

Adiabatic Limits and Foliations

Kefeng Liu and Weiping Zhang

ABSTRACT. We use adiabatic limits to study foliated manifolds. The Bott connection naturally shows up as the adiabatic limit of Levi-Civita connections. As an application, we then construct certain natural elliptic operators associated to the foliation and present a direct geometric proof of a vanishing theorem of Connes [4], which extends the Lichnerowicz vanishing theorem [6] to foliated manifolds with spin leaves, for what we call almost Riemannian foliations. Several new vanishing theorems are also proved by using our method.

Introduction

Let M be a compact spin manifold and g^{TM} be a Riemannian metric on TM , the tangent bundle of M . If the scalar curvature k_{TM} of g^{TM} is positive, then a well-known theorem of Lichnerowicz [6] states that $\langle \widehat{A}(TM), [M] \rangle = 0$. Here $\widehat{A}(TM)$ is the Hirzebruch \widehat{A} -class of TM .

This result is a direct consequence of the Atiyah-Singer index formula [1] and the by now standard Lichnerowicz formula, which was also observed by Singer, for Dirac operators on spin manifolds.

Now let (M, F) be a compact foliated manifold. We make the following assumptions: (1) F is spin; (2) there is a metric g^F on F such that the scalar curvature of g^F is positive. Under these two assumptions, A. Connes [4] proved that one still has $\langle \widehat{A}(TM), [M] \rangle = 0$. Note that here M needs not be spin and thus $\langle \widehat{A}(TM), [M] \rangle$ may not be an integer. Clearly this extends the Lichnerowicz theorem which corresponds to the special case $F = TM$.

The proof given by Connes in [4] is highly noncommutative. It uses, besides an application of the Lichnerowicz formula along the leaves, the longitudinal index theorem of Connes-Skandalis for foliated manifolds [5] as well as the cyclic cohomology techniques, see [4].

The present paper arose from an attempt to find a direct geometric proof of Connes' vanishing theorem. As we will see, at least for the almost Riemannian foliations studied in [4], Connes' vanishing theorem can be directly deduced from a Lichnerowicz type formula and the Atiyah-Singer index theorem.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C27; Secondary 51H25 .

Key words and phrases. adiabatic limit, foliation.

To make the use of the Lichnerowicz type formula to the foliated manifolds possible, we use the adiabatic limit procedure to blow up the metric along the transversal direction. Some geometric behaviors of the foliation under adiabatic limits are also examined. In particular, we find that the Bott connection [3] appears naturally in the limit. Then we construct a class of natural elliptic operators which we called the sub-Dirac operators associated to the foliation. The indices of such operators contain many important geometric information about the foliation. The method we use has more potentials. Actually we can prove certain new vanishing theorems for the foliated manifolds.

This paper is organized as follows. In §1 we discuss the geometry of a foliated manifold under the adiabatic limits. In §2, by constructing the sub-Dirac operators, we give a direct geometric proof of the Connes vanishing theorem for what we call almost Riemannian foliations. In §3, we briefly describe some new vanishing theorems that can be proved by using our method. The final section is an appendix in which we make some remarks on the case of general foliations.

Acknowledgements. Part of this work was done while the first author was visiting the Nankai Institute of Mathematics in Tianjin during the summer of 1995. He would like to thank Prof. S. T. Yau [8] who first proposed to use the idea of adiabatic limits to deal with Connes' theorem many years ago. Both of the authors wish to thank the Chinese National Science Foundation for its financial support. The first author was also partially supported by the NSF and the Sloan Foundation, and the second author by the Ministry of Education of China and the Qiu Shi Foundation.

§1. Adiabatic limits and foliations

The purpose of this section is to establish some formulas concerning the adiabatic limit of foliations.

Let (M, F) be a closed foliation, that is, F is an integrable sub-bundle of TM . Let g^F be a metric on F . Let g^{TM} be a metric on TM which restricted to g^F on F . Let F^\perp be the orthogonal complement of F in TM with respect to g^{TM} . Then we have the following orthogonal splitting,

$$\begin{aligned} TM &= F \oplus F^\perp, \\ g^{TM} &= g^F \oplus g^{F^\perp}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where g^{F^\perp} is the restriction of g^{TM} to F^\perp .

It is clear that we can and we will make the identification that

$$TM/F = F^\perp. \tag{1.2}$$

Let p, p^\perp be the orthogonal projection from TM to F, F^\perp respectively. Let ∇^{TM} be the Levi-Civita connection of g^{TM} and ∇^F (resp. ∇^{F^\perp}) be the restriction of ∇^{TM} to F (resp. F^\perp). That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^F &= p\nabla^{TM}p, \\ \nabla^{F^\perp} &= p^\perp\nabla^{TM}p^\perp. \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

Now for any $\varepsilon > 0$, let g_ε^{TM} be the metric

$$g^{TM,\varepsilon} = g^F \oplus \frac{1}{\varepsilon}g^{F^\perp}. \tag{1.4}$$

Let $\nabla^{TM,\varepsilon}$ be the Levi-Civita connection of $g^{TM,\varepsilon}$. Let $\nabla^{F,\varepsilon}$ (resp. $\nabla^{F^\perp,\varepsilon}$) be the restriction of $\nabla^{TM,\varepsilon}$ to F (resp. F^\perp). We will examine the behavior of $\nabla^{TM,\varepsilon}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

The process of taking the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ is called adiabatic limit.

