{G}}\left(A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right), \\
& \left(\nabla^{T B} \nabla^{T B} e_{i}^{0}\right)_{\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)}=R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \\
& \left(\nabla^{T B} \nabla^{T B} e_{j}^{\perp}\right)_{\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{0}\right)}=A\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right) A\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{\perp}+\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{T X_{G}}\left(A\left(e_{k}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{\perp}\right) Z_{k}^{0}, \\
& \left(\nabla^{T B} \nabla^{T B} e_{j}^{\perp}\right)_{\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)}=0, \\
& \left(\nabla^{T B} \nabla^{T B} e_{j}^{\perp}\right)_{\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)}=\frac{1}{3} R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \\
& \left(\nabla^{T B} \nabla^{T B} e_{j}\right)_{\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \mathcal{R}^{0}\right)}=\left(\nabla^{T B} \nabla^{T B} e_{j}\right)_{\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)}+R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) e_{j} .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that by $(5.32), \nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T B}\left(A_{x_{0}}\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}\right)=A\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right) A_{x_{0}}\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}$. From (5.32), (5.33), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\nabla_{e_{i}^{\perp}}^{T B} e_{i}^{\perp}\right)_{Z}= & \frac{2}{3} R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{\perp}\right) e_{i}^{\perp}+\mathscr{O}\left(|Z|^{2}\right) \\
\left(\nabla_{e_{i}^{0}}^{T B} e_{i}^{0}\right)_{Z}= & A_{x_{0}}\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}+\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T B}\left(\nabla_{e_{i}^{0}}^{T B} e_{i}^{0}-A_{x_{0}}\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}\right)+\mathscr{O}\left(|Z|^{2}\right) \\
= & A_{x_{0}}\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}-\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T B}\left(A_{x_{0}}\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}\right)+\frac{2}{3} R^{T X_{G}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}  \tag{5.35}\\
& +\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{T B}\left(A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}\right)+A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp} \\
& +\nabla_{e_{i}^{0}}^{T X_{G}}\left(A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)+R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}+\mathscr{O}\left(|Z|^{2}\right) \\
= & A_{x_{0}}\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}+\Gamma_{i i}(\mathcal{R})+\mathscr{O}\left(|Z|^{2}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

Thus by (5.32), (5.33) and (5.34), at $x_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}} \nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{\perp}}\left\langle e_{j}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{0}\right\rangle & =\left\langle\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{T B} e_{j}^{\perp}, \nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{\perp}}^{T B} e_{i}^{0}\right\rangle+\left\langle e_{j}^{\perp}, \nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{T B} \nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{\perp}}^{T B} e_{i}^{0}\right\rangle  \tag{5.36}\\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left\langle R^{N_{G}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, we have the following expansion for $\left\langle e_{j}, e_{i}\right\rangle_{Z}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle e_{i}, e_{j}\right\rangle_{Z}=\left\langle e_{i}, e_{j}\right\rangle_{Z^{0}}+\left(\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{\perp}}\left\langle e_{i}, e_{j}\right\rangle\right)_{Z^{0}}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla \nabla\left\langle e_{i}, e_{j}\right\rangle\right)_{\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right), x_{0}}+\mathscr{O}\left(|Z|^{3}\right)  \tag{5.37}\\
& =\left\langle e_{i}, e_{j}\right\rangle_{Z^{0}}+\left(\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{\perp}}\left\langle e_{i}, e_{j}\right\rangle\right)_{x_{0}}+\left(\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}} \nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{\perp}}\left\langle e_{i}, e_{j}\right\rangle\right)_{x_{0}}+\left\langle\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{\perp}}^{T B} e_{i}, \nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{\perp}}^{T B} e_{j}\right\rangle_{x_{0}} \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\left(\nabla^{T B} \nabla^{T B} e_{i}\right)_{\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)}, e_{j}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle e_{i},\left(\nabla^{T B} \nabla^{T B} e_{j}\right)_{\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)}\right\rangle+\mathscr{O}\left(|Z|^{3}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus by (5.4), (5.29), (5.32), (5.34) and (5.36)-(5.37),

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle e_{i}^{0}, e_{j}^{0}\right\rangle_{Z}= & \delta_{i j}-2\left\langle A_{x_{0}}\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{3}\left\langle R^{T X_{G}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{j}^{0}\right\rangle  \tag{5.38}\\
+ & +\left\langle\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{T X_{G}}\left(A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right), e_{j}^{0}\right\rangle+\left\langle e_{i}^{0}, \nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{T X_{G}}\left(A\left(e_{j}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \quad+\left\langle A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, A\left(e_{j}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle+\left\langle R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{0}\right\rangle+\mathscr{O}\left(|Z|^{3}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle e_{i}^{0}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right\rangle_{Z} & =\frac{1}{2}\left\langle R^{N_{G}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right\rangle+\frac{2}{3}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right\rangle+\mathscr{O}\left(|Z|^{3}\right)  \tag{5.39}\\
\left\langle e_{i}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right\rangle_{Z} & =\delta_{i j}+\frac{1}{3}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{\perp}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right\rangle+\mathscr{O}\left(|Z|^{3}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $\operatorname{det}\left(\delta_{i j}+a_{i j}\right)=1+\sum_{i} a_{i i}+\sum_{i<j}\left(a_{i i} a_{j j}-a_{i j} a_{j i}\right)+\cdots$. From (5.25), (5.38) and (5.39), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{det} g_{i j}(Z)=1-2\left\langle A_{x_{0}}\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle+K_{2}(\mathcal{R})+\mathscr{O}\left(|Z|^{3}\right)  \tag{5.40}\\
& \kappa^{\frac{1}{2}}(t Z)=\left(\operatorname{det} g_{i j}\right)^{1 / 4}(t Z) \\
& \quad=1-\frac{t}{2}\left\langle A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle-\frac{3 t^{2}}{8}\left(\sum_{i}\left\langle A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle\right)^{2}+\frac{t^{2}}{4} K_{2}(\mathcal{R})+\mathscr{O}\left(t^{3}\right), \\
& \kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t Z)=1+\frac{t}{2}\left\langle A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle+\frac{5 t^{2}}{8}\left(\sum_{i}\left\langle A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle\right)^{2}-\frac{t^{2}}{4} K_{2}(\mathcal{R})+\mathscr{O}\left(t^{3}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, as a $2\left(n-n_{0}\right) \times 2\left(n-n_{0}\right)$-matrix, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\left(\delta_{i j}-2\left\langle A_{x_{0}}\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle\right)\right)^{-1} & =\left(\delta_{i j}+2\left\langle A_{x_{0}}\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle\right)  \tag{5.41}\\
& +4\left(\left\langle A_{x_{0}}\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, A_{x_{0}}\left(e_{j}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle\right)+\mathscr{O}\left(|Z|^{3}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Note that from (3.9), (5.23),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left\langle A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle, \mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right]=2\left\langle A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}, e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle \nabla_{0, e_{k}^{\perp}} \tag{5.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus from (5.25), (5.28), (5.35), (5.38)-(5.40), the coefficients of $t, t^{2}$ in the expansion of $g^{i j}(t Z) t \Gamma_{i j}^{k}(t Z) \nabla_{t, e_{k}}=t g^{i j}(t Z) \nabla_{t,\left(\nabla_{e_{i}}^{T B} e_{j}\right)(t Z)}$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}, e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle \nabla_{0, e_{k}^{\perp}}  \tag{5.43}\\
& 2\left\langle A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle \nabla_{A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{0}}+\left\langle\Gamma_{i i}(\mathcal{R}), e_{j}\right\rangle \nabla_{0, e_{j}}+\frac{2}{3}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{\perp}\right) e_{i}^{\perp}, e_{j}\right\rangle \nabla_{0, e_{j}} \\
& \quad-\left[\frac{1}{2}\left\langle A\left(e_{l}^{0}\right) e_{l}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle, \nabla_{A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}}\right]+\frac{1}{3}\left(\partial_{k} R^{L_{B}}\right)_{x_{0}} Z_{k}\left(\mathcal{R}, A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By (5.22), (5.28) and (5.38)-(5.43), the coefficient of $t$ in the expansion of $\mathscr{L}_{3}^{t}$ is $\mathcal{O}_{1}^{\prime}$ in (5.27).

We denote by $[A, B]_{+}=A B+B A$.
By (5.22), (5.28), (5.35) and (5.38)-(5.41), the coefficient of $t^{2}$ in the expansion of $\mathscr{L}_{3}^{t}-\left(g^{i j} t \Gamma_{i j}^{k}\right)(t Z) \nabla_{t, e_{k}}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{2}- 2\left\langle A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle\left[\frac{1}{3} \nabla_{0, e_{i}^{0}}\left(\partial_{k} R^{L_{B}}\right)_{x_{0}}\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{j}^{0}\right) Z_{k}\right.  \tag{5.44}\\
&+\left.\frac{1}{3}\left(\partial_{k} R^{L_{B}}\right)_{x_{0}}\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{i}^{0}\right) Z_{k} \nabla_{0, e_{j}^{0}}-\frac{1}{2}\left[\left\langle A\left(e_{l}^{0}\right) e_{l}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle, \nabla_{0, e_{i}^{0}} \nabla_{0, e_{j}^{0}}\right]\right] \\
&+I_{1}+\left[\frac{1}{2}\left\langle A\left(e_{l}^{0}\right) e_{l}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle,\left[\frac{1}{3}\left(\partial_{k} R^{L_{B}}\right)_{x_{0}}\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{i}\right) Z_{k}, \nabla_{0, e_{i}}\right]+\right] \\
&+\left[\frac{1}{4} K_{2}(\mathcal{R})-\frac{3}{8}\left(\sum_{l}\left\langle A\left(e_{l}^{0}\right) e_{l}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle\right)^{2}, \mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right] \\
&-\frac{1}{4}\left[\left\langle A\left(e_{l}^{0}\right) e_{l}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle, \mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right]\left\langle A\left(e_{k}^{0}\right) e_{k}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle-R_{x_{0}}^{E_{B}}\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{i}\right) \nabla_{0, e_{i}} \\
&-\frac{1}{9} \sum_{i}\left[\sum_{j}\left(\partial_{j} R^{L_{B}}\right)_{x_{0}}\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{i}\right) Z_{j}\right]^{2}-R_{\tau, x_{0}}^{E}+\frac{1}{h_{0}}\left(\nabla_{e_{j}} \nabla_{e_{j}} h-\nabla_{A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}} h\right)_{x_{0}}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $I_{2}$ is from the coefficient of $t^{2}$ in the expansion of $g^{i j}$, the second term is the product of the coefficients of $t^{1}$ in the expansion of $g^{i j}$ and $\nabla_{t, e_{i}} \nabla_{t, e_{j}} ; I_{1}$ is from the coefficient of $t^{2}$ in the expansion of $R^{L_{B}}$, the fourth term is from the product of the coefficients of $t^{1}$ in $\kappa^{1 / 2}, \kappa^{-1 / 2}$ and in $\kappa^{-1 / 2} \nabla_{t, e_{i}} \nabla_{t, e_{i}} \kappa^{1 / 2}$ (cf. (5.28)), the fifth and sixth terms are from the coefficients of $t^{2}$ in the expansions of $\kappa^{1 / 2}, \kappa^{-1 / 2}$ and the product of the coefficients of $t^{1}$ in the expansions of $\kappa^{1 / 2}$ and $\kappa^{-1 / 2}$; the seventh term is from $R^{E_{B}}$, and the eighth term is from the product of the coefficients of $t^{1}$ in the expansion of $R^{L_{B}}$.

Certainly,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{6}\left[\left\langle A\left(e_{l}^{0}\right) e_{l}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle,\left[\left(\partial_{k} R^{L_{B}}\right)_{x_{0}}\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{i}\right) Z_{k}, \nabla_{0, e_{i}}\right]_{+}\right] \\
=-\frac{1}{3}\left(\partial_{k} R^{L_{B}}\right)_{x_{0}}\left(\mathcal{R}, A\left(e_{l}^{0}\right) e_{l}^{0}\right) Z_{k} \tag{5.45}
\end{gather*}
$$

By (5.42)-(5.45) and by the fact that $A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{0}$ is symmetric on $i, j$, we see that the coefficient of $t^{2}$ in the expansion of $\mathscr{L}_{3}^{t}$ is $\mathcal{O}_{2}^{\prime}$ in (5.27).

To simplify the notation, we will often denote by $e_{i}$ the lift $e_{i}^{H}$ of $e_{i}$.
Lemma 5.5. - The following identities hold,
(5.46a) $\left(\partial_{i} R^{L_{B}}\right)_{x_{0}}\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{l}\right) Z_{i}=-3 \sqrt{-1} \pi\left\langle J T\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{l}\right)-J T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, P^{T X_{G}} e_{l}\right), \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\pi} B\left(Z, e_{l}^{0}\right)=\frac{1}{6}\left\langle R^{T X_{G}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{l}^{0}\right\rangle-\frac{5}{4}\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{i}, e_{l}^{0}\right)\right) Z_{i}\right\rangle  \tag{5.46b}\\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle 2 \nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{T X_{G}}\left(A\left(e_{l}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{\perp}\right) Z_{j}^{\perp}+R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{l}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}+R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) e_{l}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right\rangle \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle 3 \nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{T X_{G}}\left(A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{\perp}\right) Z_{i}^{0} Z_{j}^{\perp}+2 R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J e_{l}^{0}\right\rangle \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}, J e_{l}^{0}\right\rangle \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}-\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{R}, e_{i}^{0}\right)\right\rangle\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, T\left(e_{i}^{0}, J e_{l}^{0}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{8}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right), T\left(e_{l}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right)\right\rangle+\frac{1}{8}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right), T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{l}^{0}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{8}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right), T\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{l}^{0}\right)\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right), T\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{l}^{0}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{8}\left\langle J T\left(e_{l}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right), e_{j}^{\perp}\right\rangle\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right)\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. - By (1.6), (1.14), (1.18) and (2.16),

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi} R^{L_{B}}\left(e_{k}, e_{l}\right) & =\left\langle J e_{k}^{H}, e_{l}^{H}\right\rangle+\mu(\Theta)\left(e_{k}, e_{l}\right)  \tag{5.47}\\
& =\left\langle J e_{k}^{H}, e_{l}^{H}\right\rangle+\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}, T\left(e_{k}, e_{l}\right)\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

Thus by (3.33), (5.5a), (5.6a) and $\mathbf{J}=J$, we get at $x_{0}$ the following formulas which will be used in (5.62),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\mu}_{x_{0}}=0, \quad\left(\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}\right)_{x_{0}}=-J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \quad\left(\nabla^{T Y} \nabla_{.}^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}\right)_{(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})}=T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right) \tag{5.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.36) and $\mu=0$ on $P$, we have at $x_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\nabla_{e_{i}}\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}, T\left(e_{k}, e_{l}\right)\right\rangle\right)_{x_{0}} & =\left\langle\nabla_{e_{i}^{H}}^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}, T\left(e_{k}, e_{l}\right)\right\rangle+\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}, \nabla_{e_{i}^{H}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{k}, e_{l}\right)\right)\right\rangle  \tag{5.49}\\
& =\left\langle J T\left(e_{k}, e_{l}\right), e_{i}\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.40), (5.6a) and (5.32), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\nabla_{e_{i}^{H}}\left\langle J e_{k}^{H}, e_{l}^{H}\right\rangle\right)_{x_{0}}=\left\langle J \nabla_{e_{i}^{H}}^{T X} e_{k}^{H}, e_{l}^{H}\right\rangle_{x_{0}}+\left\langle J e_{k}^{H}, \nabla_{e_{i}^{H}}^{T X} e_{l}^{H}\right\rangle_{x_{0}}  \tag{5.50}\\
& =-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle J T\left(e_{i}, e_{k}\right), e_{l}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle J e_{k}, T\left(e_{i}, e_{l}\right)\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle J A\left(P^{T X_{G}} e_{i}\right) P^{N_{G}} e_{k}+J A\left(P^{T X_{G}} e_{k}\right) P^{N_{G}} e_{i}, P^{T X_{G}} e_{l}\right\rangle \\
& \quad+\left\langle J P^{T X_{G}} e_{k}, A\left(P^{T X_{G}} e_{i}\right) P^{N_{G}} e_{l}+A\left(P^{T X_{G}} e_{l}\right) P^{N_{G}} e_{i}\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

By (5.5a), (5.47), (5.49) and (5.50), for $U \in T_{x_{0}} B$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi}\left(\partial_{U} R^{L_{B}}\right)_{x_{0}}\left(U, e_{l}\right) & =\frac{3}{2}\left\langle J T\left(U, e_{l}\right), U\right\rangle-2\left\langle A\left(P^{T X_{G}} U\right) P^{N_{G}} U, J P^{T X_{G}} e_{l}\right\rangle \\
+ & \left\langle J P^{T X_{G}} U, A\left(P^{T X_{G}} U\right) P^{N_{G}} e_{l}+A\left(P^{T X_{G}} e_{l}\right) P^{N_{G}} U\right\rangle  \tag{5.51}\\
& =\frac{3}{2}\left\langle J T\left(U, e_{l}\right)-J T\left(P^{T X_{G}} U, P^{T X_{G}} e_{l}\right), U\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $(J T Y)_{G}=N_{G}$ on $X_{G}$, by (5.51), we get (5.46a).
By (5.24) and (5.47), one gets at $x_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\pi} B\left(Z, e_{l}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla \nabla\left\langle J e_{k}, e_{l}\right\rangle+\nabla \nabla\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}, T\left(e_{k}, e_{l}\right)\right\rangle\right)_{(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})} Z_{k} \tag{5.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.6a) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\nabla \nabla\left\langle J e_{k}^{H}, e_{l}^{H}\right\rangle\right. & )_{(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})} Z_{k}=\left\langle J \mathcal{R},\left(\nabla^{T X} \nabla^{T X} e_{l}^{H}\right)_{(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})}\right\rangle  \tag{5.53}\\
& +\left\langle J\left(\nabla^{T X} \nabla^{T X} e_{k}^{H}\right)_{(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})}, e_{l}^{H}\right\rangle Z_{k}+2\left\langle J \nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T X} e_{k}^{H}, \nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T X} e_{l}^{H}\right\rangle Z_{k}
\end{align*}
$$

From (1.2), (5.32), one finds at $x_{0}$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
& J \mathcal{R}^{\perp} \in T Y, \quad J \mathcal{R}^{0} \in T X_{G} \\
& \nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T B} e_{i}^{0}=A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}, \quad \nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T B} e_{i}^{\perp}=A\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{\perp}  \tag{5.54}\\
& \left(\nabla_{e_{j}^{H}}^{T^{H}} X e_{i}^{H}\right) Z_{i} Z_{j}=\left(\nabla_{e_{j}}^{T B} e_{i}\right)^{H} Z_{i} Z_{j}=2 A\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}+A\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}
\end{align*}
$$

Now by (3.40),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{e_{j}^{H}}^{T X} \nabla_{e_{i}^{H}}^{T X} e_{k}^{H}\right)_{x_{0}}=\nabla_{e_{j}^{H}}^{T^{H} X} \nabla_{e_{i}^{H}}^{T^{H} X} e_{k}^{H}-\frac{1}{2} T\left(e_{j}^{H}, \nabla_{e_{i}^{H}}^{T^{H} X} e_{k}^{H}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{e_{j}^{H}}^{T X}\left(T\left(e_{i}^{H}, e_{k}^{H}\right)\right) \tag{5.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (5.34), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\nabla^{T B} \nabla^{T B} e_{k}\right)_{(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})} Z_{k}=\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{T B}\left(A\left(e_{j}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}\right) Z_{j}^{0} Z_{i}^{0}+3 A\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right) A\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}  \tag{5.56}\\
& \quad+3 \nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{T X_{G}}\left(A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right) Z_{i}^{0}+2 R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}+R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}
\end{align*}
$$

From (5.34), (5.54), (5.55), (5.56), the anti-symmetric property of the torsion tensor $T$ and the fact that $A$ exchanges $T X_{G}$ and $N_{G}$, we get

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\left\langle J \mathcal{R},\left(\nabla^{T X}\right.\right. & \left.\left.\nabla^{T X} e_{l}^{0, H}\right)_{(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})}\right\rangle=\left\langle\frac{1}{3} R^{T X_{G}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{l}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}+\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{T B}\left(A\left(e_{j}^{0}\right) e_{l}^{0}\right) Z_{j}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right\rangle  \tag{5.57}\\
+ & \left\langle 2 \nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{T X_{G}}\left(A\left(e_{l}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{\perp}\right) Z_{j}^{\perp}+R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{l}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}+R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) e_{l}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right\rangle \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, T\left(\mathcal{R}, A\left(e_{l}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}\right)\right\rangle-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle J \mathcal{R}, \nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T X}\left(T\left(e_{i}, e_{l}^{0}\right)\right) Z_{i}\right\rangle
\end{array}\right\} \begin{aligned}
& \left\langle J\left(\nabla^{T X} \nabla^{T X} e_{k}^{H}\right)_{(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})}, e_{l}^{0, H}\right\rangle Z_{k}=\left\langle 2 J R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}+J R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{l}^{0}\right\rangle \\
& +
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that from (1.8), (5.3), (5.5a), (5.54) and $A$ exchanges $T X_{G}$ and $N_{G}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle J \mathcal{R}, \nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T X}\left(T\left(e_{i}, e_{l}^{0}\right)\right) Z_{i}\right\rangle=\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{i}, e_{l}^{0}\right)\right) Z_{i}\right\rangle  \tag{5.58}\\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right), T\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{l}^{0}\right)\right\rangle, \\
& \left\langle J \nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{T B}\left(A\left(e_{j}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}\right) Z_{j}^{0} Z_{i}^{0}, e_{l}^{0}\right\rangle=-\left\langle A\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right) A\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}, J e_{l}^{0}\right\rangle \\
& =-\frac{1}{4}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right), T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{l}^{0}\right)\right\rangle, \\
& \left\langle\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{T B}\left(A\left(e_{j}^{0}\right) e_{l}^{0}\right), J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right\rangle=-\left\langle A\left(e_{j}^{0}\right) e_{l}^{0}, A\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right) J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right\rangle=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.40), (5.6a), (5.13), (5.54) and the fact that $A$ exchanges $T X_{G}$ and $N_{G}$, at $x_{0}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\langle J \nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T X} e_{k}^{H}, \nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T X} e_{l}^{0, H}\right\rangle Z_{k}=\left\langle J \nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T B} e_{k}, A\left(e_{l}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}-\frac{1}{2} T\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{l}^{0}\right)\right\rangle Z_{k}  \tag{5.59}\\
=\left\langle J A\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0},-\frac{1}{2} T\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{l}^{0}\right)\right\rangle+2\left\langle J A\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, A\left(e_{l}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
=\frac{1}{4}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right), T\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{l}^{0}\right)\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{j}^{0}\right)\right\rangle\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, T\left(e_{l}^{0}, J e_{j}^{0}\right)\right\rangle .
\end{gather*}
$$

By (5.53), (5.57)-(5.59), at $x_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\nabla \nabla\left\langle J e_{k}^{H}, e_{l}^{0, H}\right\rangle\right)_{(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})} Z_{k}=\frac{1}{3}\left\langle R^{T X_{G}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{l}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right\rangle  \tag{5.60}\\
+ & \left\langle 2 \nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{T X_{G}}\left(A\left(e_{l}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{\perp}\right) Z_{j}^{\perp}+R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{l}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}+R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) e_{l}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right\rangle \\
- & \left\langle 2 R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}+R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}+3 \nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{T X_{G}}\left(A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{\perp}\right) Z_{i}^{0} Z_{j}^{\perp}, J e_{l}^{0}\right\rangle \\
- & \frac{1}{2}\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, T\left(\mathcal{R}, A\left(e_{l}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}\right)+\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{i}, e_{l}^{0}\right)\right) Z_{i}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{4}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right), T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{l}^{0}\right)\right\rangle \\
& -\frac{1}{4}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right), T\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{l}^{0}\right)\right\rangle+\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{j}^{0}\right)\right\rangle\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, T\left(e_{l}^{0}, J e_{j}^{0}\right)\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

Observe that $A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0} \in N_{G}, A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp} \in T X_{G}$. By (5.5a), (5.5b), (5.5d) and (5.13),

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, T\left(\mathcal{R}, A\left(e_{l}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, T\left(\mathcal{R}, A\left(e_{l}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}\right)\right\rangle+\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, T\left(\mathcal{R}, A\left(e_{l}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)\right\rangle  \tag{5.61}\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left\langle J T\left(e_{l}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right), e_{j}^{\perp}\right\rangle\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, T\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right)\right\rangle+\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, T\left(\mathcal{R}, A\left(e_{l}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)\right\rangle \\
&=-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle T\left(e_{l}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right), T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)\right\rangle+ \frac{1}{2}\left\langle J T\left(e_{l}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right), e_{j}^{\perp}\right\rangle\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right)\right\rangle \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, T\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{j}^{0}\right)\right\rangle\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, T\left(e_{l}^{0}, J e_{j}^{0}\right)\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

From (5.48), at $x_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\nabla \nabla\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}, T\left(e_{k}, e_{l}\right)\right\rangle\right)_{(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})}  \tag{5.62}\\
& \quad=\left\langle\left(\nabla_{\cdot}^{T Y} \nabla_{\cdot}^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}\right)_{(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})}, T\left(e_{k}, e_{l}\right)\right\rangle+2\left\langle\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}, \nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{k}, e_{l}\right)\right)\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right), T\left(e_{k}, e_{l}\right)\right\rangle-2\left\langle\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{k}, e_{l}\right)\right), J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, by (5.4), (5.52), (5.60), (5.61) and (5.62), we get (5.46b).
We now examine the coefficients in the expansion of terms involving the moment $\operatorname{map} \widetilde{\mu}$.

