J. reine angew. Math. **647** (2010), 87—113
DOI 10.1515/CRELLE.2010.074

Journal für die reine und
angewandte Mathematik
© Walter de Gruyter
Berlin · New York 2010

Adiabatic limit, Bismut-Freed connection, and the real analytic torsion form

Dedicated to Richard B. Melrose for his sixtieth birthday

By *Xianzhe Dai*[1)] and *Weiping Zhang*[2)] at Santa Barbara

Abstract. For a complex flat vector bundle over a fibered manifold, we consider the 1-parameter family of certain deformed sub-signature operators introduced by Ma-Zhang in [MZ]. We compute the adiabatic limit of the Bismut-Freed connection associated to this family and show that the Bismut-Lott analytic torsion form shows up naturally under this procedure.

1. Introduction

Adiabatic limit refers to the geometric degeneration when metrics in certain directions are blown up, while the remaining directions are kept fixed.

Typically, the underlying manifold has a so called fibration structure (or fiber bundle structure). That is

$$Z \to M \xrightarrow{\pi} B,$$

where π is a submersion and $Z \simeq Z_b = \pi^{-1}(b)$, for $b \in B$, denotes the typical fiber. Given a submersion metric on M:

$$g = \pi^* g_B + g_Z,$$

the adiabatic limit refers to the limit as $\epsilon \to 0$ of

$$g_\epsilon = \epsilon^{-2}\pi^* g_B + g_Z.$$

1) Partially supported by NSF and CNNSF.
2) Partially supported by MOE and CNNSF.

This is first introduced by Witten [W] in his famous work on global gravitational anomalies.

Witten considered the adiabatic limit of the eta invariant of Atiyah-Patodi-Singer [APS1]–[APS3]. Full mathematical treatment and generalizations are given by Bismut-Freed [BF], Cheeger [C], Bismut-Cheeger [BC1], Dai [D] among others. The adiabatic limit of the eta invariant gives rise to the Bismut-Cheeger eta form, a canonically defined differential form on the base B. The eta form is a higher dimensional generalization of the eta invariant as it gives the boundary contribution of the family index theorem for manifolds with boundary, see Bismut-Cheeger [BC2], [BC3], and Melrose-Piazza [MP1], [MP2]. The degree zero component of the eta form here is exactly the eta invariant of the fibers. The nonzero degree components therefore contain new geometric information about the fibration.

Another important geometric invariant is the analytic torsion. The adiabatic limit of the analytic torsion has been considered by Dai-Melrose [DM] (see also the topological treatment of Fried [Fri], Freed [Fr], and Lück-Schick-Thielmann [LST]). In contrast to the case of the eta invariant, the adiabatic limit here does not give rise to a higher invariant. This is because the associated characteristic class involved here is the Pfaffian, a top form which kills any possible higher degree components arising from the adiabatic limit.

It should be noted that there is a complex analogue of the analytic torsion for complex manifolds called the holomorphic torsion. Its adiabatic limit has been considered by Berthomieu-Bismut [BerB]. And it does produce the holomorphic torsion form of Bismut-Köhler [BK]. The difference can be explained by the fact that the characteristic class here is the Todd class—a stable class.

There is another way to view the higher invariants, namely via transgression. The eta form transgresses between the Chern-Weil representative of the family index and its Atiyah-Singer representative. Similarly, the holomorphic torsion form is the double transgression of the family index in the complex setting. Bismut-Lott [BL] uses this view point to define the real analytic torsion form, a higher dimensional generalization of the analytic torsion. It is a canonical transgression of certain odd cohomology classes.

There remains the question of whether the real analytic torsion form can be obtained from the adiabatic limit process. The purpose of this paper is to answer this question in the affirmative. We show that, if one considers the Bismut-Freed connection of the 1-parameter family of certain deformed sub-signature operators introduced by Ma-Zhang in [MZ], its adiabatic limit essentially gives rise to the Bismut-Lott real analytic torsion form. In fact, it is precisely the positive degree components of the real analytic torsion form that is captured here. This should be compared with [DM] where the adiabatic limit of the analytic torsion captures only the degree 0 part of the real analytic torsion form.

More precisely, let $\pi : M \to B$ be a smooth fiber bundle with compact fiber Z of dimension n. We assume that the base manifold is even dimensional. Let F be a flat complex vector bundle on M. Fix a connection for the fiber bundle, i.e., a splitting of TM,

$$TM = T^H M \oplus TZ,$$

where TZ denotes the vertical tangent bundle of the fiber bundle. If g is a submersion metric on TM and h^F a Hermitian metric on F, we construct, following [MZ], (3.23), a formally self-adjoint operator of Dirac type D^F and a skew-adjoint first order differential operator $\hat{D}^F$, see (3.19) for the precise definition (where we introduce further a complex vector bundle μ on the base B). These operators arise as the quantizations of the symmetrization and skew-symmetrization of exterior differentiation d^M in the sense of [BL], viewed as an infinite dimensional superconnection on B (cf. Lemma 3.4).

We then define an analytic invariant via zeta function regularization. That is, let

$$\delta(F,r)(s) = -\frac{1}{2\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{+\infty} t^s \operatorname{Tr}_s[\hat{D}^F D^F(r) e^{-t(D^F(r))^2}]\, dt,$$

where r is any real number and

$$D^F(r) = D^F + \sqrt{-1} r \hat{D}^F.$$

The supertrace here is with respect to the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading induced by the de Rham grading along the fibers and the Hodge grading on the base (see (3.15) which defines the grading τ).

One shows that $\delta(F,r)(s)$, well-defined for $\Re s$ sufficiently large, has meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane with $s = 0$ a regular point. Therefore we define our invariant by

$$\delta(F)(r) = \delta(F,r)'(0).$$

This invariant can be interpreted as the imaginary part of the Bismut-Freed connection on certain Quillen determinant line bundle.

Our main result is

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\delta_\varepsilon(F)(r)$ denote the corresponding invariant associated to the adiabatic metric g_ε. Under the assumption that the flat vector bundle F over M is fiberwise acyclic, the following identity holds*:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \delta_\varepsilon(F)(r) = \int_B L(TB, \nabla^{TB}) \mathscr{T}_r,$$

where the torsion form $\mathscr{T}_r$ is defined by (3.41).

Unlike the Bismut-Lott torsion form, our torsion form $\mathscr{T}_r$ has only positive degree components. However, up to a degree dependent rescaling, $\mathscr{T}_r$ is essentially the positive degree components of the Bismut-Lott torsion form (cf. (3.51)).

The paper is organized as follows. We first look at the finite dimensional case in Section 2. Thus in §2.1, we introduce flat cochain complexes, flat superconnections and their rescalings. The family of deformed sub-signature operators is then introduced in §2.2. After some preparatory results, we define an invariant which should be interpreted as the

imaginary part of the Bismut-Freed connection form for the family of the deformed sub-signature operators. Finally in §2.3, we study the adiabatic limit of our invariant. The fibration case is set up as an infinite dimensional analog and studied in Section 3. The flat superconnection in this case is the Bismut-Lott superconnection and is recalled in §3.1. In §3.2, we discuss the analog of the deformed sub-signature operators in the fibration case. Then we look into the Bismut-Freed connection and define a corresponding invariant in §3.3. Finally, we study the adiabatic limit of our invariant in §3.4. Our main result is stated in Theorem 3.8. In §3.5, we compare the Bismut-Lott real analytic torsion form with the torsion form coming out of the adiabatic limit.

Acknowledgements. The authors acknowledge interesting discussions with Ulrich Bunke, Xiaonan Ma, Thomas Schick and Sebastian Goette. They would also like to thank the referee for the constructive suggestions which have helped us to improve the paper.

2. The finite dimensional case

In this section, we study the finite dimensional case where instead of a flat vector bundle over a fibered manifold, we consider the situation of a flat cochain complex over an even dimensional manifold. This fits well with the structures considered in [BL] and [MZ]. The fibered manifold case is the infinite dimensional analog which will be considered in the next section.

2.1. Superconnections and flat cochain complex. Let (E, v) be a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded cochain complex of finite rank complex vector bundles over a closed manifold B,

$$(E, v) : 0 \to E^0 \xrightarrow{v} E^1 \xrightarrow{v} \cdots \xrightarrow{v} E^n \to 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Let $\nabla^E = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} \nabla^{E^i}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded connection on E. We call (E, v, ∇^E) a flat cochain complex if the following two conditions hold:

$$(\nabla^E)^2 = 0, \quad [\nabla^E, v] = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Let $h^E = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} h^{E^i}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Hermitian metric on E and denote by $v^* : E^* \to E^{*-1}$ the adjoint of v with respect to h^E. Let $(\nabla^E)^*$ denote the adjoint connection of ∇^E with respect to g^E. Then (cf. [BZ], (4.1), (4.2), and [BL], §1(g))

$$(\nabla^E)^* = \nabla^E + \omega(E, h^E), \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$\omega(E, h^E) = (h^E)^{-1}(\nabla^E h^E). \tag{2.4}$$

Consider the superconnections on E in the sense of Quillen [Q] defined by

$$A' = \nabla^E + v, \quad A'' = (\nabla^E)^* + v^*. \tag{2.5}$$

Let $N \in \mathrm{End}(E)$ denote the number operator of E which acts on E^i by multiplication by i. We extend N to an element of $\Omega^0\big(B, \mathrm{End}(E)\big)$.

Following [BL], (2.26), (2.30), for any $u > 0$, set

$$\begin{aligned} C'_u &= u^{N/2} A' u^{-N/2} = \nabla^E + \sqrt{u} v, \\ C''_u &= u^{-N/2} A'' u^{N/2} = (\nabla^E)^* + \sqrt{u} v^*, \\ C_u &= \frac{1}{2}(C'_u + C''_u), \quad D_u = \frac{1}{2}(C''_u - C'_u). \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Then we have

$$C_u^2 = -D_u^2, \quad [C_u, D_u] = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

Let

$$\nabla^{E,e} = \nabla^E + \frac{1}{2}\omega(E, h^E) \tag{2.8}$$

be the Hermitian connection on (E, h^E) (cf. [BL], (1.33), and [BZ], (4.3)). Then

$$C_u = \nabla^{E,e} + \frac{\sqrt{u}}{2}(v + v^*) \tag{2.9}$$

is a superconnection on E, while

$$D_u = \frac{1}{2}\omega(E, h^E) + \frac{\sqrt{u}}{2}(v^* - v) \tag{2.10}$$

is an odd element in $C^\infty\big(B, \Lambda^*(B) \hat{\otimes} \mathrm{End}(E)\big)$.

2.2. Deformed signature operators and the Bismut-Freed connection. We assume in the rest of this section that $p = \dim B$ is even and B is oriented.