The standard formula for Levi-Civita connection gives us the following sets of formulas,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^{F,\varepsilon} &= \nabla^F, \\ p\nabla_X^{TM,\varepsilon} p^\perp &= p\nabla_X^{TM} p^\perp, \text{ for } X \in \Gamma(F), \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla_V^{TM,\varepsilon} U, X \rangle &= \langle \nabla_V^{TM} U, X \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle X, \nabla_V^{TM} U + \nabla_U^{TM} V \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \langle X, \nabla_V^{TM} U + \nabla_U^{TM} V \rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

for $X \in \Gamma(F)$, $U, V \in \Gamma(F^\perp)$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} p^\perp \nabla_X^{TM,\varepsilon} p &= \varepsilon p^\perp \nabla_X^{TM} p, \text{ for } X \in \Gamma(F), \\ \langle \nabla_V^{TM,\varepsilon} Y, U \rangle &= -\frac{1}{2} \langle Y, \nabla_V^{TM} U + \nabla_U^{TM} V \rangle + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \langle Y, [U, V] \rangle \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_V^{F^\perp,\varepsilon} &= \nabla_V^{F^\perp}, \\ \langle \nabla_X^{F^\perp,\varepsilon} U, V \rangle &= \langle [X, U], V \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle X, \nabla_V^{TM} U + \nabla_U^{TM} V \rangle - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \langle X, [U, V] \rangle \end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

for $X \in \Gamma(F)$, $U, V \in \Gamma(F^\perp)$.

Let L be a leave of F , then F^\perp is a flat bundle along L , carrying with the canonical Bott connection [3]

$$\dot{\nabla}_X^L = p^\perp [X, U], \quad X \in \Gamma(TL), \quad U \in \Gamma(F^\perp). \tag{1.9}$$

Following [2], set

$$\omega^L = (g^{F^\perp})^{-1} \dot{\nabla}^L g^{F^\perp} = \dot{\nabla}^{L*} - \dot{\nabla}^L, \tag{1.10}$$

where $\dot{\nabla}^{L*}$ is the dual of $\dot{\nabla}^L$ with respect to g^{F^\perp} . Then

$$\tilde{\nabla}^L = \dot{\nabla}^L + \frac{1}{2} \omega^L \tag{1.11}$$

is the natural unitary connection on $F^\perp|_L$ associated to $\dot{\nabla}^L$.

We can now state the main result of this section as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Along each leave L , the following identity holds,*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \nabla^{F^\perp,\varepsilon} \Big|_L = \tilde{\nabla}^L. \tag{1.12}$$

Proof. For any $X \in \Gamma(TL)$, $U, V \in \Gamma(F^\perp)$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^L(X)(U, V) &= \langle \dot{\nabla}_X^{L*} U, V \rangle - \langle \dot{\nabla}_X^L U, V \rangle \\ &= -\langle U, \dot{\nabla}_X^L V \rangle - \langle \dot{\nabla}_X^L U, V \rangle + X\langle U, V \rangle \\ &= -\langle U, [X, V] \rangle - \langle [X, U], V \rangle + X\langle U, V \rangle \\ &= -\langle U, \nabla_X^{TM} V - \nabla_V^{TM} X \rangle - \langle \nabla_X^{TM} U - \nabla_U^{TM} X, V \rangle + X\langle U, V \rangle \\ &= -\langle \nabla_U^{TM} V, X \rangle - \langle \nabla_V^{TM} U, X \rangle - \langle U, \nabla_X^{TM} V \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$-\langle \nabla_X^{TM} U, V \rangle + X\langle U, V \rangle. \tag{1.13}$$

Note that the last three terms cancel. So (1.12) follows directly from (1.8), (1.9), (1.11) and (1.13). \square

Remark 1.2. Conversely, one sees from Theorem 1.1 that the Bott connection shows up naturally from the above adiabatic limit procedure.

§2. The Connes vanishing theorem for almost Riemannian foliations

In this section we present a direct geometric proof of the Connes vanishing theorem for a special class of foliated manifolds which we call almost Riemannian foliations.

This section is organized as follows. In a), we define what we call almost Riemannian foliations. In b) we construct a class of elliptic operators specially defined for our purpose and prove the corresponding Lichnerowicz formula. In c) we prove the Connes vanishing theorem for almost Riemannian foliations.

a). Almost Riemannian foliations

We use the same assumptions and notations as in §1. First note that the 1-form ω^L defined in (1.10) does not depend on the metric g^F . Also recall that, if $\omega = 0$, then (M, F, g^{TM}) is a Riemannian foliation. This motivates the following definition.

Definition 2.1. Let g^F be a metric on F . If there is a series of metrics g_ε^{TM} , $\varepsilon > 0$, such that as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the corresponding one form defined in (1.10) verifies that

$$\omega_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \tag{2.1}$$

then we say that (M, F, g^F) admits an almost Riemannian structure.

Remark 2.2. The equation (2.1) should be interpreted as follows: as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, for any $X \in \Gamma(F)$, one has

$$|\omega_\varepsilon(X)|_{g_\varepsilon^{TM}} \rightarrow 0. \tag{2.2}$$

b). A class of elliptic operators associated to Spin subbundles of the tangent bundle

From now on we make the special assumption that F is oriented, spin and carries a fixed spin structure. We also assume that F^\perp is oriented and that both $p = \dim F$ and $q = \dim F^\perp$ are even.

Let $S(F)$ be the bundle of spinors associated to (F, g^F) . For any $X \in \Gamma(F)$, denote by $c(X)$ the Clifford action of X on $S(F)$. Since $p = \dim F$ is even, we have the splitting

$$S(F) = S_+(F) \oplus S_-(F) \tag{2.3}$$

and $c(X)$ exchanges $S_\pm(F)$.

Let $\Lambda(F^{\perp,*})$ be the exterior algebra bundle of F^\perp . Then $\Lambda(F^{\perp,*})$ carries a canonically induced metric $g^{\Lambda(F^{\perp,*})}$ from g^{F^\perp} . For any $U \in \Gamma(F^\perp)$, let $U^* \in \Gamma(F^{\perp,*})$ be the corresponding dual of U with respect to g^{F^\perp} .