Set

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{O}_{2}^{\prime \prime}=-\frac{1}{3}\left\langle\left(\nabla^{T Y} \dot{g}^{T Y}\right)_{(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})} J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{6}\left\langle\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{j}, J_{x_{0}} e_{i}^{0}\right)\right), J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle Z_{j} Z_{i}^{0}  \tag{5.63}\\
\quad+\frac{1}{3}\left\langle\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{N_{G}}\left(A\left(e_{j}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}\right) Z_{j}^{0} Z_{i}^{0}+R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
-\frac{1}{12} \sum_{l}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{l}\right), J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{l}^{0}\right)\right\rangle\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{l}^{0}\right)\right\rangle \\
\quad+\frac{7}{12}\left|T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{3}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right), T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)\right\rangle .
\end{gather*}
$$

Lemma 5.6. - For $|t| \leqslant 1$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\frac{1}{t} \widetilde{\mu}\right|_{g^{T Y}}^{2}(t Z)=\left|Z^{\perp}\right|^{2}-t\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right), J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle+t^{2} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{\prime \prime}+\mathscr{O}\left(t^{3}\right)  \tag{5.64}\\
& \begin{array}{l}
\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}, \widetilde{\mu}^{E}\right\rangle_{g^{T Y}}
\end{array} \\
& \quad+t Z)=-t\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \widetilde{\mu}_{x_{0}}^{E}\right\rangle \\
& \quad+t^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right), \widetilde{\mu}_{x_{0}}^{E}\right\rangle-\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}^{E}\right\rangle_{x_{0}}\right)+\mathscr{O}\left(t^{3}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. - By (3.36), (3.38), (3.39), (5.6a), (5.54), $\mathbf{J}=J$ and $\widetilde{\mu}=0$ on $P$, we get, at $x_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\nabla_{e_{k}^{H}}^{T Y} \nabla_{e_{j}^{H}}^{T Y} \nabla_{e_{i}^{H}}^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}\right)_{x_{0}}=-P^{T Y} J \nabla_{e_{k}^{H}}^{T X} \nabla_{e_{j}^{H}}^{T X} e_{i}^{H}-\frac{1}{2} T\left(e_{k}^{H}, P^{T^{H} X} J \nabla_{e_{j}^{H}}^{T X} e_{i}^{H}\right)  \tag{5.65}\\
& -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{e_{k}^{H}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{j}^{H}, P^{T^{H} X} J e_{i}^{H}\right)\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_{e_{j}^{H}}^{T Y} \dot{g}_{e_{i}^{H}}^{T Y}\right)\left(\nabla_{e_{k}^{H}}^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_{e_{k}^{H}}^{T Y} \dot{g}_{e_{i}^{H}}^{T Y}\right)\left(\nabla_{e_{j}^{H}}^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \dot{g}_{e_{i}^{H}}^{T Y}\left(\nabla_{e_{k}^{H}}^{T Y} \nabla_{e_{j}^{H}}^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.40), (5.48), (5.54), (5.55), (5.56) and (5.65), we have
$\left(\nabla_{\cdot}^{T Y} \nabla_{\cdot}^{T Y} \nabla^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}\right)_{(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})}:=\left(\nabla_{e_{k}^{H}}^{T Y} \nabla_{e_{j}^{H}}^{T Y} \nabla_{e_{i}^{H}}^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}\right)_{x_{0}} Z_{k} Z_{j} Z_{i}$ $=-J \nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{N_{G}}\left(A\left(e_{j}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}\right) Z_{j}^{0} Z_{i}^{0}-3 J A\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right) A\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}-2 P^{T Y} J R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}$ $-P^{T Y} J R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}-T\left(\mathcal{R}, J A\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)$
$-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{j}^{H}, P^{T^{H} X} J e_{i}^{H}\right)\right) Z_{j} Z_{i}+\left(\nabla^{T Y} \dot{g}^{T Y}\right)_{(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})} J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}-\frac{1}{2} \dot{g}_{\mathcal{R}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)\right)$.

Now by (3.50), (5.48), and $\widetilde{\mu}=0$ on $P$, we have

$$
\text { 67) } \begin{align*}
&\left|\frac{1}{t} \widetilde{\mu}\right|_{g^{T Y}}^{2}(t Z)=\left.\sum_{k=2}^{4} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial t^{k}}\left(|\widetilde{\mu}|_{g^{T Y}}^{2}(t Z)\right)\right|_{t=0} t^{k-2}+\mathscr{O}\left(t^{3}\right)  \tag{5.67}\\
&=\left|\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}\right|_{x_{0}}^{2}+t\left\langle\left(\nabla^{T Y} \nabla^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}\right)_{(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})}, \nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}\right\rangle_{x_{0}} \\
&+\frac{t^{2}}{4!}\left(8\left\langle\left(\nabla^{T Y} \nabla^{T Y} \nabla^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}\right)_{(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})}, \nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}\right\rangle_{x_{0}}+6\left|\left(\nabla^{T Y} \nabla^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}\right)_{(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})}\right|_{x_{0}}^{2}\right)+\mathscr{O}\left(t^{3}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By (5.5c),

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)=\frac{1}{2} T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \tag{5.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1.6), (5.13), (5.48), (5.66), (5.67) and (5.68), we get the coefficients of $t^{0}, t^{1}$ in the expansion of $\left|\frac{1}{t} \widetilde{\mu}\right|_{g^{T Y}}^{2}(t Z)$ in (5.64), and the coefficient of $t^{2}$ is

$$
\text { 69) } \begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{3}\left\langle J \nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{N_{G}}\left(A\left(e_{j}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}\right) Z_{j}^{0} Z_{i}^{0}+3 J A\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right) A\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}+J R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle  \tag{5.69}\\
+\frac{1}{3}\left\langle 2 J R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}+T\left(\mathcal{R}, J A\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right), J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
-\frac{1}{3}\left\langle\left(\nabla_{\cdot}^{T Y} \dot{g}^{T Y}\right)_{(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})} J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{6}\left\langle\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{j}^{H}, P^{T^{H} X} J e_{i}^{H}\right)\right) Z_{j} Z_{i}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
+\frac{1}{3}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right), T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)\right\rangle+\frac{1}{4}\left|T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)\right|^{2} \\
=-\frac{1}{3}\left\langle\left(\nabla_{\cdot}^{T Y} \dot{g}^{T Y}\right)_{(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})} J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{6}\left\langle\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{j}^{H}, P^{T^{H} X} J e_{i}^{H}\right)\right) Z_{j} Z_{i}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
+\frac{1}{3}\left\langle\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{N_{G}}\left(A\left(e_{j}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}\right) Z_{j}^{0} Z_{i}^{0}+R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{j}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{j}^{0}\right), J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle^{2}+\frac{1}{6}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{j}^{0}\right), J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{j}^{0}\right), J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
+\frac{7}{12}\left|T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{3}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right), T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)\right\rangle .
\end{gather*}
$$

To get (5.64) from (5.69), we need to compute $\nabla_{e_{k}^{H}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{j}^{H}, P^{T^{H} X} J e_{i}^{H}\right)\right)$.
For $W$ a section of $T X, U$ a section of $T B$, we have by (1.7),

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\langle\nabla_{e_{k}^{H}}^{T_{H}^{H} X} P^{T^{H} X} W, U^{H}\right\rangle=e_{k}^{H}\left\langle W, U^{H}\right\rangle-\left\langle P^{T^{H} X} W, \nabla_{e_{k}^{H}}^{T X} U^{H}\right\rangle  \tag{5.70}\\
&=\left\langle P^{T^{H} X} \nabla_{e_{k}^{H}}^{T X} W, U^{H}\right\rangle+\left\langle P^{T Y} W, \nabla_{e_{k}^{H}}^{T X} U^{H}\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

From (1.7), (5.70), we get at $x_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{e_{k}^{H}}^{T^{H} X} P^{T^{H} X} W=P^{T^{H} X} \nabla_{e_{k}^{H}}^{T X} W-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle T\left(e_{k}^{H}, e_{l}^{H}\right), P^{T Y} W\right\rangle e_{l}^{H} \tag{5.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark that $J e_{i}^{\perp, H} \in T Y, J e_{i}^{0} \in T^{H} X$ only hold on $P$.

From (3.40), (5.5b), (5.6a), (5.13), (5.32) and (5.71),

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\nabla_{e_{k}^{H}}^{T^{H} X} P^{T^{H} X} J e_{i}^{\perp, H}\right)_{x_{0}}=J A\left(P^{T X_{G}} e_{k}\right) e_{i}^{\perp}-\frac{1}{2} J T\left(e_{k}, e_{i}^{\perp}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle T\left(e_{k}, e_{l}\right), J e_{i}^{\perp}\right\rangle e_{l}  \tag{5.72}\\
=-\frac{1}{2} J T\left(e_{k}, e_{i}^{\perp}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle T\left(e_{k}, e_{l}\right)-T\left(P^{T X_{G}} e_{k}, P^{T X_{G}} e_{l}\right), J e_{i}^{\perp}\right\rangle e_{l} \\
\left(\nabla_{e_{k}}^{T^{H} X} P^{T^{H} X} J e_{i}^{0}\right)_{x_{0}}=P^{T^{H} X} J \nabla_{e_{k}^{H}}^{T X} e_{i}^{0, H}=J A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) P^{N_{G}} e_{k}-\frac{1}{2} J T\left(e_{k}, e_{i}^{0}\right) \\
=-\frac{1}{2} J T\left(e_{k}, e_{i}^{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle J P^{N_{G}} e_{k}, T\left(e_{i}^{0}, e_{l}^{0}\right)\right\rangle e_{l}^{0} \\
\left(\nabla_{e_{k}}^{T B} J_{x_{0}} e_{i}^{0}\right)_{x_{0}}=A\left(J_{x_{0}} e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{k}=-\frac{1}{2} J T\left(P^{T X_{G}} e_{k}, e_{i}^{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle J P^{N_{G}} e_{k}, T\left(e_{i}^{0}, e_{l}^{0}\right)\right\rangle e_{l}^{0}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (5.72), we get at $x_{0}$ that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\langle\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{j}^{H}, P^{T^{H} X} J e_{i}^{H}\right)\right) Z_{j} Z_{i}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle-\left\langle\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{j}, J_{x_{0}} e_{i}^{0}\right)\right) Z_{j} Z_{i}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle  \tag{5.73}\\
=\left\langle T\left(e_{j}, \nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T^{H} X} P^{T^{H} X} J e_{i}^{H}-\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T^{H} X}\left(J_{x_{0}} P^{T X_{G}} e_{i}\right)^{H}\right) Z_{j} Z_{i}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
=\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R},-\frac{1}{2} J T\left(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{l}\right)-T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, P^{T X_{G}} e_{l}\right), J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle e_{l}\right), J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
+\left\langle T\left(e_{j},-\frac{1}{2} J T\left(e_{k}, e_{i}^{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2} J T\left(P^{T X_{G}} e_{k}, e_{i}^{0}\right)\right) Z_{k} Z_{j} Z_{i}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
=-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R},\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{l}\right)-T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, P^{T X_{G}} e_{l}\right), J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle e_{l}\right), J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{l}\right), J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{l}^{0}\right), J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{l}^{0}\right), J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle
\end{gather*}
$$

From (5.69) and (5.73), $\mathcal{O}_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ is the coefficient of $t^{2}$ in the expansion of $\left|\frac{1}{t} \widetilde{\mu}\right|_{g^{T Y}}^{2}(t Z)$.
By (5.48), we get also the second equation of (5.64).
The proof of Lemma 5.6 is complete.
The following is the main result of this Section.
Theorem 5.7. - The following identities hold,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{O}_{1}= & 2 \pi \sqrt{-1}\left\langle J T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{0}\right), \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle \nabla_{0, e_{i}^{0}}+2 \pi \sqrt{-1}\left\langle J T\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{i}^{\perp}\right), \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle \nabla_{0, e_{i}^{\perp}} \\
& +\pi \sqrt{-1}\left\langle J T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{i}^{\perp}\right), e_{i}^{\perp}\right\rangle-\left\langle J T\left(e_{i}^{0}, J e_{j}^{0}\right), \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle \nabla_{0, e_{i}^{0}} \nabla_{0, e_{j}^{0}} \\
& +4 \pi^{2}\left\langle J T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right), \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle+4 \pi \sqrt{-1}\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \widetilde{\mu}_{x_{0}}^{E}\right\rangle,  \tag{5.74}\\
\mathcal{O}_{2}= & \mathcal{O}_{2}^{\prime}+4 \pi^{2} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{\prime \prime}-4 \pi \sqrt{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right), \widetilde{\mu}_{x_{0}}^{E}\right\rangle-\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}^{E}\right\rangle\right) \\
& -\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}_{x_{0}}^{E}, \widetilde{\mu}_{x_{0}}^{E}\right\rangle_{g T Y}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. - By (5.5e), at $x_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle J T\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{i}\right), e_{i}\right\rangle=\left\langle J T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{i}^{\perp}\right), e_{i}^{\perp}\right\rangle . \tag{5.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (5.46a), (5.51) and (5.75),

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{2}{3}\left(\partial_{\mathcal{R}} R^{L_{B}}\right)_{x_{0}}\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{i}\right) \nabla_{0, e_{i}} \\
& \quad=2 \pi \sqrt{-1}\left(\left\langle J T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{0}\right), \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle \nabla_{0, e_{i}^{0}}+\left\langle J T\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{i}^{\perp}\right), \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle \nabla_{0, e_{i}^{\perp}}\right)  \tag{5.76}\\
& -\frac{1}{3}\left(\partial_{i} R^{L_{B}}\right)_{x_{0}}\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{i}\right)=\pi \sqrt{-1}\left\langle J T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{i}^{\perp}\right), e_{i}^{\perp}\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

From (5.5a), (5.23), (5.27), (5.64) and (5.76), we get (5.74).

### 5.3. Computation of the coefficient $\Phi_{1}$

Recall that the operator $\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}$ is defined in (5.23), $P_{\mathscr{L} \perp}$ is the orthogonal projection from $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_{0}}\right)$ onto $\operatorname{Ker} \mathscr{L}^{\perp}$ and $P_{\mathscr{L}}$ is the orthogonal projection from $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n-2 n_{0}}\right)$ onto $\operatorname{Ker} \mathscr{L}$ as in (3.19).

For $Z^{\perp} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{0}}$, set

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{1,1}\left(Z^{\perp}\right)=\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{O}_{1}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{O}_{1} P^{N}\right)\left(\left(0, Z^{\perp}\right),\left(0, Z^{\perp}\right)\right) \\
& \Psi_{1,2}\left(Z^{\perp}\right)=-\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{O}_{2} P^{N}\right)\left(\left(0, Z^{\perp}\right),\left(0, Z^{\perp}\right)\right), \\
& \Psi_{1,3}\left(Z^{\perp}\right)=\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{O}_{1} P^{N} \mathcal{O}_{1}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}}\right)\left(\left(0, Z^{\perp}\right),\left(0, Z^{\perp}\right)\right), \\
& \Psi_{1,4}\left(Z^{\perp}\right)=\left(P^{N} \mathcal{O}_{1}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-2} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{O}_{1} P^{N}\right)\left(\left(0, Z^{\perp}\right),\left(0, Z^{\perp}\right)\right),  \tag{5.77}\\
& \widetilde{\Psi}_{1,1}\left(Z^{\perp}\right)=\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} P_{\mathscr{L}^{\perp}} \mathcal{O}_{1}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{O}_{1} P^{N}\right)\left(\left(0, Z^{\perp}\right),\left(0, Z^{\perp}\right)\right), \\
& \widetilde{\Psi}_{1,2}\left(Z^{\perp}\right)=-\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} P_{\mathscr{L}} \perp \mathcal{O}_{2} P^{N}\right)\left(\left(0, Z^{\perp}\right),\left(0, Z^{\perp}\right)\right), \\
& \Phi_{1, i}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} 0} \Psi_{1, i}\left(Z^{\perp}\right) d v_{N_{G}}\left(Z^{\perp}\right), \quad \text { for } i=1,2,3,4 .
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 5.8. - The following two identities hold for $i=1,2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_{0}}} \widetilde{\Psi}_{1, i}\left(Z^{\perp}\right) d v_{N_{G}}\left(Z^{\perp}\right)=\Phi_{1, i} \tag{5.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. - In fact, in our case, by (3.21), $P^{N}=P_{\mathscr{L}} \otimes P_{\mathscr{L} \perp} \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{E}$.
By (3.18) and (3.19),

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{O}_{2} P^{N}\right)\left(Z,\left(0, Z^{\prime \perp}\right)\right)  \tag{5.79}\\
&=\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{O}_{2} P_{\mathscr{L}}(\cdot, 0) G^{\perp}\right)(Z) G^{\perp}\left(Z^{\prime \perp}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

From Theorem 3.1 and (5.79),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{1,2}=\left\langle\left(-\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{O}_{2} P_{\mathscr{L}}(\cdot, 0) G^{\perp}\right)\left(0, Z^{\perp}\right), G^{\perp}\left(Z^{\perp}\right)\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_{0}}\right)}  \tag{5.80}\\
& =\left\langle\left(-\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} P_{\mathscr{L} \perp} \mathcal{O}_{2} P_{\mathscr{L}}(\cdot, 0) G^{\perp}\right)\left(0, Z^{\perp}\right), G^{\perp}\left(Z^{\perp}\right)\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n_{0}}\right)} \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_{0}}} \widetilde{\Psi}_{1,2}\left(Z^{\perp}\right) d v_{N_{G}}\left(Z^{\perp}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

In the same way, we get (5.78) for $i=1$.

Note that the restriction of $\|\cdot\|_{t, 0}$ in (2.114) on $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n-n_{0}}, E_{G, x_{0}}\right)$ does not depend on $t$ and we denote it by $\|\cdot\|_{0}$.

Since $\mathscr{L}_{2}^{t}$ in (5.23) is a self-adjoint elliptic operator with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{0}$ as we conjugated the operator with $\kappa^{1 / 2}, \mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{r}$ are also formally self-adjoint with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{0}$. Thus in the right hand side of (3.62), the third and fourth terms are the adjoints of the first two terms.

From (3.62), (5.1) and (5.77), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{1}=\Phi_{1,1}+\Phi_{1,2}+\left(\Phi_{1,1}+\Phi_{1,2}\right)^{*}+\Phi_{1,3}-\Phi_{1,4} \tag{5.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.77), (5.78), (5.81), we learn that in order to compute $\Phi_{1}$, we only need to evaluate $\widetilde{\Psi}_{1,1}, \widetilde{\Psi}_{1,2}, \Phi_{1,3}$ and $\Phi_{1,4}$.