Let g^{TB} be a Riemannian metric on TB. For $X \in TB$, let $c(X)$, $\hat{c}(X)$ be the Clifford actions on $\Lambda(T^*B)$ defined by $c(X) = X^* \wedge - i_X$, $\hat{c}(X) = X^* \wedge + i_X$, where $X^* \in T^*B$ corresponds to X via g^{TB} (cf. [BL], (3.18), and [BZ], §4(d)). Then for any $X, Y \in TB$,

$$\begin{aligned} c(X)c(Y) + c(Y)c(X) &= -2\langle X, Y\rangle, \\ \hat{c}(X)\hat{c}(Y) + \hat{c}(Y)\hat{c}(X) &= 2\langle X, Y\rangle, \\ c(X)\hat{c}(Y) + \hat{c}(Y)c(X) &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Let $e_1, \ldots, e_p$ be a (local) oriented orthonormal basis of TB. Set

$$\tau = (\sqrt{-1})^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}} c(e_1) \cdots c(e_p). \tag{2.12}$$

Then τ is a well-defined self-adjoint element such that

$$\tau^2 = \mathrm{Id}|_{\Lambda(T^*B)}. \tag{2.13}$$

Let μ be a Hermitian vector bundle on B carrying a Hermitian connection ∇^μ with the curvature denoted by $R^\mu = (\nabla^\mu)^2$. Let ∇^{TB} be the Levi-Civita connection on (TB, g^{TB}) with its curvature R^{TB}. Let $\nabla^{\Lambda(T^*B)}$ be the Hermitian connection on $\Lambda(T^*B)$ canonically induced from ∇^{TB}. Let $\nabla^{\Lambda(T^*B)\otimes\mu\otimes E,e}$ be the tensor product connection on $\Lambda(T^*B)\otimes\mu\otimes E$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^{\Lambda(T^*B)\otimes\mu\otimes E,e} &= \nabla^{\Lambda(T^*B)}\otimes \mathrm{Id}_{\mu\otimes E}\\ &\quad + \mathrm{Id}_{\Lambda(T^*B)}\otimes\nabla^\mu\otimes\mathrm{Id}_E + \mathrm{Id}_{\Lambda(T^*B)\otimes\mu}\otimes\nabla^{E,e}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Let the Clifford actions c, $\hat{c}$ extend to actions on $\Lambda(T^*B)\otimes\mu\otimes E$ by acting as identity on $\mu\otimes E$. Let ε be the induced $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading operator on E, i.e., $\varepsilon = (-1)^N$ on E. We extend ε to an action on $\Lambda(T^*B)\otimes\mu\otimes E$ by acting as identity on $\Lambda(T^*B)\otimes\mu$.

Let $\tau\hat{\otimes}\varepsilon$ define the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading on $\big(\Lambda(T^*B)\otimes\mu\big)\hat{\otimes}E$. Then

$$D^{\mu\otimes E}_{\mathrm{sig}} = \sum_{i=1}^{p} c(e_i)\nabla^{\Lambda^*(T^*B)\otimes\mu\otimes E,e}_{e_i} \tag{2.15}$$

defines the twisted signature operator with respect to this $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading. Playing an important role here is its deformation, given by

$$D^{\mu\otimes E}_{\mathrm{sig},u} = D^{\mu\otimes E}_{\mathrm{sig}} + \frac{\sqrt{u}}{2}(v+v^*), \tag{2.16}$$

with $u>0$, which might be thought of as a quantization of C_u.

Let Y_u be the skew adjoint element in $\mathrm{End}^{\mathrm{odd}}\big(\Lambda^*(T^*B)\otimes\mu\otimes E\big)$ defined by (cf. [MZ], (2.18))

$$Y_u = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{p} c(e_i)\omega(E,h^E)(e_i) + \frac{\sqrt{u}}{2}(v^*-v), \tag{2.17}$$

which might be thought of as a quantization of D_u.

Now following [MZ], Definition 2.3, for any $r\in\mathbb{R}$, define

$$D^{\mu\otimes E}_{\mathrm{sig},u}(r) = D^{\mu\otimes E}_{\mathrm{sig},u} + \sqrt{-1}rY_u. \tag{2.18}$$

From (2.15)–(2.18), one has (cf. [MZ], (2.22))

$$\begin{aligned}D^{\mu\otimes E}_{\mathrm{sig},u}(r) &= \sum_{i=1}^{p} c(e_i)\left(\nabla^{\Lambda^*(T^*B)\otimes\mu\otimes E,e}_{e_i} + \frac{\sqrt{-1}r}{2}\omega(E,h^E)(e_i)\right)\\ &\quad + \frac{\sqrt{u}}{2}\big((1-\sqrt{-1}r)v + (1+\sqrt{-1}r)v^*\big).\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Proposition 2.1. *We have the following asymptotic expansion*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_s[D^{\mu\otimes E}_{\mathrm{sig},u}(r)\,Y_u e^{-t(D^{\mu\otimes E}_{\mathrm{sig},u}(r))^2}] = c_0(u,r) + c_1(u,r)t + \cdots \tag{2.20}$$

as $t \to 0^+$. The expansion is uniform for (u,r) in a compact set.

Proof. We introduce two auxiliary Grassmann variables z_1, z_2 and write

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}_s[D^{\mu\otimes E}_{\mathrm{sig},u}(r)\,Y_u e^{-t(D^{\mu\otimes E}_{\mathrm{sig},u}(r))^2}] \\ = -t^{-2}\,\mathrm{Tr}_{s,z_1,z_2}[e^{-t([D^{\mu\otimes E}_{\mathrm{sig},u}(r)]^2 - z_1 D^{\mu\otimes E}_{\mathrm{sig},u}(r) - z_2 Y_u)}],\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

where we use the convention that $\mathrm{Tr}_{s,z_1,z_2}[a + bz_1 + cz_2 + dz_1z_2] = \mathrm{Tr}_s[d]$ when a, b, c, d do not contain z_1 and z_2. Here the minus sign comes from the order of the appearance of z_1, z_2 and $D^{\mu\otimes E}_{\mathrm{sig},u}(r)$, Y_u.

Applying the standard elliptic theory to the right-hand side of (2.21), we derive an asymptotic expansion

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}_s[D^{\mu\otimes E}_{\mathrm{sig},u}(r)\,Y_u e^{-t(D^{\mu\otimes E}_{\mathrm{sig},u}(r))^2}] = c_{-\frac{p}{2}-2}(u,r)t^{-\frac{p}{2}-2} + c_{-\frac{p}{2}-1}(u,r)t^{-\frac{p}{2}-1} \\ + \cdots + c_0(u,r) + c_1(u,r)t + \cdots.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by the Lichnerowicz formula (cf. (2.30)) and the same argument as in [BF], we have

$$\lim_{t\to 0} t\,\mathrm{Tr}_s[D^{\mu\otimes E}_{\mathrm{sig},u}(r)\,Y_u e^{-t(D^{\mu\otimes E}_{\mathrm{sig},u}(r))^2}] = 0.$$

It follows then that $c_i(u,r) = 0$ for $-p/2 - 2 \leqq i \leqq -1$. Thus, the asymptotic expansion starts with the constant term. $\square$

Since

$$\mathrm{Tr}_s[D^{\mu\otimes E}_{\mathrm{sig},u}(r)\,Y_u e^{-t(D^{\mu\otimes E}_{\mathrm{sig},u}(r))^2}] = -\mathrm{Tr}_s[Y_u D^{\mu\otimes E}_{\mathrm{sig},u}(r) e^{-t(D^{\mu\otimes E}_{\mathrm{sig},u}(r))^2}]$$

is easily seen to be exponentially decaying as $t \to +\infty$, the quantity on the right-hand side of the following definition is well-defined.

Definition 2.2. We define

$$\delta_u(E,v)(r) = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{Tr}_s[D^{\mu\otimes E}_{\mathrm{sig},u}(r)\,Y_u e^{-t(D^{\mu\otimes E}_{\mathrm{sig},u}(r))^2}]\,dt. \tag{2.22}$$

Remark 2.3. If $H^*(E,v) = \{0\}$, i.e., (E,v) is acyclic, by [MZ], (2.27), which we recall as follows,

$$\big((1-\sqrt{-1}r)v + (1+\sqrt{-1}r)v^*\big)^2 = (1+r^2)(v+v^*)^2, \tag{2.23}$$

(2.19) and proceed as in [BC1], one sees that when $u > 0$ is large enough, $D^{\mu\otimes E}_{\mathrm{sig},u}(r)$ is invertible for fixed $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Since by (2.18) one has

$$\frac{\partial D^{\mu\otimes E}_{\mathrm{sig},u}(r)}{\partial r} = \sqrt{-1}\, Y_u,$$

$\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\delta_u(E,v)(r)$ is (the imaginary part of) the Bismut-Freed connection form ([BF], see also [DF], (3.8)) of the Quillen determinant line bundle of the $\mathbb{R}$-family of operators $\{D^{\mu\otimes E}_{\mathrm{sig},u}(r)\}_{r\in\mathbb{R}}$.

2.3. Adiabatic limit as $u \to +\infty$. We first rewrite $\delta_u(E,v)(r)$ as

$$\delta_u(E,v)(r) = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{Tr}_s[D_\epsilon(r)\, Y^\epsilon e^{-tD^2_\epsilon(r)}]\, dt, \tag{2.24}$$

where $\epsilon = u^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} Y^\epsilon &= \frac{\epsilon}{2} c(\omega) + \frac{1}{2}(v^* - v), \\ D_\epsilon(r) &= \epsilon D^{\mu\otimes E}_{\mathrm{sig},u} + \sqrt{-1}\, r\, Y^\epsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

We fix a square root of $\sqrt{-1}$ and let $\varphi : \Lambda(T^*B) \to \Lambda(T^*B)$ be the homomorphism defined by $\varphi : \omega \in \Lambda^i(T^*B) \to (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-i/2}\omega$. The formulas in what follows will not depend on the choice of the square root of $\sqrt{-1}$.

Let $L(TB, \nabla^{TB})$ be the Hirzebruch characteristic form defined by

$$L(TB, \nabla^{TB}) = \varphi \det{}^{1/2}\left(\frac{R^{TB}}{\tanh(R^{TB}/2)}\right),$$

and $\mathrm{ch}(\mu, \nabla^\mu)$ be the Chern character form defined by

$$\mathrm{ch}(\mu, \nabla^\mu) = \varphi\, \mathrm{Tr}[\exp(-R^\mu)].$$

Proposition 2.4. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \mathrm{Tr}_s[D_\epsilon(r)\, Y^\epsilon e^{-tD^2_\epsilon(r)}] \\ &\quad = -\int_B L(TB, \nabla^{TB})\, \mathrm{ch}(\mu, \nabla^\mu) \\ &\qquad \cdot \varphi\, \mathrm{Tr}_s\left[t^{-\frac{1}{2}} D_t \left(\frac{1}{2}(v + v^*) + \frac{\sqrt{-1}\, r}{2}(v^* - v)\right) e^{-(C_t + \sqrt{-1}\, r D_t)^2}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

Proof. As in [BF] and [BC1], we introduce an auxiliary Grassmann variable z and rewrite

$$\mathrm{Tr}_s[D_\epsilon(r)\, Y^\epsilon e^{-tD^2_\epsilon(r)}] = \mathrm{Tr}_{s,z}[Y^\epsilon t^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-tD^2_\epsilon(r) + z\sqrt{t} D_\epsilon(r)}], \tag{2.27}$$

where for elements of the form $A + zB$ with A, B containing no z, we have as in [BF] and [BC1] that $\mathrm{Tr}_{s,z}[A + zB] = \mathrm{Tr}_s[B]$.