Now for $U \in \Gamma(F^\perp)$, set

$$c(U) = U^* \wedge -i_U, \quad \widehat{c}(U) = U^* \wedge +i_U, \tag{2.4}$$

where $U^* \wedge$ and i_U are the exterior and inner multiplications by U^* and U on $\Lambda(F^{\perp,*})$ respectively. One has the following obvious identities,

$$\begin{aligned} c(U)c(V) + c(V)c(U) &= -2\langle U, V \rangle_{g^{F^\perp}}, \\ \widehat{c}(U)\widehat{c}(V) + \widehat{c}(V)\widehat{c}(U) &= 2\langle U, V \rangle_{g^{F^\perp}}, \\ c(U)\widehat{c}(V) + \widehat{c}(V)c(U) &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

for $U, V \in \Gamma(F^\perp)$.

Let h_1, \dots, h_q be an oriented local orthonormal basis of F^\perp . Set

$$\tau(F^\perp, g^{F^\perp}) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}} \right)^{\frac{q(q+1)}{2}} c(h_1) \cdots c(h_q). \tag{2.6}$$

Then

$$\tau(F^\perp, g^{F^\perp})^2 = \text{Id}_{\Lambda(F^{\perp,*})}. \tag{2.7}$$

Denote

$$\Lambda_\pm(F^{\perp,*}) = \left\{ h \in \Lambda(F^{\perp,*}) : \tau(F^\perp, g^{F^\perp}) h = \pm h \right\}. \tag{2.8}$$

Then $\Lambda_\pm(F^{\perp,*})$ are sub-bundles of $\Lambda(F^{\perp,*})$. Also, since q is even, one verifies that for any $h \in \Gamma(F^\perp)$, $c(h)$ anti-commutes with τ , while $\widehat{c}(h)$ commutes with τ . Thus $c(h)$ exchanges $\Lambda_\pm(F^{\perp,*})$.

We will view both vector bundles

$$S(F) = S_+(F) \oplus S_-(F) \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$\Lambda(F^{\perp,*}) = \Lambda_+(F^{\perp,*}) \oplus \Lambda_-(F^{\perp,*}) \tag{2.10}$$

as super vector bundles. Their \mathbf{Z}_2 graded tensor product is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S(F) \widehat{\otimes} \Lambda(F^{\perp,*}) &= [S_+(F) \otimes \Lambda_+(F^{\perp,*}) \oplus S_-(F) \otimes \Lambda_-(F^{\perp,*})] \\ &\quad \oplus [S_+(F) \otimes \Lambda_-(F^{\perp,*}) \oplus S_-(F) \otimes \Lambda_+(F^{\perp,*})]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

For $X \in \Gamma(F)$, $U \in \Gamma(F^\perp)$, the operators $c(X)$, $c(U)$ and $\widehat{c}(U)$ extends naturally to $S(F) \widehat{\otimes} \Lambda(F^{\perp,*})$.

The connections ∇^F , ∇^{F^\perp} lift to $S(F)$ and $\Lambda(F^{\perp,*})$ naturally, and preserve the splitting (2.9) and (2.10). We write them as

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^{S(F)} &= \nabla^{S_+(F)} \oplus \nabla^{S_-(F)}, \\ \nabla^{\Lambda(F^{\perp,*})} &= \nabla^{\Lambda_+(F^{\perp,*})} \oplus \nabla^{\Lambda_-(F^{\perp,*})}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Then $S(F) \widehat{\otimes} \Lambda(F^{\perp,*})$ carries the induced tensor product connection

$$\nabla^{S(F) \widehat{\otimes} \Lambda(F^{\perp,*})} = \nabla^{S(F)} \otimes \text{Id}_{\Lambda(F^{\perp,*})} + \text{Id}_{S(F)} \otimes \nabla^{\Lambda(F^{\perp,*})}. \tag{2.13}$$

And similarly for $S_\pm(F) \widehat{\otimes} \Lambda_\pm(F^{\perp,*})$.

Let $S \in \Omega^1(T^*M) \otimes \Gamma(\text{End}(TM))$ be defined by

$$\nabla^{TM} = \nabla^F + \nabla^{F^\perp} + S. \tag{2.14}$$

Then for any $X \in \Gamma(TM)$, $S(X)$ exchanges $\Gamma(F)$ and $\Gamma(F^\perp)$ and is skew-adjoint with respect to g^{TM} .

For any vector bundle E over M . By an integral polynomial of E we will mean a vector bundle $\phi(E)$ which is a polynomial in the exterior and symmetric powers of E with integral coefficients.

Let $\phi(F^\perp)$ be an integral polynomial of F^\perp , then $\phi(F^\perp)$ carries a naturally induced metric $g^{\phi(F^\perp)}$ from g^{F^\perp} and also a naturally induced Hermitian connection $\nabla^{\phi(F^\perp)}$ induced from ∇^{F^\perp} .

Our main concern will be on the Z_2 -graded vector bundle

$$(S(F)\widehat{\otimes}\Lambda(F^{\perp,*})) \otimes \phi(F^\perp) \tag{2.15}$$

which is

$$[S_+(F) \otimes \Lambda_+(F^{\perp,*}) \otimes \phi(F^\perp) \oplus S_-(F) \otimes \Lambda_-(F^{\perp,*}) \otimes \phi(F^\perp)] \\ \bigoplus [S_+(F) \otimes \Lambda_-(F^{\perp,*}) \otimes \phi(F^\perp) \oplus S_-(F) \otimes \Lambda_+(F^{\perp,*}) \otimes \phi(F^\perp)].$$

The Clifford actions $c(X)$, $c(U)$ and $\widehat{c}(U)$ for $X \in \Gamma(F)$, $U \in \Gamma(F^\perp)$ extends further to these bundles by acting as identity on $\phi(F^\perp)$.