Lemma 5.9. - The following identity holds,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\Psi}_{1,1}\left(Z^{\perp}\right)=-\frac{1}{8 \pi}\left|T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}, e_{k}^{\perp}\right)\right|^{2} P_{\mathscr{L} \perp}\left(Z^{\perp}, Z^{\perp}\right) \tag{5.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. - Recall that the operators $b_{i}, b_{i}^{+}, b_{j}^{\perp}$ and $b_{j}^{\perp+}$ have been defined in (3.8). In particular, by (5.15), one has for $f \in T_{x_{0}}^{*} X_{G}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& 4 \pi Z_{j}^{\perp}=b_{j}^{\perp}+b_{j}^{\perp+}, \quad \nabla_{0, e_{j}^{\perp}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_{j}^{\perp}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(b_{j}^{\perp+}-b_{j}^{\perp}\right) \\
& f\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) \nabla_{0, e_{i}^{0}}=-f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) b_{i}+f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}\right) b_{i}^{+} \tag{5.83}
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.8), (3.9) and (5.83), set

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{j k}^{\perp}=(4 \pi)^{2} Z_{j}^{\perp} Z_{k}^{\perp}=b_{j}^{\perp+} b_{k}^{\perp+}+b_{k}^{\perp} b_{j}^{\perp+}+b_{j}^{\perp} b_{k}^{\perp+}+b_{j}^{\perp} b_{k}^{\perp}+4 \pi \delta_{j k} \\
& B_{i j k}^{\perp}=b_{i}^{\perp} b_{j}^{\perp} b_{k}^{\perp}+3 b_{i}^{\perp} b_{j}^{\perp} b_{k}^{\perp+}+3 b_{i}^{\perp} b_{j}^{\perp+} b_{k}^{\perp+}+b_{i}^{\perp+} b_{j}^{\perp+} b_{k}^{\perp+} \tag{5.84}
\end{align*}
$$

If $a_{i j k}$ is symmetric on $i, j, k$, then by (3.8), (3.9), (5.83) and (5.84), one verifies

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i j k}(4 \pi)^{3} Z_{i}^{\perp} Z_{j}^{\perp} Z_{k}^{\perp}=a_{i j k} B_{i j k}^{\perp}+12 \pi a_{i j j}\left(b_{i}^{\perp}+b_{i}^{\perp+}\right) \tag{5.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.9), (5.5e), (5.14), (5.83), (5.84) and the fact that $T($,$) is anti-symmetric, we$ get

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \pi\left\langle J T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{\perp}\right), \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle \nabla_{0, e_{i}^{\perp}}=\frac{1}{16 \pi} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{j i k} B_{j k}^{\perp}\left(b_{i}^{\perp+}-b_{i}^{\perp}\right)  \tag{5.86}\\
& =\frac{1}{16 \pi} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{j i k}\left[\left(b_{j}^{\perp} b_{k}^{\perp+}+b_{j}^{\perp} b_{k}^{\perp}\right) b_{i}^{\perp+}-\left(b_{j}^{\perp+} b_{k}^{\perp+}+b_{k}^{\perp} b_{j}^{\perp+}+b_{j}^{\perp} b_{k}^{\perp+}\right) b_{i}^{\perp}\right] \\
& \\
& =-\frac{1}{8 \pi} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{i j k}\left(b_{j}^{\perp} b_{k}^{\perp+}+b_{j}^{\perp} b_{k}^{\perp}\right) b_{i}^{\perp+}
\end{align*}
$$

By Theorem 5.1, Remark 5.2, (3.9), (3.12), (5.14), (5.74), (5.84)-(5.86), we can reformulate $\mathcal{O}_{1}$ as follows by using the creation and annihilation operators introduced in (3.8),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{O}_{1}=-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{8 \pi}\left\langle J T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right), e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle B_{j k}^{\perp} b_{i}^{+}+b_{i} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{8 \pi}\left\langle J T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right), e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle B_{j k}^{\perp} \tag{5.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4}\left\langle J T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{i}^{\perp}\right), e_{j}^{\perp}\right\rangle\left(b_{i}^{\perp+} b_{j}^{\perp+}-b_{i}^{\perp} b_{j}^{\perp}\right)-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{8 \pi} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{i j k}\left(b_{j}^{\perp} b_{k}^{\perp+}+b_{j}^{\perp} b_{k}^{\perp}\right) b_{i}^{\perp+}
$$

$$
-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4 \pi}\left\langle J T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right), e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle\left(b_{k}^{\perp+}+b_{k}^{\perp}\right)\left(2 b_{j} b_{i}^{+}+4 \pi \delta_{i j}\right)+\sqrt{-1}\left\langle J e_{j}^{\perp}, \widetilde{\mu}_{x_{0}}^{E}\right\rangle\left(b_{j}^{\perp+}+b_{j}^{\perp}\right)
$$

$$
+\frac{1}{16 \pi}\left\langle J T\left(e_{i}^{\perp}, J e_{j}^{\perp}\right), e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle\left[B_{i j k}^{\perp}+12 \pi \delta_{i k}\left(b_{j}^{\perp+}+b_{j}^{\perp}\right)\right]
$$

$$
=-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{8 \pi} \mathcal{T}_{j k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}\right) B_{j k}^{\perp} b_{i}^{+}+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{8 \pi} \mathcal{T}_{j k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) b_{i} B_{j k}^{\perp}+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4} \mathcal{T}_{i j}\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right)\left(b_{i}^{\perp+} b_{j}^{\perp+}-b_{i}^{\perp} b_{j}^{\perp}\right)
$$

$$
+\sqrt{-1}\left\langle J e_{j}^{\perp}, \widetilde{\mu}_{x_{0}}^{E}\right\rangle\left(b_{j}^{\perp+}+b_{j}^{\perp}\right)-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4 \pi}\left\langle J T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right), e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle\left(b_{k}^{\perp+}+b_{k}^{\perp}\right)\left(2 b_{j} b_{i}^{+}+4 \pi \delta_{i j}\right)
$$

$$
-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{8 \pi} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{i j k}\left(b_{j}^{\perp} b_{k}^{\perp+}+b_{j}^{\perp} b_{k}^{\perp}\right) b_{i}^{\perp+}+\frac{1}{16 \pi} \mathcal{T}_{i j k}\left[B_{i j k}^{\perp}+12 \pi \delta_{i k}\left(b_{j}^{\perp+}+b_{j}^{\perp}\right)\right]
$$

From Theorem 3.1, $(3.54),(5.84),(5.87)$ and $a_{i}=a_{i}^{+}=2 \pi$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{1} P^{N}\right)\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)=\sqrt{-1}\left\{\frac{b_{l}}{8 \pi} \mathcal{T}_{k k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{l}^{0}}\right)+\left\langle J e_{k}^{\perp}, \widetilde{\mu}_{x_{0}}^{E}\right\rangle \frac{b_{k}^{\perp}}{4 \pi}\right.  \tag{5.88}\\
&-\left\langle J T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{l}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{l}^{0}}\right)\right.\left., e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle \frac{b_{k}^{\perp}}{4 \pi}-\frac{b_{l}^{\perp} b_{k}^{\perp}}{32 \pi} \mathcal{T}_{k l}\left(z^{0}+\bar{z}^{\prime 0}\right) \\
&\left.-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{16 \pi} \mathcal{T}_{k l m}\left[\frac{b_{m}^{\perp} b_{l}^{\perp} b_{k}^{\perp}}{12 \pi}+3 b_{k}^{\perp} \delta_{l m}\right]\right\} P^{N}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

By Theorem 3.1, (3.55), (5.84) and (5.87),

$$
\begin{align*}
& P^{N^{\perp}} P_{\mathscr{L} \perp} \mathcal{O}_{1}=\sqrt{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} P_{\mathscr{L}^{\perp}}\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{T}_{j j}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}\right) b_{i}^{+}+\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{T}_{j j}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) b_{i}\right.  \tag{5.89}\\
&+\left\langle J e_{j}^{\perp}, \widetilde{\mu}_{x_{0}}^{E}\right\rangle b_{j}^{\perp+}-\frac{1}{4 \pi}\left\langle J T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right), e_{j^{\prime}}^{\perp}\right\rangle b_{j^{\prime}}^{\perp+}\left(2 b_{j} b_{i}^{+}+4 \pi \delta_{i j}\right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{4}\left(\mathcal{T}_{j j^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right)-\mathcal{T}_{j j^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}\right) \frac{b_{i}^{+}}{2 \pi}+\mathcal{T}_{j j^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) \frac{b_{i}}{2 \pi}\right) b_{j}^{\perp+} b_{j^{\prime}}^{\perp+} \\
&-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{16 \pi} \mathcal{T}_{i j j^{\prime}}\left[b_{i}^{\perp+} b_{j}^{\perp+} b_{j^{\prime}}^{\perp+}+12 \pi \delta_{i j^{\prime}} b_{j}^{\perp+}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

In the following equation, by $(3.9),(3.54),(3.55)$, we only need to pair the terms in (5.88) and (5.89) which have the same length on $b_{j}^{\perp+}$ and $b_{j}^{\perp}$, and the total degree on $b_{i}, b_{i}^{+}, z^{0}, \bar{z}^{0}$ should not be zero. Thus by (3.9), (3.54), (5.88) and (5.89),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(P^{N^{\perp}} P_{\mathscr{L}} \perp \mathcal{O}_{1}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{1} P^{N}\right)\left(Z,\left(0, Z^{\prime \perp}\right)\right)=\left\{P ^ { N ^ { \perp } } \left[-\frac{1}{16 \pi}\left(\sum_{i j} b_{i} \mathcal{T}_{j j}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right)\right)^{2}\right.\right.  \tag{5.90}\\
& \left.\left.\quad+\frac{1}{128 \pi}\left(\mathcal{T}_{j j^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right)+\frac{b_{i}}{2 \pi} \mathcal{T}_{j j^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right)\right) b_{j}^{\perp+} b_{j^{\prime}}^{\perp+} \cdot b_{l}^{\perp} b_{k}^{\perp} \mathcal{T}_{k l}\left(z^{0}\right)\right] P^{N}\right\}\left(Z,\left(0, Z^{\prime \perp}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.9), (3.54), (5.5d), (5.14), (5.90) and $a_{i}=a_{i}^{+}=2 \pi$, one gets

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(P^{N^{\perp}} P_{\mathscr{L}}\right.\left.\mathcal{O}_{1}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{1} P^{N}\right)\left(Z,\left(0, Z^{\prime \perp}\right)\right)=\left\{P ^ { N ^ { \perp } } \left[-\frac{1}{16 \pi}\left(\sum_{i j} b_{i} \mathcal{T}_{j j}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right)\right)^{2}\right.\right.  \tag{5.91}\\
&\left.\left.\quad+\frac{1}{8}\left\langle 2 \pi J T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right)+b_{i} J T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right), J T\left(z^{0}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right)\right\rangle\right] P^{N}\right\}\left(Z,\left(0, Z^{\prime \perp}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Set $P_{\mathscr{L}}^{\perp}=\operatorname{Id}_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n-2 n_{0}}\right)}-P_{\mathscr{L}}$.
Let $h_{i}\left(Z^{0}\right)$ (resp. $F\left(Z^{0}\right)$ ) be polynomials in $Z^{0}$ with degree 1 (resp. 2) and $a_{i j} \in \mathbb{C}$.
By Theorem 3.1, (3.9) and (3.54),

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(F\left(Z^{0}\right) P_{\mathscr{L}}\right) & \left(Z^{0}, 0\right)  \tag{5.92}\\
& =\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial z_{i}^{0} \partial z_{j}^{0}} z_{i}^{0} z_{j}^{0}+\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial z_{i}^{0} \partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}} z_{i}^{0} \frac{b_{j}}{a_{j}}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0} \partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}} \frac{b_{i} b_{j}}{a_{i} a_{j}}\right) P_{\mathscr{L}}\left(Z^{0}, 0\right)
\end{align*}
$$

By Theorem 3.1, (3.8), (3.9), (3.19), (3.54), (5.92) and $a_{j}=2 \pi$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(P_{\mathscr{L}}^{\perp} F P_{\mathscr{L}}\right)(0,0)=-\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial z_{i}^{0} \partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}} \\
& \left(\mathscr{L}^{-1} P_{\mathscr{L}}^{\perp} a_{i j} b_{i} b_{j} P_{\mathscr{L}}\right)(0,0)=\left(\mathscr{L}^{-1} P_{\mathscr{L}}^{\perp} h_{i} P_{\mathscr{L}}\right)(0,0)=0 \\
& \left(\mathscr{L}^{-1} P_{\mathscr{L}}^{\perp} h_{i} b_{i} P_{\mathscr{L}}\right)(0,0)=\left(\mathscr{L}^{-1} P_{\mathscr{L}}^{\perp} b_{i} h_{i} P_{\mathscr{L}}\right)(0,0)=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{\partial h_{i}}{\partial z_{i}^{0}} \\
& \left(\mathscr{L}^{-1} P_{\mathscr{L}}^{\perp} F P_{\mathscr{L}}\right)(0,0)=-\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial z_{i}^{0} \partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}},  \tag{5.93}\\
& \left(\mathscr{L}^{-1} P_{\mathscr{L}}^{\perp} b_{i} F b_{j} P_{\mathscr{L}}\right)(0,0)=-\left(\mathscr{L}^{-1} P_{\mathscr{L}}^{\perp} b_{i} b_{j} F P_{\mathscr{L}}\right)(0,0)=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial z_{i}^{0} \partial z_{j}^{0}} \\
& \left(\mathscr{L}^{-1} P_{\mathscr{L}}^{\perp} F b_{i} b_{j} P_{\mathscr{L}}\right)(0,0)=-\frac{3}{2 \pi} \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial z_{i}^{0} \partial z_{j}^{0}}, \\
& \left(\mathscr{L}^{-1} P_{\mathscr{L}}^{\perp}\left(\sum_{i} b_{i} h_{i}\right)^{2} P_{\mathscr{L}}\right)(0,0)=-\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left(\frac{\partial h_{i}}{\partial z_{j}^{0}} \frac{\partial h_{j}}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}-\left(\sum_{i} \frac{\partial h_{i}}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}\right)^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Finally by (5.78), (5.91), (5.93) and $\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}=\mathscr{L}+\mathscr{L}^{\perp}$, we get (5.82).
Lemma 5.10. - The following identity holds,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{1,3}=\Phi_{1,4} \tag{5.94}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. - Let $\mathcal{F}_{2} \in T_{x_{0}}^{*} X_{G}$ with values in real polynomials on $Z^{\perp}$ with even degree, $\mathcal{F}_{1} \in N_{G, x_{0}}^{*} \otimes \operatorname{End}\left(E_{G, x_{0}}\right), \mathcal{F}_{3}\left(Z^{\perp}\right)$ a polynomial on $Z^{\perp}$ with odd degree, be defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}\right)=\sqrt{-1}\left\langle J e_{k}^{\perp}, \widetilde{\mu}_{x_{0}}^{E}\right\rangle-\sqrt{-1}\left\langle J T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{l}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{l}^{0}}\right), e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle+\frac{3}{4} \mathcal{T}_{l l k} \\
& \mathcal{F}_{2}\left(\cdot, Z^{\perp}\right) P^{N}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)=\left(\mathcal{T}_{k l}(\cdot) \frac{b_{l}^{\perp} b_{k}^{\perp}}{32 \pi} P^{N}\right)\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)  \tag{5.95}\\
& \mathcal{F}_{3}\left(Z^{\perp}\right) P^{N}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{16 \pi}\left(\mathcal{T}_{k l m} \frac{b_{m}^{\perp} b_{l}^{\perp} b_{k}^{\perp}}{12 \pi} P^{N}\right)\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Then from (3.54), (5.88) and (5.95),

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{1} P^{N}\right)\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)=\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4} \mathcal{T}_{k k}\left(\bar{z}^{0}-\bar{z}^{\prime 0}\right)-\sqrt{-1} \mathcal{F}_{2}\left(z^{0}+\bar{z}^{\prime 0}, Z^{\perp}\right)\right.  \tag{5.96}\\
&\left.+\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}+\mathcal{F}_{3}\right)\left(Z^{\perp}\right)\right) P^{N}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Observe that $\mathcal{F}_{i}\left(Z^{\perp}\right)^{*}=\mathcal{F}_{i}\left(Z^{\perp}\right)$ for $i=1,3$, thus from (5.96),

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\left(-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4} \mathcal{T}_{k k}\left(z^{0}-z^{\prime 0}\right)+\sqrt{-1} \mathcal{F}_{2}\left(\bar{z}^{0}+z^{\prime 0}, Z^{\perp}\right)+\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}+\mathcal{F}_{3}\right)\left(Z^{\perp}\right)\right) P^{N}\left(Z^{\prime}, Z\right) \tag{5.97}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $h_{1}\left(z^{0}\right), h_{2}\left(\bar{z}^{0}\right)$ two linear functions on $z^{0}, \bar{z}^{0}$, by Theorem 3.1, (3.9) and (3.54),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(P_{\mathscr{L}} h_{1}\left(z^{0}\right) h_{2}\left(\bar{z}^{0}\right) P_{\mathscr{L}}\right)(0,0)=\left(P_{\mathscr{L}} h_{1}\left(z^{0}\right) \frac{\partial h_{2}}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}} \frac{b_{i}}{2 \pi} P_{\mathscr{L}}\right)(0,0)=\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\partial h_{1}}{\partial z_{i}^{0}} \frac{\partial h_{2}}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}} \tag{5.98}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.19), (5.77) and (5.96)-(5.98),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{1,3}\left(Z^{\perp}\right)=\left[\left(\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}+\mathcal{F}_{3}\right)\left(Z^{\perp}\right)\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{\pi}\left|\frac{1}{4} \sum_{k} \mathcal{T}_{k k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right)+\mathcal{F}_{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}, Z^{\perp}\right)\right|^{2}\right] G^{\perp}\left(Z^{\perp}\right)^{2} \tag{5.99}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 3.1, (3.18), (5.95), $\mathcal{F}_{j} G^{\perp}(j=1,3), \mathcal{F}_{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}, \cdot\right) G^{\perp}$ are eigenfunctions of $\mathscr{L}^{\perp}$ with eigenvalues $4 \pi j, 8 \pi$, thus they are orthogonal to each other.

From (5.77), (5.96)-(5.98), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{1,4}\left(Z^{\perp}\right)=G^{\perp}\left(Z^{\perp}\right)^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_{0}}}\left\{\left(\left(\mathcal{F}_{1} G^{\perp}\right)\left(Z^{\prime \perp}\right)\right)^{2}+\left(\left(\mathcal{F}_{3} G^{\perp}\right)\left(Z^{\prime \perp}\right)\right)^{2}\right.  \tag{5.100}\\
& \left.\quad+\frac{1}{16 \pi}\left|\sum_{k} \mathcal{T}_{k k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) G^{\perp}\right|^{2}\left(Z^{\prime \perp}\right)+\frac{1}{\pi}\left|\mathcal{F}_{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}} \cdot \cdot\right) G^{\perp}\right|^{2}\left(Z^{\prime \perp}\right)\right\} d v_{N_{G}}\left(Z^{\prime \perp}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.18), (5.77), (5.99), (5.100) and the above discussion, we get (5.94).
Now we need to compute the contribution from $-\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{O}_{2} P^{N}$.
Recall that we denote by $\left\rangle\right.$ the $\mathbb{C}$-bilinear form on $T B \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ induced by $g^{T B}$.
Lemma 5.11. - The following identity holds,

$$
\begin{align*}
&+\frac{1}{96 \pi}\left|T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{16 \pi}\left\langle T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, J e_{k}^{\perp}\right), T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right\rangle+\frac{13}{192 \pi}\left|T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}  \tag{5.101}\\
&+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{96 \pi}\left\langle 11 \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right)+4 \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right)\right)+7 \nabla_{e_{k}^{\frac{1}{0}}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right), J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
&\left.-\frac{2}{3 \pi} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}} \log h+\frac{1}{2 \pi} R^{E_{B}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right\} P_{\mathscr{L}^{\perp}}\left(Z^{\perp}, Z^{\perp}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. - By (3.9), (3.12), (3.54), (5.24) and (5.83),

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1} P^{N}=\left\{\frac{1}{2} b_{i}^{\perp} B\left(Z, \frac{\partial}{\partial Z_{i}^{\perp}}\right)+b_{j} B\left(Z, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\left(B\left(Z, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\left(B\left(Z, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right)\right)\right\} P^{N} \tag{5.102}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.55) and (5.102),

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mathscr{L}^{\perp}} I_{1} P^{N}=P_{\mathscr{L}^{\perp}}\left\{b_{j} B\left(Z, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\left(B\left(Z, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\left(B\left(Z, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right)\right)\right\} P^{N} \tag{5.103}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (5.46b), and observe that from Theorem 3.1, only the monomials which have even degree on $Z^{\perp}$ and $\nabla_{e_{j}^{\perp}}$, and which have also strictly positive degree on $Z^{0}$ and $\nabla_{0, e_{j}^{0}}$, have contributions in $P^{N^{\perp}} P_{\mathscr{L} \perp} I_{1} P^{N}$.

By Remark 5.2, (3.55) and (5.46b),

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{6}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\left\langle R^{T X_{G}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle-\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\left\langle R^{T X_{G}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle\right\} P^{N}  \tag{5.104}\\
=-\frac{\pi}{3} P^{N^{\perp}}\left\langle 2 R^{T X_{G}}\left(z^{0}, \bar{z}^{0}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}+R^{T X_{G}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) z^{0}+R^{T X_{G}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \bar{z}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle P^{N} .
\end{gather*}
$$

By (5.23), (5.93) and (5.104),

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} P_{\mathscr{L}} \perp\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\left(B\left(Z, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\left(B\left(Z, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right)\right)\right) P^{N}\right)\left(\left(0, Z^{\perp}\right),\left(0, Z^{\perp}\right)\right)  \tag{5.105}\\
=-\frac{1}{6 \pi}\left\langle R^{T X_{G}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}+R^{T X_{G}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle P_{\mathscr{L} \perp}\left(Z^{\perp}, Z^{\perp}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Observe that if $Q$ is an odd degree monomial on $b_{j}, b_{j}^{+}, z_{j}^{0}, \bar{z}_{j}^{0}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(Q P^{N}\right)\left(\left(0, Z^{\perp}\right),\left(0, Z^{\prime \perp}\right)\right)=0 \tag{5.106}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using this observation, (5.4) and (5.46b), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
&-\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} b_{j} B\left(Z, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right) P^{N}\right)\left(\left(0, Z^{\perp}\right),\left(0, Z^{\perp \perp}\right)\right)  \tag{5.107}\\
&= \pi \sqrt{-1}\left\{( \mathscr { L } _ { 2 } ^ { 0 } ) ^ { - 1 } P ^ { N ^ { \perp } } b _ { j } \left[\frac{1}{6}\left\langle R^{T X_{G}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle\right.\right. \\
&- \frac{5}{4}\left\langle\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right) Z_{k}^{\perp}+\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{\perp}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{k}^{0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right) Z_{k}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
&+\left\langle\frac{1}{2} R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}+\sqrt{-1} R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle \\
& \quad-\frac{3}{8} \sqrt{-1}\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{i}^{0}\right)\right\rangle\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, T\left(e_{i}^{0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right\rangle \\
&-\frac{1}{8}\langle \left\langle\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right), T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right), T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right\rangle \\
&-\left.\left.\frac{1}{8}\left\langle J T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right), e_{j}^{\perp}\right\rangle\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right)\right\rangle\right] P^{N}\right\}\left(\left(0, Z^{\perp}\right),\left(0, Z^{\perp \perp}\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.6), (3.54), (5.5b) and (5.84), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, e_{i}^{0}\right), T\left(e_{i}^{0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right\rangle=-2\left|T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2},  \tag{5.108a}\\
& P_{\mathscr{L}^{\perp}} Z_{k}^{\perp} Z_{l}^{\perp} P_{\mathscr{L}^{\perp}}=\frac{\delta_{k l}}{4 \pi} P_{\mathscr{L}^{\perp}} . \tag{5.108b}
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.54), (5.5e), (5.93), (5.107), (5.108a) and (5.108b),

$$
\begin{align*}
&-\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} P_{\mathscr{L}^{\perp}} b_{j} B\left(Z, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right) P^{N}\right)\left(\left(0, Z^{\perp}\right),\left(0, Z^{\perp}\right)\right)  \tag{5.109}\\
&=\left\{( \mathscr { L } _ { 2 } ^ { 0 } ) ^ { - 1 } P ^ { N ^ { \perp } } b _ { j } \left[\frac{\pi}{3}\left\langle R^{T X_{G}}\left(z^{0}, \bar{z}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle\right.\right. \\
&- \frac{5 \sqrt{-1}}{16}\left\langle\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right)+\nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{i}^{0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right) Z_{i}^{0}, J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
&+\frac{1}{8}\left\langle\sqrt{-1} R^{T B}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) e_{k}^{\perp}-2 R^{T B}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle \\
&+\frac{3}{32}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{i}^{0}\right), T\left(e_{i}^{0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right\rangle-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{32}\left\langle T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right), T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right\rangle \\
&+\left.\left.\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{8}\left\langle T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, J e_{k}^{\perp}\right), T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right\rangle\right] P^{N}\right\}\left(\left(0, Z^{\perp}\right),\left(0, Z^{\perp}\right)\right) \\
&=\{ -\frac{1}{12 \pi}\left\langle R^{T X_{G}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}+R^{T X_{G}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle \\
&+ \frac{5 \sqrt{-1}}{32 \pi}\left\langle\nabla^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right)\right)+\nabla_{e_{k}^{\frac{1}{k}}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right), J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
&+\frac{3}{16 \pi}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right) e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle+\frac{3}{32 \pi}\left|T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2} \\
&\left.-\frac{1}{64 \pi}\left|T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{16 \pi}\left\langle T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, J e_{k}^{\perp}\right), T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right\rangle\right\} P_{\mathscr{L}^{\perp}}\left(Z^{\perp}, Z^{\perp}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