By (2.16) and (2.25), one has

$$D_\epsilon(r) = \epsilon D_{\mathrm{sig}}^{\mu\otimes E} + \frac{1}{2}(v + v^*) + \sqrt{-1}r\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}c(\omega) + \frac{1}{2}(v^* - v)\right) \tag{2.28}$$
$$= \epsilon\left(D_{\mathrm{sig}}^{\mu\otimes E} + \sqrt{-1}r\frac{1}{2}c(\omega)\right) + \frac{1}{2}(v + v^*) + \sqrt{-1}r\frac{1}{2}(v^* - v).$$

Denote by

$$V = \frac{1}{2}(v + v^*) + \sqrt{-1}r\frac{1}{2}(v^* - v). \tag{2.29}$$

By Lichnerowicz formula, we have (for simplicity we denote $\nabla = \nabla^{\Lambda^*(T^*B)\otimes\mu\otimes E,e}$)

$$\begin{aligned} tD_\epsilon^2(r) - z\sqrt{t}D_\epsilon(r) \tag{2.30}\\ &= t\epsilon^2(D_{\mathrm{sig}}^{\mu\otimes E})^2 + t\epsilon^2\left[D_{\mathrm{sig}}^{\mu\otimes E}, \sqrt{-1}r\frac{1}{2}c(\omega)\right] + t\epsilon[D_{\mathrm{sig}}^{\mu\otimes E}, V] \\ &\quad + t\left(\sqrt{-1}r\frac{\epsilon}{2}c(\omega) + V\right)^2 - z\sqrt{t}\left(\epsilon D_{\mathrm{sig}}^{\mu\otimes E} + \sqrt{-1}r\frac{\epsilon}{2}c(\omega) + V\right) \\ &= -t\left(\epsilon\nabla_{e_i} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{t}}zc(e_i)\right)^2 + \frac{t\epsilon^2}{4}k^{TB} + \frac{t\epsilon^2}{2}c(e_i)c(e_j)\otimes R^{\mu\otimes E,e}(e_i, e_j) \\ &\quad + \frac{t\epsilon^2}{8}R_{ijkl}^{TB}c(e_i)c(e_j)\hat{c}(e_k)\hat{c}(e_l) + t\epsilon c(e_i)\nabla_{e_i}V + \frac{\sqrt{-1}r}{2}t\epsilon^2 c(e_i)c(e_j)\nabla_{e_i}\omega_j \\ &\quad - t\epsilon^2\sqrt{-1}r\sum_{i=1}^{p}\omega(e_i)\nabla_{e_i} + t\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}r}{2}\epsilon c(\omega) + V\right)^2 \\ &\quad - z\sqrt{t}V - z\frac{\sqrt{-1}r}{2}\sqrt{t}\epsilon c(\omega), \end{aligned}$$

where R^{TB} is the Riemannian curvature and k^{TB} is the scalar curvature of g^{TB}, while $R^{\mu\otimes E,e}$ is the curvature of the connection on $\mu\otimes E$ obtained through ∇^μ and $\nabla^{E,e}$.

Now we find ourself exactly in the situation of [BC1]. Near any point $x \in M$, take a normal coordinate system $\{x_i\}$ and the associated orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}$. We first conjugate $tD_\epsilon^2(r) - z\sqrt{t}D_\epsilon(r)$ by the exponential $e^{\frac{z\sum_{i=1}^{p}x_i c(e_i)}{2\sqrt{t}\epsilon}}$ and then apply the Getzler transformation $G_{\sqrt{t}\epsilon}$. One finds that after these procedures, the operator $tD_\epsilon^2(r) - z\sqrt{t}D_\epsilon(r)$ tends to, as $\epsilon \to 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} -\left(\partial_i + \frac{1}{4}R_{ij}^{TB}x_j\right)^2 + \frac{1}{4}R_{kl}^{TB}\hat{c}(e_k)\hat{c}(e_l) + R^{\mu\otimes E,e} \tag{2.31}\\ + t^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla V + \frac{\sqrt{-1}r}{2}\nabla\omega + \left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}r}{2}\omega + t^{\frac{1}{2}}V\right)^2 - z\sqrt{t}V \end{aligned}$$

$$= -\left(\partial_i + \frac{1}{4}R^{TB}_{ij}x_j\right)^2 + \frac{1}{4}R^{TB}_{kl}\hat{c}(e_k)\hat{c}(e_l) + R^{\mu}$$

$$+ \left(\nabla^{E,e} + \frac{\sqrt{-1}r}{2}\omega + t^{\frac{1}{2}}V\right)^2 - z\sqrt{t}V$$

$$= -\left(\partial_i + \frac{1}{4}R^{TB}_{ij}x_j\right)^2 + \frac{1}{4}R^{TB}_{kl}\hat{c}(e_k)\hat{c}(e_l) + (C_t + \sqrt{-1}rD_t)^2$$

$$- z\sqrt{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}(v + v^*) + \frac{\sqrt{-1}r}{2}(v^* - v)\right).$$

On the other hand, by (2.25) it is clear that under the same procedures, Y^{ϵ} tends to, as $\epsilon \to 0$,

$$t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\omega + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{2}(v^* - v)\right) = t^{-\frac{1}{2}}D_t. \tag{2.32}$$

From (2.27), (2.31) and (2.32), by proceeding with the by now standard local index techniques, and keeping in mind that the supertrace in the left-hand sides of (2.26) and (2.27) are with respect to the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading defined by $\tau \hat{\otimes} \varepsilon$, one derives (2.26). $\square$

We now examine the terms appearing in the right-hand side of (2.26).

By (cf. [MZ], (2.34))

$$(C_t + \sqrt{-1}rD_t)^2 = (1 + r^2)C_t^2 = -(1 + r^2)D_t^2, \tag{2.33}$$

and

$$v + v^* = -2t^{-\frac{1}{2}}[N, D_t], \quad v^* - v = -2t^{-\frac{1}{2}}[N, C_t], \tag{2.34}$$

we have

$$-\mathrm{Tr}_s\left[t^{-\frac{1}{2}}D_t\left(\frac{1}{2}(v + v^*) + \frac{\sqrt{-1}r}{2}(v^* - v)\right)e^{-(C_t+\sqrt{-1}rD_t)^2}\right] \tag{2.35}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{t}}\,\mathrm{Tr}_s[D_t(v + v^*)e^{(1+r^2)D_t^2}] - \frac{\sqrt{-1}r}{2\sqrt{t}}\,\mathrm{Tr}_s[D_t(v^* - v)e^{(1+r^2)D_t^2}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{t}\,\mathrm{Tr}_s[D_t[N, D_t]e^{(1+r^2)D_t^2}] + \frac{\sqrt{-1}r}{t}\,\mathrm{Tr}_s[D_t[N, C_t]e^{(1+r^2)D_t^2}]$$

$$= -\frac{1}{t}\,\mathrm{Tr}_s[ND_t^2e^{(1+r^2)D_t^2} - D_tND_te^{(1+r^2)D_t^2}] + \frac{\sqrt{-1}r}{t}d\,\mathrm{Tr}_s[ND_te^{(1+r^2)D_t^2}],$$

where in the last equality we have used (2.7) (compare also with [MZ], (2.75)).

We are now ready to prove the following main result of this section.

Theorem 2.5. *Under the assumption that the flat cochain complex (E, v) is acyclic, $H^*(E, v) = 0$, the following identity holds*:

$$\frac{1}{2}\lim_{u\to+\infty}\delta_u(E,v)(r) = \int_B L(TB, \nabla^{TB})\,\mathrm{ch}(\mu, \nabla^{\mu})\mathscr{T}_r, \tag{2.36}$$

where

$$\mathscr{T}_r = -\int_0^\infty \varphi\,\mathrm{Tr}_s[ND_t^2 e^{(1+r^2)D_t^2}]\frac{dt}{t}. \tag{2.37}$$

Proof. First of all, the assumption that $H^*(E, v) = 0$ implies that the eigenvalues of $D_\epsilon(r)$ are uniformly bounded away from zero. Hence the integral in (2.24) is uniformly convergent at $t = \infty$.

We now examine the same issue at $t = 0$. From Proposition 2.1, one has

$$\mathrm{Tr}_s[D_\epsilon(r)\,Y^\epsilon e^{-t(D_\epsilon(r))^2}] = c_0(\epsilon, r) + c_1(\epsilon, r)t + \cdots. \tag{2.38}$$

We claim that this asymptotic expansion is in fact uniform in ϵ as $\epsilon \to 0$ and the coefficients converge to that of asymptotic expansion of the right-hand side of (2.26). This can be seen by an argument similar to that of [BC1], which is carried out in detail later for the infinite dimensional case; see the proof of Proposition 3.6.

Our theorem now follows from Proposition 2.4, the equation (2.35) and the above discussion. □

Remark 2.6. By Remark 2.3, one sees that under the assumption of Theorem 2.5, for each $r \in \mathbb{R}$, when $u > 0$ is large enough, $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\delta_u(E, v)(r)$ is the Bismut-Freed connection form of the $\mathbb{R}$-family of the operators $\{D^{\mu\otimes E}_{\mathrm{sig},u}(r)\}_{r\in\mathbb{R}}$ at r. On the other hand, by comparing the right-hand side of (2.37) with [BL] and [MZ], one sees that $\mathscr{T}_r$ here gives, up to rescaling, the nonzero degree terms of the Bismut-Lott torsion form ([BL]). Thus, we can say that one obtains the Bismut-Lott torsion form through the adiabatic limit of the Bismut-Freed connection. This is the main philosophy we would like to indicate in this paper.

3. Sub-signature operators, adiabatic limit and the Bismut-Lott torsion form

In this section, we deal with the fibration case. We will show that, for an acyclic flat complex vector bundle over a fibered manifold, if we consider the Bismut-Freed connection form [BF] on the Quillen determinant line bundle associated to the 1-parameter family constructed in [MZ], Section 3, then the Bismut-Lott analytic torsion form [BL] will show up naturally through the adiabatic limit of this connection form. This tautologically answers a question asked implicitly in the original article of Bismut-Lott.

3.1. The Bismut-Lott superconnection. We first set up the fibration case as an infinite dimensional analog of the case considered in the previous section. Let $\pi : M \to B$ be

a smooth fiber bundle with compact fiber Z of dimension n. We denote by $m = \dim M$, $p = \dim B$. Let TZ be the vertical tangent bundle of the fiber bundle, and let T^*Z be its dual bundle.

Let $TM = T^H M \oplus TZ$ be a splitting of TM. Let P^{TZ}, $P^{T^H M}$ denote the projection from TM to TZ, $T^H M$. If $U \in TB$, let U^H be the lift of U in $T^H M$, so that $\pi_* U^H = U$.

Let F be a flat complex vector bundle on M and let ∇^F denote its flat connection.