We can also form the tensor product metric on the new bundles as well as the tensor product connection

$$\nabla^{(S(F)\widehat{\otimes}\Lambda(F^{\perp,*}))\otimes\phi(F^\perp)} = \nabla^{S(F)\widehat{\otimes}\Lambda(F^{\perp,*})} \otimes \text{Id}_{\phi(F^\perp)} + \text{Id}_{S(F)\widehat{\otimes}\Lambda(F^{\perp,*})} \otimes \nabla^{\phi(F^\perp)}, \tag{2.16}$$

and similarly for the \pm sub-bundles.

Now let $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^p$ be an oriented orthonormal basis of F . Recall that $\{h_s\}_{s=1}^q$ is an orthonormal basis of F^\perp . The elliptic operators which are the main concern of this subsection can be defined as follows. It is introduced mainly for the reason that the vector bundle F^\perp might well be non-spin.

Definition 2.2. Let $D_{F,\phi(F^\perp)}$ be the operator mapping from

$$\Gamma(S(F)\widehat{\otimes}\Lambda(F^{\perp,*}) \otimes \phi(F^\perp))$$

to itself defined by

$$D_{F,\phi(F^\perp)} = \sum_{i=1}^p c(f_i) \nabla_{f_i}^{(S(F)\widehat{\otimes}\Lambda(F^{\perp,*}))\otimes\phi(F^\perp)} \\ + \sum_{s=1}^q c(h_s) \nabla_{h_s}^{(S(F)\widehat{\otimes}\Lambda(F^{\perp,*}))\otimes\phi(F^\perp)} \\ + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^p \sum_{s=1}^q \langle S(f_i)f_j, h_s \rangle c(f_i)c(f_j)c(h_s) \\ + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s,t=1}^q \sum_{i=1}^p \langle S(h_s)h_t, f_i \rangle c(h_s)c(h_t)c(f_i). \tag{2.17}$$

It is easy to verify that $D_{F,\phi(F^\perp)}$ is a first order formally self adjoint elliptic differential operator. Furthermore it anti-commutes with the Z_2 grading operator of the super vector bundle $(S(F)\widehat{\otimes}\Lambda(F^{\perp,*})) \otimes \phi(F^\perp)$.

Let $D_{+,F,\phi(F^\perp)}$ (resp. $D_{-,F,\phi(F^\perp)}$) be the restriction of $D_{F,\phi(F^\perp)}$ to the even (resp. odd) sub-bundle of $(S(F)\widehat{\otimes}\Lambda(F^{\perp,*})) \otimes \phi(F^\perp)$. Then one has

$$D_{+,F,\phi(F^\perp)}^* = D_{-,F,\phi(F^\perp)}. \tag{2.18}$$

Let $\Delta^{F,\phi(F^\perp)}$ be the Bochner Laplacian defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{F,\phi(F^\perp)} = & \sum_{i=1}^p \left(\nabla_{f_i}^{(S(F)\widehat{\otimes}\Lambda(F^\perp, \cdot))} \otimes \phi(F^\perp) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{s=1}^q \langle S(f_i) f_j, h_s \rangle c(f_j) c(h_s) \right)^2 \\ & + \sum_{s=1}^q \left(\nabla_{h_s}^{(S(F)\widehat{\otimes}\Lambda(F^\perp, \cdot))} \otimes \phi(F^\perp) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^q \sum_{j=1}^p \langle S(h_s) h_t, f_j \rangle c(h_t) c(f_j) \right)^2 \\ & - \left(\nabla_{\sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{f_i}^{TM}}^{(S(F)\widehat{\otimes}\Lambda(F^\perp, \cdot))} \otimes \phi(F^\perp) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{s=1}^q \left\langle S \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{f_i}^{TM} f_i \right) f_j, h_s \right\rangle c(f_j) c(h_s) \right) \\ & - \left(\nabla_{\sum_{s=1}^q \nabla_{h_s}^{TM}}^{(S(F)\widehat{\otimes}\Lambda(F^\perp, \cdot))} \otimes \phi(F^\perp) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^q \sum_{j=1}^p \left\langle S \left(\sum_{s=1}^q \nabla_{h_s}^{TM} h_s \right) h_t, f_j \right\rangle c(h_t) c(f_j) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Let k_{TM} be the scalar curvature of the metric g^{TM} , let R^{F^\perp} be the curvature tensor of ∇^{F^\perp} . Let $R^{\phi(F^\perp)}$ be the curvature of $\nabla^{\phi(F^\perp)}$. Then we can state the corresponding Lichnerowicz type formula for $D_{F,\phi(F^\perp)}$ as follows.

Theorem 2.3. *The following identity holds,*

$$\begin{aligned} D_{F,\phi(F^\perp)}^2 = & -\Delta^{F,\phi(F^\perp)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^p c(f_i) c(f_j) R^{\phi(F^\perp)}(f_i, f_j) \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{s=1}^q c(f_i) c(h_s) R^{\phi(F^\perp)}(f_i, h_s) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s,t=1}^q c(h_s) c(h_t) R^{\phi(F^\perp)}(h_s, h_t) \\ & + \frac{k_{TM}}{4} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{r,s,t=1}^q \left\langle R^{F^\perp}(f_i, h_r) h_t, h_s \right\rangle c(f_i) c(h_r) \widehat{c}(h_s) \widehat{c}(h_t) \\ & + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i,j=1}^p \sum_{s,t=1}^q \left\langle R^{F^\perp}(f_i, f_j) h_t, h_s \right\rangle c(f_i) c(f_j) \widehat{c}(h_s) \widehat{c}(h_t) \\ & + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{s,t,r,l=1}^q \left\langle R^{F^\perp}(h_r, h_l) h_t, h_s \right\rangle c(h_r) c(h_l) \widehat{c}(h_s) \widehat{c}(h_t). \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