For $G_{1}(Z)$ (resp. $G_{2}(Z)$ ) polynomials on $Z$ with degree 1 (resp. 2) and $F \in$ $T_{x_{0}}^{*} X_{G} \otimes T_{x_{0}}^{*} X_{G}$, by Theorem 3.1, (3.9), (3.12), (3.19), (3.54) and (3.55), for any $k, l$, $k^{\prime}, l^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{0, e_{j}^{\perp}} P^{N}=-2 \pi Z_{j}^{\perp} P^{N} \\
& P^{N^{\perp}} P_{\mathscr{L}}\left(G_{1}(Z) b_{k}^{\perp}+G_{2}(Z) b_{k}^{\perp} b_{l}^{\perp}+Z_{k^{\prime}}^{\perp} b_{l^{\prime}}\right) P^{N}=0 \\
& \begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{3}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{\perp}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right\rangle \nabla_{0, e_{i}^{\perp}} \nabla_{0, e_{j}^{\perp}} P^{N} \\
\quad=-\frac{2 \pi}{3}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right\rangle P^{N} \\
F\left(e_{i}^{0}, e_{j}^{0}\right) \nabla_{0, e_{i}^{0}} \nabla_{0, e_{j}^{0}} P^{N}=\left[F\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right) b_{i} b_{j}-4 \pi F\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right] P^{N}
\end{array} \tag{5.110}
\end{align*}
$$

By (5.24) and (5.110), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{2} P^{N}=\left\{\left(\left\langle\frac{1}{3} R^{T X_{G}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}+R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}+\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{T X_{G}}\left(A\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right), \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle\right.\right.  \tag{5.111}\\
&\left.-3\left\langle A\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, A\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle+\left\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}, \nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{T X_{G}}\left(A\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)\right\rangle\right) b_{i} b_{j} \\
&-4 \pi\left\langle\frac{1}{3} R^{T X_{G}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}+R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}+\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{T X_{G}}\left(A\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right), \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle \\
&\left.+12 \pi\left|A\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right|^{2}-4 \pi\left\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{T X_{G}}\left(A\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)\right\rangle-\frac{2 \pi}{3}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right\rangle\right\} P^{N} .
\end{align*}
$$

Observe that as $A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0} \in N_{G}$, we have at $x_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{T B}\left(A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}\right), e_{j}^{0}\right\rangle=\left\langle A\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right) A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}, e_{j}^{0}\right\rangle \tag{5.112}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus by $(3.12),(3.54),(3.55),(5.25),(5.108 \mathrm{~b}),(5.110)-(5.112), a_{j}=a_{j}^{\perp}=2 \pi$, and the arguments above (5.104),

$$
\begin{gather*}
P^{N^{\perp}} P_{\mathscr{L} \perp}\left\langle\Gamma_{i i}(\mathcal{R}), e_{l}\right\rangle \nabla_{0, e_{l}} P^{N}=-\frac{2}{3} P^{N^{\perp}}\left\langle R^{T X_{G}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle b_{j} P^{N}  \tag{5.113a}\\
P^{N^{\perp}} P_{\mathscr{L}^{\perp}} I_{2} P^{N}=P^{N^{\perp}}\left\{\left(\left\langle\frac{1}{3} R^{T X_{G}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle\right.\right.  \tag{5.113b}\\
+ \\
\left.+\left\langle\frac{1}{4 \pi} R^{T B}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle-\frac{3}{4 \pi}\left\langle A\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) e_{k}^{\perp}, A\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right) e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle\right) b_{i} b_{j} \\
\left.\quad-\frac{4 \pi}{3}\left\langle R^{T X_{G}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle\right\} P^{N} .
\end{gather*}
$$

By (3.6), (5.4), (5.93), (5.113a), (5.113b) and the fact that $R^{T X_{G}}($,$) is a (1,1)$ form, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} P_{\mathscr{L}^{\perp}}\left(I_{2}+\left\langle\Gamma_{i i}(\mathcal{R}), e_{l}\right\rangle \nabla_{0, e_{l}}\right) P^{N}\right)\left(\left(0, Z^{\perp}\right),\left(0, Z^{\perp}\right)\right)  \tag{5.114}\\
& \quad=\frac{1}{6 \pi}\left\{3\left\langle R^{T X_{G}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}+R^{T X_{G}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.-2\left\langle R^{T X_{G}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}+R^{T X_{G}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle\right\} P_{\mathscr{L}^{\perp}}\left(Z^{\perp}, Z^{\perp}\right) \\
& \\
& \quad=\frac{2}{3 \pi}\left\langle R^{T X_{G}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle P_{\mathscr{L}^{\perp}}\left(Z^{\perp}, Z^{\perp}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Now by (5.25), (5.46a), (5.84), (5.108b) and (5.110),

$$
\begin{gather*}
-P^{N^{\perp}} P_{\mathscr{L} \perp} \frac{1}{9} \sum_{i}\left[\sum_{j}\left(\partial_{j} R^{L_{B}}\right)_{x_{0}}\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{i}\right) Z_{j}\right]^{2} P^{N}=\frac{\pi}{4} P^{N^{\perp}}\left|T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{i}^{\perp}\right)\right|^{2} P^{N}, \\
-\frac{1}{4}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} P_{\mathscr{L} \perp}\left[K_{2}(\mathcal{R}), \mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right] P^{N}=\frac{1}{4} P^{N^{\perp}} P_{\mathscr{L} \perp} K_{2}(\mathcal{R}) P^{N}  \tag{5.115}\\
=\frac{1}{12} P^{N^{\perp}}\left\langle R^{T X_{G}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{i}^{0}\right\rangle P^{N} .
\end{gather*}
$$

By (5.13), (5.47), (5.49) and (5.50), as $T(\cdot, \cdot) \in T Y$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi}\left(\partial_{j}^{0} R^{L_{B}}\right)_{x_{0}}\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{i}^{0}\right)=-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, T\left(e_{j}^{0}, e_{i}^{0}\right)\right\rangle+\left\langle J A\left(e_{j}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{0}\right\rangle=0 \tag{5.116}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus by (3.9), (5.27), (5.46a), (5.115) and (5.116), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
-P^{N^{\perp}} P_{\mathscr{L} \perp} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{\prime} P^{N} & =P^{N^{\perp}} P_{\mathscr{L} \perp}\left\{-I_{1}-\left(I_{2}+\left\langle\Gamma_{i i}(\mathcal{R}), e_{l}\right\rangle \nabla_{0, e_{l}}\right)\right.  \tag{5.117}\\
& \left.-\frac{1}{4}\left[K_{2}(\mathcal{R}), \mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right]-R^{E_{B}}\left(\mathcal{R}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right) b_{j}-\frac{\pi}{4}\left|T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{i}^{\perp}\right)\right|^{2}\right\} P^{N} .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $R^{T X_{G}}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a (1,1)-form, by (3.54), (5.4), (5.93), (5.103), (5.105), (5.109), (5.114) and (5.117),

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} P_{\mathscr{L} \perp} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{\prime} P^{N}\right)\left(\left(0, Z^{\perp}\right),\left(0, Z^{\perp}\right)\right) \tag{5.118}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
=-\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} P_{\mathscr{L}^{\perp}}\left(I_{1}+I_{2}+\left\langle\Gamma_{i i}(\mathcal{R}), e_{l}\right\rangle \nabla_{0, e_{l}}\right) P^{N}\right)\left(\left(0, Z^{\perp}\right),\left(0, Z^{\perp}\right)\right)
$$

$$
+\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left\{R^{E_{B}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)+\frac{1}{3}\left\langle R^{T X_{G}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{4}\left|T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}}, e_{i}^{\perp}\right)\right|^{2}\right\} P_{\mathscr{L}}\left(Z^{\perp}, Z^{\perp}\right)
$$

$$
=\left\{\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left\langle R^{T X_{G}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle+\frac{3}{16 \pi}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right) e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle\right.
$$

$$
+\frac{3}{32 \pi}\left|T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{16 \pi}\left\langle T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, J e_{k}^{\perp}\right), T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right\rangle+\frac{7}{64 \pi}\left|T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}
$$

$$
+\frac{5 \sqrt{-1}}{32 \pi}\left\langle\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{\bar{j}}}\right)\right)+\nabla_{e_{\frac{k}{k}}^{T Y}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right), J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle
$$

$$
\left.+\frac{1}{2 \pi} R^{E_{B}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{J}}\right)\right\} P_{\mathscr{L}} \perp\left(Z^{\perp}, Z^{\perp}\right)
$$

By (3.54), (5.63), (5.84), (5.108b), (5.110) and the arguments above (5.104),

$$
\text { 19) } \begin{align*}
& 4 \pi^{2} P^{N^{\perp}} P_{\mathscr{L}^{\perp}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{\prime \prime} P^{N}=4 \pi^{2} P^{N^{\perp}} P_{\mathscr{L}^{\perp}}\left\{-\frac{1}{3}\left\langle\left(\nabla^{T Y} \dot{g}^{T Y}\right)_{\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{0}\right)} J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle\right.  \tag{5.119}\\
+ & \frac{1}{6}\left\langle\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{j}^{\perp}, J_{x_{0}} e_{i}^{0}\right)\right) Z_{j}^{\perp} Z_{i}^{0}+\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{\perp}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{j}^{0}, J_{x_{0}} e_{i}^{0}\right)\right) Z_{j}^{0} Z_{i}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{3}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{12} \sum_{l}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{l}\right), J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle^{2}\right\} P^{N} \\
= & \frac{\pi}{3} P^{N^{\perp}}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, J_{x_{0}} e_{i}^{0}\right)\right) Z_{i}^{0}+\nabla_{e_{k}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{j}^{0}, J_{x_{0}} e_{i}^{0}\right)\right) Z_{j}^{0} Z_{i}^{0}, J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle\right. \\
- & \left.\left\langle\left(\nabla^{T Y} \dot{g}^{T Y}\right)_{\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{0}\right)} J e_{k}^{\perp}, J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle+\left\langle R^{T B}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{4}\left|T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{l}\right)\right|^{2}\right\} P^{N} .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\left\{f_{l}\right\}$ be an orthonormal frame of $T Y$ on $X$.
As $\nabla^{T Y}$ preserves the metric $g^{T Y}$, by (1.4), (1.24),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\left(\nabla_{e_{i}^{0}}^{T Y} \dot{g}_{e_{j}^{0}}^{T Y}\right) f_{l}, f_{l}\right\rangle=\nabla_{e_{i}^{0}}\left\langle\dot{g}_{e_{j}^{0}}^{T Y} f_{l}, f_{l}\right\rangle=4 \nabla_{e_{i}^{0}} \nabla_{e_{j}^{0}} \log h . \tag{5.120}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $\left\{J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $T Y$ along the fiber $Y_{x_{0}}$ and $\left\{e_{l}\right\}=\left\{e_{i}^{0}\right\} \cup$ $\left\{e_{k}^{\perp}\right\}$.

By (3.54), (5.93), (5.108a), (5.119) and (5.120),
(5.121) $-4 \pi^{2}\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} P_{\mathscr{L} \perp} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{\prime \prime} P^{N}\right)\left(\left(0, Z^{\perp}\right),\left(0, Z^{\perp}\right)\right)$

$$
\left.\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
=\frac{1}{4 \pi}\left\{\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{6}\langle \right. & \left\langle\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right)\right.
\end{array}\right) \quad \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right)\right)-2 \nabla_{e_{k}^{\frac{1}{0}}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right), J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle\right)
$$

By (5.74), (5.77), (5.118) and (5.121), we get (5.101). The proof of Lemma 5.11 is complete.

### 5.4. Final computations: the proof of Theorem 0.6

By (3.40), (5.3), (5.5a), (5.6a) and (5.32), as $J e_{k}^{\perp} \in T Y$ on $P$, we get at $x_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{e_{i}^{0}}^{T Y} J e_{k}^{\perp}=P^{T Y} \nabla_{e_{i}^{0}}^{T X} J e_{k}^{\perp}=P^{T Y} J \nabla_{e_{i}^{0}}^{T X} e_{k}^{\perp}=0, \\
& \nabla_{e_{i}^{0}}^{T B} J e_{j}^{0}=\nabla_{e_{i}^{0}}^{T X} J e_{j}^{0}+A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) J e_{j}^{0}=-\frac{1}{2} J T\left(e_{i}^{0}, e_{j}^{0}\right)=\nabla_{e_{i}^{0}}^{T B}\left(J_{x_{0}} e_{j}^{0}\right) . \tag{5.122}
\end{align*}
$$

By (1.6), (1.24), (5.5c) and (5.122), as in (5.120), at $x_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\nabla_{e_{i}^{0}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{0}\right)\right), J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle_{x_{0}} & =-2\left\langle\nabla_{e_{i}^{0}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(J e_{j}^{0}, J e_{k}^{\perp}\right)\right), J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle  \tag{5.123}\\
& =-\left\langle\left(\nabla_{e_{i}^{0} Y}^{T Y} \dot{g}_{J e_{j}^{0}}^{T Y}\right) J e_{k}^{\perp}, J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle=-4 \nabla_{e_{i}^{0}} \nabla_{J_{x_{0}} e_{j}^{0}} \log h
\end{align*}
$$

By (1.21) and (5.123), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sqrt{-1}\left\langle\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right), J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle=-4 \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}} \log h=\Delta_{X_{G}} \log h, \\
& \sqrt{-1}\left\langle\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right)\right), J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle=-\Delta_{X_{G}} \log h . \tag{5.124}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $T\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)=-\left[e_{i}^{H}, e_{j}^{H}\right]$, as $\left[e_{i}, e_{j}\right]=0$. By (1.4) and (1.6) and the Jacobi identity,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp, H}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{i}^{0, H}, e_{j}^{0, H}\right)\right)=  \tag{5.125}\\
&=-\left[e_{k}^{\perp, H},\left[e_{i}^{0, H}, e_{j}^{0, H}\right]\right]+T\left(e_{k}^{\perp, H}, T\left(e_{i}^{0, H}, e_{j}^{0, H}\right)\right) \\
&=\left.\left.\nabla_{e_{i}^{0, H}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{k}^{\perp, H}, e_{j}^{0, H}\right)\right)-L_{e_{j}^{0, H}}^{0, H}\right)\right)- \\
& \nabla_{e_{j}^{0, H}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{k}^{\perp, H}, e_{i}^{0, H}\right)\right)+T\left(e_{k}^{\perp, H}, T\left(e_{i}^{0, H}, e_{j}^{0, H}\right)\right) \\
&+T\left(e_{j}^{0, H}, T\left(e_{k}^{\perp, H}, e_{i}^{0, H}\right)\right)+T\left(e_{i}^{0, H}, T\left(e_{k}^{\perp, H}, e_{j}^{0, H}\right)\right) \\
&
\end{align*}
$$

Thus by Theorem 5.1, (5.124) and (5.125),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sqrt{-1}\left\langle\nabla_{e_{k}^{\frac{\perp}{k}}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right), J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle  \tag{5.126}\\
& =\sqrt{-1}\left\{2\left\langle\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right), J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle-\left\langle T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, J e_{k}^{\perp}\right), T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left\langle T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}, J e_{k}^{\perp}\right), T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right)\right\rangle+\left\langle T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, J e_{k}^{\perp}\right), T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right\rangle\right\} \\
& \quad=2 \Delta_{X_{G}} \log h+\left|T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}+\sqrt{-1}\left\langle T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, J e_{k}^{\perp}\right), T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

By $T\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)=-\left[e_{i}^{H}, e_{j}^{H}\right],(3.40),(5.6 \mathrm{a})$ and (5.55), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& R^{T X}\left(e_{k}^{H}, e_{j}^{H}\right) e_{i}^{H}=\nabla_{e_{k}^{H}}^{T X} \nabla_{e_{j}^{H}}^{T X} e_{i}^{H}-\nabla_{e_{j}^{H}}^{T X} \nabla_{e_{k}^{H}}^{T X} e_{i}^{H}-\nabla_{\left[e_{k}^{H}, e_{j}^{H}\right]}^{T X} e_{i}^{H}  \tag{5.127}\\
&=R^{T B}\left(e_{k}, e_{j}\right) e_{i}-\frac{1}{2} T\left(e_{k}, \nabla_{e_{j}}^{T B} e_{i}\right)+\frac{1}{2} T\left(e_{j}, \nabla_{e_{k}}^{T B} e_{i}\right) \\
&-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{e_{k}^{H}}^{T X}\left(T\left(e_{j}, e_{i}\right)\right)+\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{e_{j}^{H}}^{T X}\left(T\left(e_{k}, e_{i}\right)\right)+\nabla_{T\left(e_{k}^{H}, e_{j}^{H}\right.}^{T X} e_{i}^{H} \\
&\left\langle R^{T X}\left(e_{k}^{\perp, H}, e_{j}^{0, H}\right)\left(J_{x_{0}} e_{j}^{0}\right)^{H}, J_{x_{0}} e_{k}^{\perp, H}\right\rangle=\left\langle R^{T X}\left(e_{k}^{\perp, H}, e_{j}^{0, H}\right) e_{j}^{0, H}, e_{k}^{\perp, H}\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

By (5.5a), (5.6a), (5.13), (5.32), (5.122) and $T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{0}\right) \in T Y$, at $x_{0},\left(J_{x_{0}} e_{i}\right)^{H}=J e_{i}^{H}$ on $P$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{e_{j}^{0}}^{T B}\left(J_{x_{0}} e_{j}^{0}\right)=0, \quad \nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp}}^{T B}\left(J_{x_{0}} e_{j}^{0}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle T\left(e_{j}^{0}, e_{l}^{0}\right), J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle e_{l}^{0}  \tag{5.128}\\
& \left\langle\nabla_{T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{0}\right)}^{T X}\left(J_{x_{0}} e_{i}^{0}\right)^{H}, J_{x_{0}} e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle=\left\langle\nabla_{T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{0}\right)}^{T X} e_{i}^{0, H}, e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

We apply now the first equation of (5.127) into the second equation of (5.127), by using (1.8) and (5.128) and $T($,$) is a (1,1)-form, we get at x_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{4}\left|T\left(e_{j}^{0}, e_{l}^{0}\right)\right|^{2}+\left\langle-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{j}^{0}, J_{x_{0}} e_{j}^{0}\right)\right)+\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{e_{j}^{0}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, J_{x_{0}} e_{j}^{0}\right)\right), J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle  \tag{5.129}\\
&=\left\langle R^{T B}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{0}, e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle+ \frac{1}{2}\left\langle\nabla_{e_{j}^{0}}^{T X}\left(T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{0}\right)\right), e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
&=\left\langle R^{T B}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{0}, e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{4}\left|T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{l}^{0}\right)\right|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, from $(3.6),(5.124),(5.126)$ and $(5.129)$ and $T($,$) is a (1,1)$-form, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& 4\left\langle R^{T B}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}, e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle=2 \sqrt{-1}\left\langle\nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right), J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle  \tag{5.130}\\
& \left.\begin{array}{rl}
-2 \sqrt{-1}\left\langle\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{T Y}}^{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right.
\end{array}\left(T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right), J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle+\mid
\end{align*}\left|T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}+2\left|T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2} .
$$

From (5.124)-(5.130),

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{96 \pi}\left\langle 11 \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right)+4 \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right)\right)+7 \nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right), J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle  \tag{5.131}\\
+\frac{1}{48 \pi}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right) e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{16 \pi}\left\langle T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, J e_{k}^{\perp}\right), T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right\rangle \\
=\frac{5}{24 \pi} \Delta_{X_{G}} \log h+\frac{11}{192 \pi}\left|T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{96 \pi}\left|T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2} .
\end{gather*}
$$

By (3.19), (5.77), (5.82), (5.101) and (5.131),

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{1,1}+\Phi_{1,2}= & \frac{1}{2 \pi}\left\langle R^{T X_{G}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle  \tag{5.132}\\
& +\frac{3}{8 \pi} \Delta_{X_{G}} \log h+\frac{1}{2 \pi} R^{E_{B}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{16 \pi} r_{x_{0}}^{X_{G}}+\frac{3}{8 \pi} \Delta_{X_{G}} \log h+\frac{1}{4 \pi} R_{x_{0}}^{E_{G}}\left(w_{j}^{0}, \bar{w}_{j}^{0}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

From Lemma 5.10, (5.81) and (5.132), we get (0.25).
Recall that we compute everything on $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)$.
From (5.18), (5.19), (5.22), (5.23), comparing to (2.109), we know that in (0.20), $\Phi_{r}\left(x_{0}\right) \in \operatorname{End}\left(E_{G}\right)_{x_{0}}$, and the term $r^{X}, R^{\text {det }}$ will not appear here, and $\tau=2 \pi n$, thus we get the remainder part of Theorem 0.6 from Corollary 0.4.

The proof of Theorem 0.6 is complete.

### 5.5. Coefficient $\Phi_{1}$ : general case

We use the general assumption at the beginning of this Chapter, but we do not suppose that $\mathbf{J}=J$ in (0.2).

Let $\bar{\partial}^{L^{p} \otimes E, *}$ be the formal adjoint of the Dolbeault operator $\bar{\partial}^{L^{p} \otimes E}$ on the Dolbeault complex $\Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)$ with the scalar product $\left\rangle\right.$ induced by $g^{T X}, h^{L}, h^{E}$ as in Section 2.2. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{p}=\sqrt{2}\left(\bar{\partial}^{L^{p} \otimes E}+\bar{\partial}^{L^{p} \otimes E, *}\right) \tag{5.133}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{p}^{2}=2\left(\bar{\partial}^{L^{p} \otimes E} \bar{\partial}^{L^{p} \otimes E, *}+\bar{\partial}^{L^{p} \otimes E, *} \bar{\partial}^{L^{p} \otimes E}\right) \tag{5.134}
\end{equation*}
$$

preserves the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading of $\Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)$.
For $p$ large enough,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ker} D_{p}=\operatorname{Ker} D_{p}^{2}=H^{0}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right) \tag{5.135}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $D_{p}$ need not be a $\operatorname{spin}^{c}$ Dirac operator on $\Omega^{0, \bullet}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)$.

Let $P_{p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\left(x, x^{\prime} \in X\right)$ be the smooth kernel of the orthogonal projection $P_{p}^{G}$ from $\left(\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right),\langle\quad\rangle\right)$ onto $\left(\operatorname{Ker} D_{p}^{2}\right)^{G}$ with respect to the Riemannian volume form $d v_{X}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ for $p$ large enough.

We explain now how to reduce the study of the asymptotic expansion of $P_{p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ to the $\mathbf{J}=J$ case.