Let $E = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} E^i$ be the smooth infinite-dimensional $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector bundle over B whose fiber over $b \in B$ is $C^\infty\big(Z_b, \big(\Lambda(T^*Z) \otimes F\big)_{|Z_b}\big)$. That is

$$C^\infty(B, E^i) = C^\infty\big(M, \Lambda^i(T^*Z) \otimes F\big). \tag{3.1}$$

Definition 3.1. For $s \in C^\infty(B, E)$ and U a vector field on B, let ∇^E be a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading preserving connection on E defined by

$$\nabla^E_U s = L_{U^H} s, \tag{3.2}$$

where the Lie derivative L_{U^H} acts on $C^\infty(B, E) = C^\infty\big(M, \Lambda^i(T^*Z) \otimes F\big)$.

If U_1, U_2 are vector fields on B, put

$$T(U_1, U_2) = -P^{TZ}[U_1^H, U_2^H] \in C^\infty(M, TZ). \tag{3.3}$$

We denote by $i_T \in \Omega^2\big(B, \mathrm{Hom}(E^\bullet, E^{\bullet-1})\big)$ the 2-form on B which, to vector fields U_1, U_2 on B, assigns the operation of interior multiplication by $T(U_1, U_2)$ on E.

Let d^Z be the exterior differentiation along fibers. We consider d^Z to be an element of $C^\infty\big(B, \mathrm{Hom}(E^\bullet, E^{\bullet+1})\big)$. The exterior differentiation operator d^M, acting on $\Omega(M, F) = C^\infty\big(M, \Lambda(T^*M) \otimes F\big)$, has degree 1 and satisfies $(d^M)^2 = 0$. By [BL], Proposition 3.4, we have

$$d^M = d^Z + \nabla^E + i_T. \tag{3.4}$$

So d^M is a flat superconnection of total degree 1 on E. We have

$$(d^Z)^2 = 0, \quad [\nabla^E, d^Z] = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Let g^{TZ} be a metric on TZ. Let h^F be a Hermitian metric on F. Let ∇^{F*} be the adjoint of ∇^F with respect to h^F. Let $\omega(F, h^F)$ and $\nabla^{F,e}$ be the 1-form on M and the connection on F defined as in (2.3), (2.8).

Let $\mathrm{o}(TZ)$ be the orientation bundle of TZ, a flat real line bundle on M. Let dv_Z be the Riemannian volume form on fibers Z associated to the metric g^{TZ} (Here dv_Z is viewed as a section of $\Lambda^{\dim Z}(T^*Z) \otimes \mathrm{o}(TZ)$.) Let $\langle\, , \rangle_{\Lambda(T^*Z)\otimes F}$ be the metric on $\Lambda(T^*Z) \otimes F$ in-

duced by g^{TZ}, h^F. Then E acquires a Hermitian metric h^E such that for $\alpha, \alpha' \in C^\infty(B, E)$ and $b \in B$,

$$\langle \alpha, \alpha' \rangle_{h^E}(b) = \int_{Z_b} \langle \alpha, \alpha' \rangle_{\Lambda(T^*Z)\otimes F} \, dv_{Z_b}. \tag{3.6}$$

Let ∇^{E*}, d^{Z*}, $(d^M)^*$, $(i_T)^*$ be the formal adjoints of ∇^E, d^Z, d^M, i_T with respect to the scalar product $\langle\, , \rangle_{h^E}$. Set

$$\begin{gathered} D^Z = d^Z + d^{Z*}, \quad \nabla^{E,e} = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla^E + \nabla^{E*}), \\ \omega(E, h^E) = \nabla^{E*} - \nabla^E. \end{gathered} \tag{3.7}$$

Let N_Z be the number operator of E, i.e. N_Z acts by multiplication by k on $C^\infty\big(M, \Lambda^k(T^*Z) \otimes F\big)$. For $u > 0$, set

$$\begin{aligned} C'_u &= u^{N_Z/2} d^M u^{-N_Z/2}, & C''_u &= u^{-N_Z/2}(d^M)^* u^{N_Z/2}, \\ C_u &= \frac{1}{2}(C'_u + C''_u), & D_u &= \frac{1}{2}(C''_u - C'_u). \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Then C''_u is the adjoint of C'_u with respect to h^E. Moreover, C_u is a superconnection on E and D_u is an odd element of $C^\infty\big(B, \mathrm{End}(E)\big)$, and

$$C_u^2 = -D_u^2, \quad [C_u, D_u] = 0. \tag{3.9}$$

Let g^{TB} be a Riemannian metric on TB. Then $g^{TM} = g^{TZ} \oplus \pi^* g^{TB}$ is a metric on TM. Let ∇^{TM}, ∇^{TB} denote the corresponding Levi-Civita connections on TM, TB. Put $\nabla^{TZ} = P^{TZ}\nabla^{TM}$, a connection on TZ. As shown in [B], Theorem 1.9, ∇^{TZ} is independent of the choice of g^{TB}. Then ${}^0\nabla = \nabla^{TZ} \oplus \pi^*\nabla^{TB}$ is also a connection on TM. Let $S = \nabla^{TM} - {}^0\nabla$. By [B], Theorem 1.9, $\langle S(\cdot)\cdot, \cdot \rangle_{g^{TM}}$ is a tensor independent of g^{TB}. Moreover, for $U_1, U_2 \in TB$, $X, Y \in TZ$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S(U_1^H)X, U_2^H \rangle_{g^{TM}} &= -\langle S(U_1^H)U_2^H, X \rangle_{g^{TM}} \\ &= \langle S(X)U_1^H, U_2^H \rangle_{g^{TM}} = \frac{1}{2}\langle T(U_1^H, U_2^H), X \rangle_{g^{TM}}, \\ \langle S(X)Y, U_1^H \rangle_{g^{TM}} &= -\langle S(X)U_1^H, Y \rangle_{g^{TM}} = \frac{1}{2}(L_{U_1^H} g^{TZ})(X, Y), \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

and all other terms are zero.

Let $\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^p$ be an orthonormal basis of TB, let $\{f^\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^p$ be the dual basis of T^*B. In the following, it's convenient to identify f_α with f_α^H. Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be an orthonormal basis of (TZ, g^{TZ}). We define a horizontal 1-form k on M by

$$k(f_\alpha) = -\sum_i \langle S(e_i)e_i, f_\alpha \rangle. \tag{3.11}$$

Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
c(T) &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha,\beta} f^{\alpha}\wedge f^{\beta} c\big(T(f_\alpha, f_\beta)\big),\\
\hat{c}(T) &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha,\beta} f^{\alpha}\wedge f^{\beta} \hat{c}\big(T(f_\alpha, f_\beta)\big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.12}
$$

Let $\nabla^{\Lambda(T^*Z)}$ be the connection on $\Lambda(T^*Z)$ induced by ∇^{TZ}. Let $\nabla^{TZ\otimes F,e}$ be the connection on $\Lambda(T^*Z)\otimes F$ induced by $\nabla^{\Lambda(T^*Z)}$, $\nabla^{F,e}$. Then by [BL], (3.36), (3.37), (3.42),

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^Z &= \sum_j c(e_j)\nabla^{TZ\otimes F,e}_{e_j} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_j \hat{c}(e_j)\omega(F,h^F)(e_j),\\
d^{Z*} - d^Z &= -\sum_j \hat{c}(e_j)\nabla^{TZ\otimes F,e}_{e_j} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_j c(e_j)\omega(F,h^F)(e_j),\\
\nabla^{E,e} &= \sum_\alpha f^\alpha\left(\nabla^{TZ\otimes F,e}_{f_\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}k(f_\alpha)\right),\\
\omega(E,h^E) &= \sum_\alpha f^\alpha\left(\sum_{i,j}\langle S(e_i)e_j, f_\alpha\rangle c(e_i)\hat{c}(e_j) + \omega(F,h^F)(f_\alpha)\right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.13}
$$

By [BL], Proposition 3.9, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_u &= \frac{\sqrt{u}}{2}D^Z + \nabla^{E,e} - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{u}}c(T),\\
D_u &= \frac{\sqrt{u}}{2}(d^{Z*} - d^Z) + \frac{1}{2}\omega(E,h^E) - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{u}}\hat{c}(T).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.14}
$$

3.2. Deformed sub-signature operators on a fibered manifold. We assume now that TB is oriented.

Let (μ, h^μ) be a Hermitian complex vector bundle over B carrying a Hermitian connection ∇^μ.

Let N_B, N_M be the number operators on $\Lambda(T^*B)$, $\Lambda(T^*M)$, i.e. they act as multiplication by k on $\Lambda^k(T^*B)$, $\Lambda^k(T^*M)$ respectively. Then $N_M = N_B + N_Z$.

Let $\nabla^{\Lambda(T^*M)}$ be the connection on $\Lambda(T^*M)$ canonically induced from ∇^{TM}. Let $\nabla^{\Lambda(T^*M)\otimes\pi^*\mu\otimes F}$ (resp. $\nabla^{\Lambda(T^*M)\otimes\pi^*\mu\otimes F,e}$) be the tensor product connection on $\Lambda(T^*M)\otimes\pi^*\mu\otimes F$ induced by $\nabla^{\Lambda(T^*M)}$, $\pi^*\nabla^\mu$ and ∇^F (resp. $\nabla^{F,e}$).

Let $\{e_a\}_{a=1}^m$ be an orthonormal basis of TM, and its dual basis $\{e^a\}_{a=1}^m$. (Note that $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ denotes an orthonormal basis of TZ—the difference lies in the letter used for the subscript index; namely "i" vs. "a".) Let $\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^p$ be an oriented orthonormal basis of TB. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau(TB) &= (\sqrt{-1})^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}} c(f_1^H)\cdots c(f_p^H),\\
\tau &= (-1)^{N_Z}\tau(TB).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.15}
$$

Then the operators $\tau(TB)$, τ act naturally on $\Lambda(T^*M)$, and

$$\tau(TB)^2 = \tau^2 = 1. \tag{3.16}$$

Let $d^{\nabla^\mu} : \Omega^a(M, \pi^*\mu \otimes F) \to \Omega^{a+1}(M, \pi^*\mu \otimes F)$ be the unique extension of ∇^μ, ∇^F which satisfies the Leibniz rule. Let $d^{\nabla^\mu *}$ be the adjoint of d^{∇^μ} with respect to the scalar product $\langle\, , \rangle_{\Omega(M,\pi^*\mu\otimes F)}$ on $\Omega(M, \pi^*\mu \otimes F)$ induced by g^{TM}, h^μ, h^F as in (3.6). As in [BZ], (4.26), (4.27), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^{\nabla^\mu} &= \sum_a e^a \wedge \nabla^{\Lambda(T^*M)\otimes\pi^*\mu\otimes F}_{e_a}, \\ d^{\nabla^\mu *} &= -\sum_a i_{e_a} \wedge \big(\nabla^{\Lambda(T^*M)\otimes\pi^*\mu\otimes F}_{e_a} + \omega(F, h^F)(e_a)\big). \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Following [Z1], let $\tilde{\nabla}^{\Lambda(T^*M)}$ be the Hermitian connection on $\Lambda(T^*M)$ defined by (cf. [Z1], (1.21))

$$\tilde{\nabla}^{\Lambda(T^*M)}_X = \nabla^{\Lambda(T^*M)}_X - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{p} \hat{c}\big(P^{TZ}S(X)f_\alpha\big)\hat{c}(f_\alpha), \quad X \in TM. \tag{3.18}$$

Let $\tilde{\nabla}^e$ be the tensor product connection on $\Lambda(T^*M) \otimes \pi^*\mu \otimes F$ induced by $\tilde{\nabla}^{\Lambda(T^*M)}$, $\pi^*\nabla^\mu$ and $\nabla^{F,e}$. Following [MZ], (3.23), for any $r \in \mathbb{R}$, set

$$\begin{aligned} D^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F} &= \sum_{a=1}^{m} c(e_a)\tilde{\nabla}^e_{e_a} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{c}(e_i)\omega(F, h^F)(e_i), \\ \hat{D}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F} &= -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{c}(e_i)\tilde{\nabla}^e_{e_i} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{a=1}^{m} c(e_a)\omega(F, h^F)(e_a) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{p} \hat{c}\big(T(f_\alpha, f_\beta)\big)\hat{c}(f_\alpha)\hat{c}(f_\beta), \\ D^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r) &= D^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F} + \sqrt{-1}r\hat{D}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

From (3.19), the operators $D^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}$, $D^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r)$ are formally self-adjoint first order elliptic operators, and $\hat{D}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}$ is a skew-adjoint first order differential operator. Moreover, the operator $D^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}$ is locally of Dirac type.