Proof. We first assume that F^\perp , and thus TM also, is spin. Then $D_{F,\phi(F^\perp)}$ is just the standard Dirac operator on M twisted by $S(F^\perp) \otimes \phi(F^\perp)$ which carries the canonical connections induced from ∇^{F^\perp} ,

$$D_{F,\phi(F^\perp)} : \Gamma(S(TM) \otimes S(F^\perp) \otimes \phi(F^\perp)) \longrightarrow \Gamma(S(TM) \otimes S(F^\perp) \otimes \phi(F^\perp)). \tag{2.21}$$

With this observation, (2.20) is a direct corollary of the usual Lichnerowicz formula [6] for twisted Dirac operators.

Now observe that (2.20) is a local formula, and that locally we can always assume TM is spin. Thus the formula is proved. The interested reader may also proceed to verify (2.20) by a direct computation. \square

Remark 2.4. The definition of $D_{F,\phi(F^\perp)}$ does not use the condition that F is integrable.

Remark 2.5. While locally $D_{F,\phi(F^\perp)}$ can be seen as a twisted Dirac operator, the key point here is that its definition relies *only* on the spin structure of F .

c). Almost Riemannian foliations and the Connes vanishing theorem

Recall that (M, F) is a compact foliated manifold and we assume that F is oriented, spin and both $p = \dim F$ and $q = \dim F^\perp$ are even, and that TM/F is oriented.

For any $x \in M$, let L_x be the leave passing through x . Then g^{TF} restricts to a Riemannian metric on L_x . So it determines a scalar curvature $k_F(x)$.

We now make the basic assumption that k_F is positive on M . Since M is compact, there is then a positive number $\delta > 0$, such that

$$k_F(x) > \delta \quad \text{for any } x \in M. \tag{2.22}$$

The second important assumption for this subsection is that (M, F, g^F) is an almost Riemannian foliated manifold in the sense of Definition 2.1.

We fix temporarily a splitting

$$TM \simeq F \oplus F^\perp. \tag{2.23}$$

Given a metric g^{F^\perp} on F^\perp , recall that we have defined

$$\omega = \left(g^{F^\perp}\right)^{-1} \dot{\nabla} g^{F^\perp} \tag{2.24}$$

along the leaves of F , or we can say, along F .

By [2], one sees that

$$\dot{\nabla}^2 = -\frac{1}{4}\omega^2 \tag{2.25}$$

along F .

Let $\phi(F^\perp)$ be an integral polynomial of F^\perp . Let $\dot{\nabla}_\phi$ be the lift of $\dot{\nabla}$ to $\phi(F^\perp)$ along F . Then $\dot{\nabla}_\phi$ is also a flat connection on $\phi(F^\perp)$ along F .

Let ω_ϕ be the lift of ω to $\phi(F^\perp)$. It is easy to see that ω_ϕ can be represented as linear combinations of the powers of ω . In particular, if

$$\|\omega\| := \sup_{x \in M} \{|\omega(X)| : X \in \Gamma(F), |X| \leq 1\} < 1, \tag{2.26}$$

where $|\cdot|$ is the norm with respect to the metric g^{TM} , then there exists a constant $C_\phi > 0$ such that for any $X \in \Gamma(F)$ with $|X| \leq 1$,

$$|\omega_\phi(X)| \leq C_\phi \|\omega\|. \tag{2.27}$$

Also, similar to (2.25), we have

$$\left(\dot{\nabla}_\phi\right)^2 = -\frac{1}{4}\omega_\phi^2 \tag{2.28}$$

along F .

Now for any $\varepsilon > 0$, let $g^{TM,\varepsilon}$ be the metric

$$g^{TM,\varepsilon} = g^F \ominus \frac{1}{\varepsilon} g^{F^\perp}. \tag{2.29}$$

Let $D_{F,\phi(F^\perp),\varepsilon}$ be the elliptic operator as constructed in last subsection but for the metric $g^{TM,\varepsilon}$. Let $\Delta^{F,\phi(F^\perp),\varepsilon}$ be the corresponding Bochner Laplacian. We will use suitable subscript or superscript on the corresponding geometric quantities to indicate that they are with respect to $g^{TM,\varepsilon}$.

We now examine the behaviour of $D_{F,\phi(F^\perp),\varepsilon}^2$ when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Recall that $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^p$, $\{h_s\}_{s=1}^q$ constitute an orthonormal basis of $g^{TM} = g^F \oplus g^{F^\perp}$. Thus $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^p$, $\{\sqrt{\varepsilon}h_s\}_{s=1}^q$ is an orthonormal basis for $g^{TM,\varepsilon}$.