Let $g_{\omega}^{T X}(\cdot, \cdot):=\omega(\cdot, J \cdot)$ be the metric on $T X$ induced by $\omega, J$. We will use a subscript $\omega$ to indicate the objects corresponding to $g_{\omega}^{T X}$, especially $r_{\omega}^{X}$ is the scalar curvature of $\left(T X, g_{\omega}^{T X}\right)$, and $\Delta_{X_{G}, \omega}$ is the Bochner-Laplace operator on $X_{G}$ as in (1.21) associated to $g_{\omega}^{T X_{G}}$.

Let $\operatorname{det}_{\mathbb{C}}$ denote the determinant function on the complex bundle $T^{(1,0)} X$, and $|\mathbf{J}|=\left(-\mathbf{J}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}$.

Let $h_{\omega}^{E}:=\left(\operatorname{det}_{\mathbb{C}}|\mathbf{J}|\right)^{-1} h^{E}$ define a metric on $E$. Let $R_{\omega}^{E}$ be the curvature associated to the holomorphic Hermitian connection on $\left(E, h_{\omega}^{E}\right)$.

Let $\left\rangle_{\omega}\right.$ be the Hermitian product on $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)$ induced by $g_{\omega}^{T X}, h^{L}, h_{\omega}^{E}$ as in (1.19), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right),\langle\quad\rangle_{\omega}\right)=\left(\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right),\langle\quad\rangle\right), \quad d v_{X, \omega}=\left(\operatorname{det}_{\mathbb{C}}|\mathbf{J}|\right) d v_{X} \tag{5.136}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that $H^{0}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)$ does not depend on $g^{T X}, h^{L}, h^{E}$.
Let $P_{\omega, p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\left(x, x^{\prime} \in X\right)$ be the smooth kernel of the orthogonal projection $P_{\omega, p}^{G}$ from $\left(\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right),\langle\quad\rangle_{\omega}\right)$ onto $H^{0}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)^{G}$ with respect to $d v_{X, \omega}(x)$.

By (5.136),

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\left(\operatorname{det}_{\mathbb{C}}|\mathbf{J}|\right)\left(x^{\prime}\right) P_{\omega, p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \tag{5.137}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will use the trivialization in Introduction corresponding to $g_{\omega}^{T X}$.
Since $g_{\omega}^{T X}(\cdot, \cdot)=\omega(\cdot, J \cdot)$ is a Kähler metric on $T X, D_{\omega, p}$ is a Dirac operator (cf. Def. 2.1). Thus Theorems 0.1, 0.2 hold for $P_{\omega, p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$.

Let $d v_{B}$ be the volume form on $B$ induced by $g^{T X}$ as in Introduction.
As in (0.11), let $\widetilde{\kappa} \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(\left.T B\right|_{X_{G}}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ be defined by for $Z \in T_{x_{0}} B, x_{0} \in X_{G}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d v_{B}\left(x_{0}, Z\right)=\widetilde{\kappa}\left(x_{0}, Z\right) d v_{X_{G}, \omega}\left(x_{0}\right) d v_{N_{G, \omega, x_{0}}} \tag{5.138}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in (0.17), we introduce $\mathscr{I}_{p}\left(x_{0}\right)$ a section of $\operatorname{End}\left(E_{G}\right)$ on $X_{G}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{I}_{p}\left(x_{0}\right)=\int_{Z \in N_{G, \omega},|Z| \leqslant \varepsilon_{0}} h^{2}\left(x_{0}, Z\right) P_{p}^{G} \circ \Psi_{\omega}\left(\left(x_{0}, Z\right),\left(x_{0}, Z\right)\right) \widetilde{\kappa}\left(x_{0}, Z\right) d v_{N_{G, \omega, x_{0}}} \tag{5.139}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the analogue of (0.18) is

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Ker} D_{p}\right)^{G}=\int_{X_{G}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathscr{I}_{p}\left(x_{0}\right)\right] d v_{X_{G}, \omega}\left(x_{0}\right)+\mathscr{O}\left(p^{-\infty}\right)
$$

Summarizes, we have the following result,
Theorem 5.12. - The smooth kernel $P_{p}^{G}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ has a full off-diagonal asymptotic expansion analogous to (0.14) with $\mathcal{Q}_{0}=\left(\operatorname{det}_{\mathbb{C}}|\mathbf{J}|\right) \operatorname{Id}_{E_{G}}$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. There exist
$\Phi_{r}\left(x_{0}\right) \in \operatorname{End}\left(E_{G}\right)_{x_{0}}$ polynomials in $A_{\omega}, R_{\omega}^{T B}, R^{E_{B}}, \mu^{E}, R^{E}$ (resp. $h_{\omega}, R^{L_{B}}$; resp. $\mu)$ and their derivatives at $x_{0}$ to order $2 r-1$ (resp. $2 r$, resp. $2 r+1$ ), and $\Phi_{0}=\operatorname{Id}_{E_{G}}$ such that (0.25) holds for $\mathscr{I}_{p}$. Moreover
$\Phi_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{8 \pi}\left[r_{\omega}^{X_{G}}+6 \Delta_{X_{G}, \omega} \log \left(\left.h_{\omega}\right|_{X_{G}}\right)-2 \Delta_{X_{G}, \omega}\left(\log \left(\operatorname{det}_{\mathbb{C}}|\mathbf{J}|\right)\right)+4 R^{E_{G}}\left(w_{\omega, j}^{0}, \bar{w}_{\omega, j}^{0}\right)\right]$.
Here $\left\{w_{\omega, j}\right\}$ is an orthogonal basis of $\left(T^{(1,0)} X_{G}, g_{\omega}^{T X_{G}}\right)$.
Proof. - By (5.136), $\operatorname{det}_{\mathbb{C}}|\mathbf{J}| h^{2} d v_{B}=d v_{B, \omega} h_{\omega}^{2}$. Thus by (5.136)-(5.139),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{I}_{p}\left(x_{0}\right)=\int_{Z \in N_{G, \omega},|Z| \leqslant \varepsilon_{0}} h_{\omega}^{2}\left(x_{0}, Z\right) P_{\omega, p}^{G} \circ \Psi_{\omega}\left(\left(x_{0}, Z\right),\left(x_{0}, Z\right)\right) \kappa_{\omega}\left(x_{0}, Z\right) d v_{N_{G}, \omega}(Z) \tag{5.141}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the above discussion, only (5.140) reminds to be proved. But

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\omega}^{E_{G}}=R^{E_{G}}-\bar{\partial} \partial \log \left(\operatorname{det}_{\mathbb{C}}|\mathbf{J}|\right) \tag{5.142}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 R_{\omega}^{E_{G}}\left(w_{\omega, j}^{0}, \bar{w}_{\omega, j}^{0}\right)=2 R^{E_{G}}\left(w_{\omega, j}^{0}, \bar{w}_{\omega, j}^{0}\right)-\Delta_{X_{G}, \omega} \log \left(\operatorname{det}_{\mathbb{C}}|\mathbf{J}|\right) \tag{5.143}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (5.140) is from (0.7) and (5.141).

## CHAPTER 6

## THE COEFFICIENT $P^{(2)}(0,0)$

The main purpose in this Chapter is to compute $P^{(2)}(0,0)$ in ( 0.16 ). The formula for $P^{(2)}(0,0)$ in Theorem 0.7 is quite complicate, it involves $h$, the volume function of the orbit and the curvature for the principal bundle $P \rightarrow X_{G}$.

This Chapter is organized as follows. In Section 6.1, we compute the contribution of $\Psi_{1,1}, \Psi_{1,3}, \Psi_{1,4}$ in $(5.77)$ for $P^{(2)}(0,0)$. In Section 6.2, we compute the contribution of $\Psi_{1,2}$ in $(5.77)$ for $P^{(2)}(0,0)$. In Section 6.3, we prove Theorem 0.7.

In this Chapter, we use the same notations and assumption as in Sections 5.1 and 5.2.

### 6.1. The terms $\Psi_{1,1}, \Psi_{1,3}, \Psi_{1,4}$

As in (5.81), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{(2)}(0,0)=\left(\Psi_{1,1}+\Psi_{1,2}\right)(0)+\left(\Psi_{1,1}+\Psi_{1,2}\right)^{*}(0)+\left(\Psi_{1,3}-\Psi_{1,4}\right)(0) \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $H_{k}(x)$ be the Hermite polynomial,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{k}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k / 2\rfloor}(-1)^{j} \frac{k!(2 x)^{k-2 j}}{j!(k-2 j)!} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\lfloor k / 2\rfloor$ is the integer part of $k / 2$.
By $[42, \S 8.6]$ (cf. [31, Append. E]), (3.8) and $a_{l}^{\perp}=2 \pi$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(b_{l}^{\perp}\right)^{k} e^{-\pi\left|Z_{l}^{\perp}\right|^{2}}=(2 \pi)^{k / 2} H_{k}\left(\sqrt{2 \pi} Z_{l}^{\perp}\right) e^{-\pi\left|Z_{l}^{\perp}\right|^{2}} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Especially, for $l$ fixed, $i \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\left(b_{l}^{\perp}\right)^{2 i+1} e^{-\pi\left|Z_{l}^{\perp}\right|^{2}}\right)(0)=0, \\
& \left(\left(b_{l}^{\perp}\right)^{2} e^{-\pi\left|Z_{l}^{\perp}\right|^{2}}\right)(0)=-4 \pi, \quad\left(\left(b_{l}^{\perp}\right)^{4} e^{-\pi\left|Z_{l}^{\perp}\right|^{2}}\right)(0)=3 \cdot(4 \pi)^{2},  \tag{6.4}\\
& \left(\left(b_{l}^{\perp}\right)^{6} e^{-\pi\left|Z_{l}^{\perp}\right|^{2}}\right)(0)=15 \cdot(-4 \pi)^{3} .
\end{align*}
$$

Recall that when we meet the operation $\left|\left.\right|^{2}\right.$, we will first do this operation, then take the sum of the indices. Thus $\left|\mathcal{T}_{i j k}\right|^{2}$ means $\sum_{i j k}\left|\mathcal{T}_{i j k}\right|^{2}$, etc.

By (3.22), (5.95) and (6.4),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{2}(\cdot, 0)=-\frac{1}{8} \mathcal{T}_{k k} ; \quad P^{N}(0,0)=2^{n_{0} / 2} \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (5.99), (6.4) and (6.5), we know

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{1,3}(0)=\frac{2^{n_{0} / 2}}{\pi}\left|\frac{1}{4} \sum_{k} \mathcal{T}_{k k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right)+\mathcal{F}_{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}, 0\right)\right|^{2}=\frac{2^{n_{0} / 2}}{64 \pi}\left|\sum_{k} \mathcal{T}_{k k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.17), (3.18), (3.54), (5.100) and $a_{i}^{\perp}=2 \pi$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{1,4}(0)=G^{\perp}(0)^{2}\left\{\frac{1}{4 \pi} \sum_{k} \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}\right)^{2}+\frac{6 \cdot(4 \pi)^{3}}{\left(192 \pi^{2}\right)^{2}}\left|\mathcal{T}_{k l m}\right|^{2}\right.  \tag{6.7}\\
&\left.+\frac{1}{16 \pi}\left|\sum_{k} \mathcal{T}_{k k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}+\frac{2 \cdot(4 \pi)^{2}}{\pi \cdot(32 \pi)^{2}}\left|\mathcal{T}_{k l}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}\right\} \\
&=\frac{2^{n_{0} / 2}}{4 \pi}\left\{\sum_{k} \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{24}\left|\mathcal{T}_{k l m}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left|\sum_{k} \mathcal{T}_{k k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{8}\left|\mathcal{T}_{k l}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 6.1. - The following identity holds,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{1,1}(0)=\left\{-\frac{19}{2^{6} \cdot 3 \pi}\left|\mathcal{T}_{j j^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}-\frac{11}{2^{7} \cdot 3 \pi} \mathcal{T}_{k l m}^{2}+\frac{1}{2^{8} \pi} \mathcal{T}_{k k m} \mathcal{T}_{l l m}\right.  \tag{6.8}\\
& \left.\quad-\frac{5}{2^{7} \pi} \mathcal{T}_{j j}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{l}^{0}}\right) \mathcal{T}_{k k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{l}^{0}}\right)-\frac{1}{8 \pi} \sum_{k} \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{16 \pi} \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}\right) \mathcal{T}_{k l l}\right\} P^{N}(0,0)
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. - Recall that $\mathcal{F}_{1} \in N_{G, x_{0}}^{*} \otimes \operatorname{End}\left(E_{G, x_{0}}\right)$ was defined in (5.95). Set

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{I}_{1}=-\sqrt{-1}\left(\mathcal{T}_{j j^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}\right) \frac{b_{i}^{+}}{8 \pi}\left(b_{j}^{\perp} b_{j^{\prime}}^{\perp}+4 \pi \delta_{j j^{\prime}}\right)+\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{T}_{j j^{\prime}}\left(z^{0}\right) b_{j}^{\perp} b_{j^{\prime}}^{\perp}\right) \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{8 \pi} b_{l} \mathcal{T}_{k k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{l}^{0}}\right)  \tag{6.9}\\
& \mathcal{I}_{2}=\sqrt{-1}\left(\mathcal{T}_{j j^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) b_{i} \frac{B_{j j^{\prime}}^{\perp}}{8 \pi}+\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{T}_{j j^{\prime}}\left(\bar{z}^{0}\right)\left(b_{j}^{\perp+} b_{j^{\prime}}^{\perp+}-b_{j}^{\perp} b_{j^{\prime}}^{\perp}\right)\right) \frac{-\sqrt{-1}}{32 \pi} \mathcal{T}_{k l}\left(z^{0}\right) b_{k}^{\perp} b_{l}^{\perp} \\
& \mathcal{I}_{3}=-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{8 \pi} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{i j j^{\prime}}\left(b_{j}^{\perp} b_{j^{\prime}}^{\perp+}+b_{j}^{\perp} b_{j^{\prime}}^{\perp}\right) b_{i}^{\perp+}\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}\right) \frac{b_{k}^{\perp}}{4 \pi}+\mathcal{T}_{k l m} \frac{b_{k}^{\perp} b_{l}^{\perp} b_{m}^{\perp}}{192 \pi^{2}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Observe that by (5.93), when we evaluate $\Psi_{1,1}$ in (5.77), in each monomial, if the total degree of $b_{l}, \bar{z}^{0}$ is not as same as the total degree of $b_{l}^{+}, z^{0}$, then the contribution of this term is 0 . Thus by (3.9), (3.54), (5.77), (5.84), (5.87), (5.88), (5.95) and (6.9),
$\Psi_{1,1}\left(Z^{\perp}\right)=\left\{\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}}\left[\mathcal{I}_{1}+\mathcal{I}_{2}+\mathcal{I}_{3}\right.\right.$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.+\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\left(e_{j}^{\perp}\right)\left(b_{j}^{\perp+}+b_{j}^{\perp}\right)+\mathcal{T}_{i j j^{\prime}} \frac{B_{i j j^{\prime}}^{\perp}}{16 \pi}\right)\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}\right) \frac{b_{k}^{\perp}}{4 \pi}+\mathcal{T}_{k l m} \frac{b_{k}^{\perp} b_{l}^{\perp} b_{m}^{\perp}}{192 \pi^{2}}\right)\right] P^{N}\right\}\left(Z^{\perp}, Z^{\perp}\right) \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.8), (3.19) and (6.4),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(b_{j} z_{i}^{0} P^{N}\right)(0,0)=-2 \delta_{i j} P^{N}(0,0), \quad\left(b_{k}^{\perp} b_{l}^{\perp} b_{j} z_{i}^{0} P^{N}\right)(0,0)=8 \pi \delta_{i j} \delta_{k l} P^{N}(0,0) \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Theorem 3.1, (3.9), (3.54), (6.4), (6.9) and (6.11),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 2) } \begin{aligned}
&\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{I}_{1} P^{N}\right)(0,0) \\
&=\frac{1}{32 \pi}\left\{\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{T}_{k k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{l}^{0}}\right)\left(4 \mathcal{T}_{j j^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{l}^{0}}\right) b_{j}^{\perp} b_{j^{\prime}}^{\perp}+b_{l} b_{j}^{\perp} b_{j^{\prime}}^{\perp} \mathcal{T}_{j j^{\prime}}\left(z^{0}\right)\right) P^{N}\right\}(0,0) \\
&= \frac{1}{32 \pi} \mathcal{T}_{k k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{l}^{0}}\right)\left\{\left(\mathcal{T}_{j j^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{l}^{0}}\right) \frac{b_{j}^{\perp} b_{j^{\prime}}^{\perp}}{2 \pi}+\frac{b_{l} b_{j}^{\perp} b_{j^{\prime}}^{\perp}}{12 \pi} \mathcal{T}_{j j^{\prime}}\left(z^{0}\right)\right) P^{N}\right\}(0,0) \\
&=-\frac{1}{24 \pi} \mathcal{T}_{j j}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{l}^{0}}\right) \mathcal{T}_{k k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{l}^{0}}\right) P^{N}(0,0)
\end{aligned} \tag{6.12}
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.9), (3.54), (5.5d), (5.14), (5.84) and (6.9),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{I}_{2} P^{N}\right)\left(Z,\left(0, Z^{\prime \perp}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{2^{8} \pi^{2}}\left\{P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{T}_{j j^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right)\right.  \tag{6.13}\\
& \left.\left[b_{i} \mathcal{T}_{k l}\left(z^{0}\right) B_{j j^{\prime}}^{\perp}+\left(b_{j}^{\perp+} b_{j^{\prime}}^{\perp+}-b_{j}^{\perp} b_{j^{\prime}}^{\perp}\right) \mathcal{T}_{k l}\left(z^{0}\right) b_{i}\right] b_{k}^{\perp} b_{l}^{\perp} P^{N}\right\}\left(Z,\left(0, Z^{\prime \perp}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{8} \pi^{2}} \mathcal{T}_{j j^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right)\left\{P ^ { N ^ { \perp } } \left[b_{i} \mathcal{T}_{k l}\left(z^{0}\right)\left(2 b_{j}^{\perp+} b_{j^{\prime}}^{\perp+}+2 b_{j}^{\perp} b_{j^{\prime}}^{\perp+}+4 \pi \delta_{j j^{\prime}}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad+2 \mathcal{T}_{k l}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}\right)\left(b_{j}^{\perp+} b_{j^{\prime}}^{\perp+}-b_{j}^{\perp} b_{j^{\prime}}^{\perp}\right)\right] b_{k}^{\perp} b_{l}^{\perp} P^{N}\right\}\left(Z,\left(0, Z^{\prime \perp}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{8} \pi^{2}} \mathcal{T}_{j j^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right)\left\{b_{i}\left(64 \pi^{2} \mathcal{T}_{j j^{\prime}}\left(z^{0}\right)+16 \pi \mathcal{T}_{k j^{\prime}}\left(z^{0}\right) b_{j}^{\perp} b_{k}^{\perp}+4 \pi \delta_{j j^{\prime}} \mathcal{T}_{k l}\left(z^{0}\right) b_{k}^{\perp} b_{l}^{\perp}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-2 \mathcal{T}_{k l}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}\right) b_{j}^{\perp} b_{j^{\prime}}^{\perp} b_{k}^{\perp} b_{l}^{\perp} P^{N}\right\}\left(Z,\left(0, Z^{\prime \perp}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

If $\alpha_{j j^{\prime}}, \beta_{k l} \in \mathbb{C}$ for $j, j^{\prime}, k, l \in\left\{1, \cdots, n_{0}\right\}$ and $\beta_{k l}$ is symmetric on $k, l$, then by (3.22) and (6.4),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\alpha_{j j^{\prime}} \beta_{k l} b_{j}^{\perp} b_{j^{\prime}}^{\perp} b_{k}^{\perp} b_{l}^{\perp} P^{N}\right)(0,0)  \tag{6.14}\\
& =\left\{\left[\sum_{k \neq l}\left(2 \alpha_{k l} \beta_{k l}+\alpha_{k k} \beta_{l l}\right)\left(b_{k}^{\perp}\right)^{2}\left(b_{l}^{\perp}\right)^{2}+\alpha_{l l} \beta_{l l}\left(b_{l}^{\perp}\right)^{4}\right] P^{N}\right\}(0,0) \\
& \quad=(4 \pi)^{2}\left(\sum_{k \neq l}\left(2 \alpha_{k l} \beta_{k l}+\alpha_{k k} \beta_{l l}\right)+3 \alpha_{l l} \beta_{l l}\right) P^{N}(0,0) \\
& =(4 \pi)^{2}\left(2 \alpha_{k l} \beta_{k l}+\alpha_{k k} \beta_{l l}\right) P^{N}(0,0)
\end{align*}
$$

Thus by Theorem 3.1, (3.8), (6.4), (6.11), (6.13) and (6.14), we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{I}_{2} P^{N}\right)(0,0)=\frac{1}{2^{8} \pi^{2}} \mathcal{T}_{j j^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right)\left[\left(16 \pi b_{i} \mathcal{T}_{j j^{\prime}}\left(z^{0}\right)\right.\right.  \tag{6.15}\\
\left.\left.+\frac{4}{3} b_{i} \mathcal{T}_{k j^{\prime}}\left(z^{0}\right) b_{j}^{\perp} b_{k}^{\perp}+\frac{1}{3} \delta_{j j^{\prime}} b_{i} \mathcal{T}_{k l}\left(z^{0}\right) b_{k}^{\perp} b_{l}^{\perp}-\frac{1}{8 \pi} \mathcal{T}_{k l}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}\right) b_{j}^{\perp} b_{j^{\prime}}^{\perp} b_{k}^{\perp} b_{l}^{\perp}\right) P^{N}\right](0,0) \\
=\frac{1}{2^{8} \pi^{2}}\left[-\frac{64 \pi}{3}\left|\mathcal{T}_{j j^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}+\frac{8 \pi}{3} \mathcal{T}_{j j}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) \mathcal{T}_{k k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}\right)\right. \\
\left.-2 \pi\left(2\left|\mathcal{T}_{j j^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}+\mathcal{T}_{j j}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) \mathcal{T}_{k k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}\right)\right)\right] P^{N}(0,0) \\
=\frac{1}{2^{8} \cdot 3 \pi}\left[-76\left|\mathcal{T}_{j j^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}+2 \mathcal{T}_{j j}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) \mathcal{T}_{k k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}\right)\right] P^{N}(0,0)
\end{gather*}
$$

By (3.9), (3.54) and (6.9), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}_{3} P^{N}=-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{8 \pi} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{i j j^{\prime}}\left[b_{j}^{\perp} b_{j^{\prime}}^{\perp} \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(e_{i}^{\perp}\right)+\mathcal{T}_{i l m} b_{j}^{\perp} b_{j^{\prime}}^{\perp} \frac{b_{l}^{\perp} b_{m}^{\perp}}{16 \pi}+\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{T}_{i l j^{\prime}} b_{j}^{\perp} b_{l}^{\perp}\right] P^{N} \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (5.5e), (5.14), (6.4), (6.14) and (6.16), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{I}_{3} P^{N}\right)(0,0)=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{64 \pi} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{i j j^{\prime}} \mathcal{T}_{i j j^{\prime}} P^{N}(0,0)=0 \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{i j j^{\prime}}$ is anti-symmetric on $i, j$ and $\mathcal{T}_{i j j^{\prime}}$ is symmetric on $i, j$.
By Theorem 3.1, (3.9), (3.54) and (6.4),