By [MZ], (3.20) and Proposition 3.4, one has

$$\begin{aligned} D^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F} &= \frac{1}{2}[(d^{\nabla^\mu} + d^{\nabla^\mu *}) + (-1)^{p+1}\tau(d^{\nabla^\mu} + d^{\nabla^\mu *})\tau], \\ \hat{D}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F} &= \frac{1}{2}[(d^{\nabla^\mu *} - d^{\nabla^\mu}) + (-1)^{p+1}\tau(d^{\nabla^\mu *} - d^{\nabla^\mu})\tau], \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

which partly explains the motivation of introducing these operators (compare with (2.25)); see also Lemma 3.4.

By (3.15), (3.16) and (3.20), one verifies (cf. [MZ], (3.28))

$$\tau D^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F} = (-1)^{p+1} D^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}\tau, \quad \tau\hat{D}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F} = (-1)^{p+1}\hat{D}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}\tau. \tag{3.21}$$

Remark 3.2. It is important to note that by (3.21), when $p = \dim B$ is even, both $D^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}$ and $\hat{D}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}$ anti-commute with τ.

Remark 3.3. When $\mu = F = \mathbb{C}$ and $p = \dim B$ is even, $D^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}$ has been constructed in [Z1] and [Z2], where it is called the sub-signature operator.

3.3. Bismut-Freed connection of the deformed family. We assume that $p = \dim B$ is even. Moreover, we make the following technical assumption.

Technical assumption. The flat vector bundle F over M is fiberwise acyclic, that is, $H^*(Z_b, F|_{Z_b}) = \{0\}$ on each fiber Z_b, $b \in B$.

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we change g^{TB} to $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} g^{TB}$ and do everything again for

$$g_\varepsilon^{TM} = g^{TZ} \oplus \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\pi^* g^{TB}.$$

We will use a subscript ε to denote the resulting objects.

For any $r \in \mathbb{R}$, one verifies directly that the coefficient of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$ in $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} D_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r)$ is given by $d^Z + d^{Z*} - \sqrt{-1}r(d^Z - d^{Z*})$. Since

$$\left(d^Z + d^{Z*} - \sqrt{-1}r(d^Z - d^{Z*})\right)^2 = (1 + r^2)(d^Z + d^{Z*})^2, \tag{3.22}$$

by proceeding as in [BC1], one sees that when $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough, $D_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r)$ is invertible. In fact, the eigenvalues of $D_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r)$ are uniformly bounded away from zero.

Consider now $\{D_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r)\}_{r\in\mathbb{R}}$ as an $\mathbb{R}$-family of operators which anti-commute with the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading defined by τ.

Then one can construct the Quillen determinant line bundle over $\mathbb{R}$ and the associated Bismut-Freed connection on it (cf. [BF]). Moreover, by the above discussion and by [BF], 3.8, we know that when $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough, the imaginary part of the Bismut-Freed connection form is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2\sqrt{-1}}\,\mathrm{F.P.}\int_0^{+\infty} \mathrm{Tr}_s\left[D_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r)\frac{\partial D_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r)}{\partial r} e^{-t(D_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r))^2}\right] dt \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{F.P.}\int_0^{+\infty} \mathrm{Tr}_s[D_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r)\hat{D}_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F} e^{-t(D_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r))^2}]\, dt \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{F.P.}\int_0^{+\infty} \mathrm{Tr}_s[\hat{D}_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F} D_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r) e^{-t(D_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r))^2}]\, dt,
\end{aligned}$$

where the supertrace is with respect to τ and 'F.P.' means taking the finite part of the (divergent) integral. As usual we use the zeta function regularization. Thus, we define

$$\delta_\varepsilon(F, r)(s) = -\frac{1}{2\Gamma(s)}\int_0^{+\infty} t^s\,\mathrm{Tr}_s[\hat{D}_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F} D_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r) e^{-t(D_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r))^2}]\, dt. \tag{3.23}$$

Remark. Note that we have built the factor $1/2$ into the definition (unlike the finite dimensional case).

In the next subsection we will study the asymptotic expansions of the integrand in (3.23) which implies that the integral, convergent for $\Re s$ sufficiently large, has meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane with $s = 0$ a regular point. Therefore we define our invariant by

$$\delta_\varepsilon(F)(r) = \delta_\varepsilon(F, r)'(0). \tag{3.24}$$

Also in the next section we will compute the adiabatic limit of $\delta_\varepsilon(F)(r)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

We remark that the definition of $\delta_\varepsilon(F)(r)$ itself need not make use of the technical assumption $H^*(Z_b, F|_{Z_b}) = \{0\}$.

3.4. The adiabatic limit and the torsion form. We begin with a lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *$\hat{D}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}$ is the quantization of D_4; namely, it is obtained by replacing the horizontal differential forms in D_4 by the corresponding Clifford multiplications. Similarly, $D^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}$ is the quantization of C_4.*

Proof. By (3.19),

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{D}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F} = &-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{c}(e_i)\tilde{\nabla}^e_{e_i} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{a=1}^{m} c(e_a)\omega(F, h^F)(e_a)\\ &-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{p} \hat{c}\big(T(f_\alpha, f_\beta)\big)\hat{c}(f_\alpha)\hat{c}(f_\beta),\end{aligned}$$

where the connection $\tilde{\nabla}^{\Lambda(T^*M)}$ is defined by (3.18). Thus, we now look at the connection in a bit more detail. Since $\nabla^{TM} = {}^0\nabla + S$ and ${}^0\nabla = \nabla^{TZ} \oplus \pi^*\nabla^{TB}$, we find (for simplicity, we denote $S_{ij\alpha} = \langle S(e_i)e_j, f_\alpha\rangle$ and so on)

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^{\Lambda(T^*M)}_{e_i} = \nabla^{\Lambda(T^*Z)}_{e_i} &- \frac{1}{4}\big[S_{ij\alpha}\big(\hat{c}(e_j)\hat{c}(f_\alpha) - c(e_j)c(f_\alpha) + c(e_j)\hat{c}(f_\alpha) - \hat{c}(e_j)c(f_\alpha)\big)\\ &+ S_{i\alpha j}\big(\hat{c}(f_\alpha)\hat{c}(e_j) - c(f_\alpha)c(e_j) + c(f_\alpha)\hat{c}(e_j) - \hat{c}(f_\alpha)c(e_j)\big)\\ &+ S_{i\alpha\beta}\big(\hat{c}(f_\alpha)\hat{c}(f_\beta) - c(f_\alpha)c(f_\beta) + c(f_\alpha)\hat{c}(f_\beta) - \hat{c}(f_\alpha)c(f_\beta)\big)\big]\\ = \nabla^{\Lambda(T^*Z)}_{e_i} &- \frac{1}{2}S_{i\alpha j}[\hat{c}(f_\alpha)\hat{c}(e_j) - c(f_\alpha)c(e_j)]\\ &- \frac{1}{4}S_{i\alpha\beta}[\hat{c}(f_\alpha)\hat{c}(f_\beta) - c(f_\alpha)c(f_\beta) + c(f_\alpha)\hat{c}(f_\beta) - \hat{c}(f_\alpha)c(f_\beta)].\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\nabla}^{\Lambda(T^*M)}_{e_i} = \nabla^{\Lambda(T^*Z)}_{e_i} &- \frac{1}{2}S_{ij\alpha}c(f_\alpha)c(e_j)\\ &- \frac{1}{4}S_{i\alpha\beta}[\hat{c}(f_\alpha)\hat{c}(f_\beta) - c(f_\alpha)c(f_\beta) + c(f_\alpha)\hat{c}(f_\beta) - \hat{c}(f_\alpha)c(f_\beta)].\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\hat{c}(e_i)\tilde{\nabla}^{\Lambda(T^*M)}_{e_i} = \hat{c}(e_i)\nabla^{\Lambda(T^*Z)}_{e_i} - \frac{1}{4}S_{i\alpha\beta}\hat{c}(e_i)[\hat{c}(f_\alpha)\hat{c}(f_\beta) - c(f_\alpha)c(f_\beta) + c(f_\alpha)\hat{c}(f_\beta) - \hat{c}(f_\alpha)c(f_\beta)].$$

And so

$$\hat{c}(e_i)\tilde{\nabla}^{e}_{e_i} = \hat{c}(e_i)\nabla^{TZ\otimes F,e}_{e_i} - \frac{1}{8}\hat{c}\big(T(f_\alpha,f_\beta)\big)\hat{c}(f_\alpha)\hat{c}(f_\beta) + \frac{1}{8}\hat{c}\big(T(f_\alpha,f_\beta)\big)c(f_\alpha)c(f_\beta) - \frac{1}{8}\hat{c}\big(T(f_\alpha,f_\beta)\big)[c(f_\alpha)\hat{c}(f_\beta) - \hat{c}(f_\alpha)c(f_\beta)].$$

The last term here, $-\frac{1}{8}\hat{c}\big(T(f_\alpha,f_\beta)\big)[c(f_\alpha)\hat{c}(f_\beta) - \hat{c}(f_\alpha)c(f_\beta)]$, vanishes by the antisymmetry. Using the formula above together with (3.13), (3.14), (3.19), we prove our lemma. (The other case is dealt with similarly.) $\square$

Proposition 3.5. *We have*

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\operatorname{Tr}_s[\hat{D}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}_{\varepsilon}D^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}_{\varepsilon}(r)e^{-t(D^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}_{\varepsilon}(r))^2}] = -\int_B L(TB,\nabla^{TB})\operatorname{ch}(\mu,\nabla^{\mu}) \cdot \varphi\operatorname{Tr}_s\left[t^{-\frac{1}{2}}D_{4t}\frac{\partial}{\partial\sqrt{t}}(C_{4t}+\sqrt{-1}rD_{4t})e^{-(1+r^2)C^2_{4t}}\right]. \tag{3.25}$$