By applying Theorem 2.3 to this situation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_{F,\phi(F^\perp),\varepsilon}^2 &= -\Delta^{F,\phi(F^\perp),\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^p c(f_i)c(f_j)R^{\phi(F^\perp),\varepsilon}(f_i, f_j) \\
 &\quad + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{s=1}^q c(f_i)c(h_s)R^{\phi(F^\perp),\varepsilon}(f_i, h_s) \\
 &\quad + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sum_{s,t=1}^q c(h_s)c(h_t)R^{\phi(F^\perp),\varepsilon}(h_s, h_t) + \frac{k_{TM,\varepsilon}}{4} \\
 &\quad + \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{4} \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{r,s,t=1}^q \langle R^{F^\perp,\varepsilon}(f_i, h_r)h_t, h_s \rangle c(f_i)c(h_r)\widehat{c}(h_s)\widehat{c}(h_t) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i,j=1}^p \sum_{s,t=1}^q \langle R^{F^-, \varepsilon}(f_i, f_j)h_t, h_s \rangle c(f_i)c(f_j)\widehat{c}(h_s)\widehat{c}(h_t) \\
 &\quad + \frac{\varepsilon}{8} \sum_{s,t,r,l=1}^q \langle R^{F^\perp,\varepsilon}(h_r, h_l)h_t, h_s \rangle c(h_r)c(h_l)\widehat{c}(h_s)\widehat{c}(h_t). \tag{2.30}
 \end{aligned}$$

We first examine the behaviour of $k_{TM,\varepsilon}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. By definition, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 k_{TM,\varepsilon} &= \sum_{i,j=1}^p \langle R^{TM,\varepsilon}(f_i, f_j)f_i, f_j \rangle + \sum_{s,t=1}^q \varepsilon \langle R^{TM,\varepsilon}(h_s, h_t)h_s, h_t \rangle \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{s=1}^q \langle R^{TM,\varepsilon}(f_i, h_s)f_i, h_s \rangle, \tag{2.31}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $R^{TM,\varepsilon}$ is the curvature of $\nabla^{TM,\varepsilon}$, the Levi-Civita connection of $g^{TM,\varepsilon}$.

Recall that p, p^\perp are the orthogonal projections, with respect to g^{TM} , from TM to F, F^\perp respectively. From (1.5) to (1.8), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle R^{TM,\varepsilon}(f_i, f_j)f_i, f_j \rangle &= \langle R^F(f_i, f_j)f_i, f_j \rangle \\
 &\quad + \varepsilon \langle \nabla_{f_j}^{TM} f_j, p^\perp \nabla_{f_i}^{TM} f_i \rangle - \varepsilon \langle \nabla_{f_i}^{TM} f_j, p^\perp \nabla_{f_j}^{TM} f_i \rangle, \tag{2.32}
 \end{aligned}$$

and, for $X \in \Gamma(F)$, $U \in \gamma(F^\perp)$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\langle R^{TM,\varepsilon}(X, U)X, U \rangle = \\
 &\varepsilon \langle \nabla_X^{TM} p \nabla_U^{TM} X, U \rangle - \langle \nabla_U^{TM} (p \nabla_X^{TM,\varepsilon} X), U \rangle \\
 &\quad - \varepsilon \langle \nabla_{p[X,U]}^{TM} X, U \rangle - \langle \nabla_{p^\perp[X,U]}^{TM} X, U \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & +\frac{1}{2}\langle X, [U, p^\perp[X, U]] \rangle - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\langle X, [U, p^\perp[X, U]] \rangle \\
 & -\frac{1}{2}\langle X, \nabla_{p^\perp \nabla_U^{TM, \varepsilon} X}^{TM} U + \nabla_U^{TM} (p^\perp \nabla_U^{TM, \varepsilon} X) \rangle \\
 & +\langle [X, p^\perp \nabla_U^{TM, \varepsilon} X], U \rangle + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\langle X, [U, p^\perp \nabla_U^{TM, \varepsilon} X] \rangle \\
 & -\langle \nabla_U^{TM} (p^\perp \nabla_X^{TM, \varepsilon} X), U \rangle \\
 = & -\varepsilon \langle p \nabla_U^{TM} X, \nabla_X^{TM} U \rangle - \varepsilon \langle \nabla_{p[X, U]}^{TM} X, U \rangle \\
 & -\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\langle X, [U, p^\perp[X, U]] \rangle + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\langle X, p^\perp (\nabla_U^{TM, \varepsilon} X) \rangle \\
 & -\varepsilon \langle \nabla_U^{TM} (p^\perp \nabla_X^{TM} X), U \rangle + \langle p \nabla_X^{TM} X, \nabla_U^{TM} U \rangle \\
 + & \frac{1}{2}\langle X, \nabla_U^{TM} (p^\perp[X, U]) + \nabla_{p^\perp[X, U]}^{TM} U \rangle + \langle [X, p^\perp \nabla_U^{TM, \varepsilon} X], U \rangle \\
 & -\frac{1}{2}\langle X, \nabla_{p^\perp \nabla_U^{TM, \varepsilon} X}^{TM} U + \nabla_U^{TM} (p^\perp \nabla_U^{TM, \varepsilon} X) \rangle, \tag{2.33}
 \end{aligned}$$

while for $U, V \in \Gamma(F^\perp)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle R^{TM, \varepsilon}(U, V)U, V \rangle \\
 = & -\frac{1}{2}\langle p \nabla_V^{TM, \varepsilon} U, \nabla_U^{TM} V + \nabla_V^{TM} U \rangle + \langle \nabla_{p[U, V]}^{TM} U, V \rangle \\
 & +\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\langle p \nabla_V^{TM, \varepsilon} U, [V, U] \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle p \nabla_V^{TM, \varepsilon} U, \nabla_U^{TM} V + \nabla_V^{TM} U \rangle \\
 - & \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\langle p \nabla_U^{TM, \varepsilon} V, [V, U] \rangle + \langle R^{F^\perp}(U, V)U, V \rangle - \langle \nabla_{p[U, V]}^{TM, \varepsilon} U, V \rangle \\
 = & \langle R^{F^\perp}(U, V)U, V \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle [U, V], p(\nabla_U^{TM} V + \nabla_V^{TM} U) \rangle \\
 & -\left(\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon\right) \langle p[U, V], [U, V] \rangle. \tag{2.34}
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (1.7), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 p^\perp \nabla_U^{TM, \varepsilon} X & = \sum_{s=1}^q \langle \nabla_U^{TM, \varepsilon} X, h_s \rangle h_s \\
 = & -\sum_{s=1}^q \left\{ \frac{1}{2}\langle X, \nabla_U^{TM} h_s + \nabla_{h_s}^{TM} U \rangle h_s + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\langle X, [U, h_s] \rangle h_s \right\} \\
 = & \frac{1}{2}\sum_{s=1}^q \omega(X)(U, h_s) h_s - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\sum_{s=1}^q \langle X, [U, h_s] \rangle h_s. \tag{2.35}
 \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the notation of §1 and also formula (1.13). Also since the Bott connection (1.9) is flat, by (1.10) one verifies directly that (cf. [2])

$$\dot{\nabla} \omega = -\frac{1}{4} \omega^2, \tag{2.36}$$

along F .