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}}\right. & \left.\mathcal{F}_{1}\left(e_{j}^{\perp}\right)\left(b_{j}^{\perp+}+b_{j}^{\perp}\right) \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}\right) \frac{b_{k}^{\perp}}{4 \pi} P^{N}\right)(0,0)  \tag{6.18}\\
& =\frac{1}{32 \pi^{2}}\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\left(e_{j}^{\perp}\right)^{2}\left(b_{j}^{\perp}\right)^{2} P^{N}\right)(0,0)=-\frac{1}{8 \pi} \sum_{j} \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(e_{j}^{\perp}\right)^{2} P^{N}(0,0)
\end{align*}
$$

Recall that $\mathcal{T}_{k l m}$ is symmetric on $k, l, m$.
By Theorem 3.1, (3.9), (3.54), (5.84) and (6.4),

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\{\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}}\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\left(e_{j}^{\perp}\right)\left(b_{j}^{\perp+}+b_{j}^{\perp}\right) \mathcal{T}_{k l m} \frac{b_{m}^{\perp} b_{l}^{\perp} b_{k}^{\perp}}{192 \pi^{2}}+\mathcal{T}_{i j j^{\prime}} \frac{B_{i j j^{\prime}}^{\perp}}{64 \pi^{2}} \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}\right) b_{k}^{\perp}\right) P^{N}\right\}(0,0)  \tag{6.19}\\
=\left\{\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(e_{j}^{\perp}\right)\left(b_{j}^{\perp} \mathcal{T}_{k l m} \frac{b_{k}^{\perp} b_{l}^{\perp} b_{m}^{\perp}}{48 \pi^{2}}+\mathcal{T}_{j l m} \frac{b_{l}^{\perp} b_{m}^{\perp}}{4 \pi}\right) P^{N}\right\}(0,0) \\
=\frac{1}{32 \pi^{2}}\left\{\mathcal{F}_{1}\left(e_{j}^{\perp}\right)\left(\mathcal{T}_{k l m} \frac{b_{j}^{\perp} b_{k}^{\perp} b_{l}^{\perp} b_{m}^{\perp}}{24 \pi}+\mathcal{T}_{j l m} b_{l}^{\perp} b_{m}^{\perp}\right) P^{N}\right\}(0,0) \\
=\frac{1}{32 \pi^{2}}\left\{\mathcal{F}_{1}\left(e_{j}^{\perp}\right)\left(\sum_{l \neq j} \mathcal{T}_{j l l} \frac{\left(b_{j}^{\perp}\right)^{2}\left(b_{l}^{\perp}\right)^{2}}{8 \pi}+\mathcal{T}_{j j j} \frac{\left(b_{j}^{\perp}\right)^{4}}{24 \pi}+\mathcal{T}_{j l l}\left(b_{l}^{\perp}\right)^{2}\right) P^{N}\right\}(0,0) \\
=-\frac{1}{16 \pi} \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(e_{j}^{\perp}\right) \mathcal{T}_{j l l} P^{N}(0,0)
\end{gather*}
$$

As $\mathcal{T}_{k l m}$ is symmetric on $k, l, m$, we know that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{T}_{k l m}^{2}=6 \sum_{k<l<m} \mathcal{T}_{k l m}^{2}+3 \sum_{k \neq m} \mathcal{T}_{k k m}^{2}+\mathcal{T}_{m m m}^{2}  \tag{6.20}\\
& \mathcal{T}_{k k m} \mathcal{T}_{l l m}=\sum_{k \neq l \neq m \neq k} \mathcal{T}_{k k m} \mathcal{T}_{l l m}+\sum_{k \neq m}\left(2 \mathcal{T}_{k k m} \mathcal{T}_{m m m}+\mathcal{T}_{k k m}^{2}\right)+\mathcal{T}_{m m m}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

From (6.4) and (6.20), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\mathcal{T}_{i j j^{\prime}} \mathcal{T}_{k l m} b_{i}^{\perp} b_{j}^{\perp} b_{j^{\prime}}^{\perp} b_{k}^{\perp} b_{l}^{\perp} b_{m}^{\perp} P^{N}\right)(0,0)=\left\{\left(36 \sum_{k<l<m} \mathcal{T}_{k l m}^{2}\left(b_{k}^{\perp}\right)^{2}\left(b_{l}^{\perp}\right)^{2}\left(b_{m}^{\perp}\right)^{2}\right.\right.  \tag{6.21}\\
& +9 \sum_{k \neq l \neq m \neq k} \mathcal{T}_{k k m} \mathcal{T}_{l l m}\left(b_{k}^{\perp}\right)^{2}\left(b_{l}^{\perp}\right)^{2}\left(b_{m}^{\perp}\right)^{2}+6 \sum_{k \neq m} \mathcal{T}_{k k m} \mathcal{T}_{m m m}\left(b_{k}^{\perp}\right)^{2}\left(b_{m}^{\perp}\right)^{4} \\
& \left.\left.+9 \sum_{k \neq m} \mathcal{T}_{m m k} \mathcal{T}_{m m k}\left(b_{k}^{\perp}\right)^{2}\left(b_{m}^{\perp}\right)^{4}+\mathcal{T}_{m m m}^{2}\left(b_{m}^{\perp}\right)^{6}\right) P^{N}\right\}(0,0) \\
& =(-4 \pi)^{3}\left(36 \sum_{k<l<m} \mathcal{T}_{k l m}^{2}+9 \sum_{k \neq l \neq m \neq k} \mathcal{T}_{k k m} \mathcal{T}_{l l m}\right. \\
& \left.+3 \sum_{k \neq m}\left(6 \mathcal{T}_{k k m} \mathcal{T}_{m m m}+9 \mathcal{T}_{m m k} \mathcal{T}_{m m k}\right)+15 \mathcal{T}_{m m m}^{2}\right) P^{N}(0,0) \\
& =(-4 \pi)^{3} \cdot 3\left(2 \mathcal{T}_{k l m}^{2}+3 \mathcal{T}_{k k m} \mathcal{T}_{l l m}\right) P^{N}(0,0)
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.9), (3.54) and (5.84), we have also

$$
\begin{align*}
& P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{I}_{i j j^{\prime}} B_{i j j^{\prime}}^{\perp} \mathcal{T}_{k l m} b_{k}^{\perp} b_{l}^{\perp} b_{m}^{\perp} P^{N}=\left(\mathcal{T}_{i j j^{\prime}} \mathcal{T}_{k l m} b_{i}^{\perp} b_{j}^{\perp} b_{j^{\prime}}^{\perp} b_{k}^{\perp} b_{l}^{\perp} b_{m}^{\perp}\right.  \tag{6.22}\\
& \left.\quad+36 \pi \mathcal{T}_{i j m} \mathcal{T}_{k l m} b_{i}^{\perp} b_{j}^{\perp} b_{k}^{\perp} b_{l}^{\perp}+36 \pi \cdot 8 \pi \mathcal{T}_{i l m} \mathcal{T}_{k l m} b_{i}^{\perp} b_{k}^{\perp}\right) P^{N}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus from Theorem 3.1, (6.14), (6.21) and (6.22),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { 6.23) } & \left\{\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} \frac{1}{16 \pi} \mathcal{T}_{i j j^{\prime}} B_{i j j^{\prime}}^{\perp} \mathcal{T}_{k l m} \frac{b_{k}^{\perp} b_{l}^{\perp} b_{m}^{\perp}}{192 \pi^{2}}\right) P^{N}\right\}(0,0) \\
= & \frac{1}{2^{10} \cdot 3 \pi^{3}}\left\{\left(\frac{1}{24 \pi} \mathcal{T}_{i j j^{\prime}} \mathcal{T}_{k l m} b_{i}^{\perp} b_{j}^{\perp} b_{j^{\prime}}^{\perp} b_{k}^{\perp} b_{l}^{\perp} b_{m}^{\perp}+\frac{9}{4} \mathcal{T}_{i j m} \mathcal{T}_{k l m} b_{i}^{\perp} b_{j}^{\perp} b_{k}^{\perp} b_{l}^{\perp}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+36 \pi \mathcal{T}_{i l m} \mathcal{T}_{k l m} b_{i}^{\perp} b_{k}^{\perp}\right) P^{N}\right\}(0,0)
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
&=\frac{1}{2^{10} \cdot 3 \pi}\left\{-8\left(2 \mathcal{T}_{k l m}^{2}+3\right.\right.\left.\mathcal{T}_{k k m} \mathcal{T}_{l l m}\right) \\
&\left.+36\left(2 \mathcal{T}_{k l m}^{2}+\mathcal{T}_{k k m} \mathcal{T}_{l l m}\right)-144 \mathcal{T}_{k l m}^{2}\right\} P^{N}(0,0) \\
&=\frac{1}{2^{8} \cdot 3 \pi}\left(-22 \mathcal{T}_{k l m}^{2}+3 \mathcal{T}_{k k m} \mathcal{T}_{l l m}\right) P^{N}(0,0)
\end{aligned}
$$

From (6.10), (6.12), (6.15), (6.17), (6.18), (6.19) and (6.23), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{1,1}(0)= & \left\{\frac{1}{2^{8} \cdot 3 \pi}\left[-76\left|\mathcal{T}_{j j^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}+2 \mathcal{T}_{j j}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) \mathcal{T}_{k k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}\right)-22 \mathcal{T}_{k l m}^{2}+3 \mathcal{T}_{k k m} \mathcal{T}_{l l m}\right]\right.  \tag{6.24}\\
& \left.-\frac{1}{24 \pi} \mathcal{T}_{j j}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{l}^{0}}\right) \mathcal{T}_{k k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{l}^{0}}\right)-\frac{1}{8 \pi} \sum_{j} \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(e_{j}^{\perp}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{16 \pi} \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(e_{j}^{\perp}\right) \mathcal{T}_{j l l}\right\} P^{N}(0,0)
\end{align*}
$$

From (6.24) we get (6.8).

### 6.2. The term $\Psi_{1,2}$

Recall that $B\left(Z, e_{l}^{\perp}\right)$ was defined in (5.24).
Lemma 6.2. - The following identity holds,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\pi} B\left(Z, e_{l}^{\perp}\right)= & \frac{1}{2}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) e_{l}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right\rangle  \tag{6.25}\\
& \quad-\frac{5}{4}\left\langle\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{k}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right)\right), J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle Z_{k} \\
+ & \frac{1}{2}\left\langle\frac{1}{3} R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}+\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{T X_{G}}\left(A\left(e_{k}^{0}\right) e_{l}^{\perp}\right) Z_{k}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right\rangle \\
+ & \frac{1}{8}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{j}^{0}\right), J e_{l}^{\perp}\right\rangle\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}-\mathcal{R}^{0}, J e_{j}^{0}\right), J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
\quad & +\frac{1}{4}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{0}\right), J e_{l}^{\perp}\right\rangle\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, J e_{j}^{0}\right), J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
+\frac{1}{8}\langle & \left.T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right), T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right)\right\rangle-\frac{1}{8}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right), T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right)\right\rangle \\
+ & \frac{1}{8}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right)\right), J e_{l}^{\perp}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right), T\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right)\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. - By (5.34), (5.55) and $A\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right) A\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right) e_{l}^{\perp} \in N_{G}$, as $A$ exchanges $T X_{G}$ and $N_{G}$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle J \mathcal{R},\left(\nabla^{T X} \nabla^{T X} e_{l}^{\perp, H}\right)_{(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})}\right\rangle=-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle J \mathcal{R}, T\left(\mathcal{R}, \nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T B} e_{l}^{\perp}\right)+\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T X}\left(T\left(e_{i}^{H}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right)\right) Z_{i}\right\rangle  \tag{6.26}\\
& \quad+\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{0}, \frac{1}{3} R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}+R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) e_{l}^{\perp}+\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{T X_{G}}\left(A\left(e_{k}^{0}\right) e_{l}^{\perp}\right) Z_{k}^{0}\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

By (1.8), (5.13), (5.54), we have at $x_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{1}{2}\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, T\left(\mathcal{R}, \nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T B} e_{l}^{\perp}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{1}{4}\left\langle J e_{l}^{\perp}, T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{j}^{0}\right)\right\rangle\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, T\left(\mathcal{R}, J e_{j}^{0}\right)\right\rangle  \tag{6.27}\\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{0}, \nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T X}\left(T\left(e_{i}^{H}, e_{l}^{\perp, H}\right)\right) Z_{i}\right\rangle=-\frac{1}{4}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right), T\left(\mathcal{R}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right)\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

By (5.5a), (5.5d), (5.13), (5.54), (5.55) and $\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T X}\left(T\left(e_{i}^{H}, e_{k}^{H}\right)\right) Z_{i} Z_{k}=0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langleJ \left(\nabla^{T X}\right.\right. & \left.\left.\nabla^{T X} e_{k}^{H}\right)_{(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right\rangle Z_{k}=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}, \nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T B} e_{k}\right), J e_{l}^{\perp}\right\rangle Z_{k} \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}, 2 A\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}+A\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}\right), J e_{l}^{\perp}\right\rangle  \tag{6.28}\\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{j}^{0}\right), J e_{l}^{\perp}\right\rangle\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, J e_{j}^{0}\right), J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
& -\frac{1}{4}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right), T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right)\right\rangle+\frac{1}{4}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right)\right), J e_{l}^{\perp}\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.40), (5.5a), (5.13), (5.54) and the fact that $A$ exchanges $T X_{G}$ and $N_{G}$, we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\langle J \nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T X} e_{k}^{H}, \nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T X} e_{l}^{\perp, H}\right\rangle Z_{k}=\left\langle J \nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T B} e_{k}, A\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right) e_{l}^{\perp}-\frac{1}{2} T\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right)\right\rangle Z_{k}  \tag{6.29}\\
=\left\langle J A\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0},-\frac{1}{2} T\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right)\right\rangle+2\left\langle J A\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, A\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}\right) e_{l}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
=\frac{1}{4}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right), T\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right)\right\rangle-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle J e_{l}^{\perp}, T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{j}^{0}\right)\right\rangle\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, J e_{j}^{0}\right)\right\rangle .
\end{gather*}
$$

From (5.52), (5.53), (5.62), (6.26)-(6.29), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\pi} B\left(Z, e_{l}^{\perp}\right)=\frac{1}{8}\left\langle J e_{l}^{\perp}, T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{j}^{0}\right)\right\rangle\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, T\left(\mathcal{R}, J e_{j}^{0}\right)\right\rangle  \tag{6.30}\\
& \quad-\frac{1}{4}\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{i}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right)\right) Z_{i}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{8}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right), T\left(\mathcal{R}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right)\right\rangle \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{0}, \frac{1}{3} R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}+R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) e_{l}^{\perp}+\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{T X_{G}}\left(A\left(e_{k}^{0}\right) e_{l}^{\perp}\right) Z_{k}^{0}\right\rangle \\
& +\frac{1}{4}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{j}^{0}\right), J e_{l}^{\perp}\right\rangle\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, J e_{j}^{0}\right), J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{8}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right), T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right)\right\rangle \\
& +\frac{1}{8}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right)\right), J e_{l}^{\perp}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{4}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right), T\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle J e_{l}^{\perp}, T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{j}^{0}\right)\right\rangle\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, J e_{j}^{0}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right), T\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right)\right\rangle-\left\langle\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{k}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right)\right), J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle Z_{k}
\end{align*}
$$

From (6.30) we get (6.25).

Now we need to compute the contribution from $-\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{O}_{2} P^{N}$. Recall that $I_{1}$ was defined in (5.24).

Lemma 6.3. - We have the following identity,

$$
\begin{align*}
&-\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} I_{1} P^{N}\right)(0,0)=\left\{-\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left\langle R^{T X_{G}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle\right.  \tag{6.31}\\
&+ \frac{7}{6}\left[\frac{5 \sqrt{-1}}{2^{5} \pi}\left\langle J e_{k}^{\perp}, \nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right)+\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right)\right\rangle\right. \\
&+\frac{3}{16 \pi}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right) e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle+\frac{3}{32 \pi}\left|T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2} \\
&-\left.\left.\frac{1}{2^{6} \pi}\left|T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{16 \pi}\left\langle T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, J e_{k}^{\perp}\right), T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right\rangle\right]\right\} P^{N}(0,0)
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. - From Theorem 3.1, (5.15), (5.84) and (6.4),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} Z_{k}^{\perp} Z_{l}^{\perp} P^{N}\right)(0,0)=\left(\frac{b_{k}^{\perp} b_{l}^{\perp}}{2^{7} \pi^{3}} P^{N}\right)(0,0)=-\frac{\delta_{k l}}{32 \pi^{2}} P^{N}(0,0) \tag{6.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}_{4}=-\left\{\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\left(B\left(Z, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\left(B\left(Z, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right)\right)\right) P^{N}\right\}(0,0) \tag{6.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

At first, if $Q$ is a monomial on $b_{i}, b_{i}^{+}, b_{j}^{\perp}, b_{j}^{\perp+}, Z_{i}$ and the total degree of $b_{i}, b_{i}^{+}, Z_{i}^{0}$ or $b_{j}^{\perp}, b_{j}^{\perp+}, Z_{j}^{\perp}$ is odd, then by Theorem 3.1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} Q P^{N}\right)(0,0)=0 \tag{6.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (6.34), only the monomials of $B\left(Z, e_{l}^{0}\right)$ with odd degree on $Z^{0}$ have contributions for $\mathcal{I}_{4}$.

If we denote by $\widetilde{B}_{Z}\left(e_{l}^{0}\right)$ the odd degree component on $Z^{0}$ of the difference of $B\left(Z, e_{l}^{0}\right)$ and of the sum of the first two and the last terms of $B\left(Z, e_{l}^{0}\right)$ in (5.46b), then by $(5.46 \mathrm{~b})$ we know that $\widetilde{B}_{Z}\left(e_{l}^{0}\right)$ is a linear function on $Z^{0}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\left(\widetilde{B}_{Z}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right)$ and $-\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\left(\widetilde{B}_{Z}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right)\right)$ are equal.

Moreover, by $T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}, J \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right)=T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, J \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)$ (or by (5.5e), (6.32)), we know the contribution of the last term of $B\left(Z, e_{l}^{0}\right)$ in $(5.46 \mathrm{~b})$ is zero in $\mathcal{I}_{4}$.

Thus by Remark 5.2, (5.4), (5.46b) and (6.33),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 6.35) } \mathcal{I}_{4}=\pi \sqrt{-1}\left\{\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}}[ \right. {\left[\frac{1}{6} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\left\langle R^{T X_{G}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle\right.}  \tag{6.35}\\
&-\frac{1}{6} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\left\langle R^{T X_{G}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle \\
&-\frac{5}{4}\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, 2 \nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right)+\nabla^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{i}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right) Z_{i}^{\perp}-\nabla^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{i}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right)\right) Z_{i}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
&+3 \sqrt{-1}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle-\frac{3 \sqrt{-1}}{4}\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, e_{i}^{0}\right)\right\rangle\left\langle J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, T\left(e_{i}^{0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right\rangle \\
&\left.\left.-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right), T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right)\right\rangle+\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right), T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right\rangle\right] P^{N}\right\}(0,0) .
\end{align*}
$$

By (5.93), (5.108a), (6.32) and (6.35), comparing with (5.104) and (5.105), we get

$$
\text { 36) } \begin{align*}
& \mathcal{I}_{4}=\{- \frac{1}{6 \pi}\left\langle R^{T X_{G}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}+R^{T X_{G}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle  \tag{6.36}\\
&+\frac{5 \sqrt{-1}}{2^{7} \pi}\left\langle J e_{k}^{\perp}, 2 \nabla_{e_{k}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right)+\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{T Y}}^{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\left(T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right)-\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right)\right)\right\rangle \\
&+\frac{3}{32 \pi}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right) e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle+\frac{3}{64 \pi}\left|T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2} \\
&\left.\quad-\frac{1}{2^{7} \pi}\left|T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{32 \pi}\left\langle T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, J e e_{k}^{\perp}\right), T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right\rangle\right\} P^{N}(0,0) .
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.9), (3.54) and (5.84),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(z_{i}^{0} \bar{z}_{j}^{0} P^{N}\right)(Z, 0)=\left(z_{i}^{0} \frac{b_{j}}{2 \pi} P^{N}\right)(Z, 0)=\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left(\left(b_{j} z_{i}^{0}+2 \delta_{i j}\right) P^{N}\right)(Z, 0) \\
& Z_{k}^{\perp} Z_{l}^{\perp} P^{N}=\frac{1}{16 \pi^{2}}\left(b_{k}^{\perp} b_{l}^{\perp}+4 \pi \delta_{k l}\right) P^{N}  \tag{6.37}\\
& (4 \pi)^{4}\left(Z_{k}^{\perp}\right)^{4} P^{N}=\left(\left(b_{k}^{\perp}\right)^{4}+24 \pi\left(b_{k}^{\perp}\right)^{2}+3 \cdot(4 \pi)^{2}\right) P^{N}
\end{align*}
$$

From Theorem 3.1, (3.9), (3.54), (5.93), (6.4), (6.11) and (6.37),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(P^{N^{\perp}} Z_{k}^{\perp} Z_{l}^{\perp} P^{N}\right)(0,0)=\frac{1}{16 \pi^{2}}\left(b_{k}^{\perp} b_{l}^{\perp} P^{N}\right)(0,0)=-\frac{\delta_{k l}}{4 \pi} P^{N}(0,0) \\
& \left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} b_{j} z_{i}^{0} Z_{k}^{\perp} Z_{l}^{\perp} P^{N}\right)(0,0)  \tag{6.38}\\
& \quad=\frac{1}{16 \pi^{2}}\left\{\left(\frac{1}{12 \pi} b_{k}^{\perp} b_{l}^{\perp} b_{j} z_{i}^{0}+\delta_{k l} b_{j} z_{i}^{0}\right) P^{N}\right\}(0,0)=-\frac{1}{12 \pi^{2}} \delta_{i j} \delta_{k l} P^{N}(0,0) \\
& \left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} b_{l}^{\perp} Z_{k}^{\perp} z_{i}^{0} \bar{z}_{j}^{0} P^{N}\right)(0,0)=\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}}\left\{b_{l}^{\perp} b_{k}^{\perp}\left(\frac{b_{j}}{12 \pi} z_{i}^{0}+\frac{2}{8 \pi} \delta_{i j}\right) P^{N}\right\}(0,0) \\
& \quad=-\frac{1}{24 \pi^{2}} \delta_{i j} \delta_{k l} P^{N}(0,0) \\
& \left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} Z_{l}^{\perp} Z_{k}^{\perp} z_{i}^{0} \bar{z}_{j}^{0} P^{N}\right)(0,0) \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{4 \pi}\left\{\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}}\left(b_{l}^{\perp} Z_{k}^{\perp}+\delta_{k l}\right) z_{i}^{0} \bar{z}_{j}^{0} P^{N}\right\}(0,0)=\frac{-7}{96 \pi^{3}} \delta_{i j} \delta_{k l} P^{N}(0,0)
\end{align*}
$$

By (5.5e), (5.107), (5.108a), (6.38) and comparing with (5.109), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
&-\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} b_{j} B\left(Z, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right) P^{N}\right)(0,0)  \tag{6.39}\\
&=\left\{-\frac{1}{12 \pi}\left\langle R^{T X_{G}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}+R^{T X_{G}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle\right. \\
&+\frac{5 \sqrt{-1}}{48 \pi}\left\langle\nabla^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right)+\nabla_{e \frac{\perp}{k}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right), J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
&+\frac{1}{8 \pi}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right) e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{16 \pi}\left|T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2} \\
&\left.\quad-\frac{1}{96 \pi}\left|T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{24 \pi}\left\langle T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, J e_{k}^{\perp}\right), T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right\rangle\right\} P(0,0) .
\end{align*}
$$

From (6.25) and (6.34),

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} b_{l}^{\perp} B\left(Z, e_{l}^{\perp}\right) P^{N}\right)(0,0)=-\pi \sqrt{-1}\left\{\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} b_{l}^{\perp}\right.  \tag{6.40}\\
& {\left[\frac{1}{2}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) e_{l}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right\rangle-\frac{5}{4}\left\langle\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{\perp}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right)\right), J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle Z_{k}^{\perp}\right.} \\
&-\frac{5}{4}\langle \left.\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{k}^{0}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right)\right), J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle Z_{k}^{0}-\frac{1}{8}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{j}^{0}\right), J e_{l}^{\perp}\right\rangle\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, J e_{j}^{0}\right), J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{8}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right), T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right)\right\rangle-\frac{1}{8}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right), T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right)\right\rangle \\
&\left.\left.+\frac{1}{8}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{0}\right)\right), J e_{l}^{\perp}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right), T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right)\right\rangle\right] P^{N}\right\}(0,0)
\end{align*}
$$

As $T$ is anti-symmetric, from (3.9), (3.54), we get

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
b_{l}^{\perp}\left\langle\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{\perp}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right)\right), J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle Z_{k}^{\perp} P^{N} \\
\quad=-\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_{l}^{\perp}}\left\langle\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{\perp}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right)\right), J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle\right) Z_{k}^{\perp} P^{N},  \tag{6.41}\\
b_{l}^{\perp}\langle T
\end{array} \quad \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right), T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right)\right\rangle P^{N} .
$$

From $(5.5 \mathrm{e}),(5.124),(6.32),(6.38),(6.40),(6.41)$ and the anti-symmetric property of $T$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
&-\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} b_{l}^{\perp} B\left(Z, e_{l}^{\perp}\right) P^{N}\right)(0,0)  \tag{6.42}\\
&=\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2 \pi}\{ -\frac{5}{2^{7}}\left(\left\langle\nabla_{e_{\frac{⿺}{k}}^{T Y}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right)\right), J e_{l}^{\perp}\right\rangle+\left\langle\nabla_{e_{l}^{\perp}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right)\right), J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle\right) \\
&+\frac{5}{96}\left\langle\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{T Y}}^{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\left(T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right)\right)+\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right)\right), J e_{l}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
&\left.\quad+\frac{1}{2^{6}}\left\langle T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, J e_{l}^{\perp}\right)+T\left(e_{l}^{\perp}, J e_{k}^{\perp}\right), T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right)\right\rangle\right\} P^{N}(0,0)=0
\end{align*}
$$

By (5.102), (5.124), (6.33), (6.36), (6.39), (6.42) and since $R^{T X_{G}}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a $(1,1)$ form, comparing with (5.105) and (5.109), we get (6.31).