Proof. Again, we introduce an auxiliary Grassmann variable z and rewrite

$$\operatorname{Tr}_s[\hat{D}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}_{\varepsilon}D^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}_{\varepsilon}(r)e^{-t(D^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}_{\varepsilon}(r))^2}] = -\operatorname{Tr}_{s,z}[t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\hat{D}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}_{\varepsilon}e^{-t(D^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}_{\varepsilon}(r))^2+z\sqrt{t}D^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}_{\varepsilon}(r)}]. \tag{3.26}$$

The following Lichnerowicz formula was proved in [Z1], Theorem 1.1:

$$\begin{aligned}(D^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F})^2 = {}& -\tilde{\Delta}^e + \frac{K}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{a,b=1}^{m}c(e_a)c(e_b)(\hat{R}^e + \pi^*R^{\mu})(e_a,e_b)\\ &+\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\big(\omega(F,h^F)(e_i)\big)^2 + \frac{1}{8}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\hat{c}(e_i)\hat{c}(e_j)\big(\omega(F,h^F)\big)^2(e_i,e_j)\\ &-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{a=1}^{m}c(e_a)\Bigg[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\hat{c}(e_i)\nabla^{TM\otimes F,e}_{e_a}\omega(F,h^F)(e_i)\\ &\qquad+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{p}\hat{c}(f_\alpha)\omega(F,h^F)\big(P^{TZ}S(e_a)f_\alpha\big)\Bigg].\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

Similarly, the following formulas are shown in [MZ], Proposition 3.6.

$$
\begin{aligned}
(3.28)\qquad (\hat{D}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F})^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}\big((\tilde{\nabla}^e_{e_i})^2 - \tilde{\nabla}^e_{\nabla^{TM}_{e_i}e_i}\big) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\hat{c}(e_i)\hat{c}(e_j)(\tilde{\nabla}^e)^2(e_i,e_j)\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\hat{c}(e_i)\Big[\tilde{\nabla}^e_{e_i}, \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{p}\hat{c}\big(T(f_\alpha,f_\beta)\big)\hat{c}(f_\alpha)\hat{c}(f_\beta)\Big]\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{p}\hat{c}(f_\alpha)\hat{c}(f_\beta)\tilde{\nabla}^e_{T(f_\alpha,f_\beta)}\\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{a=1}^{m}\hat{c}(e_i)c(e_a)\big(\nabla^{TM\otimes F,e}_{e_i}\omega(F,h^F)\big)(e_a)\\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{a=1}^{m}\big(\omega(F,h^F)(e_a)\big)^2 + \frac{1}{8}\sum_{a,b=1}^{m}c(e_a)c(e_b)\big(\omega(F,h^F)\big)^2(e_a,e_b)\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{16}\Big(\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{p}\hat{c}\big(T(f_\alpha,f_\beta)\big)\hat{c}(f_\alpha)\hat{c}(f_\beta)\Big)^2,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(3.29)\qquad &[D^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}, \hat{D}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}]\\
&= -\sum_{a=1}^{m}\sum_{i=1}^{n}c(e_a)\hat{c}(e_i)\Big(\hat{R}^e + \pi^*R^\mu + \frac{1}{4}\omega(F,h^F)^2\Big)(e_a,e_i)\\
&\quad - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p}\omega(F,h^F)(f_\alpha)\tilde{\nabla}^e_{f_\alpha} + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{p}\omega(F,h^F)\big(T(f_\alpha,f_\beta)\big)\hat{c}(f_\alpha)\hat{c}(f_\beta)\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{a=1}^{m}c(e_a)\Big[\tilde{\nabla}^e_{e_a}, \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{p}\hat{c}\big(T(f_\alpha,f_\beta)\big)\hat{c}(f_\alpha)\hat{c}(f_\beta)\Big].
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore one can apply the standard Getzler rescaling to $(\hat{D}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}_{s,\varepsilon})^2$ and $[D^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}_{s,\varepsilon}, \hat{D}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}_{s,\varepsilon}]$ with no problem and all terms converge as $\epsilon \to 0$.

On the other hand, in [Z1], Proposition 2.2, Zhang formulated a Lichnerowicz type formula for $t(D^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}_{s,\varepsilon})^2 - z\sqrt{t}D^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}_{s,\varepsilon}$. The only singular term (for Getzler's rescaling) as $\varepsilon \to 0$ appears in

$$
(3.30)\qquad -t\varepsilon\sum_{\alpha}\Big(\tilde{\nabla}_{f_\alpha} + \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2}\sum_{i,\beta}\langle S(f_\alpha)e_i, f_\beta\rangle c(e_i)c(f_\beta) + \frac{zc(f_\alpha)}{2\sqrt{t\varepsilon}}\Big)^2.
$$

This singular term can be easily eliminated by the exponential transform, namely conjugating by the exponential

$$
(3.31)\qquad e^{\frac{z\sum_{\alpha=1}^{p}y_\alpha c(f_\alpha)}{2\sqrt{t\varepsilon}}}.
$$

We then do the Getzler rescaling $G_{\sqrt{t\varepsilon}}$:

$$
y_\alpha \to \sqrt{t\varepsilon}y_\alpha, \quad \nabla_{f_\alpha} \to \frac{1}{\sqrt{t\varepsilon}}\nabla_{f_\alpha}, \quad c(f_\alpha) \to \frac{1}{\sqrt{t\varepsilon}}f^\alpha \wedge -\sqrt{t\varepsilon}i_{f_\alpha}.
$$

By (3.9), (3.10), (3.19), (3.30), [Z1], Proposition 2.2, and by proceeding similarly as in [BC1], (4.69), after the conjugation by (3.31), the $G_{\sqrt{t\varepsilon}}$ rescaled operator of $t\big(D_{s,\varepsilon}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r)\big)^2 - z\sqrt{t}D_{s,\varepsilon}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r)$ converges as $\varepsilon \to 0$ to

$$\begin{aligned}(3.32)\qquad &\mathscr{H} + (1+r^2)(C_{4t}^{\mu})^2 - z\left(\sqrt{t}D^Z + \frac{c(T)}{4\sqrt{t}} + \sqrt{-1}r\left(\sqrt{t}(d^{Z*} - d^Z) + \frac{\hat{c}(T)}{4\sqrt{t}}\right)\right)\\ &= \mathscr{H} + (1+r^2)(C_{4t}^2 + R^{\mu}) - z2t\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(C_{4t} + \sqrt{-1}rD_{4t}),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathscr{H} = -\sum_{\alpha}\left(\nabla_{f_\alpha} + \frac{1}{4}\langle R_{b_0}^{TB}y, f_\alpha\rangle\right)^2 - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{\alpha,\beta}\langle R_{b_0}^{TB}f_\alpha, f_\beta\rangle\hat{c}(f_\alpha)\hat{c}(f_\beta).$$

Finally, by the previous lemma, we see that the rescaled operator obtained from the conjugation by (3.31) of $\hat{D}_{\varepsilon}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}$ converges to $t^{-1/2}D_{4t}$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Proceeding as in [MZ] and noting $2t\frac{\partial}{\partial t} = \sqrt{t}\frac{\partial}{\partial\sqrt{t}}$, we obtain the desired formula. □

Proposition 3.6. *We have the following uniform asymptotic expansion*

$$(3.33)\qquad \mathrm{Tr}_s[\hat{D}_{\varepsilon}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}D_{\varepsilon}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r)e^{-t(D_{\varepsilon}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r))^2}] = c_{-k}(\varepsilon)t^{-k} + c_{-k+1}(\varepsilon)t^{-k+1} + \cdots,$$

where $k = 3/2$ if n (dimension of the fiber) is odd and $k = 1$ if n is even. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}(3.34)\qquad &-\int_B L(TB, \nabla^{TB})\,\mathrm{ch}(\mu, \nabla^{\mu})\varphi\,\mathrm{Tr}_s\left[t^{-\frac{1}{2}}D_{4t}\frac{\partial}{\partial\sqrt{t}}(C_{4t} + \sqrt{-1}rD_{4t})e^{-(1+r^2)C_{4t}^2}\right]\\ &= c_{-k}t^{-k} + c_{-k+1}t^{-k+1} + \cdots.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$c_{i/2}(\varepsilon) \to c_{i/2} \quad \textit{as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Proof. Using two auxiliary Grassmann variables z_1, z_2, we write

$$\begin{aligned}(3.35)\qquad &\mathrm{Tr}_s[\hat{D}_{\varepsilon}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}D_{\varepsilon}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r)e^{-t(D_{\varepsilon}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r))^2}]\\ &= t^{-2}\,\mathrm{Tr}_{s,z_1,z_2}[e^{-t([D_{\varepsilon}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r)]^2 - z_1D_{\varepsilon}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r) - z_2\hat{D}_{\varepsilon}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F})}].\end{aligned}$$

Applying the standard elliptic theory to the right-hand side of (3.35), we derive an asymptotic expansion

$$\mathrm{Tr}_s[\hat{D}_{\varepsilon}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}D_{\varepsilon}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r)e^{-t(D_{\varepsilon}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r))^2}] = c_{-m/2-2}(\varepsilon)t^{-m/2-2} + c_{-m/2-1}(\varepsilon)t^{-m/2-1} + \cdots.$$

To prove the vanishing of the coefficients, we revert to one auxiliary Grassmann variable z and rewrite

$$\begin{aligned}(3.36)\qquad &t^{\frac{3}{2}}\,\mathrm{Tr}_s[\hat{D}_{\varepsilon}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}D_{\varepsilon}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r)e^{-t(D_{\varepsilon}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r))^2}]\\ &= -\mathrm{Tr}_{s,z}[t\hat{D}_{\varepsilon}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}e^{-t(D_{\varepsilon}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r))^2 + z\sqrt{t}D_{\varepsilon}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r)}].\end{aligned}$$

As usual, one fixes a point of M and employs the normal coordinates x around the point. Consider the Getzler rescaling $G^M_{\sqrt{t}}$:

$$x_a \to \sqrt{t}x_a, \quad \nabla_{e_a} \to \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\nabla_{e_a}, \quad c(e_a) \to \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}e_a \wedge -\sqrt{t}i_{e_a}.$$

By (3.27), (3.28), (3.29) and the same argument as in [BF], we can formulate a Lichnerowicz formula for $t\big(D_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r)\big)^2 - z\sqrt{t}D_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r)$. The only singular term with respect to the Getzler rescaling $G^M_{\sqrt{t}}$ as $t \to 0$ appears in

$$-t\varepsilon\sum_{a=1}^{p}\left(\nabla_{e_a} + \frac{zc(e_a)}{2\sqrt{t\varepsilon}}\right)^2 - t\sum_{a=p+1}^{m}\left(\nabla_{e_a} + \frac{zc(e_a)}{2\sqrt{t}}\right)^2.$$

This singular term can be easily eliminated by the exponential transform, namely conjugating by the exponential

$$e^{\frac{z\sum_{a=1}^{m} x_a c(e_a)}{2\sqrt{t}}}.$$

Thus, after the exponential transform and then the Getzler rescaling $G^M_{\sqrt{t}}$, we find that $t\big(D_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r)\big)^2 - z\sqrt{t}D_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r)$ converges as $t \to 0$ to

$$\mathscr{H}(r,\varepsilon) - r^2\omega^2 + z\sqrt{-1}r\sum_{a=p+1}^{m}\hat{c}(e_a)\partial_a,$$

where

$$\mathscr{H}(r,\varepsilon) = -\varepsilon\sum_{a=1}^{p}\left(\partial_a + \frac{1}{4}\langle R^{TM}_{p_0}y, e_a\rangle\right)^2 - (1+r^2)\sum_{a=p+1}^{m}\left(\partial_a + \frac{1}{4}\langle R^{TM}_{p_0}y, e_a\rangle\right)^2.$$

On the other hand, after the exponential transform and then the Getzler rescaling $G^M_{\sqrt{t}}$, $t\hat{D}_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}$ converges to

$$-\frac{z}{2}\sum_{a=p+1}^{m}\hat{c}(e_a)e_a\wedge.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{t\to 0} t^{\frac{3}{2}}\mathrm{Tr}_s[\hat{D}_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}D_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r)e^{-t(D_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r))^2}] = -\int^{\mathrm{B}}\sum_{a=p+1}^{m}\hat{c}(e_a)e_a\wedge e^{-\mathscr{H}(\varepsilon,r)+r^2\omega^2},$$

where $\int^{\mathrm{B}}$ denotes the Berezin integral (cf. [MZ], p. 604).