Equations (2.35) and (2.36) can be used to control the term

$$\left\langle \left[X, p^\perp \nabla_U^{TM, \varepsilon} X \right], U \right\rangle \tag{2.37}$$

in (2.33). Combining with (1.13), (2.22) and (2.32) (2.36), one finds that there are positive constants $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, $C > 0$ such that if $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, then

$$k_{TM, \varepsilon} > \delta - C \|\omega\|. \tag{2.38}$$

Now examining the other curvature terms appearing in (2.30). This part is easier, as we have the convergence formula (1.12).

Note that $\dot{\nabla}$ as well as $\dot{\nabla}_\phi$ are flat along F . By (1.11), (2.27) and (2.28), we know that whenever $\|\omega\| < 1$, there exist positive constants C' , ε'_0 , such that if $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon'_0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i,j=1}^p \sum_{s,t=1}^q \left\langle R^{F^\perp, \varepsilon}(f_i, f_j) h_t, h_s \right\rangle c(f_i) c(f_j) \widehat{c}(h_s) \widehat{c}(h_t) \right. \\ & + \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{4} \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{r,s,t=1}^q \left\langle R^{F^\perp, \varepsilon}(f_i, h_r) h_t, h_s \right\rangle c(f_i) c(h_r) \widehat{c}(h_s) \widehat{c}(h_t) \\ & + \frac{\varepsilon}{8} \sum_{s,t,r,l=1}^q \left\langle R^{F^\perp, \varepsilon}(h_r, h_l) h_t, h_s \right\rangle c(h_r) c(h_l) \widehat{c}(h_s) \widehat{c}(h_t) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^p c(f_i) c(f_j) R^{\phi(F^-), \varepsilon}(f_i, f_j) \\ & + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{s=1}^q c(f_i) c(h_s) R^{\phi(F^1), \varepsilon}(f_i, h_s) \\ & \left. + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sum_{s,t=1}^q c(h_s) c(h_t) R^{\phi(F^\perp), \varepsilon}(h_s, h_t) \right| \leq C' \|\omega\|. \tag{2.39} \end{aligned}$$

Now since we have assumed that (M, F, g^F) is almost Riemannian, we can and we will choose g^{TM} such that

$$\|\omega\| < 1, \quad (C + C') \|\omega\| < \frac{\delta}{8}. \tag{2.40}$$

From (2.30), (2.38), (2.39) and (2.40), we see that there is a positive constant ε''_0 such that when $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon''_0$, we have

$$D_{F, \phi(F^1), \varepsilon}^2 > -\Delta^{F, \phi(F^1), \varepsilon} + \frac{\delta}{8} > 0, \tag{2.41}$$

since $-\Delta^{F, \phi(F^1), \varepsilon}$ is clearly nonnegative.

Now we can prove the following result which is the Connes vanishing theorem for almost Riemannian foliations.

Theorem 2.6. *Let (M, F, g^F) be an almost Riemannian foliation, assume M is compact and transversally oriented. If F is spin and $k_F > 0$, then*

$$\left\langle \widehat{A}(F) p(F^\perp), [M] \right\rangle = 0, \tag{2.42}$$

where $p(F^\perp)$ is any Pontrjagin class of F^\perp .

Proof: By (2.18), (2.41), one deduces that

$$\text{ind } D_{+,F,\phi(F^\perp),\varepsilon} = 0. \tag{2.43}$$

Thus by applying the Atiyah-Singer index theorem [1], or by a direct heat kernel evaluation, we get

$$\text{ind } D_{+,F,\phi(F^\perp)} = \int_M \widehat{A}(F)L(F^\perp) \text{ch}(\phi(F^\perp)) = \text{ind } D_{+,F,\phi(F^\perp),\varepsilon} = 0, \tag{2.44}$$

where $L(F^\perp)$ is the Hirzebruch L -class of F^\perp .

Now it is a standard fact in topology that any rational Pontrjagin class of F^\perp can be expressed as a rational linear combination of the classes of the form $L(F^\perp)\text{ch}(\phi(F^\perp))$. So the theorem follows from (2.44). \square

Corollary 2.7. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 2.6, one has $\langle \widehat{A}(TM), [M] \rangle = 0$.*

§3. New vanishing theorems

By slightly modifying the construction of the operator defined in §2, one can also prove the following new vanishing results.

Theorem 3.1. *Let (M, F) be an oriented almost Riemannian foliation with F also oriented. If M , instead of F , is spin, then we still have $\langle \widehat{A}(F)p(TM/F), [M] \rangle = 0$, under the same condition that F admits a metric of positive scalar curvature over M .*

Theorem 3.2. *Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 2.6, we have $\langle \widehat{A}(F)e(TM/F), [M] \rangle = 0$, where $e(TM/F)$ is the Euler class of TM/F .*

Appendix. Remarks on the general case

We first show that the almost isometric foliations studied in [4] are almost Riemannian in the sense of §2.