We compute $\Psi_{1,2}(0)$ now.

Lemma 6.4. - The following identity holds,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{1,2}(0)=\left\{\frac{1}{16 \pi} r_{x_{0}}^{X_{G}}+\frac{1}{2 \pi} R^{E_{G}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \Delta_{X_{G}} \log h\right.  \tag{6.43}\\
&+ \frac{29}{2^{5} \cdot 3 \pi}\left|T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{16 \pi}\left\langle T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, J e_{k}^{\perp}\right), T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right\rangle \\
&+\frac{1}{4 \pi}\left|T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{32 \pi}\left|\sum_{j} \mathcal{T}_{j j}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2} \\
&+\frac{1}{2^{7} \pi} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{i j k}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{k j i}+\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{i j k}\right)+\frac{7}{2^{8} \pi}\left(2 \mathcal{T}_{j k m}^{2}+\mathcal{T}_{j j m} \mathcal{T}_{k k m}\right) \\
&-\frac{1}{2^{6} \pi}\left\langle\left(\nabla_{\cdot}^{T Y} \dot{g}^{T Y}\right)_{\left(e_{j}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right)} J e_{k}^{\perp}+2\left(\nabla_{\cdot}^{T Y} \dot{g}^{T Y}\right)_{\left(e_{j}^{\perp}, e_{k}^{\perp}\right)} J e_{j}^{\perp}, J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
&\left.\quad-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{16 \pi}\left(\left\langle T\left(e_{j}^{\perp}, J e_{j}^{\perp}\right), \widetilde{\mu}^{E}\right\rangle-2\left\langle J e_{j}^{\perp}, \nabla_{e_{j}^{\perp}}^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}^{E}\right\rangle\right)\right\} P^{N}(0,0)
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. - Recall that from (3.6), (5.5a), (5.5b) and (5.13),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{k}^{\perp}\right|^{2}=4\left|A\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}\right) e_{k}^{\perp}\right|^{2}=\left|T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, J e_{j}^{0}\right)\right|^{2}=2\left|T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& \left\langle A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}, A\left(e_{j}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{0}\right\rangle=4\left|\sum_{i} T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2},  \tag{6.44}\\
& \left|A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{0}\right|^{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left|T\left(e_{i}^{0}, J e_{j}^{0}\right)\right|^{2}=\left|T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, J e_{j}^{0}\right)\right|^{2}=2\left|T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

From (5.93), (5.111), (6.32), (6.44) and since $R^{T X_{G}}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a $(1,1)$-form (comparing with $(5.113 \mathrm{~b}),(5.114)$ ), (note that in each monomial, if the total degree of $b_{l}, \bar{z}^{0}$ is not as same as the total degree of $b_{l}^{+}, z^{0}$, then the contribution of this term is 0 at $(0,0))$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
&-\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} I_{2} P^{N}\right)(0,0)=\left\{\frac{4}{3 \pi}\left\langle R^{T X_{G}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle\right.  \tag{6.45}\\
&-\frac{1}{8 \pi}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right) e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{48 \pi}\langle \left.R^{T B}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right) e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
&\left.+\frac{3}{16 \pi}\left|T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}\right\} P^{N}(0,0)
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.6), (3.54), (5.25), (5.83), (5.93), (5.112), (6.32), (6.44) and since $R^{T X_{G}}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a $(1,1)$-form (comparing with (5.113a)), we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
(6.46)-\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}}\left\langle\Gamma_{i i}(\mathcal{R}), e_{l}\right\rangle \nabla_{0, e_{l}} P^{N}\right)(0,0) \\
=\left\{( \mathscr { L } _ { 2 } ^ { 0 } ) ^ { - 1 } P ^ { N ^ { \perp } } \left(\frac{2}{3}\left\langle R^{T X_{G}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle b_{j}\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}+A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle b_{k}^{\perp}\right) P^{N}\right\}(0,0) \\
=\left\{-\frac{1}{3 \pi}\left\langle R^{T X_{G}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{16 \pi}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}, e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{16 \pi}\left|A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{k}^{\perp}\right|^{2}\right\} P^{N}(0,0) \\
=\left\{\left\langle-\frac{2}{3 \pi} R^{T X_{G}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}+\frac{1}{4 \pi} R^{T B}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right) e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{8 \pi}\left|T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}\right\} P^{N}(0,0) .
\end{gathered}
$$

By $\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0} P^{N}=0,(5.25),(5.93),(6.38),(6.44)$ and since $R^{T X_{G}}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a $(1,1)$-form (comparing with (5.115)), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left\{\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}}\left[\frac{1}{4} K_{2}(\mathcal{R})-\frac{3}{8}\left(\sum_{l}\left\langle A\left(e_{l}^{0}\right) e_{l}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle\right)^{2}, \mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right] P^{N}\right\}(0,0) \tag{6.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
=\left\{P^{N^{\perp}}\left[\frac{1}{4} K_{2}(\mathcal{R})-\frac{3}{8}\left(\sum_{l}\left\langle A\left(e_{l}^{0}\right) e_{l}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle\right)^{2}\right] P^{N}\right\}(0,0)
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{4}\left\{P ^ { N ^ { \perp } } \left[\left\langle\frac{1}{3} R^{T X_{G}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}+R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{0}\right\rangle\right.\right.
$$

$$
\left.\left.+\frac{1}{3}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{\perp}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{\perp}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i}\left\langle A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle\right)^{2}-\left|A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right|^{2}\right] P^{N}\right\}(0,0)
$$

$$
=\left(\frac{1}{6 \pi}\left\langle R^{T X_{G}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)-\frac{1}{16 \pi}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{0}\right\rangle\right.
$$

$$
\left.-\frac{1}{48 \pi}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{\perp}\right) e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{\perp}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{32 \pi}\left|\sum_{i} A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{i}^{0}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{16 \pi}\left|A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{k}^{\perp}\right|^{2}\right) P^{N}(0,0),
$$

$$
=\left(\frac{1}{3 \pi}\left\langle R^{T X_{G}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{4 \pi}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right) e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle\right.
$$

$$
\left.-\frac{1}{8 \pi}\left|\sum_{i} T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{8 \pi}\left|T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}}\right)\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{48 \pi}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right) e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right\rangle\right) P^{N}(0,0) .
$$

By (3.12), (3.54), (5.83), (5.93), (6.32) and (6.44),

$$
\begin{align*}
&-\left\{\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}}(-\right.\left.\frac{1}{2}\left\langle A\left(e_{l}^{0}\right) e_{l}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle \nabla_{A\left(e_{k}^{0}\right)}\right) e_{k}^{0}+2\left\langle A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle \nabla_{A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{0}}  \tag{6.48}\\
&\left.\left.\quad+\frac{2}{3}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{\perp}\right) e_{i}^{\perp}, e_{j}\right\rangle \nabla_{0, e_{j}}\right) P^{N}\right\}(0,0) \\
&=-\frac{1}{16 \pi}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left|\sum_{l} A\left(e_{l}^{0}\right) e_{l}^{0}\right|^{2}+2\left|A\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) e_{j}^{0}\right|^{2}+\frac{2}{3}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(e_{j}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{\perp}\right) e_{i}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right\rangle\right) P^{N}(0,0) \\
&=\left(\frac{1}{8 \pi}\left|\sum_{i} T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{4 \pi}\left|T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}\right. \\
&\left.\quad+\frac{1}{24 \pi}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right) e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right\rangle\right) P^{N}(0,0), \\
&-\left\{\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}}\left(-R^{E_{B}}\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{i}\right)\right) \nabla_{0, e_{i}} P^{N}\right\}(0,0)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} R^{E_{B}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}}\right) P^{N}(0,0) .
\end{align*}
$$

For $F_{i j ; k l} \in \mathbb{C}$, from Theorem 3.1, (5.15), (6.4), (6.37) and comparing with (6.14), we get
(6.49) $\quad\left\{\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} F_{i j ; k l} Z_{i}^{\perp} Z_{j}^{\perp} Z_{k}^{\perp} Z_{l}^{\perp} P^{N}\right\}(0,0)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left\{\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}}\left[\sum_{j \neq k}\left(F_{j j ; k k}+F_{k j ; k j}+F_{k j ; j k}\right)\left(Z_{j}^{\perp}\right)^{2}\left(Z_{k}^{\perp}\right)^{2}+F_{k k ; k k}\left(Z_{k}^{\perp}\right)^{4}\right] P^{N}\right\}(0,0) \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{8} \pi^{4}}\left\{P ^ { N ^ { \perp } } \left[\sum_{j \neq k}\left(F_{j j ; k k}+F_{k j ; k j}+F_{k j ; j k}\right)\left(\frac{\left(b_{j}^{\perp}\right)^{2}\left(b_{k}^{\perp}\right)^{2}}{16 \pi}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(b_{j}^{\perp}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{k}^{\perp}\right)^{2}\right)\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+F_{k k ; k k}\left(\frac{\left(b_{k}^{\perp}\right)^{4}}{16 \pi}+3\left(b_{k}^{\perp}\right)^{2}\right)\right] P^{N}\right\}(0,0) \\
& =\frac{-3}{2^{8} \pi^{3}}\left(F_{j j ; k k}+F_{k j ; k j}+F_{k j ; j k}\right) P^{N}(0,0) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (5.46a),

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{9} \sum_{i}\left[\left(\partial_{\mathcal{R}} R^{L_{B}}\right)_{x_{0}}\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{i}\right)\right]^{2}= & -\pi^{2} \sum_{i}\left\langle J T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{0}\right), \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle^{2}  \tag{6.50}\\
& -\pi^{2} \sum_{j}\left\langle J T\left(\mathcal{R}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right), \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.6), (5.14), (6.49) and $\mathcal{T}_{k l}\left(e_{i}^{0}\right)$ is symmetric on $k$, $l$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\pi^{2} \sum_{i}\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}}\left\langle J T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{i}^{0}\right), \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle^{2} P^{N}\right)(0,0)  \tag{6.51}\\
& =-\pi^{2}\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{T}_{j j^{\prime}}\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{T}_{k l}\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) Z_{j}^{\perp} Z_{j^{\prime}}^{\perp} Z_{k}^{\perp} Z_{l}^{\perp} P^{N}\right)(0,0) \\
& \quad=\frac{3}{2^{8} \pi}\left(2 \mathcal{T}_{j k}\left(e_{i}^{0}\right)^{2}+\mathcal{T}_{j j}\left(e_{i}^{0}\right) \mathcal{T}_{k k}\left(e_{i}^{0}\right)\right) P^{N}(0,0) \\
& \quad=\frac{3}{2^{6} \pi}\left(2\left|T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|\sum_{j} \mathcal{T}_{j j}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}\right) P^{N}(0,0)
\end{align*}
$$

In the same way, by $(5.5 \mathrm{e}),(5.14),(6.49)$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
-\pi^{2} \sum_{j}\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}}\left\langle J T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right), \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle^{2}\right. & \left.P^{N}\right)(0,0)  \tag{6.52}\\
& =\frac{3}{2^{8} \pi} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{i j k}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{i j k}+\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{k j i}\right) P^{N}(0,0)
\end{align*}
$$

By (5.14) and (6.38),

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\pi^{2} \sum_{j}\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}}\left\langle J T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right), \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle^{2} P^{N}\right)(0,0)  \tag{6.53}\\
& =\frac{7}{48 \pi}\left|\mathcal{T}_{j k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2} P^{N}(0,0)=\frac{7}{48 \pi}\left|T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2} P^{N}(0,0)
\end{align*}
$$

By (5.46a) and (5.116), the total degree of $Z^{0}, \nabla_{0, e_{i}^{0}}$ in the fourth term of $\mathcal{O}_{2}^{\prime}$ in (5.27) is 1 , thus the contribution of the fourth term of $\mathcal{O}_{2}^{\prime}$ in (5.27) for $-\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{\prime} P^{N}\right)(0,0)$ is zero. By (5.27), (6.31), (6.45)-(6.48) and (6.50)-(6.53), comparing with (5.118), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{\prime} P^{N}\right)(0,0)=\left\{\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left\langle R^{T X_{G}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle\right.  \tag{6.54}\\
& +\frac{7}{6}\left[\frac{5 \sqrt{-1}}{2^{5} \pi}\left\langle J e_{k}^{\perp}, \nabla_{e \frac{\perp}{k}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right)+\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{T z_{j}^{0}}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right)\right\rangle\right. \\
& + \\
& +\frac{3}{16 \pi}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right) e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle+\frac{3}{32 \pi}\left|T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& \left.\quad-\frac{1}{2^{6} \pi}\left|T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{16 \pi}\left\langle T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, J e_{k}^{\perp}\right), T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right\rangle\right] \\
& +\left(\frac{3}{32 \pi}+\frac{7}{48 \pi}\right)\left|T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{8 \pi}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right) e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle \\
& \quad+\frac{3}{16 \pi}\left|T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}+\frac{3}{64 \pi}\left|\sum_{j} \mathcal{T}_{j j}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{3}{2^{8} \pi} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{i j k}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{i j k}+\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{k j i}\right)+\frac{1}{2 \pi} R^{E_{G}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right\} P^{N}(0,0) .
\end{align*}
$$

By (5.63) and (6.34),

$$
\begin{align*}
& -4 \pi^{2}\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{\prime \prime} P^{N}\right)(0,0)=-4 \pi^{2}\left\{\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}}\right.  \tag{6.55}\\
& \quad\left[-\frac{1}{3}\left\langle\left(\nabla_{\cdot}^{T Y} \dot{g}^{T Y}\right)_{\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{0}\right)} J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}+\left(\nabla_{\cdot}^{T Y} \dot{g}_{\cdot}^{T Y}\right)_{\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)} J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle\right. \\
& +\frac{1}{6}\left\langle\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{0}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{j}^{\perp}, J_{x_{0}} e_{i}^{0}\right)\right) Z_{j}^{\perp} Z_{i}^{0}+\nabla_{\mathcal{R}^{\perp}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{j}^{0}, J_{x_{0}} e_{i}^{0}\right)\right) Z_{j}^{0} Z_{i}^{0}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{3}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, \mathcal{R}^{0}\right) \mathcal{R}^{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{12} \sum_{l}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{0}, e_{l}\right), J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle^{2} \\
& \left.\left.\quad-\frac{1}{12} \sum_{l}\left\langle T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, e_{l}\right), J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right\rangle^{2}+\frac{7}{12}\left|T\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}, J \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)\right|^{2}\right] P^{N}\right\}(0,0)
\end{align*}
$$

Now $\left\{e_{l}\right\}=\left\{e_{i}^{0}\right\} \cup\left\{e_{k}^{\perp}\right\}$.

By Theorem 5.1, (5.108a), (5.120), (5.124), (6.38), (6.49), (6.51), (6.52), (6.55) and comparing with (5.121),

$$
\begin{align*}
&-4 \pi^{2}\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{\prime \prime} P^{N}\right)(0,0)=\left\{\frac { 7 } { 2 4 \pi } \left[-\frac{8}{3} \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}} \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}} \log h\right.\right.  \tag{6.56}\\
&+ \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{3}\left\langle-\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right)-\nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right), J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
&\left.-\frac{1}{3}\left|T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{6}\left|T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}-\frac{2}{3}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right) e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right] \\
&-\frac{1}{2^{6} \pi}\left\langle\left(\nabla_{\cdot}^{T Y} \dot{g}_{\cdot}^{T Y}\right)_{\left(e_{j}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right)} J e_{k}^{\perp}+\right.\left.2\left(\nabla_{\cdot}^{T Y} \dot{g}_{\cdot}^{T Y}\right)_{\left(e_{j}^{\perp}, e_{k}^{\perp}\right)} J e_{j}^{\perp}, J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
&-\frac{1}{2^{8} \pi}\left(8\left|T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}+4\left|\sum_{j} \mathcal{T}_{j j}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}+\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{i j k}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{i j k}+\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{k j i}\right)\right) \\
&\left.\quad \frac{7}{2^{8} \pi}\left(2 \mathcal{T}_{j k m}^{2}+\mathcal{T}_{j j m} \mathcal{T}_{k k m}\right)\right\} P^{N}(0,0)
\end{align*}
$$

By (5.74), (5.77), (6.32), (6.54) and (6.56), comparing with (5.101), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{1,2}(0)=-\left(\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}^{0}\right)^{-1} P^{N^{\perp}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{2}^{\prime}+4 \pi^{2} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right) P^{N}\right)(0,0)  \tag{6.57}\\
& -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{16 \pi}\left(\left\langle T\left(e_{j}^{\perp}, J e_{j}^{\perp}\right), \widetilde{\mu}^{E}\right\rangle-2\left\langle J e_{j}^{\perp}, \nabla_{e_{j}^{\perp}}^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}^{E}\right\rangle\right) P^{N}(0,0) \\
& =\left\{\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left\langle R^{T X_{G}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2 \pi} R^{E_{G}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right. \\
& +\frac{7}{6}\left[\frac{1}{6 \pi} \Delta_{X_{G}} \log h+\frac{1}{48 \pi}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right) e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{96 \pi}\left|T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}\right. \\
& -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{16 \pi}\left\langle T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, J e_{k}^{\perp}\right), T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right\rangle+\frac{13}{192 \pi}\left|T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{\overline{0}}}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& \left.+\frac{7 \sqrt{-1}}{96 \pi}\left\langle\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right)+\nabla_{e_{\frac{\perp}{k}}^{T Y}}^{T Y}\left(T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right), J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{16 \pi}\left|T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{8 \pi}\left\langle R^{T B}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}\right) e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right\rangle+\frac{3}{16 \pi}\left|T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{32 \pi}\left|\sum_{j} \mathcal{T}_{j j}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2^{7} \pi} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{i j k}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{i j k}+\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{k j i}\right)+\frac{7}{2^{8} \pi}\left(2 \mathcal{T}_{j k m}^{2}+\mathcal{T}_{j j m} \mathcal{T}_{k k m}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2^{6} \pi}\left\langle\left(\nabla_{\cdot}^{T Y} \dot{g}^{T Y}\right)_{\left(e_{j}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{\perp}\right)} J e_{k}^{\perp}+2\left(\nabla_{\cdot}^{T Y} \dot{g}_{.}^{T Y}\right)_{\left(e_{j}^{\perp}, e_{k}^{\perp}\right)} J e_{j}^{\perp}, J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
& \left.-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{16 \pi}\left(\left\langle T\left(e_{j}^{\perp}, J e_{j}^{\perp}\right), \widetilde{\mu}^{E}\right\rangle-2\left\langle J e_{j}^{\perp}, \nabla_{e_{j}^{\perp}}^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}^{E}\right\rangle\right)\right\} P^{N}(0,0) .
\end{align*}
$$

$\operatorname{By}(5.124),(5.131)$, the term $\frac{7}{6}[\cdots]$ in (6.57) is $\frac{7}{6}\left(\frac{3}{8 \pi} \Delta_{X_{G}} \log h+\frac{1}{8 \pi}\left|T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}\right)$. By (5.130) and (6.57), we get (6.43).

The proof of Lemma 6.4 is complete.
Lemma 6.5. - The following identity holds,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\left(\nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp}}^{T Y} \dot{g}_{e_{k}^{\perp}}^{T Y}\right) J e_{l}^{\perp}, J e_{l}^{\perp}\right\rangle= & 4 \nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp}} \nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp}} \log h, \\
\left\langle\left(\nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp}}^{T Y} \dot{g}_{e_{l}^{\perp}}^{T Y}\right) J e_{l}^{\perp}, J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle= & 4 \nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp}} \nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp}} \log h+2\left|\sum_{l} \mathcal{T}_{l l}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}  \tag{6.58}\\
& -2\left|T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{j k i}+\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{i j k}\right) \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{i j k} .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. - By using the same argument as in (5.120), we get the first equation of (6.58).