Thus, we deduce that $c_i(\varepsilon) = 0$ for $-n/2 - 2 \leqq i < -k$, with $k = 3/2$ if n is odd. On the other hand, if n is even, the Berezin integral on the right-hand side vanishes for parity reason, and thus $k = 1$.

Now we show that the asymptotic expansion is uniform in ε. According to the discussion above, after the conjugation by (3.4), the $G_{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$ rescaled operator of $\left(D_{s,\varepsilon}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r)\right)^2 - zD_{s,\varepsilon}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r)$ converges as $\varepsilon \to 0$ to

$$\mathscr{H} + (1+r^2)(C_{4t}^2 + R^\mu) - z\left(D^Z + \frac{c(T)}{4} + \sqrt{-1}r\left((d^{Z*} - d^Z) + \frac{\hat{c}(T)}{4}\right)\right).$$

Similarly, the $G_{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$ rescaled operator of $\hat{D}_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}$ converges to D_4. Since the asymptotic expansion of

$$\mathrm{Tr}_s[\hat{D}_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F} D_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r) e^{-t(D_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r))^2}]$$

depends only on the local symbols of the rescaled operators of $\left(D_{s,\varepsilon}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r)\right)^2 - zD_{s,\varepsilon}^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r)$ and $\hat{D}_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}$, the coefficients $c_i(\varepsilon)$ of its asymptotic expansion converges uniformly to that of

$$\mathrm{Tr}_s[D_4 e^{-t(\mathscr{H}+(1+r^2)(C_{4t}^2+R^\mu)-z(D^Z+\frac{c(T)}{4}+\sqrt{-1}r(d^{Z*}-d^Z+\frac{\hat{c}(T)}{4})))}].$$

On the other hand, since

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Tr}_s[D_{4t} e^{-(\mathscr{H}+(1+r^2)(C_{4t}^\mu)^2-z(\sqrt{t}D^Z+\frac{c(T)}{4\sqrt{t}}+\sqrt{-1}r(\sqrt{t}(d^{Z*}-d^Z)+\frac{\hat{c}(T)}{4\sqrt{t}})))}] \\
&\quad = t^{-1/2}\,\mathrm{Tr}_s[D_4 e^{-t(\mathscr{H}+(1+r^2)(C_{4t}^2+R^\mu)-z(D^Z+\frac{c(T)}{4}+\sqrt{-1}r(d^{Z*}-d^Z+\frac{\hat{c}(T)}{4})))}],
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain (3.34) and also the convergence of asymptotic coefficients. $\square$

Corollary 3.7. *The function $\delta_\varepsilon(F,r)(s)$ in* (3.23) *has a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane with $s = 0$ a regular point.*

Proof. The integral in (3.23) is convergent at $t = \infty$ since

$$\mathrm{Tr}_s[\hat{D}_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F} D_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r) e^{-t(D_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r))^2}]$$

is exponentially decaying in t as $t \to \infty$. On the other hand, it follows immediately from Proposition 3.6 that the integral is convergent at $t = 0$ for $\Re s > k - 1$. Moreover the standard method shows that $\delta_\varepsilon(F,r)(s)$ in (3.23) has a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane with simple poles at $s = k-1, k, \ldots$. However the possible simple pole at $s = 0$ is canceled by that of $\Gamma(s)$. Hence $s = 0$ is a regular point. From this discussion, we also derive the formula

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.37)\qquad \delta_\varepsilon(F)(r) &= \delta_\varepsilon(F,r)'(0) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 \left(\mathrm{Tr}_s[\hat{D}_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F} D_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r) e^{-t(D_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r))^2}] - c_{-k}(\varepsilon)t^{-k}\right) dt \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2}\int_1^{+\infty} \mathrm{Tr}_s[\hat{D}_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F} D_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r) e^{-t(D_\varepsilon^{\pi^*\mu\otimes F}(r))^2}]\, dt + C,
\end{aligned}$$

where $C = c_{-\frac{3}{2}}(\varepsilon)$ if m is odd (and hence $k = \frac{3}{2}$) and $C = \frac{1}{2}\Gamma'(1)c_{-1}(\varepsilon)$ if m is even (and thus $k = 1$). $\square$

We now define our torsion form. As in the discussion above, we first define the corresponding zeta function

$$\zeta_{\mathscr{T}}(s) = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} \varphi \operatorname{Tr}_s[N_Z D_t^2 e^{(1+r^2)D_t^2}]\, dt. \tag{3.38}$$

From [BL], Theorem 3.21, $\operatorname{Tr}_s[N_Z(1+2D_t^2)e^{(1+r^2)D_t^2}]$ has an asymptotic expansion as $t \to 0$ with no singular terms (i.e. no singular powers of t). By [BZ] and [DM], $\operatorname{Tr}_s[N_Z e^{(1+r^2)D_t^2}]$ has an asymptotic expansion as $t \to 0$ starting with the t^{-l} term, with $l = 0$ if n is even and $l = 1/2$ if n is odd, compare [MZ], (3.118). Hence $\operatorname{Tr}_s[N_Z D_t^2 e^{(1+r^2)D_t^2}]$ has an asymptotic expansion as $t \to 0$ starting with the t^{-l} term:

$$\operatorname{Tr}_s[N_Z D_t^2 e^{(1+r^2)D_t^2}] \sim A_{-l} t^{-l} + A_{-l+1} t^{-l+1} + \cdots.$$

It follows that $\zeta_{\mathscr{T}}(s)$ has a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane with $s = 0$ a regular point. Also, for later use, we note that

$$\zeta_{\mathscr{T}}(0) = 0, \tag{3.39}$$

when n is odd; and

$$\{\zeta_{\mathscr{T}}(0)\}^{[i]} = 0, \tag{3.40}$$

for $i > 0$ when n is even. Now we define our torsion form by

$$\mathscr{T}_r = \zeta'_{\mathscr{T}}(0). \tag{3.41}$$

In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{T}_r = &-\int_0^1 \varphi(\operatorname{Tr}_s[N_Z D_t^2 e^{(1+r^2)D_t^2}] - A_{-l} t^{-l}) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &- \int_1^\infty \varphi \operatorname{Tr}_s[N_Z D_t^2 e^{(1+r^2)D_t^2}] \frac{dt}{t} + C', \end{aligned} \tag{3.42}$$

where $C' = \varphi\big(A_{-l}\Gamma'(1)\big)$ if n is even, and $C' = \varphi(2A_{-l})$ if n is odd.

We also introduce a variant of the torsion form. From (3.34), we have for $t \to 0$ that

$$\operatorname{Tr}_s\left[t^{-\frac{1}{2}} D_{4t} \frac{\partial}{\partial\sqrt{t}} (C_{4t} + \sqrt{-1} r D_{4t}) e^{(1+r^2)D_{4t}^2}\right] \sim C_{-k} t^{-k} + C_{-k+1} t^{-k+1} + \cdots. \tag{3.43}$$

Here k is defined as in (3.34). Define

$$\zeta_{\tilde{\mathscr{T}}}(s) = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty t^s \varphi \operatorname{Tr}_s\left[t^{-\frac{1}{2}} D_{4t} \frac{\partial}{\partial\sqrt{t}} (C_{4t} + \sqrt{-1} r D_{4t}) e^{(1+r^2)D_{4t}^2}\right] dt. \tag{3.44}$$

As before, this zeta function has analytic continuation to the whole complex plane with $s = 0$ a regular point. Therefore we can define

$$\tilde{\mathscr{T}}_r = \zeta'_{\tilde{\mathscr{F}}}(0). \tag{3.45}$$

In fact, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathscr{T}}_r = &-\int_0^1 \varphi\left(\mathrm{Tr}_s\left[t^{-\frac{1}{2}}D_{4t}\frac{\partial}{\partial\sqrt{t}}(C_{4t}+\sqrt{-1}rD_{4t})e^{(1+r^2)D_{4t}^2}\right] - C_{-k}t^{-k}\right)dt \\ &-\int_1^\infty \varphi\,\mathrm{Tr}_s\left[t^{-\frac{1}{2}}D_{4t}\frac{\partial}{\partial\sqrt{t}}(C_{4t}+\sqrt{-1}rD_{4t})e^{(1+r^2)D_{4t}^2}\right]dt + C'',\end{aligned} \tag{3.46}$$

where $C'' = 2\varphi C_{-3/2}$ if m is odd (and hence $k = 3/2$) and $C'' = \Gamma'(1)\varphi C_{-1}$ if m is even (and thus $k = 1$).

We are now ready for our main result.