Let (M, F) be a foliation, let TM/F be the transversal bundle. Let G be the holonomy groupoid of (M, F) (cf. [4], [7]), then G acts on TM/F . Let us assume E is a proper subbundle of TM/F . We choose a splitting of TM/F as

$$TM/F = E \oplus (TM/F)/E. \tag{A.1}$$

Let q_1, q_2 be the dimensions of E and $(TM/F)/E$ respectively.

Definition A.1. If there is a metric $g^{TM/F}$ on TM/F with its restriction to E and $(TM/F)/E$ such that the action of G on TM/F takes the form

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} O(q_1) & A \\ 0 & O(q_2) \end{array} \right), \tag{A.2}$$

where $O(q_1), O(q_2)$ are orthogonal matrices of rank q_1 and q_2 respectively, and A is a $q_1 \times q_2$ matrix, then we say that (M, F) carries an almost isometric structure.

Clearly the existence of the almost isometric structure does not depend on the splitting (A.1). Let g^{TF} be a metric on F . Choose a splitting

$$TM = F \oplus F^\perp. \tag{A.3}$$

We can and we will identify TM/F with F^\perp . Thus E and $(TM/F)/E$ are identified with sub-bundles of F^\perp as F_1^\perp and F_2^\perp respectively. Let g^{F^\perp} be the metric on F^\perp corresponding to the metric on $g^{TM/F}$, let $g^{F_1^\perp}$ and $g^{F_2^\perp}$ be the restrictions of g^{F^\perp} to F_1^\perp and F_2^\perp respectively. Then we have the orthogonal splitting

$$\begin{aligned} TM &= F \oplus F_1^\perp \oplus F_2^\perp, \\ g^{TM} &= g^F \oplus g^{F_1^\perp} \oplus g^{F_2^\perp}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

Recall that the action of the holonomy groupoid G can be defined with respect to the canonical flat connection on F , the Bott connection defined in (1.9). Then the almost isometric condition can be rewritten locally as

$$\begin{aligned} ([X, U_i], V_i) + \langle U_i, [X, V_i] \rangle &= X \langle U_i, V_i \rangle, \\ \langle [X, U_1], U_2 \rangle &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

where $X \in \Gamma(F)$, $U_i, V_i \in \Gamma(F_i^\perp)$, $i = 1, 2$.

Equation (A.5) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle X, \nabla_{U_i} V_i + \nabla_{V_i} U_i \rangle &= 0, \\ \langle \nabla_X U_1, U_2 \rangle + \langle X, \nabla_{U_1} U_2 \rangle &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

Thus for any $X \in \Gamma(F)$, $U = U_1 + U_2 \in \Gamma(F_1^\perp) \oplus \Gamma(F_2^\perp) = \Gamma(F^\perp)$, one has, in view of (1.13), that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(X)(U, U) &= -\frac{1}{2} \langle X, \nabla_U^{TM} U \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \langle X, \nabla_{U_1}^{TM} U_2 + \nabla_{U_2}^{TM} U_1 \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \langle U_1, \nabla_X^{TM} U_2 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle U_1, \nabla_{U_2}^{TM} X \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \langle [X, U_2], U_1 \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

Now for any $\gamma > 0$, set

$$g_\gamma^{TM} = g^F \oplus g^{F_1^\perp} \oplus \frac{1}{\gamma} g^{F_2^\perp}.$$

Clearly, if $U = U_1 + U_2 \in \Gamma(F^\perp)$ is of norm one in g^{TM} , then $U(\gamma) = U_1 + \sqrt{\gamma} U_2 \in \Gamma(F^\perp)$ also has norm one in g_γ^{TM} . Let ω_γ be the form as constructed in §1, corresponding to g_γ^{TM} . Then by (A.7), we have

$$\omega_\gamma(X)(U(\gamma), U(\gamma)) = -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\gamma} \langle [X, U_2], U_1 \rangle \tag{A.8}$$

from which one sees that

$$\|\omega_\gamma\|_{g_\gamma^{TM}} = \sqrt{\gamma} \|\omega\|_{g^{TM}}. \tag{A.9}$$

Taking γ to be as small as possible, we arrive at the following

Proposition A.2. *Any almost isometric foliation carries an almost Riemannian structure.*

Now recall that in [4], Connes first proved his vanishing theorem for compact almost isometric foliations by using the techniques of cyclic cohomology, and then pass to non-compact manifolds to prove the general case. Thus, what we have done

in §2 may be thought of as direct geometric approach of the first step of Connes' proof. As for the general case, it seems one needs a full geometric understanding of the Connes fibration constructed in [4], which is non-compact. We leave this for further studies.

References

1. M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer. The index of elliptic operators I. *Annals of Math.*, 87 (1968), 484-530.
2. J.-M. Bismut and W. Zhang. An extension of a theorem by Cheeger and Müller. *Astérisque*, Tom. 205, (1992) Paris.
3. R. Bott. On a topological obstruction to integrability. *Global Analysis: Proc. Symp. Pure Math.*, vol.16, (1970), 127-131.
4. A. Connes. Cyclic cohomology and the transverse fundamental class of a foliation. *Geometric Methods in Operator Algebras*, H. Araki eds., pp. 52-144, Pitman Research Notes in Math. Series, vol. 123, 1986.
5. A. Connes and G. Skandalis. The longitudinal index theorem for foliations. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Kyoto*, 20 (1984), 1139-1183.
6. A. Lichnerowicz. Spineurs harmoniques. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série A*, 257 (1963), 7-9.
7. E. Winkelkemper The graph of a foliation. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 1 (1983), 51-75.
8. S. T. Yau Private communications. 1992.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UCLA, LOS ANGELES, CA 90095
E-mail address: liu@math.ucla.edu

NANKAI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, TIANJIN 300071, P. R. CHINA.
E-mail address: weiping@nankai.edu.cn