Recall that $P^{T^{H} X}, P^{T Y}$ are the projections from $T X=T^{H} X \oplus T Y$ onto $T^{H} X, T Y$.
By (1.3), (1.7), (3.1), (3.40) and (3.41) (cf. also (5.32)),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left(P^{T^{H} X} J e_{l}^{\perp, H}\right)\right|_{\mu^{-1}(0)}=0, \quad\left(J e_{l}^{\perp, H}\right)_{x_{0}} \in T Y  \tag{6.59a}\\
& \left(\nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp, H}}^{T X} e_{l}^{\perp, H}\right)_{x_{0}}=-\frac{1}{2} T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right)  \tag{6.59b}\\
& \left(\nabla_{e_{j}^{0}}^{T X} e_{l}^{\perp, H}\right)_{x_{0}}=\left(A\left(e_{j}^{0}\right) e_{l}^{\perp}\right)^{H}-\frac{1}{2} T\left(e_{j}^{0}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right) \\
& \left(\nabla_{J e_{l}^{\perp, H}}^{T X} e_{k}^{H}\right)_{x_{0}}=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle T\left(e_{k}, e_{j}\right), J e_{l}^{\perp}\right\rangle e_{j}^{H}+\left\langle T\left(e_{k}, J e_{l}^{\perp}\right), J e_{j}^{\perp}\right\rangle J e_{j}^{\perp} \tag{6.59c}
\end{align*}
$$

From (6.59a), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{e_{i}^{0}}^{T X} P^{T^{H} X} J e_{l}^{\perp, H}=\nabla_{J e_{k}^{\perp, H}}^{T X} P^{T^{H} X} J e_{l}^{\perp, H}=0 \tag{6.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.40), (5.14), (5.72) and (6.59b), we get at $x_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp, H}}^{T X} P^{T^{H} X} J e_{l}^{\perp, H} & =\nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp, H}}^{T^{H} X} P^{T^{H} X} J e_{l}^{\perp, H}  \tag{6.61}\\
& =-\frac{1}{2} J T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle J T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{j}\right), e_{l}^{\perp}\right\rangle e_{j} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{k l j}-\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{k j l}\right) e_{j}^{\perp}+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle J T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{0}\right), e_{l}^{\perp}\right\rangle e_{j}^{0}
\end{align*}
$$

By (5.6a), (6.59b), (6.60) and (6.61), at $x_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{e_{j}^{0, H}}^{T X} P^{T Y} J e_{l}^{\perp, H}=J \nabla_{e_{j}^{0, H}}^{T X} e_{l}^{\perp, H}=J A\left(e_{j}^{0}\right) e_{l}^{\perp}-\frac{1}{2} J T\left(e_{j}^{0}, e_{l}^{\perp}\right) \\
& \nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp, H}}^{T X} P^{T Y} J e_{l}^{\perp, H}=J \nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp, H}}^{T X} e_{l}^{\perp, H}-\nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp, H}}^{T X} P^{T^{H} X} J e_{l}^{\perp, H}  \tag{6.62}\\
& \quad=-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle J T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{j}\right), e_{l}^{\perp}\right\rangle e_{j}=-\frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{k j l} e_{j}^{\perp}-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle J T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{0}\right), e_{l}^{\perp}\right\rangle e_{j}^{0} .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus by (6.62), at $x_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp, H}}^{T Y} P^{T Y} J e_{l}^{\perp, H}=P^{T Y} \nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp, H}}^{T X} P^{T Y} J e_{l}^{\perp, H}=0 \tag{6.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (1.3), (1.6), (1.7) and (6.63), at $x_{0}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\langle\left(\nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp}}^{T Y} \dot{g}_{e_{l}^{\perp}}^{T Y}\right) J e_{l}^{\perp}, J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle=e_{k}^{\perp}\left\langle\dot{g}_{e_{l}^{\perp}}^{T Y} P^{T Y} J e_{l}^{\perp}, P^{T Y} J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle  \tag{6.64}\\
=2 e_{k}^{\perp}\left\langle\nabla_{P^{T Y} J_{l}^{\perp}}^{T X} e_{l}^{\perp}, P^{T Y} J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
=2 e_{k}^{\perp}\left\langle\nabla_{\left.P^{T Y}{ }_{J e_{l}^{\perp}} e_{l}^{\perp}, J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle-2 e_{k}^{\perp}\left\langle\nabla_{P^{T Y}{ }_{J e_{l}^{\perp}}^{T X}} e_{l}^{\perp}, P^{T^{H} X} J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle} .\right.
\end{gather*}
$$

By $(5.5 \mathrm{e}),(5.14),(6.59 \mathrm{a}),(6.59 \mathrm{c})$ and $(6.61)$, at $x_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
-2 e_{k}^{\perp}\left\langle\nabla_{P^{T Y}{ }_{J e_{l}^{\perp}}^{\perp X}} e_{l}^{\perp}, P^{T^{H} X} J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle=-2\left\langle\nabla_{P^{T Y}{ }_{J} e_{l}^{\perp}}^{T X} e_{l}^{\perp}, \nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp}}^{T X} P^{T^{H} X} J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle  \tag{6.65}\\
=-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle T\left(e_{l}^{\perp}, e_{j}\right), J e_{l}^{\perp}\right\rangle\left\langle J T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{j}\right), e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{T}_{l l}\left(e_{j}^{0}\right) \mathcal{T}_{k k}\left(e_{j}^{0}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Now by (5.6a),

$$
\begin{align*}
e_{k}^{\perp}\left\langle\nabla_{P^{T Y} e_{l}^{\perp}}^{T X} e_{l}^{\perp}, J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle & =-e_{k}^{\perp}\left\langle\nabla_{P^{T Y} J e_{l}^{\perp}}^{T X} J e_{l}^{\perp}, e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle  \tag{6.66}\\
& =-e_{k}^{\perp}\left\langle\nabla_{P^{T Y} J_{l}^{\perp}}^{T X} P^{T Y} J e_{l}^{\perp}+\nabla_{P^{T Y}{ }_{J e_{l}^{\perp}}^{T X}} P^{T^{H} X} J e_{l}^{\perp}, e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

Observe that for any $Y \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, T Y),\left[e_{k}^{\perp, H}, Y\right] \in T Y$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[e_{k}^{\perp, H}, P^{T Y} J e_{l}^{\perp, H}\right] \in T Y \tag{6.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.59a) and (6.67), at $x_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\left[e_{k}^{\perp, H}, P^{T Y} J e_{l}^{\perp, H}\right]}^{T X} P^{T^{H} X} J e_{l}^{\perp}=0 . \tag{6.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

And by $(5.5 \mathrm{~d}),(6.59 \mathrm{a})-(6.59 \mathrm{c}),(6.60)$ and $(6.61)$, as $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{k l j}, \mathcal{T}_{k l}\left(e_{j}^{0}\right)$ are constant functions along the fiber $G x_{0}$, at $x_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
- & 2 e_{k}^{\perp}\left\langle\nabla_{P T Y}^{T X}{ }_{J}^{\perp}\right.  \tag{6.69}\\
& =-2\left\langle\left(\nabla_{P^{T Y} J_{l}^{\perp}}^{T X} \nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp}}^{T X}+\nabla_{l}^{\perp}, e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle\right. \\
& =-\left\langle T\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{k l j}-\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{k j l}\right) e_{j}^{\perp}+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle J T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{0}\right), e_{l}^{\perp}\right\rangle e_{j}^{0}, e_{k}^{\perp}\right), J e_{l}^{\perp}\right\rangle \\
& =-\frac{1}{2}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{k l j}-\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{k j l}\right) \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{j k l}-\frac{1}{2}\left|T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, e_{j}^{0}\right)\right|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, by (1.4), (1.7), (1.24) and (6.63), as in (5.120),

$$
\begin{align*}
-2 e_{k}^{\perp}\left\langle\nabla_{P^{T Y} e_{l}^{\perp}}^{T X} P^{T Y} J e_{l}^{\perp}, e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle & =2 e_{k}^{\perp}\left\langle T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, P^{T Y} J e_{l}^{\perp}\right), P^{T Y} J e_{l}^{\perp}\right\rangle  \tag{6.70}\\
& =\left\langle\left(\nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp}}^{T Y} \dot{g}_{e_{k}^{\perp}}^{T Y}\right) J e_{l}^{\perp}, J e_{l}^{\perp}\right\rangle=4 \nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp}} \nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp}} \log h
\end{align*}
$$

Thus by (6.64)-(6.70),

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\left(\nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp}}^{T Y} \dot{g}_{e_{l}^{\perp}}^{T Y}\right) J e_{l}^{\perp}, J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle=4 \nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp}} \nabla_{e_{\frac{\perp}{k}}} & \log h \tag{6.71}
\end{align*}+\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{T}_{l l}\left(e_{j}^{0}\right) \mathcal{T}_{k k}\left(e_{j}^{0}\right) .
$$

From (3.6), (5.14) and (6.71), we get (6.58).

### 6.3. Proof of Theorem 0.7

Ву (5.14), (5.95),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k} \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}\right)^{2}=-\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}_{x_{0}}^{E}, \tilde{\mu}_{x_{0}}^{E}\right\rangle_{g^{T Y}}-\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}^{E}, \frac{3}{2} \sqrt{-1} T\left(e_{l}^{\perp}, J e_{l}^{\perp}\right)+2 T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \quad+\left|\sum_{j} T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}+\frac{9}{16} \mathcal{T}_{l l m} \mathcal{T}_{k k m}-\frac{3 \sqrt{-1}}{2}\left\langle T\left(e_{l}^{\perp}, J e_{l}^{\perp}\right), T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right\rangle,  \tag{6.72}\\
& \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}\right) \mathcal{T}_{k l l}=-\sqrt{-1}\left\langle T\left(e_{l}^{\perp}, J e_{l}^{\perp}\right), \widetilde{\mu}^{E}+T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right\rangle+\frac{3}{4} \mathcal{T}_{l l m} \mathcal{T}_{k k m} .
\end{align*}
$$

By (5.14), (6.6), (6.7), (6.8) and (6.72), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\Psi_{1,1}+\Psi_{1,1}^{*}+\Psi_{1,3}-\Psi_{1,4}\right)(0)=\left\{-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{k} \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{8 \pi} \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(e_{k}^{\perp}\right) \mathcal{T}_{k l l}\right.  \tag{6.73}\\
\left.-\frac{11}{48 \pi}\left|\mathcal{T}_{k l}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}-\frac{13}{2^{6} \cdot 3 \pi} \mathcal{T}_{k l m}^{2}+\frac{1}{2^{7} \pi} \mathcal{T}_{k k m} \mathcal{T}_{l l m}-\frac{1}{8 \pi}\left|\sum_{k} \mathcal{T}_{k k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}\right\} P^{N}(0,0) \\
=\left\{\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}_{x_{0}}^{E}, \widetilde{\mu}_{x_{0}}^{E}\right\rangle_{g^{T Y}}+\frac{1}{\pi}\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}^{E}, \frac{7}{8} \sqrt{-1} T\left(e_{l}^{\perp}, J e_{l}^{\perp}\right)+T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{l}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{l}^{0}}\right)\right\rangle\right. \\
-\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left|\sum_{j} T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}+\frac{7 \sqrt{-1}}{8 \pi}\left\langle T\left(e_{l}^{\perp}, J e_{l}^{\perp}\right), T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right\rangle-\frac{47}{2^{7} \pi} \mathcal{T}_{k k m} \mathcal{T}_{l l m} \\
\left.-\frac{11}{48 \pi}\left|T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}-\frac{13}{2^{6} \cdot 3 \pi} \mathcal{T}_{k l m}^{2}-\frac{1}{8 \pi}\left|\sum_{k} \mathcal{T}_{k k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}\right\} P^{N}(0,0)
\end{gather*}
$$

By (6.43) and (6.58), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{1,2}(0)+\Psi_{1,2}(0)^{*}=\left\{\frac{1}{8 \pi} r_{x_{0}}^{X_{G}}+\frac{1}{\pi} R^{E_{G}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)+\frac{1}{\pi} \Delta_{X_{G}} \log h\right.  \tag{6.74}\\
& -\frac{3}{8 \pi} \nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp}} \nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp}} \log h+\frac{35}{48 \pi}\left|T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{8 \pi}\left\langle T\left(e_{l}^{\perp}, J e_{l}^{\perp}\right), T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left|T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{16 \pi}\left|\sum_{k} \mathcal{T}_{k k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{2^{6} \pi}\left[\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{i j k}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{k j i}+\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{i j k}\right)+2\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{j k i}+\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{i j k}\right) \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{i j k}\right]+\frac{7}{2^{7} \pi}\left(2 \mathcal{T}_{j k m}^{2}+\mathcal{T}_{j j m} \mathcal{T}_{k k m}\right) \\
& \left.\quad-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{8 \pi}\left(\left\langle T\left(e_{l}^{\perp}, J e_{l}^{\perp}\right), \widetilde{\mu}^{E}\right\rangle-2\left\langle J e_{k}^{\perp}, \nabla_{e_{k}^{\frac{1}{k}}}^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}^{E}\right\rangle\right)\right\} P^{N}(0,0) .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus by $(6.1),(6.73)$ and $(6.74)$, as $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{i j k}$ is anti-symmetric on $i, j$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& P^{(2)}(0,0)=\left\{\frac{1}{8 \pi} r_{x_{0}}^{X_{G}}+\frac{1}{\pi} R^{E_{G}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)+\frac{1}{\pi} \Delta_{X_{G}} \log h-\frac{3}{8 \pi} \nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp}} \nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp}} \log h\right.  \tag{6.75}\\
& +\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left|T\left(e_{k}^{\perp}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left|T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left|\sum_{j} T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& +\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\pi}\left\langle T\left(e_{l}^{\perp}, J e_{l}^{\perp}\right), T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)\right\rangle-\frac{3}{16 \pi}\left|\sum_{k} \mathcal{T}_{k k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{0}}\right)\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{24 \pi} \mathcal{T}_{k l m}^{2} \\
& -\frac{5}{16 \pi} \mathcal{T}_{k k m} \mathcal{T}_{l l m}+\frac{1}{2^{6} \pi} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{i j k}\left(-\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{k j i}+3 \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{i j k}\right)+\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}_{x_{0}}^{E}, \widetilde{\mu}_{x_{0}}^{E}\right\rangle_{g^{T Y}} \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{\pi}\left\langle\widetilde{\mu}^{E}, \frac{3}{4} \sqrt{-1} T\left(e_{l}^{\perp}, J e_{l}^{\perp}\right)+T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{l}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{l}^{0}}\right)\right\rangle+\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4 \pi}\left\langle J e_{k}^{\perp}, \nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp}}^{T Y} \widetilde{\mu}^{E}\right\rangle\right\} P^{N}(0,0) .
\end{align*}
$$

By Theorem 5.1, (1.4), (1.24), (5.5c) and (5.14), as same as in (5.120), we get for $U \in T_{x_{0}} X_{G}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{T}_{l l m}=-\left\langle T\left(e_{m}^{\perp}, J e_{l}^{\perp}\right), J e_{l}^{\perp}\right\rangle=-2 \nabla_{e_{m}^{\perp}} \log h \\
& T\left(e_{l}^{\perp}, J e_{l}^{\perp}\right)=2\left(\nabla_{e_{k}^{\perp}} \log h\right) J e_{k}^{\perp}  \tag{6.76}\\
& \mathcal{T}_{k k}(U)=-2\left\langle T\left(J U, J e_{k}^{\perp}\right), J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle=-\left\langle\dot{g}_{J U}^{T Y} J e_{k}^{\perp}, J e_{k}^{\perp}\right\rangle=-4 \nabla_{J U} \log h
\end{align*}
$$

By (6.5), (6.75) and (6.76), we get Theorem 0.7.

## CHAPTER 7

## BERGMAN KERNEL AND GEOMETRIC QUANTIZATION

In this Chapter, we prove Theorems $0.10,0.12$.

Proof of Theorem 0.10. - We use the notations in Section 4.5.
By Lemma 4.6 and Theorem 4.8, we know that $p^{-\frac{n_{0}}{4}}\left(\sigma_{p} \circ \sigma_{p}^{*}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a Toeplitz operator with principal symbol $\left(2^{\frac{n_{0}}{4}} / \widetilde{h}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \operatorname{Id}_{E_{G}}$ in the sense of Definition 4.3 , and its kernel has an expansion analogous to (4.79) and $Q_{0,0}$ therein is $2^{\frac{n_{0}}{4}} / \widetilde{h}\left(x_{0}\right)$.

We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{T}_{p}=p^{-\frac{n_{0}}{2}}\left(\sigma_{p} \circ \sigma_{p}^{*}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \widetilde{h}^{2}\left(\sigma_{p} \circ \sigma_{p}^{*}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a Toeplitz operator with principal symbol $2^{\frac{n_{0}}{2}} \operatorname{Id}_{E_{G}}$.
Indeed, when $E=\mathbb{C}$, this is a consequence of $[\mathbf{9}]$ on the composition of the Toeplitz operators.

To get the above claim for general $E$, we need just keep in mind that the kernel $\mathbb{T}_{p}\left(x_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ of $\mathbb{T}_{p}$ with respect $d v_{X_{G}}\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ has the expansion analogous to (4.79) and $Q_{0,0}$ therein is $2^{\frac{n_{0}}{2}} \operatorname{Id}_{E_{G}}$.

By Theorem 4.4, our claim then follows from the composition of the expansion of the kernel of $p^{-\frac{n_{0}}{4}}\left(\sigma_{p} \circ \sigma_{p}^{*}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, as well as the Taylor expansion of $\widetilde{h}^{2}$ (cf. also [31, Chap. 7]).

Now we still denote by $\langle$,$\rangle the L^{2}$-scalar product on $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(X_{G}, L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G}\right)$ induced by $h^{L_{G}^{p}}, h^{E_{G}}, g^{T X_{G}}$ as in (1.19).

Let $\left\{s_{i}^{p}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\left(H^{0}\left(X, L^{p} \otimes E\right)^{G},\langle\rangle,\right)$, then

$$
\varphi_{i}^{p}=\left(\sigma_{p} \circ \sigma_{p}^{*}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_{p} s_{i}^{p}
$$

is an orthonormal basis of $\left(H^{0}\left(X_{G}, L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G}\right),\langle\rangle,\right)$.

From Definition 4.3, (0.28), (1.19) and (7.1), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
&(2 p)^{-\frac{n_{0}}{2}}\left\langle\sigma_{p} s_{i}^{p}, \sigma_{p} s_{j}^{p}\right\rangle_{\widetilde{h}}=(2 p)^{-\frac{n_{0}}{2}}\left\langle\left(\sigma_{p} \circ \sigma_{p}^{*}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi_{i}^{p},\left(\sigma_{p} \circ \sigma_{p}^{*}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi_{j}^{p}\right\rangle_{\widetilde{h}}  \tag{7.2}\\
&=2^{-\frac{n_{0}}{2}}\left\langle\mathbb{T}_{p} \varphi_{i}^{p}, \varphi_{j}^{p}\right\rangle=\delta_{i j}+\mathscr{O}\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The proof of Theorem 0.10 is complete.
In the symplectic case, we use (4.88) to define $\sigma_{p}:\left(\operatorname{ker} D_{p}\right)^{G} \rightarrow \operatorname{ker} D_{G, p}$ which is an isomorphism for $p$ large enough. Now by Theorems 4.4, 4.12, Corollary 4.13 as the above argument, we know $(2 p)^{-n_{0} / 4} \sigma_{p}$ is an asymptotic isometry is the sense of (0.29).

Proof of Theorem 0.12. - Set

$$
\widetilde{h}^{E_{G}}=\widetilde{h}^{2} h^{E_{G}}
$$

Then $\widetilde{P}_{p}^{X_{G}}$ is the orthogonal projection from $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(X_{G}, L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G}\right)$ onto $H^{0}\left(X, L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G}\right)$, associated to the Hermitian product on $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(X_{G}, L_{G}^{p} \otimes E_{G}\right)$ induced by the metrics $h^{L_{G}}, \widetilde{h}^{E_{G}}, g^{T X_{G}}$ as in (1.19).

Let $\widetilde{P}_{p, \omega}^{X_{G}}\left(x_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ be the smooth kernel of $\widetilde{P}_{p}^{X_{G}}$ with respect to $d v_{X_{G}}\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{P}_{p, \omega}^{X_{G}}\left(x_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right)=\widetilde{h}^{2}\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right) \widetilde{P}_{p}^{X_{G}}\left(x_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}\right) \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\widetilde{\nabla}^{E_{G}}$ be the Hermitian holomorphic connection on $\left(E_{G}, \widetilde{h}^{E_{G}}\right)$ with curvature $\widetilde{R}^{E_{G}}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\nabla}^{E_{G}}=\nabla^{E_{G}}+\partial \log \left(\widetilde{h}^{2}\right), \quad \widetilde{R}^{E_{G}}=R^{E_{G}}+2 \bar{\partial} \partial \log \widetilde{h} \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus from (7.4),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{R}^{E_{G}}\left(w_{j}^{0}, \bar{w}_{j}^{0}\right)=2 \widetilde{R}^{E_{G}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}^{0}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{0}}\right)=R^{E_{G}}\left(w_{j}^{0}, \bar{w}_{j}^{0}\right)+\Delta_{X_{G}} \log \widetilde{h} \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (5.19), (7.3) and (7.5), Theorem 0.12 is a direct consequence of $[\mathbf{1 7}$, Theorem 1.3] (or Theorem 0.6 with $G=\{1\}$ ) for $\widetilde{P}_{p, \omega}^{X_{G}}\left(x_{0}, x_{0}\right)$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ In the exponential factor of $[\mathbf{3 2},(7)]$, we missed $m^{\prime}$ as in the last line of (0.14) here.

[^1]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ By Theorems 0.1, 0.2 for $G=\{1\}$ (or [31, Theorem 4.2.1]), if $\Xi_{p}=P_{p} \Xi_{p} P_{p}$, then (4.36) is equivalent to: for any $l, m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $C>0, M>0$ such that for $x_{0} \in X,|Z|,\left|Z^{\prime}\right|<\varepsilon^{\prime}$, $|\alpha|+\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right| \leqslant m$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$, the following estimate holds :

    $$
    \begin{aligned}
    & \left|\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right|}}{\partial Z^{\alpha} \partial Z^{\prime \alpha^{\prime}}}\left(p^{-n} \Xi_{p, x_{0}}\left(Z, Z^{\prime}\right) \kappa_{x_{0}}^{1 / 2}(Z) \kappa_{x_{0}}^{1 / 2}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)-\sum_{r=0}^{k}\left(Q_{r, x_{0}} \mathscr{P}_{x_{0}}\right)\left(\sqrt{p} Z, \sqrt{p} Z^{\prime}\right) p^{-\frac{r}{2}}\right)\right|_{\mathscr{C}^{l}(X)} \\
    & \leqslant C p^{-\frac{k+1-m}{2}}\left(1+\sqrt{p}|Z|+\sqrt{p}\left|Z^{\prime}\right|\right)^{M} \exp \left(-\sqrt{C_{0} p}\left|Z-Z^{\prime}\right|\right)+\mathscr{O}\left(p^{-\infty}\right) .
    \end{aligned}
    $$

    Even (4.36) holds for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$, in the proof of Theorem 4.4 (i.e. [30, Theorem 4.9]), we only use $l=0$.