Theorem 3.8. *Under the assumption that the flat vector bundle F over M is fiberwise acyclic, the following identity holds*:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\delta_\varepsilon(F)(r) = \int_B L(TB,\nabla^{TB})\,\mathrm{ch}(\mu,\nabla^\mu)\mathscr{T}_r. \tag{3.47}$$

Proof. The proof follows the same line as in the proof of Theorem 2.5. Using Proposition 3.5, Proposition 3.6, (3.37) and (3.46), one deduces that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\delta_\varepsilon(F)(r) = \frac{1}{2}\int_B L(TB,\nabla^{TB})\,\mathrm{ch}(\mu,\nabla^\mu)\tilde{\mathscr{T}}_r.$$

To derive the final result, we use

$$2u\frac{\partial}{\partial u}C_u = -[N_Z, D_u], \quad 2u\frac{\partial}{\partial u}D_u = -[N_Z, C_u]$$

(see [MZ], (3.81)) to rearrange the right-hand side of (3.25) as in (2.35). Namely, one has

$$\begin{aligned}&-\mathrm{Tr}_s\left[t^{-\frac{1}{2}}D_{4t}\frac{\partial}{\partial\sqrt{t}}(C_{4t}+\sqrt{-1}rD_{4t})e^{(1+r^2)D_{4t}^2}\right] \\ &\quad = -\frac{2}{t}\,\mathrm{Tr}_s[N_Z D_t^2 e^{(1+r^2)D_t^2}] + \frac{\sqrt{-1}r}{t}d\,\mathrm{Tr}_s[N_Z D_t e^{(1+r^2)D_t^2}].\end{aligned} \tag{3.48}$$

It follows that $-2A_i + \sqrt{-1}r\,dB_i = 0$ if $i < -1/2$ and $-2A_i + \sqrt{-1}r\,dB_i = C_{i-1}$ if $i \geqq -1/2$, where B_i is the coefficient of asymptotic expansion of $\mathrm{Tr}_s[N_Z D_t e^{(1+r^2)D_t^2}]$. Consequently, we obtain by using (3.42) and (3.46),

$$\int_B L(TB,\nabla^{TB})\,\mathrm{ch}(\mu,\nabla^\mu)\tilde{\mathscr{T}}_r = 2\int_B L(TB,\nabla^{TB})\,\mathrm{ch}(\mu,\nabla^\mu)\mathscr{T}_r. \quad \square$$

Remark 3.9. Theorem 3.8 is closely related to [MZ], Theorems 3.17 and 3.18. Indeed, in [MZ] one sees the torsion forms through the transgression of the $\hat{\eta}$-forms, while the $\hat{\eta}$-forms involved come from the adiabatic limits of η-invariants. Our simple observation is that for the r-family considered in [MZ], one may also consider the process of first taking the variation of η-function and then taking the adiabatic limit thinking r as an element in the base. The transgressed form might then come out explicitly. It is this process that leads us to the Bismut-Freed connection form. And thus the appearance of the torsion like form through this process is not so surprising. Moreover it is quite reasonable that the torsion forms appeared here are not exactly the same as those appearing in [MZ], as the process of first taking adiabatic limit and then taking variation need not be the same as that of first taking variation and then taking adiabatic limit.

3.5. Comparison with the Bismut-Lott torsion form. Recall that the Bismut-Lott torsion form $\mathscr{T}(T^H M, g^{TZ}, h^F)$ is defined by

$$\mathscr{T}(T^H M, g^{TZ}, h^F) = -\varphi \int_0^{+\infty} \Big(\mathrm{Tr}_s[N_Z(1+2D_u^2)e^{D_u^2}] - d\big(H(Z, F|_Z)\big) - \Big(\frac{n}{2}\chi(Z)\,\mathrm{rk}(F) - d\big(H(Z,F|_Z)\big)\Big)\Big(1-\frac{u}{2}\Big)e^{-u/4}\Big)\frac{du}{2u}. \tag{3.49}$$

Now we note that the second and the third terms of the integrand, terms inserted in (3.49) to make the integral convergent, are degree 0 terms. Hence, for $i > 0$,

$$\{\mathscr{T}(T^H M, g^{TZ}, h^F)\}^{[i]} = -\int_0^{+\infty} \{\varphi\, \mathrm{Tr}_s[N_Z(1+2D_u^2)e^{D_u^2}]\}^{[i]} \frac{du}{2u},$$

where we denote by a superscript $[i]$ the degree i component of the corresponding form.

On the other hand, since

$$\{\mathrm{Tr}_s[N_Z D_u^2 \exp(D_u^2)]\}^{[i]} = u^{-i/2}\{\mathrm{Tr}_s[N_Z u D_1^2 \exp(uD_1^2)]\}^{[i]},$$
$$\{\mathrm{Tr}_s[N_Z \exp(D_u^2)]\}^{[i]} = u^{-i/2}\{\mathrm{Tr}_s[N_Z \exp(uD_1^2)]\}^{[i]},$$

one deduces that, for $\Re s > 0$ sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty u^s\{\mathrm{Tr}_s[N_Z D_u^2 \exp(D_u^2)]\}^{[i]}\frac{du}{u} &= \int_0^\infty u^{s-\frac{i}{2}}\{\mathrm{Tr}_s[N_Z D_1^2 \exp(uD_1^2)]\}^{[i]}\,du \\
&= \int_0^\infty u^{s-\frac{i}{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\{\mathrm{Tr}_s[N_Z \exp(uD_1^2)]\}^{[i]}\,du \\
&= \int_0^\infty (i-2s)u^{s-\frac{i}{2}}\{\mathrm{Tr}_s[N_Z \exp(uD_1^2)]\}^{[i]}\frac{du}{2u}, \\
&= \int_0^\infty (i-2s)u^{s}\{\mathrm{Tr}_s[N_Z \exp(D_u^2)]\}^{[i]}\frac{du}{2u},
\end{aligned}$$

cf. [MZ], (3.140) and (3.141). We have used our assumption that $H^*(Z_b, F|_{Z_b}) = \{0\}$.

Thus, for $i > 0$,

$$(3.50)\qquad \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}\int_0^{+\infty} u^s\{\varphi\,\mathrm{Tr}_s[N_Z(1+2D_u^2)e^{D_u^2}]\}^{[i]}\frac{du}{2u}$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{i-2s}+1\right)\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}\int_0^{+\infty} u^{s-1}\{\varphi\,\mathrm{Tr}_s[N_Z D_u^2\exp(D_u^2)]\}^{[i]}\,du.$$

Hence,

$$\{\mathscr{T}(T^HM,g^{TZ},h^F)\}^{[i]} = (1+r^2)^{1-\frac{i}{2}}\left\{\big(2+\ln(1+r^2)\big)\zeta_{\mathscr{T}}(0)+\frac{i+1}{i}\mathscr{T}_r\right\}^{[i]},$$

as

$$\{\zeta_{\mathscr{T}}(s)\}^{[i]} = -(1+r^2)^{-s+\frac{i}{2}-1}\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}\int_0^{\infty} u^{s-1}\{\varphi\,\mathrm{Tr}_s[N_Z D_u^2\exp(D_u^2)]\}^{[i]}\,du.$$

In particular, using (3.39) and (3.40), we have

$$(3.51)\qquad \{\mathscr{T}_r\}^{[i]} = \frac{i}{i+1}(1+r^2)^{\frac{i}{2}-1}\{\mathscr{T}(T^HM,g^{TZ},h^F)\}^{[i]}.$$

For the degree 0 component, one has

$$\{\mathscr{T}_r\}^{[0]} = 0.$$

This is a direct consequence of [BL], Theorem 3.29. Thus, up to a scaling factor on each degree component, $\mathscr{T}_r$ captures the positive degree components of the Bismut-Lott real analytic torsion form.

References

[APS1] *M. F. Atiyah*, *V. K. Patodi* and *I. M. Singer*, Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry I, Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. **77** (1975), 43–69.

[APS2] *M. F. Atiyah*, *V. K. Patodi* and *I. M. Singer*, Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry II, Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. **78** (1975), 405–432.

[APS3] *M. F. Atiyah*, *V. K. Patodi* and *I. M. Singer*, Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry III, Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. **79** (1976), 71–99.

[BGV] *N. Berline*, *E. Getzler* and *M. Vergne*, Heat kernels and the Dirac operator, Grundl. Math. Wiss. **298**, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1992.

[BerB] *A. Berthomieu* and *J.-M. Bismut*, Quillen metric and higher analytic torsion forms, J. reine angew. Math. **457** (1994), 85–184.

[B] *J.-M. Bismut*, The Atiyah-Singer index theorem for families of Dirac operators: two heat equation proofs, Invent. Math. **83** (1986), 91–151.

[BC1] *J.-M. Bismut* and *J. Cheeger*, η-invariants and their adiabatic limits, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1989), 33–70.

[BC2] *J.-M. Bismut*, *J. Cheeger*, Families index for manifolds with boundary, superconnections and cones, I, J. Funct. Anal. **89** (1990), 313–363.

[BC3] *J.-M. Bismut*, *J. Cheeger*, Families index for manifolds with boundary, superconnections and cones, II, J. Funct. Anal. **90** (1990), 306–354.

[BF] *J.-M. Bismut* and *D. S. Freed*, The analysis of elliptic families, II, Comm. Math. Phys. **107** (1986), 103–163.

[BK] *J.-M. Bismut* and *K. Köhler*, Higher analytic torsion forms for direct images and anomaly formulas, J. Alg. Geom. **1** (1992), no. 4, 647–684.

[BL] *J.-M. Bismut* and *J. Lott*, Flat vector bundles, direct images and higher real analytic torsion, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1995), 291–363.

[BZ] *J.-M. Bismut* and *W. Zhang*, An extension of a theorem by Cheeger and Müller, Astérisque **205**, Paris 1992.

[C] *J. Cheeger*, η-invariants, the adiabatic approximation and conical singularities, J. Diff. Geom. **26** (1987), 175–221.

[D] *X. Dai*, Adiabatic limits, non multiplicativity of signature and Leray spectral sequence, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1991), 265–321.

[DF] *X. Dai* and *D. S. Freed*, η invariants and determinant lines, J. Math. Phys. **35** (1994), 5155–5194.

[DM] *X. Dai* and *R. B. Melrose*, Adiabatic limit, heat kernel and analytic torsion, preprint.

[DWW] *W. Dwyer*, *M. Weiss* and *B. Williams*, A parametrized index theorem for the algebraic K-theory Euler class, Acta Math. **190** (2003), 1–104.

[Fr] *D. Freed*, Reidemeister torsion, spectral sequences, and Brieskorn spheres, J. reine angew. Math. **429** (1992), 75–89.

[Fri] *D. Fried*, Fuchsian groups and Reidemeister torsion, The Selberg trace formula and related topics (Brunswick, Maine, 1984), Contemp. Math. **53** (1986), 141–163.

[LST] *W. Lück*, *T. Schick*, *T. Thielmann*, Torsion and fibrations, J. reine angew. Math. **498** (1998), 1–33.

[M] *X. Ma*, Functoriality of real analytic torsion forms, Israel J. Math. **131** (2002), 1–50.

[MZ] *X. Ma* and *W. Zhang*, Eta-invariants, torsion forms and flat vector bundles, Math. Ann. **340** (2008), 569–624.

[MP1] *R. Melrose* and *P. Piazza*, Families of Dirac operators, boundaries and the b-calculus, J. Diff. Geom. **46** (1997), no. 1, 99–180.

[MP2] *R. Melrose* and *P. Piazza*, An index theorem for families of Dirac operators on odd-dimensional manifolds with boundary, J. Diff. Geom. **46** (1997), no. 2, 287–334.

[Q] *D. Quillen*, Superconnections and the Chern character, Topology **24** (1986), 89–95.

[RS] *D. B. Ray* and *I. M. Singer*, R-torsion and the Laplacian on Riemannian manifolds, Adv. Math. **7** (1971), 145–210.

[W] *E. Witten*, Global gravitational anomalies, Comm. Math. Phys. **100** (1985), 197–229.

[Z1] *W. Zhang*, Sub-signature operators, η-invariants and a Riemann-Roch theorem for flat vector bundles, Chinese Ann. Math. **25B** (2004), 7–36.

[Z2] *W. Zhang*, Sub-signature operators and a local index theorem for them (in Chinese), Chinese Sci. Bull. **41** (1996), 294–295.

Mathematics Department, UCSB, Santa Barbara, CA 93106
e-mail: dai@math.ucsb.edu

Eingegangen 24. Juli 2008, in revidierter Fassung 30. Mai 2009